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# VANISHING HOLONOMY AND MONODROMY OF CERTAIN CENTRES AND FOCI 

Marco Brunella

## Introduction

Let $\omega(x, y)=A(x, y) d x+B(x, y) d y=0$ be the germ of an analytic differential equation on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, with an algebraically isolated singularity at the origin: $A(0,0)=B(0,0)=0, \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\mathbf{R}\{x, y\}}{(A, B)}<+\infty$.

The singularity $\omega=0$ is called monodromic if there are not separatrices at 0 . In this case, given a germ of an analytic embedding $\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, 0\right) \stackrel{\tau}{\hookrightarrow}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}, 0\right)$ transverse to $\omega$ outside 0 , it is possible to define a monodromy map $P_{\omega, \tau}$ : $\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, 0\right)$, following clockwise the solutions of $\omega=0 ; P_{\omega, \tau}$ is a germ of homeomorphism of $\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, 0\right)$ analytic outside 0 . If $P_{\omega, r}=i d$ then $\omega=0$ is called centre. Otherwise $P_{\omega, \tau}$ is a contraction or an expansion (by the results of Écalle, Il'yashenko, Martinet, Moussu, Ramis... on "Dulac conjecture") and $\omega=0$ is called focus.

The simplest monodromic singularities are those for which the linear part $M_{\omega}$ of the dual vector field $v(x, y)=B(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-A(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ is nondegenerate, i.e. invertible. We distinguish two situations:
i) the eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu$ of $M_{\omega}$ are complex conjugate, non real, with real part different from zero. Then $\omega=0$ is a focus and it is analytically equivalent to $\omega_{\text {lin }}=0$, where $\omega_{\text {lin }}$ denotes the linear part of $\omega$ (Poincarè's linearization theorem).
ii) the eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu$ of $M_{\omega}$ are complex conjugate, non real, with zero
real part. Then if $\omega=0$ is a centre there exists an analytic first integral (Lyapunov-Poincarè theorem, see [Mou1] and references therein) and $\omega=0$ is analytically equivalent to $x d x+y d y=0$. If $\omega=0$ is a focus the analytic classification is a difficult problem, which requires the theory of Écalle-Martinet-Ramis-Voronin to pass from the formal classification to the analytic one ([M-R]). The monodromy is an analytic diffeomorphism tangent to the identity, and two such equations are analytically equivalent if and only if their monodromies are ([M-R]).

In this paper we shall study the simplest degenerate monodromic singularities, i.e. those with $\lambda=\mu=0, \omega_{\text {lin }} \neq 0$, and with "generic" higher order terms. Modulo a change of coordinates ([Mou2]), we may work in the following class.

Definition. Let $\omega=A d x+B d y=0$ be the germ of an analytic differential equation on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, with an algebraically isolated singularity at 0 . This singularity is called monodromic semidegenerate if the first nonzero quasihomogeneous jet of type $(1,2)$ of $\omega$ is

$$
\omega_{0}(x, y)=x^{3} d x+\left(y+a x^{2}\right) d y
$$

with $a^{2}<2$. Notation: $\omega \in M S D(a)$.
We will denote by $P_{\omega}$ the monodromy map of $\omega \in M S D(a)$ corresponding to the embedding $\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, 0\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}, 0\right), t \mapsto(t, 0) . P_{\omega}$ is a germ of analytic diffeomorphism tangent to the identity ([Mou2]), and we may consider $P_{\omega}$ as the restriction to $\mathbf{R}^{+}$of a germ of biholomorphism of $(\mathbf{C}, 0)$, tangent to the identity, again denoted by $P_{\omega}$.

Let $\omega \in M S D(a)$ and let $\Omega$ be the germ of holomorphic 1-form on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ obtained by complexification of $\omega$. Using a resolution of the singularity we may define as in [Mou3] and [C-M] the vanishing holonomy of $\Omega$ : it is a
subgroup $H(\Omega) \subset B h(\mathbf{C}, 0)=\{$ group of germs of biholomorphisms of $(\mathbf{C}, 0)\}$, generated by $f, g \in B h(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ satisfying the relation $(f \circ g)^{2}=i d$.

Our result is a computation of $P_{\omega}$ in terms of $H(\Omega)$. A similar result was remarked by Moussu in the (simpler) case of nondegenerate monodromic singularities ([Mou1]).

