ISSN 0012-9593

quatrième série - tome 41 *fascicule 4* *juillet-août 2008*

ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES de L'ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE

Dave BENSON & Srikanth B. IYENGAR & Henning KRAUSE

Local cohomology and support for triangulated categories

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.
4^e série, t. 41, 2008, p. 575 à 621

LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND SUPPORT FOR TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES

BY DAVE BENSON*, SRIKANTH B. IYENGAR AND HENNING KRAUSE

To Lucho Avramov, on his 60th birthday.

ABSTRACT. – We propose a new method for defining a notion of support for objects in any compactly generated triangulated category admitting small coproducts. This approach is based on a construction of local cohomology functors on triangulated categories, with respect to a central ring of operators. Special cases are, for example, the theory for commutative noetherian rings due to Foxby and Neeman, the theory of Avramov and Buchweitz for complete intersection local rings, and varieties for representations of finite groups according to Benson, Carlson, and Rickard. We give explicit examples of objects, the triangulated support and cohomological support of which differ. In the case of group representations, this allows us to correct and establish a conjecture of Benson.

RÉSUMÉ. – Nous proposons une façon nouvelle de définir une notion de support pour les objets d'une catégorie avec petits coproduits, engendrée par des objets compacts. Cette approche est basée sur une construction des foncteurs de cohomologie locale sur les catégories triangulées relativement à un anneau central d'opérateurs. Comme cas particuliers, on retrouve la théorie pour les anneaux noethériens de Foxby et Neeman, la théorie d'Avramov et Buchweitz pour les anneaux locaux d'intersection complète, ou les variétés pour les représentations des groupes finis selon Benson, Carlson et Rickard. Nous donnons des exemples explicites d'objets dont le support triangulé et le support cohomologique diffèrent. Dans le cas des représentations des groupes, ceci nous permet de corriger et d'établir une conjecture de Benson.

*D. Benson was supported by a Senior Scientist Prize from the Humboldt Foundation. S. Iyengar was supported by NSF grant, DMS 0602498.

0012-9593/04/© 2008 Société Mathématique de France. Tous droits réservés

1. Introduction

> Herr K. sagte einmal: "Der Denkende benützt kein Licht zuviel, kein Stück Brot zuviel, keinen Gedanken zuviel."
>
> BERTOLT BRECHT, Geschichten von Herrn Keuner

The notion of support is a fundamental concept which provides a geometric approach for studying various algebraic structures. The prototype for this has been Quillen's [49] description of the algebraic variety corresponding to the cohomology ring of a finite group, based on which Carlson [22] introduced *support* varieties for modular representations. This has made it possible to apply methods of algebraic geometry to obtain representation theoretic information. Their work has inspired the development of analogous theories in various contexts, notably modules over commutative complete intersection rings, and over cocommutative Hopf algebras.

In this article we propose a new method for defining a notion of support for objects in any compactly generated *triangulated category* admitting small coproducts. The foundation of our approach is a construction of *local cohomology* functors on triangulated categories, with respect to a central ring of operators; this is inspired by work of Grothendieck [32]. Suitably specialized our approach recovers, for example, the support theory of Foxby [27] and Neeman [47] for commutative noetherian rings, the theory of Avramov and Buchweitz for complete intersection local rings [3, 6], and varieties for representations of finite groups, according to Benson, Carlson, and Rickard [16]. It is surprising how little is needed to develop a satisfactory theory of support. To explain this, let us sketch the main results of this paper.

Let T be a triangulated category that admits small coproducts and is compactly generated. In the introduction, for ease of exposition, we assume T is generated by a single compact object C_0. Let $Z(\mathsf{T})$ denote the graded center of T. The notion of support presented here depends on the choice of a graded-commutative noetherian ring R and a homomorphism of rings

$$R \longrightarrow Z(\mathsf{T})\,.$$

We may view R as a ring of cohomology operators on T. For each object X in T its cohomology

$$H^*(X) = \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C_0, X) = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(C_0, \Sigma^n X)$$

has a structure of a graded module over $Z(\mathsf{T})$ and hence over R. We let $\operatorname{Spec} R$ denote the set of graded prime ideals of R. The *specialization closure* of a subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$ is the subset

$$\operatorname{cl}\mathcal{U} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \text{there exists } \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}\,.$$

This is the smallest specialization closed subset containing $\mathcal{U}$.

One of the main results of this work is an axiomatic characterization of support:

THEOREM 1. – *There exists a unique assignment sending each object X in T to a subset $\operatorname{supp}_R X$ of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ such that the following properties hold:*

(1) Cohomology: *For each object X in T one has*

$$\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R H^*(X))\,.$$

(2) Orthogonality: *For objects X and Y in T, one has that*

$$\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X) \cap \operatorname{supp}_R Y = \varnothing \quad \textit{implies} \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X,Y) = 0\,.$$

(3) Exactness: *For every exact triangle $W \to X \to Y \to$ in T, one has*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R W \cup \operatorname{supp}_R Y\,.$$

(4) Separation: *For any specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}$ of* $\operatorname{Spec} R$ *and any object X in T, there exists an exact triangle $X' \to X \to X'' \to$ in T such that*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X' \subseteq \mathcal{V} \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{supp}_R X'' \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R \setminus \mathcal{V}\,.$$

Implicit in (1) is a comparison of the *triangulated support* $\operatorname{supp}_R X$ and the *cohomological support* $\operatorname{supp}_R H^*(X)$. This was part of the initial motivation for this work. We prove also that if the cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated as a module over R, then $\operatorname{supp}_R X = \operatorname{supp}_R H^*(X)$. Without such finiteness assumption however, triangulated and cohomological support can differ; see Sections 9 and 10 for explicit examples.

It is thus interesting that the triangulated support of an object X can be yet detected by cohomology. Only, one has to compute cohomology with respect to *each compact object*. This is made precise in the next result, where, for a graded R-module M, we write $\min_R M$ for the set of minimal primes in its support.

THEOREM 2. – *For each object X in T, one has an equality:*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X = \bigcup_{C \text{ compact}} \min_R \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)\,.$$

In particular, $\operatorname{supp}_R X = \varnothing$ *if and only if* $X = 0$.

Beyond proving Theorems 1 and 2, we develop systematically a theory of supports in order to make it a viable tool. For example, in Section 7, we establish the following result of Krull-Remak-Schmidt type.

THEOREM 3. – *Each object X in T admits a unique decomposition $X = \coprod_{i\in I} X_i$ with $X_i \neq 0$ such that the subsets* $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X_i)$ *are connected and pairwise disjoint.*

Here is a direct corollary: If X is an indecomposable object in T, then $\operatorname{supp}_R X$ is a connected subset of $\operatorname{Spec} R$. This generalizes and unifies various connectedness results in the literature, starting with a celebrated theorem of Carlson, which states that the variety of an indecomposable group representation is connected [23].

As stated before, the basis for this work is a construction of local cohomology functors on T. Given a specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} R$, we establish the existence of (co)localization functors $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ on T, such that for each X in T there is a natural exact triangle

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{V}}X \longrightarrow$$

in T. We view $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ as the local cohomology functor with respect to $\mathcal{V}$. One justification for this is the following result:

$$\operatorname{supp}_R \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} X = \mathcal{V} \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{supp}_R L_{\mathcal{V}} X = \big(\operatorname{Spec} R \setminus \mathcal{V}\big) \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X\,.$$

A major focus of this work are properties of the functors $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ for a general triangulated category T; the results on support are derived from them. These occupy Sections 4–7 in this article; the first three prepare the ground for them, and for later sections. The remaining sections are devoted to various specific contexts, and are intended to demonstrate the range and applicability of the methods introduced here. We stress that hitherto many of the results established were known only in special cases; Theorem 3 is such an example. Others, for instance, Theorems 1 and 2, are new in all contexts relevant to this work.

In Section 8 we consider the case where the triangulated category T admits a symmetric tensor product. The notion of support then obtained is shown to coincide with the one introduced by Hovey, Palmieri, and Strickland [36].

Section 9 is devoted to the case where T is the derived category of a commutative noetherian ring A, and $R = A \to Z(\mathsf{T})$ is the canonical morphism. We prove that for each specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ and complex X of A-modules the cohomology of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} X$ is classical local cohomology, introduced by Grothendieck [32].

The case of modules for finite groups is studied in Section 10, where we prove that support as defined here coincides with one of Benson, Carlson, and Rickard [16]. Even though this case has been studied extensively in the literature, our work does provide interesting new information. For instance, using Theorem 2, we describe an explicit way of computing the support of a module in terms of its cohomological supports. This, in spirit, settles Conjecture 10.7.1 of [14] that the support of a module equals the cohomological support; we provide an example that shows that the conjecture itself is false.

The final Section 11 is devoted to complete intersection local rings. We recover the theory of Avramov and Buchweitz for support varieties of finitely generated modules [3, 6]. A salient feature of our approach is that it gives a theory of local cohomology with respect to rings of cohomology operators.

This article has influenced some of our subsequent work on this topic: in [9], Avramov and Iyengar address the problem of realizing modules over arbitrary associative rings with prescribed cohomological support; in [41], Krause studies the classification of thick subcategories of modules over commutative noetherian rings. Lastly, the techniques introduced here play a pivotal role in our recent work on a classification theorem for the localizing subcategories of the stable module category of a finite group; see [17].

2. Support for modules

In this section R denotes a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded-commutative noetherian ring. Thus we have $x \cdot y = (-1)^{|x||y|} y \cdot x$ for each pair of homogeneous elements x, y in R.

Let M and N be graded R-modules. For each integer n, we write $M[n]$ for the graded module with $M[n]^i = M^{i+n}$. We write $\operatorname{Hom}^*_R(M, N)$ for the graded homomorphisms between M and N:

$$\operatorname{Hom}^n_R(M, N) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N[n])\,.$$

The degree zero component is usually abbreviated to $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)$. Since R is graded-commutative, $\operatorname{Hom}^*_R(M,N)$ is a graded R-module in an obvious way. Henceforth, unless otherwise specified, when we talk about modules, homomorphisms, and tensor products, it is usually implicit that they are graded. We write $\mathsf{Mod}\, R$ for the category of graded R-modules.

Spectrum

Let $\operatorname{Spec} R$ denote the set of graded prime ideals of R. Given a homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in R, we set:

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}\}\,.$$

Such subsets of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ are the closed sets in the *Zariski topology* on $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a subset of $\operatorname{Spec} R$. The specialization closure of $\mathcal{U}$ is the set

$$\operatorname{cl}\mathcal{U} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \text{there exists } \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}\,.$$

The subset $\mathcal{U}$ is *specialization closed* if $\operatorname{cl}\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}$. Evidently, specialization closed subsets are precisely the unions of Zariski closed subsets of $\operatorname{Spec} R$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal. We write $R_\mathfrak{p}$ for the homogeneous localization of R with respect to $\mathfrak{p}$; it is a graded local ring in the sense of Bruns and Herzog [21, (1.5.13)], with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$. The graded field $R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$ is denoted $k(\mathfrak{p})$. As usual, we write $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ for the injective envelope of the R module $R/\mathfrak{p}$. Given an R-module M we let $M_\mathfrak{p}$ denote the localization of M at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Injective modules

The classification of injective modules over commutative noetherian rings carries over to the graded case with little change: Over a graded-commutative noetherian ring R an arbitrary direct sum of injective modules is injective; every injective module decomposes essentially uniquely as a direct sum of injective indecomposables. Moreover, for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in R, the injective hull $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ of the quotient $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is indecomposable, and each injective indecomposable is isomorphic to a shifted copy $E(R/\mathfrak{p})[n]$ for a unique prime $\mathfrak{p}$ and some not necessarily unique $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For details, see [21, (3.6.3)].

Torsion modules and local modules

Let R be a graded-commutative noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal in R, and let M be an R-module. The module M is said to be $\mathfrak{p}$-*torsion* if each element of M is annihilated by a power of $\mathfrak{p}$; equivalently:

$$M = \{m \in M \mid \text{there exists an integer } n \geq 0 \text{ such that } \mathfrak{p}^n \cdot m = 0\}.$$

The module M is $\mathfrak{p}$-*local* if the natural map $M \to M_\mathfrak{p}$ is bijective.

For example, $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion, but it is $\mathfrak{p}$-local only if $\mathfrak{p}$ is a maximal ideal, while $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-local, but it is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion only if $\mathfrak{p}$ is a minimal prime ideal. The R-module $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$, the injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{p}$, is both $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion and $\mathfrak{p}$-local. The following lemma is easy to prove.

LEMMA 2.1. – *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal in R. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ in R one has*

$$E(R/\mathfrak{p})_\mathfrak{q} = \begin{cases} E(R/\mathfrak{p}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

Injective resolutions

Each R-module M admits a *minimal injective resolution*, and such a resolution is unique, up to isomorphism of complexes of R-modules. We say that $\mathfrak{p}$ occurs in a minimal injective resolution I of M, if for some pair of integers $i, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the module I^i has a direct summand isomorphic to $E(R/\mathfrak{p})[n]$. The *support* of M is the set

$$\operatorname{supp}_R M = \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{p} \text{ occurs in a minimal} \\ \text{injective resolution of } M \end{array} \right\}.$$

In the literature, $\operatorname{supp}_R M$ is sometimes referred to as the cohomological support, or the small support, of M, in order to distinguish it from the usual support, which is the subset $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid M_\mathfrak{p} \neq 0\}$, sometimes denoted $\operatorname{Supp}_R M$; see [27] and also Lemma 2.2. The cohomological support has other descriptions; see Section 9.

We now recollect some properties of supports. In what follows, the annihilator of an R-module M is denoted $\operatorname{ann}_R M$.

LEMMA 2.2. – *The following statements hold for each R-module M.*

(1) *One has inclusions*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R M \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid M_\mathfrak{p} \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\operatorname{ann}_R M)\,,$$

and equalities hold when M is finitely generated.

(2) *For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$, one has an equality*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R(M_\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{supp}_R M \cap \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}\,.$$

Proof. – If I is a minimal injective resolution of M over R, then $I_\mathfrak{p}$ is a minimal injective resolution of $M_\mathfrak{p}$, see [46, §18]. In view of Lemma 2.1, this implies (2) and the equality in (1). The inclusions in (1) are obvious.

It remains to verify that when M is finitely generated $\mathcal{V}(\operatorname{ann}_R M) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R M$ holds. For this, it suffices to prove that for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \operatorname{ann}_R M$, one has $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), M_\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. Observe $M_\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ since $\mathfrak{p}$ contains $\operatorname{ann}_R M$. Therefore, localizing at $\mathfrak{p}$ one may assume R is a graded local ring and M is a non-zero finitely generated R-module, and then the desired result is that $\operatorname{Ext}^*_R(k, M) \neq 0$, where k is the graded residue field of R. We note that the standard results on associated primes carry over, with identical proofs, to this graded context; one has also an analogue of Nakayama's Lemma. Thus, arguing as in the proof of [21, (1.2.5)], one can deduce the desired non-vanishing. □

Specialization closed sets

Given a subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$, we consider the full subcategory of $\mathsf{Mod}\, R$ with objects

$$\mathsf{M}_\mathcal{U} = \{M \in \mathsf{Mod}\, R \mid \operatorname{supp}_R M \subseteq \mathcal{U}\}\,.$$

The next result does not hold for arbitrary subsets of $\operatorname{Spec} R$. In fact, each of statements (1) and (2) characterizes the property that $\mathcal{V}$ is specialization closed.

LEMMA 2.3. – *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a specialization closed subset of* $\operatorname{Spec} R$.

(1) *For each R-module M, one has*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R M \subseteq \mathcal{V} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad M_\mathfrak{q} = 0 \text{ for each } \mathfrak{q} \text{ in } \operatorname{Spec} R \setminus \mathcal{V}\,.$$

(2) *The subcategory* $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$ *of* $\mathsf{Mod}\, R$ *is closed under direct sums, and in any exact sequence* $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ *of* R*-modules,* M *is in* $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$ *if and only if* M' *and* M'' *are in* $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Proof. – Since $\mathcal{V}$ is specialization closed, it contains $\operatorname{supp}_R M$ if and only if it contains $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R M)$. Thus, (1) is a corollary of Lemma 2.2(1). Given this (2) follows, since for each $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\operatorname{Spec} R$, the functor taking an R-module M to $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is exact and preserves direct sums. □

Torsion modules and local modules can be recognized from their supports:

LEMMA 2.4. – *Let* $\mathfrak{p}$ *be a prime ideal in* R *and let* M *be an* R*-module. The following statements hold:*

(1) M *is* $\mathfrak{p}$*-local if and only if* $\operatorname{supp}_R M \subseteq \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.
(2) M *is* $\mathfrak{p}$*-torsion if and only if* $\operatorname{supp}_R M \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. – Let I be a minimal injective resolution of M.