Theorem. Let $\omega=0$ be monodromic semidegenerate, then

$$
P_{\omega}=[f, g]
$$

In particular, $H(\Omega)$ is abelian if and only if $\omega=0$ is a centre. This means, by [C-M], that a nontrivial space of "formal-analytic moduli" can appear only if $\omega=0$ is a centre (and $a=0$, see below): for the foci, formal equivalence $\Rightarrow$ analytic equivalence. Hence our situation is very different from the situation of equations of the type $x d x+y d y+\ldots=0$, where the difficult case is the case of foci whereas all the centres are analytically equivalent (here the vanishing holonomy is always abelian, generated by a single $f \in B h(\mathbf{C}, 0)$, and the monodromy is given by $f^{2}$, see [Mou1]). On the other hand, it is no more true that the monodromy characterizes the equation: it may happen that $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in M S D(a)$ have the same monodromy without being analytically equivalent.

A consequence of the above relation between monodromy and vanishing holonomy is the following normal form theorem for centres, based again on the results of $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{M}]$. Let us before remark that $\omega_{0}(x, y)=x^{3} d x+\left(y+a x^{2}\right) d y=0$ is a centre for any $a \in \mathbf{R}$ (but a first integral exists if and only if $a=0$ ).

Corollary 1. Let $\omega \in M S D(a)$ be a centre and let $a \neq 0$, then the germ $\omega=0$ is analytically equivalent to $\omega_{0}=0$.

We don't know a similar explicit and "simple" (polynomial?) normal form for foci, even in the case $a \neq 0$; but the triviality of the space of formal-
analytic moduli seems here a useful tool. The classification of centres with $a=0$ requires arguments of the type Écalle - Martinet - Ramis - Voronin (cfr. [C-M]).

As another corollary of the above theorem we give a positive answer to a quescion posed by Moussu in [Mou2].

Corollary 2. Let $\omega=0$ be a monodromic semidegenerate centre, then there exists a nontrivial analytic involution $I:\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}, 0\right)$ which preserves the solutions of $\omega=0: I^{*}(\omega) \wedge \omega=0$.

The above computation may be generalized to the case of germs $\omega$ whose first nonzero quasihomogeneous jet of type $(1, n)$ is

$$
\omega_{0}(x, y)=x^{2 n-1} d x+\left(y+a x^{n}\right) d y
$$

with $a^{2}<\frac{1}{4} n$ ([Mou2]). The vanishing holonomy $H(\Omega)$ for these germs is generated by $f, g \in B h(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ satisfying $(f \circ g)^{n}=i d([C-M])$. But now, if $n \geq 3$, the relation between commutativity of $H(\Omega)$ and triviality of $P_{\omega}$ becomes more complicated; in particular, it is no more true that there is equivalence between " $H(\Omega)$ abelian" and " $P_{\omega}=i d$ ".

The computation of $P_{\omega}$ in terms of $H(\Omega)$ for $n \geq 3$ is straightforward, once one has understood the case $n=2$. Hence, for sake of simplicity and clarity, we have choose to limit ourselves to the semidegenerate monodromic singularities.

Acknowledgements: I thank R. Moussu and A. Verjovsky who read the manuscript and suggested me some improvements of the exposition.

## Resolution of singularities and vanishing holonomy

Let $\omega \in M S D(a)$ and let $\Omega$ be its complexification. We recall the desingularization of $\Omega$ and the construction of $H(\Omega)$ ([C-M], [Mou3]).

We denote by $M$ the complex manifold of dimension two covered by 3 charts $U_{j}=\left\{\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right\} \simeq \mathbf{C}^{2}, j=1,2,3$, glued together by the identifications

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x _ { 1 } = \frac { 1 } { y _ { 2 } } } \\
{ y _ { 1 } = x _ { 2 } y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x _ { 3 } = x _ { 2 } y _ { 2 } } \\
{ y _ { 3 } = \frac { 1 } { x _ { 2 } } }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{3}=x_{1} y_{1} \\
y_{3}=\frac{1}{x_{1}^{2} y_{1}}
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
$$

Let $h: M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ be the holomorphic map whose expressions $h_{j}$ in the charts $U_{j}$ are

$$
h_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x_{1} y_{1}, y_{1}\right), \quad h_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2} y_{2}, x_{2} y_{2}^{2}\right), \quad h_{3}\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)=\left(x_{3}, x_{3}^{2} y_{3}\right)
$$