(1) Since $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a minimal injective resolution of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and minimal injective resolutions are unique up to isomorphism, $M \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if $I \cong I_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This implies the desired equivalence, by Lemma 2.1.

(2) When $\operatorname{supp}_R M \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$, then, by definition of support, one has that I^0 is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $E(R/\mathfrak{q})$ with $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$. Since each $E(R/\mathfrak{q})$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion, so is I^0, and hence the same is true of M, for it is a submodule of I^0.

Conversely, when M is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion, $M_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ for each $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\operatorname{Spec} R$ with $\mathfrak{q} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}$. This implies $\operatorname{supp}_R M \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$, by Lemma 2.3(1). □

LEMMA 2.5. – *Let* $\mathfrak{p}$ *be a prime ideal in* R *and set* $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

(1) *The subcategory* $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ *of* $\mathsf{Mod}\, R$ *is closed under taking kernels, cokernels, extensions, direct sums, and products.*
(2) *Let* M *and* N *be* R*-modules. If* N *is in* $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$*, then* $\operatorname{Hom}_R^*(M, N)$ *is in* $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Proof. – (1) The objects in the subcategory $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ are precisely the $\mathfrak{p}$-local R-modules, by Lemma 2.4(1). Thus the inclusion functor has a left and a right adjoint. It follows that $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an abelian full subcategory of $\mathsf{Mod}\, R$, closed under direct sums and products.

(2) Pick a presentation $F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0$, where F_0 and F_1 are free R-modules. This induces an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R^*(M, N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R^*(F_0, N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R^*(F_1, N).$$

Since the R-modules $\operatorname{Hom}_R^*(F_i, N)$ are products of shifts of copies of N, it follows from (1) that $\operatorname{Hom}_R^*(M, N)$ is in $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$, as claimed. □

3. Localization for triangulated categories

Our notion of local cohomology and support for objects in triangulated categories is based on certain localization functors on the category. In this section we collect their properties, referring the reader to Neeman [48] for details. We should like to emphasize that there is little in this section which would be unfamiliar to experts, for the results and arguments have precursors in various contexts; confer [36], and the work of Alonso Tarrío, Jeremías López, and Souto Salorio [1]. However they have not been written down in the generality required for our work, so detailed proofs are provided, if only for our own benefit.

Let T be a triangulated category, and let Σ denote its suspension functor.

Localization functors

An exact functor $L\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ is called *localization functor* if there exists a morphism $\eta\colon \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{T}} \to L$ such that the morphism $L\eta\colon L \to L^2$ is invertible and $L\eta = \eta L$. Recall that a morphism $\mu\colon F \to G$ between functors is invertible if and only if for each object X the morphism $\mu X\colon FX \to GX$ is an isomorphism. Note that we only require the existence of η; the actual morphism is not part of the definition of L because it is determined by L up to a unique isomorphism $L \to L$.

The following lemma provides an alternative description of a localization functor; it seems to be well known in the context of monads [44, Chapter 3] but we have no explicit reference.

LEMMA 3.1. – *Let $L\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ be a functor and $\eta\colon \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{T}} \to L$ a morphism. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *The morphism $L\eta\colon L \to L^2$ is invertible and $L\eta = \eta L$.*
(2) *There exists an adjoint pair of functors $F\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{S}$ and $G\colon \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{T}$, with F the left adjoint and G the right adjoint, such that G is fully faithful, $L = GF$, and $\eta\colon \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{T}} \to GF$ is the adjunction morphism.*

Proof. – (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let S denote the full subcategory of T formed by objects X such that ηX is invertible. For each $X \in \mathsf{S}$, let $\theta X\colon LX \to X$ be the inverse of ηX. Define $F\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{S}$ by $FX = LX$ and let $G\colon \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{T}$ be the inclusion. It is straightforward to check that the maps

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}}(FX, Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, GY), \quad \alpha \mapsto G\alpha \circ \eta X$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, GY) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}}(FX, Y), \quad \beta \mapsto \theta Y \circ F\beta$$

are mutually inverse bijections. Thus, the functors F and G form an adjoint pair.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $\theta\colon FG \to \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{S}}$ denote the adjunction morphism. It is then easily checked that the compositions

$$F \xrightarrow{F\eta} FGF \xrightarrow{\theta F} F \quad \text{and} \quad G \xrightarrow{\eta G} GFG \xrightarrow{G\theta} G$$

are identity morphisms. Now observe that θ is invertible because G is fully faithful. Therefore $L\eta = GF\eta$ is invertible. Moreover, we have

$$L\eta = GF\eta = (G\theta F)^{-1} = \eta GF = \eta L\,.$$

This completes the proof. □

Acyclic and local objects

Let $L\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ be a localization functor. An object X in T is said to be *L-local* if ηX is an isomorphism; it is *L-acyclic* if $LX = 0$. We write $\operatorname{Im} L$ for the full subcategory of T formed by all L-local objects, and $\operatorname{Ker} L$ for the full subcategory formed by all L-acyclic objects. Note that $\operatorname{Im} L$ equals the *essential image* of L, that is, the full subcategory of T formed by all objects isomorphic to one of the form LX for some X in T. It is easily checked that $\operatorname{Im} L$ and $\operatorname{Ker} L$ are triangulated subcategories of T.

Let us mention that L induces an equivalence of categories $\mathsf{T}/\operatorname{Ker} L \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Im} L$ where $\mathsf{T}/\operatorname{Ker} L$ denotes the Verdier quotient of T with respect to $\operatorname{Ker} L$. This can be deduced from [30, I.1.3], but we do not need this fact.

DEFINITION 3.2. – For each X in T, complete the map ηX to an exact triangle

$$\Gamma X \xrightarrow{\theta X} X \xrightarrow{\eta X} LX \longrightarrow .$$

It follows from the next lemma that one obtains a well defined functor $\Gamma\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$.

LEMMA 3.3. – *The functor Γ is exact, and the following properties hold.*

(1) *$X \in \mathsf{T}$ is L-acyclic if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X,-) = 0$ on L-local objects;*
(2) *$Y \in \mathsf{T}$ is L-local if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(-,Y) = 0$ on L-acyclic objects;*
(3) *Γ is a right adjoint for the inclusion $\operatorname{Ker} L \to \mathsf{T}$;*
(4) *L is a left adjoint for the inclusion $\operatorname{Im} L \to \mathsf{T}$.*

Proof. – (1) By Lemma 3.1, one has a factorization $L = GF$, where G is a fully faithful right adjoint of F. In particular, when X is L-acyclic, $FX = 0$ and then for any L-local object Y one has

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X,Y) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X,GFY) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(FX,FY) = 0\,.$$

Conversely, if X in T is such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X,Y) = 0$ for all L-local Y, then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(FX,FX) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X,GFX) = 0\,,$$

and hence $FX = 0$; that is to say, X is L-acyclic.

(2) We have seen in (1) that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X,Y) = 0$ if X is L-acyclic and Y is L-local.

Suppose that Y is an object with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(-,Y) = 0$ on L-acyclic objects. Observe that ΓY is L-acyclic since $L(\eta Y)$ is an isomorphism and L is exact. Thus $\theta Y = 0$, so that $LY \cong Y \oplus \Sigma\,\Gamma Y$. Since $\Sigma\,\Gamma Y$ is L-acyclic and LY is L-local, the isomorphism implies that $\Sigma\,\Gamma Y = 0$. This is the desired result.

(3) We noted in (2) that ΓX is L-acyclic. For each L-acyclic object W the map $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(W,\Gamma X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(W,X)$ induced by θX is a bijection since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(W,-) = 0$ on L-local objects. It follows that the exact triangle in Definition 3.2 is unique up to unique isomorphism. Moreover, the assignment $X \mapsto \Gamma X$ defines a functor, right adjoint to the inclusion functor $\operatorname{Ker} L \to \mathsf{T}$.

The functor $\Gamma\colon \mathsf{T} \to \operatorname{Ker} L$ is exact because it is an adjoint of an exact functor; see [48, Lemma 5.3.6].

(4) This follows from Lemma 3.1. □

The functor $\Gamma\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ is a localization functor for the opposite category T^{op}. So we think of Γ as L turned upside down. Our interpretation of Γ as a local cohomology functor provides another explanation for using the letter Γ; see Section 9. We will need to use some rules of composition for localization functors.

LEMMA 3.4. – *Let L_1 and L_2 be localization functors for T. If each L_1-acyclic object is L_2-acyclic, then the following statements hold:*

(1) $\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 \cong \Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2\Gamma_1$ *and* $L_1L_2 \cong L_2 \cong L_2L_1$.
(2) $\Gamma_1 L_2 = 0 = L_2\Gamma_1$.
(3) $\Gamma_2 L_1 \cong L_1\Gamma_2$.
(4) *For each X in T, there are exact triangles*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_1 X \longrightarrow \Gamma_2 X \longrightarrow \Gamma_2 L_1 X \longrightarrow \\ &\Gamma_2 L_1 X \longrightarrow L_1 X \longrightarrow L_2 X \longrightarrow . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. – The crucial point is that Γ_i is a right adjoint to the inclusion of L_i-acyclic objects, and L_i is a left adjoint to the inclusion of L_i-local objects, see Lemma 3.3.

(1) is an immediate consequence of our hypothesis.

(2) follows from (1), since

$$\Gamma_1 L_2 \cong \Gamma_1\Gamma_2 L_2 = 0 = L_2 L_1\Gamma_1 \cong L_2\Gamma_1 .$$

(3) and (4): For X in T the hypothesis yields morphisms $\alpha\colon \Gamma_1 X \to \Gamma_2 X$ and $\beta\colon L_1 X \to L_2 X$. They induce the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Gamma_1 X & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & L_1 X & \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & \\ \Gamma_2 X & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & L_2 X & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

Applying Γ_2 to the top row and L_1 to the bottom row, and bearing in mind the isomorphisms in (1), one obtains exact triangles

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_1 X \longrightarrow \Gamma_2 X \longrightarrow \Gamma_2 L_1 X \longrightarrow \\ &L_1\Gamma_2 X \longrightarrow L_1 X \longrightarrow L_2 X \longrightarrow . \end{aligned}$$

These exact triangles yield the first and the last isomorphism below:

$$\Gamma_2 L_1 X \cong \operatorname{cone}(\alpha) \cong \operatorname{cone}(\Sigma^{-1}\beta) \cong L_1\Gamma_2 X.$$

The one in the middle is given by the octahedral axiom.

This completes the proof. □

The example below shows that localization functors usually do not commute. We are grateful to Bernhard Keller for suggesting it.

EXAMPLE 3.5. – Let $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} k & k \\ 0 & k \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ be the algebra of 2×2 upper triangular matrices over a field k and let T denote the derived category of all A-modules. Up to isomorphism, there are precisely two indecomposable projective A-modules:

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfying $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P_1, P_2) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P_2, P_1) = 0$. For $i = 1, 2$ we let L_i denote the localization functor such that the L_i-acyclic objects form the smallest localizing subcategory containing P_i, viewed as a complex concentrated in degree zero. One then has $L_1 L_2 \neq L_2 L_1$, since

$$\Gamma_1\Gamma_2(P_2) = \Gamma_1(P_2) = P_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2\Gamma_1(P_2) = \Gamma_2(P_1) = 0.$$

Existence

The following criterion for the existence of a localization functor will be used; it is contained in [45, Section 7].

PROPOSITION 3.6. – *Let T be a triangulated category which admits small coproducts and is compactly generated, and let A be an abelian Grothendieck category. Let $H\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{A}$ be a cohomological functor which preserves all coproducts.*

There then exists a localization functor $L\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ with the following property: For each $X \in \mathsf{T}$, one has $LX = 0$ if and only if $H(\Sigma^n X) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

4. Local cohomology

Let T be a compactly generated triangulated category. By this we mean that T is a triangulated category that admits small coproducts, the isomorphism classes of compact objects in T form a set, and for each $X \in \mathsf{T}$, there exists a compact object C such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(C, X) \neq 0$. Let T^c denote the full subcategory which is formed by all compact objects. We shall identify T^c with a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of compact objects in T whenever this is convenient.

Let X, Y be objects in T. We write $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, Y)$ for the abelian group of morphisms in T from X to Y. We consider also the graded abelian group:

$$\mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, Y) = \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, \Sigma^i Y)\,.$$

Set $\mathrm{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X) = \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, X)$; it has a natural structure of a graded ring. The graded abelian group $\mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, Y)$ is a right-$\mathrm{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X)$ and left-$\mathrm{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(Y)$ bimodule.

Center

Let $Z(\mathsf{T})$ denote the graded center of T. This is a graded-commutative ring, where, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the component in degree n is

$$Z(\mathsf{T})^n = \{\eta\colon \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{T}} \to \Sigma^n \mid \eta\Sigma = (-1)^n \Sigma\eta\}\,.$$

While $Z(\mathsf{T})$ may not be a set, this is not an issue for our focus will be on a graded-commutative ring R equipped with a homomorphism $\phi\colon R \to Z(\mathsf{T})$. What this amounts to is that for each object X in T one has a homomorphism of graded rings

$$\phi_X\colon R \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X)\,,$$

such that the induced actions of R on $\mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X,Y)$, from the right via ϕ_X and from the left via ϕ_Y, are compatible, in the sense that, for any homogeneous elements $r \in R$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X,Y)$, one has

$$\phi_Y(r)\alpha = (-1)^{|r||\alpha|}\alpha\phi_X(r)\,.$$

In this way, each graded abelian group $\mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X,Y)$ is endowed with a structure of a graded R-module. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has a natural isomorphism of R-modules:

$$\mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(\Sigma^n X, Y) = \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X,Y)[n]\,.$$

For example, one has a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \to Z(\mathsf{T})$ sending n to $n \cdot \mathrm{id}\colon \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{T}} \to \Sigma^0$.

NOTATION 4.1. – For the rest of this paper, we fix a graded-commutative noetherian ring R and a homomorphism of graded rings $R \longrightarrow Z(\mathsf{T})$, and say that T is an *R-linear triangulated category*.

Let C be an object in T. For each object X in T, we set

$$H^*_C(X) = \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)\,,$$

and think of this R-module as the cohomology of X with respect to C.

The following lemma explains to what extent the cohomology $H^*_C(X)$ of X depends on the choice of the object C. A full subcategory of T is *thick* if it is a triangulated subcategory closed under taking direct summands. Given a set C of objects in T, the intersection of all thick subcategories of T containing C is again a thick subcategory which is said to be *generated* by C.

LEMMA 4.2. – *Let C be a set of objects in T and C_0 an object contained in the thick subcategory generated by C. Then for each $X \in \mathsf{T}$ one has*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_{C_0}(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{C\in\mathsf{C}} \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X))\,.$$

Proof. – It suffices to prove that the subcategory of T with objects D such that $\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_D(X)$ is a subset of the right hand side of the desired inclusion is thick. It is clear that it is closed under suspensions and direct summands. Each exact triangle $C' \to C \to C'' \to$ induces an exact sequence $H^*_{C''}(X) \to H^*_C(X) \to H^*_{C'}(X)$ of R-modules, so Lemma 2.3 implies

$$\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_{C'}(X)) \cup \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_{C''}(X))\,,$$

which implies that the subcategory is also closed under exact triangles. □

Local cohomology

In this paragraph we introduce local cohomology with support in specialization closed subsets of $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Given a subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$, set

$$\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}} = \{X \in \mathsf{T} \mid \operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X) \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ for each } C \in \mathsf{T}^c\}\,.$$

A subcategory of T is *localizing* if it is thick and closed under taking small coproducts. Using Lemma 2.3(2), a routine argument yields the following statement.

LEMMA 4.3. – *If $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$ is specialization closed, then the subcategory $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of T is localizing.* □

A *colocalizing* subcategory is one which is thick and closed under taking small products. The next result is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.5(1).