The divisor $Z \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} h^{-1}((0,0))$ is a union of two copies of $\mathbf{C} P^{1}$, which intersect transversally at a point $p$. If $Z_{j}=Z \cap U_{j}$, then

$$
Z_{1}=\left\{y_{1}=0\right\}, \quad Z_{2}=\left\{x_{2}=0\right\} \cup\left\{y_{2}=0\right\}, \quad Z_{3}=\left\{x_{3}=0\right\}
$$

The map $\left.h\right|_{M \backslash Z}: M \backslash Z \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ is a biholomorphism.
On $M$ there is naturally defined an involution $j: M \rightarrow M$ given, in every chart $U_{j}$, by $j\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)=\left(\bar{x}_{j}, \bar{y}_{j}\right)$. The set $M^{\mathbf{R}}$ of fixed points of $j$ is a real analytic manifold, the map $h$ restricts to a real analytic map $h^{\mathbf{R}}: M^{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$, $Z^{\mathbf{R}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(h^{\mathbf{R}}\right)^{-1}((0,0))=Z \cap M^{\mathbf{R}}$ is a union of two copies of $\mathbf{R} P^{1}$ intersecting transversally at $p$. The map $\left.h^{\mathbf{R}}\right|_{M^{\mathbf{R}} \backslash Z^{\mathbf{R}}}: M^{\mathbf{R}} \backslash Z^{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ is a real analytic diffeomorphism. The manifold $M^{\mathbf{R}}$ is covered by the charts $U_{j}^{\mathbf{R}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} U_{j} \cap M^{\mathbf{R}} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{2}, j=1,2,3$, and we will denote again with $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$ the corresponding coordinates.

From now on we will consider only the germs of the previous objects ( $M$, $h, M^{\mathbf{R}}$, etc.) along $Z$ or $Z^{\mathbf{R}}$, denoted by the same symbols.

Define $\tilde{\Omega}=h^{*}(\Omega)$. Its local expressions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Omega}_{1}=y_{1}\left[\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\left|y_{1}\right|\right)\right) d y_{1}+\left(\mathcal{O}\left(\left|y_{1}\right|\right)\right) d x_{1}\right] \\
& \tilde{\Omega}_{2}=x_{2} y_{2}^{3}\left[\left(2 x_{2}+2 a x_{2}^{2}+x_{2}^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(\left|x_{2} y_{2}\right|\right)\right) d y_{2}+\left(y_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\left|x_{2} y_{2}\right|\right)\right) d x_{2}\right] \\
& \tilde{\Omega}_{3}=x_{3}^{3}\left[\left(1+2 a y^{3}+2 y_{3}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\left|x_{3}\right|\right)\right) d x_{3}+\left(\mathcal{O}\left(\left|x_{3}\right|\right)\right) d y_{3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The only singularities of the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by $\tilde{\Omega}$ are:

- the point $p\left(=(0,0)\right.$ in $\left.U_{2}\right)$, where $\bar{\Omega}_{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{x_{2} y_{2}^{3}} \tilde{\Omega}_{2}$ has a singularity of the type " $2: 1$ resonant saddle":

$$
\bar{\Omega}_{2}=2 x_{2} d y_{2}+y_{2} d x_{2}+\text { h.o.t. }
$$

- the points

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{1}=\left(x_{2}=-a+i \sqrt{2-a^{2}}, y_{2}=0\right)=\left(x_{3}=0, y_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-a-i \sqrt{2-a^{2}}\right)\right) \\
q_{2}=\left(x_{2}=-a-i \sqrt{2-a^{2}}, y_{2}=0\right)=\left(x_{3}=0, y_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-a+i \sqrt{2-a^{2}}\right)\right)=j\left(q_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$ has hyperbolic singularities (the ratio of the eigenvalues is not real) if $a \neq 0$, and saddles with 4:1 resonance if $a=0$.

We denote by $W_{0} \simeq \mathbf{C} P^{1}$ the component of $Z$ containing $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, and by $W_{1} \simeq \mathbf{C} P^{1}$ the other component; $W_{0} \backslash\left\{p, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ and $W_{1} \backslash\{p\}$ are regular leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

Let $L=\operatorname{cl}\left(h^{-1}(\{y=0\}) \backslash Z\right)=\left\{y_{3}=0\right\}$ and $r=L \cap W_{0}=\left(x_{3}=0, y_{3}=\right.$ $0)$. Let $\gamma_{j}:[0,1] \rightarrow W_{0} \backslash\left\{p, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}, j=1,2$, be two paths such that $\gamma_{j}(0)=\gamma_{j}(1)=r$, ind $_{\gamma_{j}}\left(q_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. To these paths there correspond two germs of biholomorphisms $f_{j}:(L, r) \rightarrow(L, r)$, given by the holonomy of the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.