LEMMA 4.4. – *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal in R and set $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$. The subcategory $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})}$ of T is localizing and colocalizing.* □

PROPOSITION 4.5. – *Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$ be specialization closed. There exists a localization functor $L_{\mathcal{V}}\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ with the property that $L_{\mathcal{V}}X = 0$ if and only if $X \in \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$.*

Proof. – The following functor is cohomological and preserves small coproducts:

$$H\colon \mathsf{T} \longrightarrow \prod_{C \in \mathsf{T}^c} \operatorname{Mod} R, \quad \text{where} \quad X \mapsto \Big(\coprod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathcal{V}} H^*_C(X)_{\mathfrak{p}}\Big)_{C \in \mathsf{T}^c}\,.$$

Proposition 3.6 applies and gives a localization functor $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ on T with the property that an object X is $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ acyclic if and only if $H(X) = 0$. It remains to note that this last condition is equivalent to the condition that X is in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$, by Lemma 2.3(1). □

DEFINITION 4.6. – Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a specialization closed subset of $\operatorname{Spec} R$, and $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ the associated localization functor given by the proposition above. By 3.2, one then gets an exact functor $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ on T and for each object X a natural exact triangle

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{V}}X \longrightarrow\,.$$

We call $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X$ the *local cohomology* of X supported on $\mathcal{V}$, and the triangle above the *localization triangle* with respect to $\mathcal{V}$. The terminology may seem unfounded in the first encounter, but look ahead to Theorems 5.6 and 9.1

Localization at a prime

The next result realizes localization on cohomology as a localization on T, and explains the nomenclature 'localization'. We fix a point $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\operatorname{Spec} R$ and set

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

THEOREM 4.7. – *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$. For each compact object C in T, the natural map $X \to L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}X$ induces an isomorphism of graded R-modules*

$$H^*_C(X)_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*_C(L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}X)\,.$$

The proof of this theorem uses an auxiliary construction.

DEFINITION 4.8. – Let I be an injective R-module and C a compact object in T. Brown representability yields an object I_C in T such that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(H^*_C(-), I) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(-, I_C)\,.$$

This isomorphism extends to an isomorphism of functors of graded R-modules

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_R(H^*_C(-), I) \cong \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(-, I_C)\,. \tag{4.8.1}$$

LEMMA 4.9. – *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$. If I is an injective R-module with $\operatorname{supp}_R I \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})$, then $I_C \in \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})}$ for each compact object C.*

Proof. – The R-modules $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, I_C)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}^*_R(H^*_C(X), I)$ are isomorphic for each X in T. If $\operatorname{supp}_R I \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})$, then $\operatorname{supp}_R \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, I_C) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})$, by Lemma 2.5(2), so specializing X to compact objects in T yields the desired result. □

Proof of Theorem 4.7. – We note that a compactly generated triangulated category admits small products.

Let I be the injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{p}$ and I_C the object in T corresponding to C, see Definition 4.8. Set $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})$.

We claim that $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is perfectly cogenerated in the sense of [39, Definition 1] by the set of objects I_C where C is compact in T. Indeed, each I_C belongs to $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$, by Lemma 4.9. If X is a nonzero object in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$, then there exists a compact object C such that $H^*_C(X)$ is nonzero; it is $\mathfrak{p}$-local, by Lemma 2.4, so $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, I_C) \neq 0$, by (4.8.1). Now let $\phi_i\colon X_i \to Y_i$ be a family of maps in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(Y_i, I_C) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X_i, I_C)$ is surjective for all I_C. Then $H^*_C(\phi_i)$ is a monomorphism for all C and i, since the injectives $E(R/\mathfrak{p})[n]$ cogenerate the category of $\mathfrak{p}$-local R-modules. Thus the product $\prod_i \phi_i\colon \prod_i X_i \to \prod_i Y_i$ induces a monomorphism

$$H^*_C\Big(\prod_i \phi_i\Big) = \prod_i H^*_C(\phi_i)$$

and therefore $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(\prod_i \phi_i, I_C)$ is surjective for each C.

The subcategory $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$ of T is colocalizing, by Lemma 4.4, and therefore Brown's representability theorem [39, Theorem A] yields a left adjoint $F\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$ to the inclusion functor $G\colon \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathsf{T}$. Then $L = GF$ is a localization functor, by Lemma 3.1. An object X is L-acyclic iff $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, I_C) = 0$ for all compact C, since the I_C cogenerate $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$. By (4.8.1), this is the case iff $H^*_C(X)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for all compact C; equivalently, iff X is in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{Z}}$, by Lemma 2.3. Thus the subcategory of L-acyclic objects equals $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{Z}}$, so L and $L_{\mathcal{Z}}$ coincide.

The adjunction morphism $\eta X\colon X \to L_{\mathcal{Z}}X$ induces a commutative diagram of natural maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*_C(X) & \xrightarrow{H^*_C(\eta X)} & H^*_C(L_{\mathcal{Z}}X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^*_C(X)_{\mathfrak{p}} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{H^*_C(\eta X)_{\mathfrak{p}}} & H^*_C(L_{\mathcal{Z}}X)_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{array}$$

The vertical isomorphism is due to Lemma 2.4, because $L_{\mathcal{Z}}X$ is in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$; the horizontal one holds because the cone of ηX is in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. This completes the proof. □

The import of the following corollary will be clarified in the next section.

COROLLARY 4.10. – *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ and let X be an object in T. For each $C \in \mathsf{T}^c$, the R-module $H^*_C(L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X)$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-local and $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion; thus $L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X$ is in $\mathsf{T}_{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}$.*

Proof. – Set $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X = L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X$. The R-module $H^*_C(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X)$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-local, since Theorem 4.7 yields an isomorphism

$$H^*_C(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X) \cong H^*_C(\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X)_{\mathfrak{p}}\,.$$

As $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X$ is in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}$, by Lemma 3.3, the R-module $H^*_C(\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X)$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion, by Lemma 2.4, so the same holds of its localization $H^*_C(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X)$. □

5. Support

Let T be a compactly generated R-linear triangulated category. Recall that R is a graded-commutative noetherian ring; see 4.1.

Support

Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in R. Set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$; note that $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}) \setminus \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. We define an exact functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ by

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X = L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X \quad \text{for each } X \in \mathsf{T}\,.$$

The diagram below displays the natural maps involving the localization functors.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}X \end{array}$$

We define the *support* of an object X in T to be the set

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X \neq 0\} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R\,.$$

A basic property of supports is immediate from the exactness of the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$:

PROPOSITION 5.1. – *For each exact triangle $X \to Y \to Z \to \Sigma X$ in T, one has*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R Y \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R X \cup \operatorname{supp}_R Z \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{supp}_R \Sigma X = \operatorname{supp}_R X\,.$$ □

The next result relates the support of an object to the support of its cohomology; it is one of the principal results in this work. For a module M over a ring R, we write $\min_R M$ for the set of minimal primes in $\operatorname{supp}_R M$.

THEOREM 5.2. – *For each object X in T, one has an equality:*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X = \bigcup_{C \in \mathsf{T}^c} \min_R H^*_C(X)\,.$$

In particular, $\operatorname{supp}_R X = \varnothing$ *if and only if* $X = 0$.

The upshot is that the support of an object can be detected by its cohomology. Observe however that this requires one to compute cohomology with respect to all compact objects. It is thus natural to seek efficient ways to compute support. The corollary below is one result in this direction. Recall that a set of compact objects G is said to *generate* T if it is the smallest localizing subcategory containing G.

COROLLARY 5.3. – *If* G *is a set of compact objects which generate* T*, then*

$$\bigcup_{C\in\mathsf{G}} \min_R H^*_C(X) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \bigcup_{C\in\mathsf{G}} \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X))\,.$$

Proof. – Both inclusions follow from Theorem 5.2; this is clear for the one on the left. For the inclusion on the right one uses in addition Lemma 4.2. □

REMARK 5.4. – The inclusions in the preceding corollary can be strict; see Example 9.4. When T can be generated by a single compact object, say C, one has that $\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X))$. In certain contexts, for example in that of Section 8, we are able to improve this to $\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X)$, and expect that this holds in general. We will address this problem elsewhere.

For objects with finite cohomology, support has a transparent expression:

THEOREM 5.5. – *Let* X *be an object in* T.

(1) *If* $C \in \mathsf{T}$ *is compact and the* R*-module* $H^*_C(X)$ *is finitely generated, then*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X \supseteq \operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X)\,,$$

and equality holds when C *generates* T.

(2) *If* X *is compact and the* R*-module* $\operatorname{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X)$ *is finitely generated, then*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X = \operatorname{supp}_R \operatorname{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X) = \mathcal{V}(\operatorname{ann}_R \operatorname{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X))\,.$$

Theorems 5.2 and 5.5 are proved later in this section. First, we present some applications. The next result is a precise expression of the idea that for each specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ the localization triangle

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{V}}X \longrightarrow$$

separates X into a part supported on $\mathcal{V}$ and a part supported on its complement. For this reason, we henceforth refer to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X$ as the support of X on $\mathcal{V}$, and to $L_{\mathcal{V}}X$ as the support of X away from $\mathcal{V}$.

THEOREM 5.6. – *Let* $\mathcal{V}$ *be a specialization closed subset of* $\operatorname{Spec} R$*. For each* X *in* T *the following equalities hold:*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X = \mathcal{V} \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X$$
$$\operatorname{supp}_R L_{\mathcal{V}}X = \big(\operatorname{Spec} R \setminus \mathcal{V}\big) \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X\,.$$

Proof. – For each $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{V}$, with $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$, one has that

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathcal{V}} X = L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} L_{\mathcal{V}} X = 0\,.$$

The second equality holds by Lemma 3.4, because $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{supp}_R L_{\mathcal{V}} X \subseteq (\operatorname{Spec} R) \setminus \mathcal{V}\,.$$

On the other hand, since $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} X$ is in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$, Theorem 5.2 yields an inclusion:

$$\operatorname{supp}_R \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} X \subseteq \mathcal{V}\,.$$

The desired result readily follows by combining the inclusions above and Proposition 5.1, applied to the exact triangle $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} X \to X \to L_{\mathcal{V}} X \to$. □

This theorem has a direct corollary, in view of the localization triangle of $\mathcal{V}$.

COROLLARY 5.7. – *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a specialization closed subset and X an object in T. The following statements hold.*

(1) $\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ *if and only if* $X \in \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$*, if and only if the natural map* $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} X \to X$ *is an isomorphism, if and only if* $L_{\mathcal{V}} X = 0$*;*
(2) $\mathcal{V} \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X = \varnothing$ *if and only if the natural map* $X \to L_{\mathcal{V}} X$ *is an isomorphism, if and only if* $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} X = 0$*.* □

COROLLARY 5.8. – *If X and Y are objects in T such that* $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X) \cap \operatorname{supp}_R Y = \varnothing$*, then* $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, Y) = 0$*.*

Proof. – Set $\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X)$. Then X is $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-acyclic, while Y is $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-local, by Corollary 5.7. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, Y) = 0$. □

The next result builds also on Corollary 4.10.

COROLLARY 5.9. – *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a point in* $\operatorname{Spec} R$ *and X a nonzero object in T. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} X \cong X$*;*
(2) $\operatorname{supp}_R X = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$*;*
(3) $X \in \mathsf{T}_{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}$*.*

Proof. – Corollary 4.10 yields that (1) implies (3), while Theorem 5.2 yields that (3) implies (2).

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1): As $\operatorname{supp}_R X = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ Theorem 5.6 implies $\operatorname{supp}_R \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} X = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ as well. Therefore, Corollary 5.7 yields that the natural maps

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} X \to X \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} X \to L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} X = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} X$$

are both isomorphisms. Thus, (1) holds. □

The proofs of Theorems 5.2 and 5.5 involve 'Koszul objects' discussed in the following paragraphs, which include auxiliary results of independent interest.

DEFINITION 5.10. – Let r be an element in R; it is assumed to be homogeneous, since we are in the category of graded R-modules. Set $d = |r|$, the degree of r. Let C be an object in T. We denote by $C//r$ any object that appears in an exact triangle

$$C \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^d C \longrightarrow C//r \longrightarrow,$$

and call it a *Koszul object of r on C*; it is well defined up to (nonunique) isomorphism. For any object X in T, applying $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(-,X)$ to the triangle above yields an exact sequence of R-modules:

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)[d+1] \xrightarrow{\mp r} \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)[1] \longrightarrow \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C//r,X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)[d] \xrightarrow{\pm r} \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X). \tag{5.10.1}$$

Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X,-)$ results in a similar exact sequence. Given a sequence of elements $\mathbf{r} = r_1,\dots,r_n$ in R, consider objects C_i defined by

$$C_i = \begin{cases} C & \text{for } i = 0, \\ C_{i-1}//r_i & \text{for } i \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{5.10.2}$$

Set $C//\mathbf{r} = C_n$; this is a *Koszul object of $\mathbf{r}$ on C*. Finally, given an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in R, we write $C//\mathfrak{a}$ for any Koszul object on C, with respect to some finite sequence of generators for $\mathfrak{a}$. This object may depend on the choice of the minimal generating sequence for $\mathfrak{a}$. Note that when C is compact, so is $C//\mathfrak{a}$.

LEMMA 5.11. – *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a point in* $\operatorname{Spec} R$*, let C be an object in* T*, and $C//\mathfrak{p}$ a Koszul object of $\mathfrak{p}$ on C. For each object X in* T*, the following statements hold.*

(1) *There exists an integer $s \geq 0$ such that*

$$\mathfrak{p}^s \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, C//\mathfrak{p}) = 0 = \mathfrak{p}^s \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C//\mathfrak{p}, X)\,.$$

Therefore, the R-modules $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, C//\mathfrak{p})$ *and* $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C//\mathfrak{p}, X)$ *are $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion.*

(2) *The Koszul object $C//\mathfrak{p}$ is in* $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}$.

(3) *If* $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X) = 0$*, then* $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C//\mathfrak{p}, X) = 0$*. The converse holds when the R-module* $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)$ *is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion, or $\mathfrak{p}$-local and finitely generated over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

(4) *When C is compact, one has*

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C//\mathfrak{p}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C//\mathfrak{p}, X)_{\mathfrak{p}}\,.$$

Proof. – By definition, $C//\mathfrak{p}$ is obtained as an iterated cone on a sequence $r_1,\dots,r_n$, generating the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$. Let C_i be the objects defined in (5.10.2); thus, $C_n = C//\mathfrak{p}$.

(1) We construct such an integer s by an iteration. Set $s_0 = 1$ and assume that for some $i \geq 0$ there exists an s_i with

$$(r_1,\dots,r_i)^{s_i} \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C_i, X) = 0\,.$$

Since C_{i+1} is the cone of the morphism $C_i \xrightarrow{r_{i+1}} C_i$, it follows from (5.10.1) that (r_{i+1}^2) and $(r_1,\dots,r_i)^{2s_i}$ both annihilate $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C_{i+1}, X)$. Thus, set $s_{i+1} = 2s_i + 1$, and repeat the process.

A similar argument proves the claim about $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(X, C//\mathfrak{p})$.

(2) follows from (1), by Lemma 2.4.

(3) If $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)=0$, repeated application of (5.10.1) yields $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C_n,X)=0$. Suppose $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)\neq 0$. When the R-module $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion, it follows from the exact sequence (5.10.1) that $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C_1,X)$ is again $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion; that it is also nonzero is immediate from the same sequence for r_1 is in $\mathfrak{p}$. An iteration yields $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C_n,X)\neq 0$.

When $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,X)$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-local and finitely generated over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the exact sequence (5.10.1) implies the same is true of $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C_1,X)$. Nakayama's lemma implies it is nonzero since r_1 is in $\mathfrak{p}$. Again, an iteration yields the desired nonvanishing.

(4) Since $C/\!/\mathfrak{p}$ is in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}$, by (2), the map $\varGamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X\to X$ induces an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p},\varGamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X)\cong\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p},X)\,.$$

With $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})=\{\mathfrak{q}\in\operatorname{Spec}R\mid \mathfrak{q}\not\subseteq\mathfrak{q}\}$, the morphism

$$\varGamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X\longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\varGamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X=\varGamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X$$

and Theorem 4.7 induce an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p},\varGamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X)_{\mathfrak{p}}\cong\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p},\varGamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X)\,.$$

The desired isomorphism follows by combining both isomorphisms. □

Koszul objects and support

Next we express the support of an object in T via Koszul objects; this leads to a proof of Theorem 5.2.

PROPOSITION 5.12. – *Let X be an object in T. For each point $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\operatorname{Spec}R$ and compact object C in T, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,\varGamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X)\neq 0$;
(2) $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p},\varGamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X)\neq 0$;
(3) $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p},X)_{\mathfrak{p}}\neq 0$;
(4) $\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{supp}_R\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p},X)$.

Proof. – (1) $\iff$ (2): This is a consequence of Lemma 5.11(3), for $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C,\varGamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X)$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion, by Corollary 4.10.

(2) $\iff$ (3) follows from Lemma 5.11(4).

(3) $\iff$ (4): Set $M=\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p},X)$. By Lemma 5.11(1), the R-module M is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion, so $\operatorname{supp}_R M\subseteq\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$. Therefore, Lemma 2.2(2) yields:

$$\operatorname{supp}_R(M_{\mathfrak{p}})=\operatorname{supp}_R M\cap\{\mathfrak{p}\}\,.$$

This implies the desired equivalence. □

We are now in a position to state and prove a more refined version of Theorem 5.2. Compare it with Corollary 5.3.

THEOREM 5.13. – *Let G be a set of compact generators for T. For each object X in T, one has the following equalities:*

$$\bigcup_{\substack{C\in\mathsf{G}\\ \mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec}R}}\min_R H^*_{C/\!/\mathfrak{p}}(X)=\operatorname{supp}_R X=\bigcup_{C\in\mathsf{T}^c}\min_R H^*_C(X)\,.$$

In particular, $\operatorname{supp}_R X=\varnothing$ *if and only if* $X=0$.

We prove this result concurrently with Theorem 5.5.

Proofs of Theorems 5.13 and 5.5. – First we verify that each object X in T satisfies:

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{C\in\mathsf{G}\\ \mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec} R}} \min_R H^*_{C//\mathfrak{p}}(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{C\in\mathsf{T}^c} \min_R H^*_C(X)\,.$$

When C is compact, so is $C//\mathfrak{p}$, hence the inclusion on the right is obvious.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a point $\operatorname{Spec} R$ such that $\Gamma_\mathfrak{p} X \neq 0$. Since G generates T, there exists an object C in G with $\operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(C, \Gamma_\mathfrak{p} X) \neq 0$. Proposition 5.12 yields that $\mathfrak{p}$ is in the support of the R-module $\operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(C//\mathfrak{p}, X)$. By Lemma 5.11(1) the latter module is also $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion, so Lemma 2.4(2) implies that its support is contained in $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$. Therefore, one obtains that $\mathfrak{p}$ is the minimal prime in the support of the R-module $\operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(C//\mathfrak{p}, X)$. This justifies the inclusion on the right.

Let now C be a compact object in T and $\mathfrak{p}$ a point in $\operatorname{supp}_R \operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(C, X)$. Recall Theorem 4.7: for any compact object D in T one has an isomorphism of R-modules

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(D, L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(D, X)_\mathfrak{p}\,.$$

When $\mathfrak{p}$ is minimal in the support of $\operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(C, X)$, the R-module $\operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(C, X)_\mathfrak{p}$ is nonzero and $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion; when the R-module $\operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(C, X)$ is finitely generated, so is the $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module $\operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(C, X)_\mathfrak{p}$. Thus, in either case the isomorphism above, applied with $D = C$, and Lemma 5.11(3) imply that $\operatorname{Hom}^*_\mathsf{T}(C//\mathfrak{p}, L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} X)$ is nonzero. Hence the isomorphism above, now applied with $D = C//\mathfrak{p}$, and Lemma 5.11(4) yield that $\Gamma_\mathfrak{p} X$ is nonzero, that is to say, $\mathfrak{p}$ is in $\operatorname{supp}_R X$.

At this point, we have proved Theorem 5.13, and the first claim in Theorem 5.5. In case that C generates T, the inclusion $\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X)$ follows from Corollary 5.3 because $\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X)$ is specialization closed, by Lemma 2.2(1).

In the remainder of the proof, X is assumed to be compact and the R-module $\operatorname{End}^*_\mathsf{T}(X)$ is finitely generated. Set $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{ann}_R \operatorname{End}^*_\mathsf{T}(X)$. For each C in T^c, the R-action on $H^*_C(X)$ factors through the homomorphism of rings $R \to R/\mathfrak{a}$. Therefore Lemma 2.2(1) yields

$$\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})\,.$$

In view of Theorem 5.2, which has been proved, this yields the first inclusion below:

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{supp}_R \operatorname{End}^*_\mathsf{T}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R X\,.$$

The equality holds by Lemma 2.2(1) and the last inclusion holds by the already established part of Theorem 5.5; to invoke either result, one requires the hypothesis that the R-module $\operatorname{End}^*_\mathsf{T}(X)$ is finitely generated. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.5 as well. □

REMARK 5.14. – The proof of Theorem 5.5 can be adapted to establish an inclusion

$$\operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R X$$

where C is compact and the R-module $H^*_C(X)$ is finitely generated, under other conditions as well; for instance, when the ring R is concentrated in degree 0.

Supports can be characterized by four, entirely reasonable, properties.

Axiomatic characterization of support

Let G be a set of compact generators for T. For simplicity, for each X in T set

$$\operatorname{supp}_R H^*(X) = \bigcup_{C\in\mathsf{G}} \operatorname{supp}_R H^*_C(X)\,.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R H^*(X))$ is independent of the choice of a generating set G. The result below contains the first theorem in the introduction.

THEOREM 5.15. – *There exists a unique assignment sending each object X in T to a subset $\operatorname{supp}_R X$ of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ such that the following properties hold:*

(1) Cohomology: *For each object X in T one has*

$$\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R H^*(X))\,.$$

(2) Orthogonality: *For objects X and Y in T, one has that*

$$\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X)\cap\operatorname{supp}_R Y = \varnothing \quad \textit{implies} \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X,Y) = 0\,.$$

(3) Exactness: *For every exact triangle $W\to X\to Y\to$ in T, one has*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R W \cup \operatorname{supp}_R Y\,.$$

(4) Separation: *For any specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ and object X in T, there exists an exact triangle $X'\to X\to X''\to$ in T such that*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X' \subseteq \mathcal{V} \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{supp}_R X'' \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R\setminus\mathcal{V}\,.$$

Proof. – Corollary 5.3 implies (1), Corollary 5.8 is (2), Proposition 5.1 is (3), and, given the localization triangle 4.6, Theorem 5.6 entails (4).

Now let $\sigma\colon \mathsf{T}\to\operatorname{Spec} R$ be a map satisfying properties (1)–(4).

Fix a specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}\subseteq\operatorname{Spec} R$ and an object $X\in\mathsf{T}$. It suffices to verify that the following equalities hold:

$$(*)\qquad \sigma(\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X) = \sigma(X)\cap\mathcal{V} \quad\text{and}\quad \sigma(L_{\mathcal{V}}X) = \sigma(X)\cap(\operatorname{Spec} R\setminus\mathcal{V})\,.$$

Indeed, for any point $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\operatorname{Spec} R$ one then obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X) &= \sigma(L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X)\\ &= \sigma(\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X)\cap(\operatorname{Spec} R\setminus\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}))\\ &= \sigma(X)\cap\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})\cap(\operatorname{Spec} R\setminus\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}))\\ &= \sigma(X)\cap\{\mathfrak{p}\}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{p}\in\sigma(X)$ if and only if $\sigma(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X)\neq\varnothing$; this last condition is equivalent to $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X\neq 0$, by the cohomology property. The upshot is that $\mathfrak{p}\in\sigma(X)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{supp}_R X$, which is the desired conclusion.

It thus remains to prove $(*)$.

Let $X'\to X\to X''\to$ be the triangle associated to $\mathcal{V}$, provided by property (4). It suffices to verify the following statements:

(i) $\sigma(X') = \sigma(X)\cap\mathcal{V}$ and $\sigma(X'') = \sigma(X)\cap(\operatorname{Spec} R\setminus\mathcal{V})$;
(ii) $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X\cong X'$ and $L_{\mathcal{V}}X\cong X''$.

The equalities in (i) are immediate from properties (3) and (4). In verifying (ii), the crucial observation is that, by the cohomology property, for any Y in T one has

$$\sigma(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{V} \iff Y \in \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}\,.$$

Thus X' is $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-acyclic. On the other hand, property (2) and Lemma 3.3 imply that X'' is $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-local. One thus obtains the following morphism of triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & X'' & \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & \\ \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & L_{\mathcal{V}}X & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

where the object $\operatorname{cone}(\alpha) \cong \operatorname{cone}(\Sigma^{-1}\beta)$ is $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-acyclic and $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-local, hence trivial. Therefore, α and β are isomorphisms, which yields (ii).

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

REMARK 5.16. – In Theorem 5.15, when proving that any function σ with properties (1)–(4) coincides with support, properties (3) and (4) were used only to obtain an exact triangle $X' \to X \to X'' \to$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii), in the proof of the theorem. One may thus replace those properties by the following one:

3. Exact separation: *For any specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}$ of* $\operatorname{Spec} R$ *and object X in* T*, there exists an exact triangle $X' \to X \to X'' \to$ in* T *such that*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X' = \operatorname{supp}_R X \cap \mathcal{V} \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{supp}_R X'' = \operatorname{supp}_R X \cap (\operatorname{Spec} R \setminus \mathcal{V})\,.$$

6. Properties of local cohomology

Let T be a compactly generated R-linear triangulated category; see 4.1.

Composition laws

We provide commutation rules for local cohomology and localization functors and give alternative descriptions of the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Compare the result below with Example 3.5.

PROPOSITION 6.1. – *Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be specialization closed subsets of* $\operatorname{Spec} R$*. There are natural isomorphisms of functors*

(1) $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}} \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}} \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$;
(2) $L_{\mathcal{V}}L_{\mathcal{W}} \cong L_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}} \cong L_{\mathcal{W}}L_{\mathcal{V}}$;
(3) $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}L_{\mathcal{W}} \cong L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Proof. – (1) It suffices to verify the isomorphism on the left. Let X be an object in T, and consider the localization triangle

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X \longrightarrow$$

of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X$ with respect to $\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}$. Lemma 3.4 provides isomorphisms

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}X\,.$$

It follows by Theorem 5.6 that $\operatorname{supp}_R \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X \subseteq \mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}$, and hence by Corollary 5.7 that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X$ is in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}$. The exact triangle above now yields the desired result.

(2) The proof is similar to that of (1).

(3) The inclusion $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W}$ induces a morphism $\theta\colon \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}$. We claim that the induced morphisms

$$L_{\mathcal{W}}\theta\colon L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta L_{\mathcal{W}}\colon \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}L_{\mathcal{W}} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}L_{\mathcal{W}}$$

are isomorphisms. This implies the desired isomorphism, since Lemma 3.4 yields

$$L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}} \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}L_{\mathcal{W}}\,.$$

To prove the claim, consider for each Y in T the exact triangle

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}Y \xrightarrow{\theta Y} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}Y \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}Y \longrightarrow\ .$$

It remains to note that one has isomorphisms


$$L_{\mathcal{W}}L_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}X \cong L_{\mathcal{W}\cup\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}X = 0$$
$$L_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}L_{\mathcal{W}}X \cong L_{\mathcal{V}}L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}X \cong L_{\mathcal{W}\cup\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}X = 0\,,$$

where the first isomorphism in the first row and the second one in the second row hold by part (2). The first isomorphism in the second row holds by Lemma 3.4. □

THEOREM 6.2. – *Let* $\mathfrak{p}$ *be a point in* Spec R*, and let* $\mathcal{V}$ *and* $\mathcal{W}$ *be specialization closed subsets of* Spec R *such that* $\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{W} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$*. There are natural isomorphisms*

$$L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} \cong \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}L_{\mathcal{W}}\,.$$

Note that the hypothesis is equivalent to: $\mathcal{V} \not\subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Our choice of notation is illustrated by the following diagram.



Proof. – Set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$ and $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. The hypothesis implies the following inclusions

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}\,, \quad \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}\,.$$

The isomorphisms of localization functors associated to these subsets are used without further comment, see Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 6.1.

Given that localization functors commute, it is enough to prove that there are natural isomorphisms

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} = L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \cong L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \cong L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\,.$$

Let X be an object in T. We consider the following exact triangles.

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X \longrightarrow$$
$$L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X \longrightarrow\ .$$

The first triangle is the localization triangle of the object $L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X$ with respect to $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})$. The second triangle is obtained by applying the functor $L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ to the localization triangle of X with respect to $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$. It remains to note the isomorphisms:

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \cong L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \cong L_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})\cap\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} = 0$$
$$L_{\mathcal{W}}L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} \cong L_{\mathcal{W}\cup\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} = 0\,.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

COROLLARY 6.3. – *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a point in* Spec R*, and set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$ and $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. There are natural isomorphisms*

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{p})}L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} \cong L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{p})}\,.$$

Moreover, for each X in T*, there are exact triangles*

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}X \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{p})}X \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X \longrightarrow$$
$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{p})}X \longrightarrow\ .$$

Proof. – The isomorphisms are all special cases of Theorem 6.2. Given these, the exact triangles follow from Lemma 3.4, since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{p})$. □

Smashing localization

Let C be a class of objects in T; we write $\operatorname{Loc}(\mathsf{C})$ for the smallest localizing subcategory of T containing it. By definition, C generates T if and only if $\operatorname{Loc}(\mathsf{C}) = \mathsf{T}$.

THEOREM 6.4. – *Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq$ Spec R be a specialization closed subset of* Spec R*, and let G be a set of compact generators for T. One then has*

$$\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}} = \operatorname{Loc}(\mathsf{T}^c \cap \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}) = \operatorname{Loc}\big(\{C/\!/\mathfrak{p} \mid C \in \mathsf{G} \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}\}\big)\,.$$

In particular, the subcategory $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is generated by a subset of T^c.

Proof. – Let $\mathsf{S} = \operatorname{Loc}\big(\{C/\!/\mathfrak{p} \mid C \in \mathsf{G} \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}\}\big)$. We then have inclusions

$$\mathsf{S} \subseteq \operatorname{Loc}(\mathsf{T}^c \cap \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}) \subseteq \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}\,,$$

because $C/\!/\mathfrak{p}$ belongs to $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ for each $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{V}$, by Lemma 5.11(2), and $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a localizing subcategory, by Lemma 4.3. It remains to prove that $\mathsf{S} = \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$. Since the category S is compactly generated, the inclusion $\mathsf{S} \subseteq \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ admits a right adjoint $F\colon \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}} \to \mathsf{S}$. Fix $X \in \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and complete the adjunction morphism $FX \to X$ to an exact triangle

$$FX \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow\ .$$

Given Corollary 5.7, the desired result follows once we verify that $\operatorname{supp}_R Y = \varnothing$. Fix $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}$ and an object C in G. Since $C/\!/\mathfrak{p}$ is in S, the map $FX \to X$ induces an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p}, FX) \cong \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p}, X)\,.$$

This implies $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C/\!/\mathfrak{p}, Y) = 0$, and therefore $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(C, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}Y) = 0$, by Proposition 5.12. Since this holds for each C in G, and G generates T, one obtains that $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(-, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}Y) = 0$ on T and hence that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}Y = 0$. Thus

$$\operatorname{supp}_R Y \subseteq (\operatorname{Spec} R) \setminus \mathcal{V}\,.$$

Corollary 5.7 yields $\operatorname{supp}_R Y \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, as FX and X are in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$, so $\operatorname{supp}_R Y = \varnothing$. □

The theorem above implies that local cohomology functors and localization functors are *smashing*, that is to say, they preserve small coproducts:

COROLLARY 6.5. – *Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$ be specialization closed. The exact functors $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ on T preserve small coproducts.*

Proof. – By the preceding theorem, $\mathsf{T}^c \cap \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ generates $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$, hence an object Y in T is $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-local if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(-, Y) = 0$ on $\mathsf{T}^c \cap \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$. Therefore, the subcategory of $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-local objects is closed under taking small coproducts, and hence $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ preserves small coproducts, see Lemma 3.3. Using the localization triangle connecting $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $L_{\mathcal{V}}$, it follows that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ preserves small coproducts as well. □

COROLLARY 6.6. – *Given a set of objects X_i in T, one has an equality*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R \coprod_i X_i = \bigcup_i \operatorname{supp}_R X_i \,.$$ □

A recollement

The functors $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ corresponding to a specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$ form part of a recollement. Recall, see [12, Sect. 1.4], that a *recollement* is a diagram of exact functors

$$\mathsf{T}' \;\underset{I_\lambda}{\overset{I_\rho}{\leftarrow\!\!\!\rightarrow}}\; \mathsf{T} \;\underset{Q_\lambda}{\overset{Q_\rho}{\leftarrow\!\!\!\rightarrow}}\; \mathsf{T}''$$

satisfying the following conditions.

(1) I_λ is a left adjoint and I_ρ a right adjoint of I;
(2) Q_λ is a left adjoint and Q_ρ a right adjoint of Q;
(3) $I_\lambda I \cong \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{T}'} \cong I_\rho I$ and $QQ_\rho \cong \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{T}''} \cong QQ_\lambda$;
(4) $\operatorname{Im} I = \operatorname{Ker} Q$, that is, $QX = 0$ holds iff $X \cong IX'$ for some X' in T'.

Given any subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$, we denote by $\mathsf{T}(\mathcal{U})$ the full subcategory of T which is formed by all objects X with $\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Observe that this subcategory is not necessarily the same as $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$, introduced in Section 4; however, they coincide when $\mathcal{U}$ is specialization closed, see Corollary 5.7.

THEOREM 6.7. – *Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$ be specialization closed and set $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Spec} R \setminus \mathcal{V}$. The inclusion functor $u\colon \mathsf{T}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathsf{T}$ then induces the following recollement*

$$\mathsf{T}(\mathcal{U}) \;\underset{u_\lambda = L_{\mathcal{V}}}{\overset{u_\rho}{\leftarrow\!\!\!\rightarrow}}\; \mathsf{T} \;\underset{v_\lambda = \mathrm{inc}}{\overset{v_\rho}{\leftarrow\!\!\!\rightarrow}}\; \mathsf{T}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$$

with u_λ a left adjoint of u, u_ρ a right adjoint of u, v_λ a left adjoint of v, and v_ρ a right adjoint of v.

Proof. – Since $\mathsf{T}(\mathcal{U})$ equals the subcategory of $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-local objects and $\mathsf{T}(\mathcal{V})$ equals the subcategory of $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-acyclic objects, by Lemma 3.3, the functors $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ give rise to the following diagram:

$$\mathsf{T}(\mathcal{U}) \underset{u_\lambda = L_{\mathcal{V}}}{\overset{u=\mathrm{inc}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{T} \underset{v_\lambda = \mathrm{inc}}{\overset{v=\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{T}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}\,.$$

We have seen in Corollary 6.5 that the functors $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ preserve small coproducts. Thus u and v preserve small coproducts. Then Brown representability implies that u and v admit right adjoints u_ρ and v_ρ, respectively. It is straightforward to check that these functors satisfy the defining conditions of a recollement. □

REMARK 6.8. – In the notation of the preceding theorem, the functor $v_\rho \circ \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ on T, which is right adjoint to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$, may be viewed as a completion along $\mathcal{V}$, see Remark 9.6.

7. Connectedness

As before, let T be a compactly generated R-linear triangulated category. In this section we establish Krull-Remak-Schmidt type results for objects in T, and deduce as a corollary the connectedness of supports of indecomposable objects. We give a second proof of this latter result, by deriving it from an analogue of the classical Mayer-Vietoris sequence in topology.

THEOREM 7.1. – *If $X \in \mathsf{T}$ is such that $\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \bigsqcup_{i\in I} \mathcal{V}_i$ where the subsets $\mathcal{V}_i$ are pairwise disjoint and specialization closed, then there is a natural isomorphism:*

$$X \cong \coprod_{i\in I} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}_i} X\,.$$

Proof. – The canonical morphisms $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}_i} X \to X$ induce a morphism ε as below

$$\coprod_{i\in I} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}_i} X \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow$$

We then complete it to an exact triangle as above. One has $\operatorname{supp}_R Y \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R X$; this follows from Theorem 5.6, Proposition 5.1, and Corollary 6.6.

We claim that $\operatorname{supp}_R Y \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X = \varnothing$, which then implies $Y = 0$, by Theorem 5.2, and hence that ε is an isomorphism, as desired.

Indeed, pick a point $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\operatorname{supp}_R X$. There is a unique index k in I for which $\mathfrak{p}$ is in $\mathcal{V}_k$. Applying $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}(-)$, and keeping in mind Corollary 6.5, one obtains a diagram

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}_k} X \xrightarrow{\cong} \coprod_{i\in I} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}_i} X \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\varepsilon} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} X.$$

The isomorphism holds because $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}_i} X = 0$ for $i \neq k$, by Proposition 6.1. The same result yields also that the composed map $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}_k} X \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} X$ is an isomorphism, so one deduces that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\varepsilon$ is also an isomorphism. Therefore, the exact triangle above implies $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} Y = 0$, that is to say, $\mathfrak{p} \notin \operatorname{supp}_R Y$. □

Recall that a specialization subset $\mathcal{V}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is said to be *connected* if for any pair $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ of specialization closed subsets of $\operatorname{Spec} R$, one has

$$\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2 \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{V}_2 = \varnothing \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ or } \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_2\,.$$

The following lemma is easy to prove.

LEMMA 7.2. – *Each specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}$ of* $\operatorname{Spec} R$ *admits a unique decomposition $\mathcal{V} = \bigsqcup_{i\in I} \mathcal{V}_i$ into nonempty, specialization closed, connected, and pairwise disjoint subsets.* □

Theorem 7.1 yields Theorem 3 stated in the introduction.

THEOREM 7.3. – *Each object X in T admits a unique decomposition $X = \coprod_{i\in I} X_i$ with $X_i \neq 0$ such that the subsets $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X_i)$ are connected and pairwise disjoint.*

Proof. – Use Lemma 7.2 to get a decomposition $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X) = \bigsqcup_{i\in I} \mathcal{V}_i$ into connected, pairwise disjoint specialization closed subsets, and then apply Theorem 7.1 to obtain a decomposition $X = \coprod_{i\in I} X_i$, where $X_i = \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}_i} X$. Observe that $X_i \neq 0$ by Corollary 5.7, since $\mathcal{V}_i \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X \neq \varnothing$. It is easy to verify the other properties of the decomposition.

Let $X = \coprod_{j\in J} Y_j$ be another such decomposition. Lemma 7.2 then implies that there is a bijection $\sigma\colon I \to J$ with $\operatorname{supp}_R Y_{\sigma(i)} = \operatorname{supp}_R X_i$ for all i. Corollary 5.8 yields $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X_i, Y_j) = 0$ for $j \neq \sigma(i)$. Therefore, $X_i = Y_{\sigma(i)}$ for each i. □

The connectedness theorem is a direct consequence of the preceding result.

COROLLARY 7.4. – *If X is indecomposable, then $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{supp}_R X)$ is connected.* □

Following Rickard [50], one could deduce this result also from a simple special case of a Mayer-Vietoris triangle, described below.

Mayer-Vietoris triangles

When $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ are specialization closed subsets of $\operatorname{Spec} R$, one has natural morphisms:

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{W}}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{W}}} L_{\mathcal{W}}\,.$$

We refer to the exact triangles in the next result as the *Mayer-Vietoris triangles* associated to $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$.

THEOREM 7.5. – *If $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are specialization closed subsets of* $\operatorname{Spec} R$*, then for each X in T, there are natural exact triangles:*

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}} X \xrightarrow{(\theta_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}},\, \theta_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W},\mathcal{W}})^t} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} X \amalg \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}} X \xrightarrow{(\theta_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}},\, -\theta_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}})} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}} X \to$$

$$L_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}} X \xrightarrow{(\eta_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}},\, \eta_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W},\mathcal{W}})^t} L_{\mathcal{V}} X \amalg L_{\mathcal{W}} X \xrightarrow{(\eta_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}},\, -\eta_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}})} L_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}} X \to\,.$$

Proof. – Complete the morphism

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \amalg \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}(X) \xrightarrow{(\theta_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}},-\theta_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}})} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}X$$

to an exact triangle

$$X' \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \amalg \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}(X) \xrightarrow{(\theta_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}},-\theta_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}})} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}X \longrightarrow .$$

It is easy to check that the morphism

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}X \xrightarrow{(\theta_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}},\theta_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W},\mathcal{W}})^t} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \amalg \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}(X)$$

factors through $X' \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \amalg \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}(X)$, and gives a new exact triangle

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}X \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow X'' \longrightarrow .$$

The goal is to prove that $X'' = 0$, so $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}X \to X'$ is an isomorphism.

First observe that $\operatorname{supp}_R X'$ and $\operatorname{supp}_R X''$ are contained in $\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W}$, by Proposition 5.1. Consider now the triangle below. We do not specify the third map and it is not asserted that the triangle is exact.

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}X \xrightarrow{(\theta_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}},\theta_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W},\mathcal{W}})^t} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \amalg \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}(X) \xrightarrow{(\theta_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}},-\theta_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}})} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}X \longrightarrow .$$

It is readily verified that applying $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ to it yields a split exact triangle, and hence that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}X \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X'$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X'' = 0$; in the same vein one deduces that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X'' = 0$. Thus Corollary 5.7 yields

$$(\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W}) \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X'' = \varnothing .$$

We conclude that $\operatorname{supp}_R X'' = \varnothing$, and therefore $X'' = 0$ by Theorem 5.2. This establishes the first Mayer-Vietoris triangle. The proof for the second is similar. □

Second proof of Corollary 7.4. – Suppose $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are specialization closed subsets of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ such that $\operatorname{supp}_R X \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{W} = \varnothing$. Then, since $\Gamma_{\varnothing}X = 0$, the first Mayer-Vietoris triangle in Theorem 7.5 yields an isomorphism

$$X \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}}X \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X \amalg \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}X .$$

In view of Theorem 5.2, this implies that when X is indecomposable, one of the subsets $\mathcal{V} \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X$ or $\mathcal{W} \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X$ is empty. □

8. Tensor triangulated categories

Let T be a compactly generated triangulated category. In this section, T is also tensor triangulated. Thus, $\mathsf{T} = (\mathsf{T}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ is a symmetric monoidal category with a *tensor product* $\otimes\colon \mathsf{T} \times \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ and a *unit* $\mathbf{1}$. In addition, we assume that the tensor product is exact in each variable and that it preserves small coproducts; for details see [42, III.1]. The Brown representability theorem yields *function objects* $\mathcal{H}om(X, Y)$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X \otimes Y, Z) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, \mathcal{H}om(Y, Z)) \quad \text{for all } X, Y, Z \text{ in } \mathsf{T} .$$

For each X in T we write

$$X^{\vee} = \mathcal{H}om(X, \mathbf{1}) .$$

It is assumed that the unit $\mathbf{1}$ is compact and that all compact objects C are *strongly dualizable*, that is, the canonical morphism

$$C^\vee \otimes X \to \mathcal{H}om(C, X)$$

is an isomorphism for all X in T.

Let C, D be compact objects in T. The following properties are easily verified.

(1) $\mathbf{1}^\vee \cong \mathbf{1}$ and $C^{\vee\vee} \cong C$;
(2) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X \otimes C^\vee, Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, C \otimes Y)$, for all X, Y in T;
(3) $C^\vee$ and $C \otimes D$ are compact.

These properties are used in the sequel without further comment.

Tensor ideals and smashing localization

A full subcategory S of T is called a *tensor ideal* in T if for each X in S and Y in T, the object $X \otimes Y$ belongs to S. This condition is equivalent to: $Y \otimes X$ belongs to S, as the tensor product is symmetric.

PROPOSITION 8.1. – *Let $L\colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ be a localization functor such that the category T_L of L-acyclic objects is generated by $\mathsf{T}_L \cap \mathsf{T}^c$ and the latter is a tensor ideal in T^c. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *The L-acyclic objects and the L-local objects are both tensor ideals in T.*
(2) *For each X in T, one has natural isomorphisms*

$$\Gamma X \cong X \otimes \Gamma \mathbf{1} \quad \textit{and} \quad LX \cong X \otimes L\mathbf{1}\,.$$

Proof. – (1) Let X be an object in T. One has the following equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned}
X \text{ is } L\text{-local} &\iff \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(C, X) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathsf{T}_L \cap \mathsf{T}^c \\
&\iff \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(C \otimes D^\vee, X) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathsf{T}_L \cap \mathsf{T}^c \text{ and } D \in \mathsf{T}^c \\
&\iff \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(C, X \otimes D) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathsf{T}_L \cap \mathsf{T}^c \text{ and } D \in \mathsf{T}^c \\
&\iff \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(C, X \otimes Y) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathsf{T}_L \cap \mathsf{T}^c \text{ and } Y \in \mathsf{T} \\
&\iff X \otimes Y \text{ is } L\text{-local for all } Y \in \mathsf{T}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The first and the last equivalences hold because $\mathsf{T}_L \cap \mathsf{T}^c$ generates T_L. The second holds because $\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{1}^\vee$ is compact, and $C \otimes D^\vee$ is compact and L-acyclic; the third one holds because D is strongly dualizable; the fourth holds because the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(C, X \otimes -)$ preserves exact triangles and small coproducts, and T is compactly generated. Thus, the L-local objects form a tensor ideal in T.

Consider now the L-acyclic objects. As the L-local objects form a tensor ideal in T, one obtains the second step in the following chain of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned}
X \text{ is } L\text{-acyclic} &\iff \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, Z) = 0 \text{ for all } L\text{-local } Z \in \mathsf{T} \\
&\iff \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X, Z \otimes C^\vee) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathsf{T}^c \text{ and } L\text{-local } Z \in \mathsf{T} \\
&\iff \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X \otimes C, Z) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathsf{T}^c \text{ and } L\text{-local } Z \in \mathsf{T} \\
&\iff \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(X \otimes Y, Z) = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathsf{T} \text{ and } L\text{-local } Z \in \mathsf{T} \\
&\iff X \otimes Y \text{ is } L\text{-acyclic for all } Y \in \mathsf{T}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The justification of the other equivalences is similar to those in the previous paragraph. Therefore, the L-acyclic objects form a tensor ideal.

(2) Let $\eta\colon \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{T}} \to L$ be the morphism associated to the localization functor L. Let X be an object in T, and consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{X\otimes \eta\mathbf{1}} & X\otimes L\mathbf{1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta(X\otimes L\mathbf{1})} \\ LX & \xrightarrow{L(X\otimes\eta\mathbf{1})} & L(X\otimes L\mathbf{1}) \end{array}$$

One has $L(X\otimes \Gamma\mathbf{1}) = 0$ and $\Gamma(X\otimes L\mathbf{1}) = 0$, because the L-acyclic and the L-local objects form tensor ideals, by part (1). Therefore $L(X\otimes\eta\mathbf{1})$ and $\eta(X\otimes L\mathbf{1})$ are isomorphisms, and hence $LX \cong X\otimes L\mathbf{1}$.

A similar argument shows that $\Gamma X \cong X\otimes\Gamma\mathbf{1}$. □

Support

In the remainder of this section we fix, as before, a homomorphism of graded rings $R\to Z(\mathsf{T})$ into the graded center of T. Note that the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{1})$ is graded-commutative and that, when it is noetherian, the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{1}) \longrightarrow Z(\mathsf{T}), \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha\mapsto \alpha\otimes -\,,$$

is a canonical choice for the homomorphism $R\to Z(\mathsf{T})$.

THEOREM 8.2. – *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a specialization closed subset of* $\operatorname{Spec} R$. *Then the $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-acyclic objects and the $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-local objects both form tensor ideals in* T. *Moreover, we have for each X in* T *natural isomorphisms*

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X \cong X\otimes\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\mathbf{1} \quad \textit{and} \quad L_{\mathcal{V}}X\cong X\otimes L_{\mathcal{V}}\mathbf{1}\,.$$

Proof. – We know from Theorem 6.4 that the subcategory $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of $L_{\mathcal{V}}$-acyclic objects is generated by compact objects. Thus the assertion follows from Proposition 8.1, once we check that $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}\cap\mathsf{T}^c$ is a tensor ideal in T^c. This last step is contained in the following chain of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} X\in\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}} &\iff \operatorname{supp}_R \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(B,X)\subseteq\mathcal{V} \text{ for all } B\in\mathsf{T}^c \\ &\iff \operatorname{supp}_R \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(B\otimes C^\vee,X)\subseteq\mathcal{V} \text{ for all } B,C\in\mathsf{T}^c \\ &\iff \operatorname{supp}_R \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(B,X\otimes C)\subseteq\mathcal{V} \text{ for all } B,C\in\mathsf{T}^c \\ &\iff X\otimes C\in\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}} \text{ for all } C\in\mathsf{T}^c\,. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following corollary is immediate from the definition of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$:

COROLLARY 8.3. – *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a point in* $\operatorname{Spec} R$. *For each object X in* T *one has a natural isomorphism*

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X\cong X\otimes\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{1}\,.$$

□

REMARK 8.4. – Setting $R=\mathrm{End}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{1})$, one recovers the notion of support for noetherian stable homotopy categories discussed in [36, Sect. 6]. Theorem 7.3 specialized to this context extends the main result in [11]; see also [23, 38].

For commutative noetherian rings, Hopkins [35] and Neeman [47] have classified thick subcategories of perfect complexes, and localizing subcategories of the derived category, in terms of subsets of the spectrum. These have been extended to the realm of algebraic geometry by Thomason [54], and Alonso Tarrío, Jeremías López, and Souto Salorio [2]. In [36], some of these results have been extended to tensor triangulated categories. However, this context does not cover important examples; notably, the bounded derived category of a complete intersection ring; see Section 11. One of the motivations for this article was to develop a broader framework, and attendant techniques, where we could state and prove such results.

9. Commutative noetherian rings

In this section we apply the theory developed in the preceding sections to the derived category of a commutative noetherian ring. The main result interprets localization functors with respect to specialization closed subsets to the corresponding local cohomology functors, and establishes that, in this context, the notion of support introduced here coincides with the classical one.

Throughout this section, A is a commutative noetherian ring and $\mathsf{M} = \mathsf{Mod}\, A$ the category of A-modules. Let $\mathsf{T} = \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M})$ be the derived category of complexes of A-modules. The category T is triangulated, and admits coproducts. The module A, viewed as a complex concentrated in degree 0, is a compact generator for T. In what follows, for each complex X of A-modules, $H^*(X)$ denotes the cohomology of X. Note that

$$H^*(X) = \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{T}}(A, X)\,,$$

so the notation $H^*(-)$ is compatible with its usage in Theorem 5.15. Next we recall the notion of local cohomology and refer to [34, 43] for details.

Local cohomology

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a specialization closed subset of $\operatorname{Spec} A$, and M an A-module. Consider the submodule $F_{\mathcal{V}}M$ of M defined by the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{V}}M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\notin\mathcal{V}} M_{\mathfrak{q}}\,.$$

The traditional notation for $F_{\mathcal{V}}M$ is $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}M$, but we reserve that for the derived version, see Theorem 9.1. The assignment $M \mapsto F_{\mathcal{V}}M$ is an additive, left-exact functor on the category of A-modules, and inclusion gives a morphism of functors $F_{\mathcal{V}} \to \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{M}}$. Observe that $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ provides a right adjoint to the inclusion $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq \mathsf{M}$; this follows from Lemma 2.3.

The following properties of $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ are readily verified using the exact sequence above.

(1) For any ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in R, the closed subset $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})$ satisfies

$$F_{\mathcal{Z}}M = \{m \in M \mid \mathfrak{a}^n m = 0 \text{ for some integer } n \geq 0\}\,.$$

(2) For each arbitrary specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}$, one has that

$$F_{\mathcal{V}}M = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathcal{Z}\subseteq\mathcal{V}\\ \text{closed}}} F_{\mathcal{Z}}M\,.$$

(3) For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in A one has that

$$F_{\mathcal{V}}(E(A/\mathfrak{p})) = \begin{cases} E(A/\mathfrak{p}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V} \\ 0 & \text{othewise.} \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}} \colon \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ the right derived functor of $F_{\mathcal{V}}$. For each complex X of A-modules the *local cohomology* of X with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ is the graded A-module

$$H^*_{\mathcal{V}}(X) = H^*(\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}}X)\,.$$

Thus, if I is an injective resolution of X, then $H^*_{\mathcal{V}}(X) = H^*(F_{\mathcal{V}}I)$, where $F_{\mathcal{V}}I$ is the complex of A-modules with $(F_{\mathcal{V}}I)^n = F_{\mathcal{V}}(I^n)$, and differential induced by the one on I. When $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal in R, it is customary to write $H^*_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ for the local cohomology of X with respect to the closed set $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})$. We consider support with respect to the canonical morphism

$$A \longrightarrow Z(\mathsf{T})$$

given by homothety: $a \mapsto a\cdot\mathrm{id}$; here A is viewed as a graded ring concentrated in degree zero. The next theorem explains the title of this paper. In the sequel, $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denotes the complex of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A X$.

THEOREM 9.1. – *For each specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V}$ of* Spec A*, one has an isomorphism $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} \cong \mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}}$. Moreover, each complex X of A-modules satisfies*

$$\operatorname{supp}_A X = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A \mid H^*_{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(X_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0\}\,.$$

REMARK 9.2. – Foxby [27] has proved that for each complex X of A-modules with $H^*(X)$ bounded, and each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $E(A/\mathfrak{p})$ occurs in the minimal injective resolution of X;
(2) $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), X_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$;
(3) $\operatorname{Tor}^A_*(k(\mathfrak{p}), X) \neq 0$;
(4) $H^*_{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(X_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$.

Therefore, the theorem above implies that for modules, the notion of support as defined in this section, coincides with the one from Section 2. Moreover, it is implicit in the results in [47], and is immediate from [28, (2.1) and (4.1)], that the conditions (1)–(4) coincide for every complex X of modules, which means that the set $\operatorname{supp}_A X$ is a familiar one.

Proof of Theorem 9.1. – We let $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$ denote the full subcategory of M consisting of modules with support, in the sense of Section 2, contained in $\mathcal{V}$. In particular, $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathsf{M})$, the full subcategory of T formed by complexes X with $H^n(X)$ in $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$, for each n. The inclusion functor $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}} \to \mathsf{M}$ induces an equivalence of categories $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}) \to \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathsf{M})$, because every module in $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$ admits a monomorphism into a module in $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$ which is injective in M; see [34, Proposition I.4.8].

Next observe that the functor $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M})$ equals the left derived functor of the inclusion $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}} \to \mathsf{M}$. Thus the right derived functor $\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}}$ provides a right adjoint to the functor $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M})$, since $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a right adjoint to the inclusion $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}} \to \mathsf{M}$. On the other hand, by construction $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a right adjoint to the inclusion $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathsf{M}) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M})$. A right adjoint functor is unique up to isomorphism, so the equivalence $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}) \cong \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathsf{M})$ implies $\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}} \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a point in $\operatorname{Spec} A$, and set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} A \mid \mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$. Let X be a complex of A-modules. The functor $\mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ sending X to $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a localization functor and has the same acyclic objects as $L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}$, since $H^*(L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}X) \cong H^*(X)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong H^*(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$. For the first isomorphism, see Theorem 4.7. Therefore $L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}X \cong X_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so one has isomorphisms

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}X = \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}X \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X_{\mathfrak{p}}\,.$$

This yields the stated expression for $\operatorname{supp}_R X$, as $H^*(\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}X_{\mathfrak{p}}) = H^*_{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$. □

REMARK 9.3. – One can give other proofs for the first part of the preceding theorem. For instance, it is easy to check the functor $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ coincides with $\Gamma'_{\mathcal{V}}$ in [43, (3.5)], so Proposition 3.5.4 in *loc. cit.* yields that $\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}}$ is right adjoint to the inclusion $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq \mathsf{T}$. It must thus coincide with $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$. One can also approach this result via the machinery in Section 8, for the derived category is tensor triangulated.

Here is a different perspective: As before, one argues that $L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}X \cong X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each complex X. We claim that for any A-module M, if the support of M, as computed from the minimal injective resolution, equals $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$, then $\operatorname{supp}_A M = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$.

Indeed, $M \in \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}$, by definition, so $\operatorname{supp}_R M \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$. Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \supset \mathfrak{p}$. The hypothesis on M implies $M \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so one obtains the first isomorphism below.

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}M = \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{q})}L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})}L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}M \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{q})}L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}M = 0\,.$$

The remaining isomorphisms hold by Lemma 3.4, since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})$ contains $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{q})$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{q} \notin \operatorname{supp}_A M$. This settles the claim.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a specialization closed subset of $\operatorname{Spec} A$. Observe that both $\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ are exact functors on T, and that $\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}}X \in \mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$, as $\operatorname{supp}_A H^*(\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}}X) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Since $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ is right adjoint to the inclusion $\mathsf{T}_{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq \mathsf{T}$, one has thus a morphism $\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}} \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$. This is an isomorphism on injective modules $E(A/\mathfrak{p})$, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, by the preceding claim and the properties of $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ listed above. It follows that $\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}} \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ is an isomorphism on all of T, because $\mathbf{R}F_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ commute with coproducts and T equals the localizing subcategory generated by the $E(A/\mathfrak{p})$, see [47, §2].

The example below is intended to illustrate the difference between $\operatorname{supp}_A X$ and $\operatorname{supp}_A H^*(X)$. In particular, we see that the inclusions in Corollary 5.3 can be strict. One can construct such examples over any commutative noetherian ring of Krull dimension at least two; see [41].

EXAMPLE 9.4. – Let k be a field, let $A = k[\![x, y]\!]$, the power series ring in indeterminates x, y, and set $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y)$, the maximal ideal of A. The minimal injective resolution of A has the form:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p}=1} E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow E(A/\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where Q denotes the fraction field of A. Let X denote the truncated complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p}=1} E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

viewed as an object in T, the derived category of A. One then has

$$\operatorname{supp}_A X = (\operatorname{Spec} A) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}\,, \quad \min_A H^*(X) = \{(0)\}\,, \quad \operatorname{supp}_A H^*(X) = \operatorname{Spec} A\,.$$

Compare this calculation with the conclusion of Corollary 5.3.

In view of Theorems 9.1, results on support established in previous sections specialize to the case of complexes over commutative noetherian rings. Two of these are noteworthy and are commented upon.

REMARK 9.5. – Corollary 7.4 yields that the support of an indecomposable complex of A-modules is connected. Restricting the decomposition in Theorem 7.3 to compact objects gives a Krull-Remak-Schmidt type theorem for thick subcategories of the category of perfect complexes. This recovers results of Chebolu [25, (4.13), (4.14)].

REMARK 9.6. – Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in A and set $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})$. Consider Theorem 6.7 in the context of this section. The functor $v_\rho \Gamma_\mathcal{V}$ is a right adjoint to $v_\lambda \Gamma_\mathcal{V}$. Given Theorem 9.1, it follows from Greenlees-May duality—see [31] and also [43, §4]—that $v_\rho \Gamma_\mathcal{V}$ is the left derived of the $\mathfrak{a}$-adic completion functor.

We have focussed on the derived category of A-modules. However, similar considerations apply also to the homotopy category of injective modules, and to the homotopy category of projective modules. This leads to a notion of support for acyclic and totally acyclic complexes in either category. This has connections to results in [37]. We intend to pursue this line of investigation elsewhere.

10. Modules over finite groups

Let G be a finite group and k a field of characteristic p dividing $|G|$. There are several choices of a tensor triangulated category T, and we comment on each of them. In each case, we take for the ring R the cohomology ring $H^*(G,k)$; by the Evens–Venkov theorem [13, (3.10)] this is a finitely generated graded-commutative k-algebra, and hence noetherian. We use the tensor product $\otimes_k$ for objects in T with the usual diagonal G-action: $g(x \otimes y) = gx \otimes gy$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \otimes y \in X \otimes_k Y$.

The stable module category

The first choice for T is the stable module category $\mathsf{StMod}\, kG$. In this case, the trivial module k is compact, and plays the role of the unit $\mathbf{1}$ in Section 8. Note that k does not necessarily generate T. The function objects are $\operatorname{Hom}_k(M,N)$ with the usual G-action: $(g(\alpha))(m) = g(\alpha(g^{-1}m))$. The compact objects are the modules isomorphic in $\mathsf{StMod}\, kG$ to finitely generated modules, and the full subcategory of compact objects in $\mathsf{StMod}\, kG$ is denoted $\mathsf{stmod}\, kG$. Compact objects are strongly dualizable. The graded endomorphism ring of k is isomorphic to the Tate cohomology ring $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$. So this maps to the center of $\mathsf{StMod}\, kG$, but it is usually not noetherian. Furthermore, the full center of $\mathsf{StMod}\, kG$ appears not to be well understood in general.

LEMMA 10.1. – *Let G be a finite group and k a field of characteristic p. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$ *is noetherian.*
(2) $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$ *is periodic.*

(3) *G has p-rank one.*

Proof. – If G has p-rank one then $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$ is periodic and noetherian, by [24, (XII.11)]. If G has p-rank greater than one then the negative Tate cohomology is contained in the nil radical by Benson and Krause [18, (2.4)] [(1)]. It follows that the nil radical is not finitely generated, so $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$ is not noetherian. □

When $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$ is noetherian, modulo its radical it is a graded field, so the theory of supports coming from this ring is not interesting. This is why we chose for R the noetherian subring $H^*(G,k)$ of $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$. It is shown in [18] that contraction of ideals gives a one-one correspondence between prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$ and prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}^c$ in $H^*(G,k)$ in the nonperiodic case, and that the injective hulls $E(\hat{H}^*(G,k)/\mathfrak{p})$ and $E(H^*(G,k)/\mathfrak{p}^c)$ are equal when $\mathfrak{p}$ is not maximal.

For $\mathsf{T} = \mathsf{StMod}\, kG$ and $R = H^*(G,k)$, the theory developed in Section 8 of this paper coincides with the theory developed by Benson, Carlson, and Rickard in [16]. We should like to note that the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = H^+(G,k)$ of R is not in the support of any object; this follows, for example, from (10.3.1). However, $\mathfrak{m}$ is in the support of the cohomology of some modules, such as the trivial module k. Moreover, the supports of $H^*(G,M)$ and $\hat{H}^*(G,M)$ agree except possibly for $\mathfrak{m}$, because $\hat{H}^-(G,M)$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-torsion.

The correspondence of notation between [16] and this article is as follows. We denote by V_G the maximal ideal spectrum of $H^*(G,k)$ which is a homogeneous affine variety. Let V be an irreducible subvariety of V_G corresponding to a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $H^*(G,k)$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a specialization closed set of homogeneous prime ideals in $H^*(G,k)$ and identify $\mathcal{W}$ with the set of closed homogeneous subvarieties $W \subseteq V_G$ whose irreducible components correspond to ideals in $\mathcal{W}$.

BCR [16]	This paper
k	$\mathbf{1}$
$H^*(G,k)$	R
$E(\mathcal{W})$	$\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}\mathbf{1}$
$F(\mathcal{W})$	$L_{\mathcal{W}}\mathbf{1}$
$E(V)$	$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}\mathbf{1}$
$F'(V)$	$L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}\mathbf{1}$
$\kappa_V = E(V)\otimes_k F'(V)$	$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{1}$
$\kappa_V \otimes_k M$	$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}M$
$\mathcal{V}_G(M)$	$\operatorname{supp}_R M$

(1) Let us set the record straight at this stage about the proof of this proposition. Lemma 2.3 of that paper as stated and its proof are obviously incorrect. The correct statement, which is the one used in the proof of 2.4, is that if G has p-rank greater than one, and H is a subgroup of p-rank one, then the restriction to H of any element of *negative* degree in $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$ is nilpotent. To see this, if x is such an element whose restriction is not nilpotent, and $y \in \hat{H}^*(H,k)$ satisfies $\operatorname{res}_{G,H}(x)y = 1$, then y has positive degree, so using the Evens norm map, some power of y is in the image of restriction from G. But then $\hat{H}^*(G,k)$ has an invertible element of nonzero degree, which implies that G has p-rank one.

The kappa module κ_V of [16] is denoted $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in some other papers. The crucial isomorphisms $E(\mathcal{W}) \cong \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}\mathbf{1}$ and $F(\mathcal{W}) \cong L_{\mathcal{W}}\mathbf{1}$ follow from Theorem 6.4. We keep our correspondence of notation, and restate Theorem 6.2 to obtain the following.

THEOREM 10.2. – *Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be specialization closed sets of homogeneous prime ideals in $H^*(G,k)$ such that $\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{W} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Then*

$$E(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_k F(\mathcal{W}) \cong \kappa_V\,. \qquad \square$$

One feature of the support variety theory for $\mathsf{StMod}\, kG$ that does not hold more generally is the tensor product theorem: the support of the tensor product of two modules is the intersection of their supports. This is Theorem 10.8 of [16]. If, instead of using the whole cohomology ring, we just use a subring, then the tensor product theorem fails, even if the cohomology ring is a finitely generated module over the chosen subring. To see this, just choose a subring such that there exist two distinct prime ideals in $H^*(G,k)$ lying over the same prime in the subring, and tensor the kappa modules for these primes. The tensor product is projective, but the intersection is not empty.

Conjecture 10.7.1 of [14] was an attempt to find a way to compute the support variety $\mathcal{V}_G(M)$ from the cohomology of M. This conjecture is false; see Example 10.5 below. However, the following theorem does compute the support variety from the cohomology, and may be thought of as a replacement for this conjecture.

THEOREM 10.3. – *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a nonmaximal homogeneous prime ideal in $H^*(G,k)$ and let $\zeta_i \in H^{n_i}(G,k)$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ be nonzero elements such that $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_s)}$. Let L_{ζ_i} be the kernel of a cocycle $\hat{\zeta}_i\colon \Omega^{n_i}k \to k$ representing ζ_i.*

Then for any kG-module M, the following are equivalent.

(1) $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}_G(M)$.
(2) *There exists a simple kG-module S such that*

$$\operatorname{Ext}^*_{kG}(S \otimes_k L_{\zeta_1} \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k L_{\zeta_s}, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\,.$$

Proof. – This follows from Theorem 5.13. The Koszul object $S/\!/(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_s)$ in this context is, up to a shift, the tensor product $S \otimes_k L_{\zeta_1} \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k L_{\zeta_s}$. $\square$

Note that, in the preceding result, we could have also used Tate cohomology modules $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{kG}(-,-)$.

The derived category

Another choice for T is $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\, kG)$, the derived category. This is a rather poor choice, for the following reason. If G is a p-group, then the only localizing subcategories of $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\, kG)$ are zero and the whole category. Even if G is not a p-group, the structure of the set of localizing subcategories in no way reflects the set of prime ideals in $H^*(G,k)$. The other problem in this case is that the trivial module k, regarded as an object in $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\, kG)$, is not compact. So although there is a tensor product and there are function objects, the unit for the tensor product is not compact, and we cannot apply the theory of Section 8.

The homotopy category of complexes of injective modules

The third choice for T is the homotopy category of complexes of injective kG-modules, denoted $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$. This tensor triangulated category is investigated in Benson and Krause [19], and in particular there is a recollement

$$\mathsf{StMod}\, kG \simeq \mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG) \underset{-\otimes_k tk}{\overset{\mathcal{H}om_k(tk,-)}{\longleftarrow\!\!\!\longrightarrow\!\!\!\longleftarrow}} \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG) \underset{-\otimes_k pk}{\overset{\mathcal{H}om_k(pk,-)}{\longleftarrow\!\!\!\longrightarrow\!\!\!\longleftarrow}} \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\, kG). \tag{10.3.1}$$

Here, ik, pk and tk denote an injective, projective, Tate resolution of k as a kG-module respectively, and $\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$ consisting of acyclic complexes. The equivalence between this and the stable module category is given by the theory of Tate resolutions of modules. The recollement follows from Theorem 6.7 because $\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$ consists of all objects X in $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}_R X$ does not contain the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$.

The compact objects in $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$ are the semi-injective resolutions, see [7], of finite complexes of finitely generated kG-modules, and the full subcategory of compact objects forms a triangulated category equivalent to $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, kG)$. The object ik is compact, and is the unit for the tensor product, so this plays the role of $\mathbf{1}$. The function objects of Section 8 are provided by Hom complexes

$$\mathcal{H}om(X,Y)^n = \prod_p \operatorname{Hom}_k(X^p, Y^{n+p})$$

with the usual differential $(d(f))(x) = d(f(x)) - (-1)^{|f|} f(d(x))$ and G-action $(g(f))(x) = g(f(g^{-1}x))$. If $C \to X$ and $D \to Y$ are semi-injective resolutions of objects C and D in $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, kG)$ then the map $\mathcal{H}om(C,D) \to \mathcal{H}om(C,Y)$ is a semi-injective resolution, and the map $\mathcal{H}om(X,Y) \to \mathcal{H}om(C,Y)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$. It follows that for compact objects X and Y, the function complex $\mathcal{H}om(X,Y)$ is again compact. In particular, $X^\vee = \mathcal{H}om(X, ik)$ is a semi-injective resolution of $\mathcal{H}om(C,k)$. It follows that compact objects are strongly dualizable. The graded endomorphism ring of ik is $H^*(G,k)$, which is our choice for R. The theory of varieties for modules in this context agrees with the theory set up in section 9 of [19]. It restricts to the full subcategory $\mathsf{StMod}\, kG$ of acyclic complexes to give the theory of [16]. Exactly one more prime ideal comes into play, namely the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, and this reflects the right hand side of the recollement.

EXAMPLE 10.4. – We imitate the example in Section 9 to give an example in $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$ where the triangulated support differs from the cohomological support. This gives a rather natural looking example. We then modify it in Example 10.5 to provide a counterexample to Conjecture 10.7.1 of Benson [14]. Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ and let k be a field of characteristic two. We have $R = H^*(G,k) = k[x,y]$, where the generators x, and y have degree one. Let Q be the (homogeneous) field of fractions of R. Then the minimal injective resolution of R has the form

$$\cdots \to 0 \to Q \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p}=1} E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \to E(R/\mathfrak{m}) \to 0 \to \cdots \tag{10.4.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{m} = H^+(G,k)$ is the unique maximal (homogeneous) ideal in R. Recall from [19, §10] that there is a functor T from injective R-modules to $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$ such that $H^*(G, T(I)) \cong I$.

Apply this functor to the first nontrivial arrow in the resolution (10.4.1) and complete to a triangle in $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$ to obtain

$$X \to T(Q) \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p}=1} T(E(R/\mathfrak{p})) \to . \tag{10.4.2}$$

Then take cohomology to deduce that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to E(R/\mathfrak{m})[-1] \to H^*(G,X) \to R \to 0.$$

This sequence splits—for instance, the right hand side is a free module—to give

$$H^*(G,X) \cong R \oplus E(R/\mathfrak{m})[-1]. \tag{10.4.3}$$

In fact, it turns out that X is nothing other than tk, a Tate resolution for k as a kG-module, and $H^*(G,X) \cong \hat{H}^*(G,k)$. Using (10.4.2) and (10.4.3), we see that $\mathfrak{m}$ is in $\operatorname{supp}_R H^*(G,X)$ but not in $\operatorname{supp}_R X$.

EXAMPLE 10.5. – We modify Example 10.4 to give a counterexample, in $\mathsf{StMod}\, kG$, to Conjecture 10.7.1 of Benson [14] as follows. Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3$ and let k be a field of characteristic two. Then $R = H^*(G,k) = k[x,y,z]$, where the generators x, y and z have degree one. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq (x,y)\}$. Working in $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$, we write $F = L_{\mathcal{Z}}(k)$, so that $H^*(G,F) = R_{(x,y)}$ is a homogeneous local ring with maximal ideal (x,y). The minimal injective resolution of $R_{(x,y)}$ over R has the form

$$\cdots \to 0 \to Q \to \bigoplus_{\substack{\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p}=1\\ \mathfrak{p}\subseteq(x,y)}} E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \to E(R/(x,y)) \to 0 \to \cdots$$

where Q is the (homogeneous) field of fractions of R. Apply T to the first nonzero arrow and complete to a triangle in $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, kG)$ to obtain

$$X \to T(Q) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p}=1\\ \mathfrak{p}\subseteq(x,y)}} T(E(R/\mathfrak{p})) \to . \tag{10.5.1}$$

Applying cohomology, we deduce that there is an exact sequence of $R_{(x,y)}$-modules

$$0 \to E(R/(x,y))[-1] \to H^*(G,X) \to R_{(x,y)} \to 0.$$

This splits to give an isomorphism

$$H^*(G,X) \cong R_{(x,y)} \oplus E(R/(x,y))[-1]. \tag{10.5.2}$$

Using (10.5.1), we see that $\operatorname{supp}_R X = \{(0)\} \cup \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p} = 1, \mathfrak{p} \subseteq (x,y)\}$ while using (10.5.2), we see that $\operatorname{supp}_R H^*(G,X) = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \subseteq (x,y)\}$. Now the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $H^*(G,k)$ is not in $\operatorname{supp}_R X$, so we may regard X as an object in $\mathsf{StMod}(kG)$ using the recollement 10.3.1. Namely, X is an acyclic complex, and the kernel of the middle differential gives an object M in $\mathsf{StMod}(kG)$ whose triangulated support and cohomological support differ.

THEOREM 10.6. – *For $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3$ and k a field of characteristic two, there exists a module M in $\mathsf{StMod}(kG)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_G(M) = \{(0)\} \cup \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p} = 1, \mathfrak{p} \subseteq (x,y)\}$, so that $(x,y) \notin \mathcal{V}_G(M)$, but $(x,y) \in \operatorname{supp}_{H^*(G,k)} H^*(G,M)$.* □

Subsequently, families of such examples have been constructed in [15].

Finite dimensional algebras.

Generalizing the theory for finite groups, there is a theory of support varieties for modules over a finite dimensional algebra A, developed by Snashall and Solberg [52]; see also Solberg [53]. The theory is developed there for finitely generated A-modules and for the derived category $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ of bounded complexes of finitely generated modules. Their idea is to use the natural homomorphism from the Hochschild cohomology ring $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$ to $\mathrm{Ext}^*_A(M,M)$. In the case where M is the quotient of A by its radical, they show that the kernel of this map consists of nilpotent elements. A problematic feature of the theory is that it is not known in general whether the quotient of $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$ by its nil radical is finitely generated as an algebra. This issue is discussed at length in [52, 53].

The way to use our theory to construct support varieties for modules over finite dimensional algebras is to use the triangulated category $\mathsf{T} = \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, A)$, whose compact objects form a copy of $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$. There is a natural homomorphism of rings $\mathrm{HH}^*(A) \to Z(\mathsf{T})$. If R is a finitely generated subalgebra of $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$ then we may apply our theory to the composite $R \to \mathrm{HH}^*(A) \to Z(\mathsf{T})$. This way, we extend the Snashall–Solberg theory to objects in $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, A)$.

If A is self-injective the theory is further refined in [26] using the stable category of finitely generated modules $\mathsf{stmod}\, A$. The comments in the group case about the relationship between the large stable module category $\mathsf{StMod}\, A$ and $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, A)$ apply equally well here: one has homomorphisms $R \to \mathrm{HH}^*(A) \to Z(\mathsf{StMod}\, A)$ and a theory of varieties for infinitely generated modules in this situation. So for example our Theorem 7.1 generalizes Theorem 7.3 of [26] to infinitely generated modules.

For a finite group scheme G over an arbitrary field k, Friedlander and Pevtsova developed in [29] the notion of support using so-called π-points. They define for each kG-module M a support space $\Pi(G)_M$, which can be identified with a set of nonmaximal homogeneous prime ideals of the cohomology ring $H^*(G,k)$. This approach generalizes the work from [16] for finite groups. In particular, we have $\Pi(G)_M = \operatorname{supp}_R M$ as before when we take $\mathsf{T} = \mathsf{StMod}\, kG$ and $R = H^*(G,k)$.

11. Complete intersections

In this section we discuss support for complexes of modules over commutative complete intersection rings. The main goal is to show only how the theory presented here relates to the one of Avramov and Buchweitz [3, 6] for finitely generated modules. Further elaborations are deferred to another occasion.

Let A be a commutative noetherian ring of the form Q/I, where Q is a commutative noetherian ring and I is an ideal generated by a regular sequence; see [46, Section 16] for the notion of a regular sequence. The principal examples are the local complete intersection rings; see Remark 11.5. In what follows $\mathsf{K}(A)$ denotes the homotopy category of complexes of A-modules.

Let R be the ring of cohomology operators defined by the surjection $Q \to A$, see [5, 10], and the paragraph below. Thus, $R = A[\chi_1, \dots, \chi_c]$, the polynomial ring over A in variables χ_i of degree 2. For each complex X of A-modules, there is a natural homomorphism of rings $R \to \mathrm{Ext}^*_A(X,X)$, with image in the center of the target. These define a homomorphism

from R to the center of the derived category of A. It lifts to an action on $\mathsf{K}(A)$ and gives a homomorphism of rings $R \to Z(\mathsf{K}(A))$. This map is the starting point of everything that follows in this section, so we sketch a construction. It is based on a method in [5] for defining cohomology operators, and uses basic notions and constructions from Differential Graded homological algebra, for which we refer to [4, §2].

Cohomology operators

Let $Q \to A$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings. Let B be a semi-free resolution of the Q-algebra A. Thus, B is a DG algebra over Q whose underlying algebra is the graded-symmetric algebra over a graded free Q-module, concentrated in cohomological degrees ≤ 0, and there is a quasi-isomorphism $\varepsilon\colon B \to A$ of DG Q-algebras. Set $B^{\mathsf{e}} = B \otimes_Q B$; this is also a DG Q-algebra, and, since B is graded-commutative, the product map $\mu\colon B^{\mathsf{e}} \to B$, where $b' \otimes b'' \mapsto b'b''$, is a morphism of DG algebras. Let U be a semi-free resolution of B viewed as a DG module over B^{e} via μ. Set $V = A \otimes_B U$; this has the structure of a DG module over A, that is to say, a complex of A-modules. Let X be a complex of A-modules; it acquires a structure of a DG B-module via ε. Associativity of tensor products yields isomorphisms of complexes of A-modules

$$X \otimes_B U \cong X \otimes_A (A \otimes_B U) = X \otimes_A V\,.$$

The functors $X \otimes_B -$ and $- \otimes_A V$, on the categories of DG modules over B^{e} and over A, respectively, are additive and hence preserve homotopies. One has homomorphisms of algebras

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}(B^{\mathsf{e}})}(U,U) \xrightarrow{X\otimes_B -} \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}(A)}(X \otimes_A V, X \otimes_A V) \xleftarrow{-\otimes_A V} \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}(A)}(X,X)\,.$$

The complex of A-modules V is semi-free and the map $V = A \otimes_B U \to A \otimes_B B = A$ is a quasi-isomorphism, and hence it is a homotopy equivalence. Therefore, the map $- \otimes_A V$ in the diagram above is an isomorphism. Consequently, one obtains the homomorphism of algebras

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}(B^{\mathsf{e}})}(U,U) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}(A)}(X,X)\,.$$

It is clear that this map is natural in X, so it induces a homomorphism of rings

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}(B^{\mathsf{e}})}(U,U) \longrightarrow Z(\mathsf{K}(A))\,.$$

We note that $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}(B^{\mathsf{e}})}(U,U)$ is the Shukla cohomology [51] of A over Q; it is also called the Hochschild-Quillen cohomology.

When $A = Q/(\boldsymbol{q})$, where $\boldsymbol{q} = q_1, \dots, q_c$ is a Q-regular sequence, a standard calculation, see [5, (2.9)], yields an isomorphism of A-algebras

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}(B^{\mathsf{e}})}(U,U) \cong A[\chi_1, \dots, \chi_c]\,,$$

where the χ_i are indeterminates in cohomological degree 2. They are the cohomology operators defined by the presentation $Q \to A$. This completes our sketch.

Support

Let $A = Q/(\boldsymbol{q})$, where $\boldsymbol{q} = q_1, \dots, q_c$ is a Q-regular sequence and $R = A[\chi]$ the ring of cohomology operators, and $R \to Z(\mathsf{K}(A))$ the homomorphism of rings, introduced above. Set $\mathsf{K} = \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, A)$, the homotopy category of complexes of injective A-modules; it is a compactly generated triangulated category, see [40, Prop. 2.3]. Restricting $R \to Z(\mathsf{K}(A))$ gives a homomorphism of rings

$$A[\chi] = R \longrightarrow Z(\mathsf{K})\,.$$

One has thus all the ingredients required to define local cohomology and support for objects in K.

REMARK 11.1. – Via the standard embedding of the derived category $\mathsf{D}(A)$ of A into K, this then applies to all complexes of A-modules. To be precise: Restriction of the quotient functor $\mathsf{q}\colon \mathsf{K}(A) \to \mathsf{D}(A)$ admits a fully faithful right adjoint, say i; it maps X to a semi-injective resolution of X. These functors fit into the following diagram of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{K}^c & \underset{\mathsf{q}}{\overset{\mathsf{i}}{\underset{\sim}{\rightleftarrows}}} & \mathsf{D}^f(A) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{inc}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{inc}} \\ \mathsf{K} & \underset{\mathsf{q}}{\overset{\mathsf{i}}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathsf{D}(A) \end{array}$$

The equivalence in the top row holds by [40, Prop. 2.3].

Henceforth, when we talk about the support of a complex X in $\mathsf{D}(A)$, we mean $\operatorname{supp}_R \mathsf{i}X$. In the diagram, $\mathsf{D}^f(A)$ denotes the subcategory $\mathsf{D}(A)$ consisting of complexes with finitely generated cohomology. In particular, one arrives at a notion of support for complexes in $\mathsf{D}^f(A)$. In Remark 11.5, we compare this construction with the one of Avramov and Buchweitz. First, we record an observation.

For a homomorphism $A \to R$ of commutative rings, the *fibre* at a point $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is the ring $R \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})$. The lemma below says that the support of any finitely generated R-module is detected along its fibers.

LEMMA 11.2. – *Let $A \to R$ be a homomorphism of commutative noetherian rings. For each finitely generated R-module L, one has an equality*

$$\operatorname{supp}_R L = \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A} \operatorname{supp}_{(R \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))}(L \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))\,.$$

Proof. – The crucial remark is that for each finitely generated module M over a commutative noetherian ring B, one has $\operatorname{supp}_B M = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} B \mid M_{\mathfrak{q}} \neq 0\}$; see Lemma 2.2. Now fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in A. Since L is finitely generated as an R-module, $L \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})$ is finitely generated as an $R \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})$-module. This remark, and the isomorphism $L \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}) \cong L \otimes_R (R \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))$, yield

$$\operatorname{supp}_{(R \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))}(L \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})) = \operatorname{supp}_R L \cap \operatorname{supp}_R(R \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))\,.$$

The upshot is that it suffices to prove the desired equality for $L = R$, and in this case it is evident. □

We now present one of the main results in this section. Recall that a complex of modules over a ring is said to be *perfect* if it is quasi-isomorphic (in the derived category) to a finite complex of finitely generated projective modules.

THEOREM 11.3. – *Let $A = Q/(\boldsymbol{q})$, where $\boldsymbol{q} = q_1, \dots, q_c$ is a Q-regular sequence, and set $\mathsf{K} = \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, A)$. Let R be the induced ring of cohomology operators on K.*

For each X in K^c which is perfect over Q, one has $\operatorname{supp}_R X = \operatorname{supp}_R \operatorname{End}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X)$. Furthermore, there is a fibre-wise decomposition

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X = \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec} A} \operatorname{supp}_{(R\otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))} \operatorname{Ext}^*_{A_\mathfrak{p}}(X_\mathfrak{p}, k(\mathfrak{p}))\,.$$

REMARK 11.4. – When a complex X in K^c has finite injective dimension over Q, then again one has $\operatorname{supp}_R X = \operatorname{supp}_R \operatorname{End}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X)$ and

$$\operatorname{supp}_R X = \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec} A} \operatorname{supp}_{(R\otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))} \operatorname{Ext}^*_{A_\mathfrak{p}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), X_\mathfrak{p})\,.$$

The proof is similar to the one for Theorem 11.3.

Proof of Theorem 11.3. – When Y is a compact object in K, it is semi-injective, so one has an identification $\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(-,Y) = \operatorname{Ext}^*_A(-,Y)$. A crucial result in the proof is that, for such a Y, the R-module $\operatorname{Ext}^*_A(X,Y)$ is finitely generated. This holds because X is perfect over Q and the A-module $H^*(Y)$ is finitely generated; see Gulliksen [33, (2.3)], and also [10, (5.1)], [8, (4.2)]. This fact is used implicitly, and often, in the argument below. For each prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\operatorname{Spec} A$, we write $\mathsf{K}_\mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\, A_\mathfrak{p})$. Theorem 5.5(2) yields the first equality below:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{supp}_R X &= \operatorname{supp}_R \operatorname{End}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X)\\ &= \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec} A} \operatorname{supp}_{(R\otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))}(\operatorname{End}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X)\otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))\\ &= \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec} A} \operatorname{supp}_{(R\otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}))}(\operatorname{End}^*_{\mathsf{K}_\mathfrak{p}}(X_\mathfrak{p})\otimes_{A_\mathfrak{p}} k(\mathfrak{p}))\,.\end{aligned}$$

The second one holds by Lemma 11.2, while the third holds because the A-module $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated. The homomorphism of rings $R \to Z(K)$ yields a homomorphism of rings $R_\mathfrak{p} \to Z(K_\mathfrak{p})$. Note that $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is a ring of cohomology operators defined by the presentation $A_\mathfrak{p} = Q_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}}/(\boldsymbol{q})_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}}$, where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the preimage of $\mathfrak{p}$ in Q. Furthermore, $X_\mathfrak{p}$ is perfect over $Q_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Therefore we may assume A and Q are local rings, with residue field k. The desired result is then that for each complex X of A-modules which is perfect over Q, one has an equality

$$\operatorname{supp}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^*_A(X,X)\otimes_A k) = \operatorname{supp}_R \operatorname{Ext}^*_A(X,k)\,.$$

The set on the left contains the one on the right, since the ring R acts on $\operatorname{Ext}^*_A(X,k)$ through $\operatorname{Ext}^*_A(X,X)$. We prove that the equality on the left holds.

In the rest of the proof, it is convenient to set $X(-) = \operatorname{Ext}^*_A(X,-)$, viewed as a functor from $\mathsf{D}^f(A)$, the derived category of cohomologically finite complexes of A-modules, to the category of finitely generated graded R-modules. We repeatedly use the characterization of support in Lemma 2.2(1).

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of A, and consider the full subcategory

$$\mathsf{C} = \{M \in \mathsf{D}^f(A) \mid \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{m}R) \cap \operatorname{supp}_R X(M) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R X(k)\}.$$

As $\operatorname{supp}_R(X(M)\otimes_A k) = \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{m}R)\cap \operatorname{supp}_R X(M)$, the desired result follows from:

CLAIM. – We have $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{D}^f(A)$.

Indeed, since $\operatorname{supp}_R X(k)$ is closed C is a thick subcategory of $\mathsf{D}^f(A)$; this is easy to check. It thus suffices to prove that C contains all finitely generated A-modules. This is now verified by a standard induction argument on Krull dimension. To begin with, observe that k is in C, and hence so is any module of dimension zero, for such a module admits a finite filtration with subquotients isomorphic to k. Let M be a finitely generated A-module with $\dim M \geq 1$. Let L be the $\mathfrak{m}$-torsion submodule of M, and consider the exact sequence of finitely generated A-modules $0 \to L \to M \to M/L \to 0$. Note that L is in C, since $\dim L = 0$, so to prove that M is in C it suffices to prove that M/L is in C. Thus, replacing M by M/L one may assume that there is an element $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ which is a nonzero divisor on M. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{a} M \longrightarrow M/aM \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

This gives rise to an exact sequence of R-modules $X(M) \xrightarrow{a} X(M) \to X(M/aM)$, so one deduces that

$$\mathcal{V}(aR)\cap \operatorname{supp}_R X(M) = \operatorname{supp}_R(X(M)/aX(M)) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_R X(M/aM)\,.$$

Since $\dim(M/aM) = \dim M - 1$ the induction hypothesis yields that M/aM is in C. Given this, the inclusion above implies M is in C.

This completes the proof of the claim, and hence of the theorem. □

REMARK 11.5. – Let A be a complete intersection local ring, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field k. Assume A is $\mathfrak{m}$-adically complete. Cohen's Structure Theorem provides a presentation $A \cong Q/I$ with $(Q,\mathfrak{q},k)$ a regular local ring and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^2$. Since A is a complete intersection, the ideal I is generated by a regular sequence of length $c = \dim Q - \dim A$; see [46, §21]. Let $A[\chi] = A[\chi_1,\dots,\chi_c]$ be the corresponding ring of operators. Let M be a finitely generated A-module. Observe that any cohomologically finite complex of A-modules is perfect over Q, since Q has finite global dimension. Theorem 11.3 and Lemma 2.2(1) show that the fibre of $\operatorname{supp}_R \mathrm{i}M$, see Remark 11.1, at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is precisely the subset

$$\operatorname{supp}_{k[\chi]} \operatorname{Ext}^*_A(M,k) = \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} k[\chi]\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{ann}_{k[\chi]} \operatorname{Ext}^*_A(M,k)$. Let $\widetilde{k}$ be the algebraic closure of k. The Nullstellensatz implies that the sets $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})$ and

$$\{(b_1,\dots,b_c) \in \widetilde{k}^c \mid f(b_1,\dots,b_c) = 0 \text{ for } f \in \mathfrak{a}\} \cup \{0\}$$

determine each other. The latter is precisely the support variety of M in the sense of Avramov [3]; see also Avramov and Buchweitz [6].

REMARK 11.6. – Let $A = Q/I$ where Q is a commutative noetherian ring of finite global dimension and I is generated by a regular sequence. Let $A[\chi]$ be the associated cohomology operators. Theorem 11.3 applies to each compact object in K. It follows from this result and Remark 11.5 that for each cohomologically finite complex X of A-modules one has a natural projection $\operatorname{supp}_R X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$, and the fibre over each prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\operatorname{Spec} A$ encodes the support of the complex of $A_\mathfrak{p}$-modules $X_\mathfrak{p}$, as defined in [6]. Observe that this set is empty outside

$\operatorname{supp}_A X$, in the sense of Section 9. Our notion of support is thus a refinement of the one in [3] by means of the classical support.

The discrepancy between the two is clarified by considering the perfect complexes over a local ring A, with residue field k. The support in the sense of [6] of any nonzero perfect complex P is then $\{0\}$, which corresponds to the ideal $(\chi) \subset k[\chi]$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{supp}_R P$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Spec} A = \mathcal{V}(\chi) \subset \operatorname{Spec} A[\chi]$ and equals the classical support $\operatorname{supp}_A P$. This information is important if one wants to classify the thick subcategories of $\mathsf{D}^f(A)$. Bear in mind that thick subcategories of perfect complexes are classified by specialization closed subsets of $\operatorname{Spec} A$, see [47].

There are other noteworthy aspects to the construction in this section, the most important one being that it gives a local cohomology theory, with supports in the ring of cohomology operators, for complexes over complete intersections. Here is one evidence of its utility: Specializing Corollary 7.4 yields a connectedness theorem for support varieties of complexes over complete intersection rings. Using this, one can recover a result of Bergh [20, (3.2)]; the details will be provided elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. – During the course of this work, the second author was collaborating with Lucho Avramov on a related project [9]. We would like to thank him, and also Jesse Burke, Amnon Neeman, and a referee, for criticisms and suggestions regarding this write-up. The second and third authors are grateful to the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut at Oberwolfach for vital support through a 'Research in Pairs' stay. The first author is grateful to the Humboldt Foundation for enabling extended visits to the third author.

REFERENCES

[1] L. ALONSO TARRÍO, A. JEREMÍAS LÓPEZ, M. J. SOUTO SALORIO, Localization in categories of complexes and unbounded resolutions, *Canad. J. Math.* **52** (2000), 225–247.

[2] L. ALONSO TARRÍO, A. JEREMÍAS LÓPEZ, M. J. SOUTO SALORIO, Bousfield localization on formal schemes, *J. Algebra* **278** (2004), 585–610.

[3] L. L. AVRAMOV, Modules of finite virtual projective dimension, *Invent. Math.* **96** (1989), 71–101.

[4] L. L. AVRAMOV, Infinite free resolutions, in *Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996)*, Progr. Math. **166**, Birkhäuser, 1998, 1–118.

[5] L. L. AVRAMOV, R.-O. BUCHWEITZ, Homological algebra modulo a regular sequence with special attention to codimension two, *J. Algebra* **230** (2000), 24–67.

[6] L. L. AVRAMOV, R.-O. BUCHWEITZ, Support varieties and cohomology over complete intersections, *Invent. Math.* **142** (2000), 285–318.

[7] L. L. AVRAMOV, H.-B. FOXBY, S. HALPERIN, Differential graded homological algebra, in preparation.

[8] L. L. AVRAMOV, V. N. GASHAROV, I. V. PEEVA, Complete intersection dimension, *Publ. Math. I.H.É.S.* **86** (1997), 67–114.

[9] L. L. Avramov, S. B. Iyengar, Constructing modules with prescribed cohomological support, *Illinois J. Math.* **51** (2007), 1–20.

[10] L. L. Avramov, L.-C. Sun, Cohomology operators defined by a deformation, *J. Algebra* **204** (1998), 684–710.

[11] P. Balmer, Supports and filtrations in algebraic geometry and modular representation theory, *Amer. J. Math.* **129** (2007), 1227–1250.

[12] A. A. Beĭlinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, in *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*, Astérisque **100**, Soc. Math. France, 1982, 5–171.

[13] D. J. Benson, *Representations and cohomology. II*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **31**, Cambridge University Press, 1991.

[14] D. J. Benson, Commutative algebra in the cohomology of groups, in *Trends in commutative algebra*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **51**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004, 1–50.

[15] D. J. Benson, J. F. Carlson, Varieties and cohomology of infinitely generated modules, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **91** (2008), 122–125.

[16] D. J. Benson, J. F. Carlson, J. Rickard, Complexity and varieties for infinitely generated modules. II, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **120** (1996), 597–615.

[17] D. J. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, H. Krause, Stratifying modular representations of finite groups, preprint, 2008.

[18] D. J. Benson, H. Krause, Pure injectives and the spectrum of the cohomology ring of a finite group, *J. reine angew. Math.* **542** (2002), 23–51.

[19] D. J. Benson, H. Krause, Complexes of injective kG-modules, *Algebra Number Theory* **2** (2008), 1–30.

[20] P. A. Bergh, On support varieties for modules over complete intersections, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 3795–3803.

[21] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39**, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[22] J. F. Carlson, The varieties and the cohomology ring of a module, *J. Algebra* **85** (1983), 104–143.

[23] J. F. Carlson, The variety of an indecomposable module is connected, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), 291–299.

[24] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.

[25] S. K. Chebolu, Krull-Schmidt decompositions for thick subcategories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **210** (2007), 11–27.

[26] K. Erdmann, M. Holloway, R. Taillefer, N. Snashall, Ø. Solberg, Support varieties for selfinjective algebras, *K-Theory* **33** (2004), 67–87.

[27] H.-B. Foxby, Bounded complexes of flat modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **15** (1979), 149–172.

[28] H.-B. Foxby, S. B. Iyengar, Depth and amplitude for unbounded complexes, in *Commutative algebra (Grenoble/Lyon, 2001)*, Contemp. Math. **331**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003, 119–137.

[29] E. M. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, Π-supports for modules for finite group schemes, *Duke Math. J.* **139** (2007), 317–368.

[30] P. Gabriel, M. Zisman, *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 35, Springer New York, Inc., New York, 1967.

[31] J. P. C. Greenlees, J. P. May, Derived functors of I-adic completion and local homology, *J. Algebra* **149** (1992), 438–453.

[32] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*, Documents mathématiques, 4, Soc. Math. France, 2005, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie, 1962.

[33] T. H. Gulliksen, A change of ring theorem with applications to Poincaré series and intersection multiplicity, *Math. Scand.* **34** (1974), 167–183.

[34] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. Lecture Notes in Math., No. 20, Springer, 1966.

[35] M. J. Hopkins, Global methods in homotopy theory, in *Homotopy theory (Durham, 1985)*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **117**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987, 73–96.

[36] M. Hovey, J. H. Palmieri, N. P. Strickland, Axiomatic stable homotopy theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (1997), 114.

[37] S. B. Iyengar, H. Krause, Acyclicity versus total acyclicity for complexes over Noetherian rings, *Doc. Math.* **11** (2006), 207–240.

[38] H. Krause, Decomposing thick subcategories of the stable module category, *Math. Ann.* **313** (1999), 95–108.

[39] H. Krause, A Brown representability theorem via coherent functors, *Topology* **41** (2002), 853–861.

[40] H. Krause, The stable derived category of a Noetherian scheme, *Compos. Math.* **141** (2005), 1128–1162.

[41] H. Krause, Thick subcategories of modules over commutative Noetherian rings, *Math. Ann.* **340** (2008), 733–747.

[42] L. G. J. Lewis, J. P. May, M. Steinberger, J. E. McClure, *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1213**, Springer, 1986.

[43] J. Lipman, Lectures on local cohomology and duality, in *Local cohomology and its applications (Guanajuato, 1999)*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **226**, Dekker, 2002, 39–89.

[44] S. MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Math. **5**, Springer, 1971.

[45] H. R. Margolis, *Spectra and the Steenrod algebra*, North-Holland Mathematical Library **29**, North-Holland Publishing Co., 1983.

[46] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **8**, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[47] A. Neeman, The chromatic tower for $D(R)$, *Topology* **31** (1992), 519–532.

[48] A. Neeman, *Triangulated categories*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **148**, Princeton University Press, 2001.

[49] D. Quillen, The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring. I, II, *Ann. of Math.* **94** (1971), 549–572; ibid. **94** (1971), 573–602.

[50] J. Rickard, Idempotent modules in the stable category, *J. London Math. Soc.* **56** (1997), 149–170.

[51] U. Shukla, Cohomologie des algèbres associatives, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **78** (1961), 163–209.

[52] N. Snashall, Ø. Solberg, Support varieties and Hochschild cohomology rings, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **88** (2004), 705–732.

[53] Ø. Solberg, Support varieties for modules and complexes, in *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, Contemp. Math. **406**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2006, 239–270.

[54] R. W. Thomason, The classification of triangulated subcategories, *Compositio Math.* **105** (1997), 1–27.

(Manuscrit reçu le 12 juin 2007;
accepté, après révision, le 6 mars 2008.)

Dave Benson
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Aberdeen
Meston Building
King's College
Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland U.K.
E-mail: bensondj@maths.abdn.ac.uk

Srikanth B. Iyengar
Department of Mathematics
University of Nebraska
Lincoln NE 68506, U.S.A.
E-mail: iyengar@math.unl.edu

Henning Krause
Institut für Mathematik
Universität Paderborn
33095 Paderborn, Germany
E-mail: hkrause@math.upb.de