We set $f, g \in B h(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ equal respectively to $f_{1}, f_{2}$ expressed using the coordinate $x_{3}$ on $L$.

Definition ([C-M]). The vanishing holonomy $H(\Omega)$ of $\Omega$ is the subgroup of $B h(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ generated by $f$ and $g$.

An elementary computation shows that

$$
f^{\prime}(0)=-i \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{a}{2 \sqrt{2-a^{2}}}\right)
$$

$$
g^{\prime}(0)=-i \cdot \exp \left(+\frac{a}{2 \sqrt{2-a^{2}}}\right)
$$

in particular, $H(\Omega)$ is hyperbolic (i.e. $\left.\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \neq 1,\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right| \neq 1\right)$ if and only if $a \neq 0$.

Near the singularity $p$ there exists a first integral, of the form $x_{2} y_{2}^{2}+$ h.o.t. ([C-M]). This implies that the holonomy of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ along $\gamma_{1} * \gamma_{2}$ or $\gamma_{2} * \gamma_{1}$ (which are freely homotopic in $W_{0} \backslash\left\{p, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ to small paths around $p$ ) is periodic, of period 2. Hence:

$$
(f \circ g)^{2}=(g \circ f)^{2}=i d
$$

Remark that from the fact that $\Omega$ has real coefficients we deduce that

$$
g(z)=\overline{f^{-1}(\bar{z})}
$$

and, because $p$ is a real point of $M, f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are real:

$$
(f \circ g)(\bar{z})=\overline{(f \circ g)(z)} \quad \text { and } \quad(g \circ f)(\bar{z})=\overline{(g \circ f)(z)}
$$

Now we turn to the real 1-form $\omega$. Clearly, $h^{\mathbf{R}}: M^{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ gives a resolution of the singularity. We set $l^{+}=\operatorname{cl}\left(\left(h^{\mathbf{R}}\right)^{-1}(\{y=0, x \geq 0\}) \backslash Z^{\mathbf{R}}\right)=$ $L \cap M^{\mathbf{R}} \cap\left\{x_{3} \geq 0\right\}$, then the holonomy of the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ defined by $\tilde{\omega}=$ $\left(h^{\mathbf{R}}\right)^{*}(\omega)$ along the "polycicle" $Z^{\mathbf{R}}$ produces a germ of analytic diffeomorphism of $\left(l^{+}, r\right)$. Using the coordinate $x_{3}$ on $l^{+}$and complexifying the result we obtain a germ of biholomorphism of $(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ which is nothing else that the (complex) monodromy $P_{\omega}$ of $\omega$ (for the appropriate choice of orientation of
$\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$.


## Proof of the theorem

Let $\Gamma^{-} \subset M$ be a germ of complex line, $j$-symmetric, transverse to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, passing throught a point $p^{-}$of $W_{0}$ near $p$ with coordinates (in the chart $U_{2}$ ) $(-\epsilon, 0), \epsilon \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$small. Let $\Gamma_{0}^{-}=\Gamma^{-} \cap M^{\mathbf{R}}$ be its real part; it is a germ of real line transverse to $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. The holonomy of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ along the polycycle composed by the segment from $p^{-}$to $p$ and $W_{1}^{\mathbf{R}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} W_{1} \cap M^{\mathbf{R}}$ gives a germ of homeomorphism $k^{-}:\left(\Gamma_{0_{-}^{-}}^{-}, p^{-}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Gamma_{0+}^{-}, p^{-}\right)$, where $\Gamma_{0-}^{-}=\Gamma_{0}^{-} \cap\left\{y_{2} \leq 0\right\}, \Gamma_{0+}^{-}=\Gamma_{0}^{-} \cap\left\{y_{2} \geq 0\right\}$.


On the other hand, we may consider a path $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow W_{0} \backslash\left\{p, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ with $\gamma(0)=\gamma(1)=p^{-}$and $\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma}(p)=1, \operatorname{ind}_{\gamma}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma}\left(q_{2}\right)=0$. The holonomy of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ along $\gamma$ induces a germ of biholomorphism $K^{-}:\left(\Gamma^{-}, p^{-}\right) \rightarrow$ ( $\Gamma^{-}, p^{-}$), which is an involution because of the first integral of $\tilde{\Omega}$ near $p$.

## Lemma.

$$
k^{-}=\left.K^{-}\right|_{\Gamma_{0-}^{-}}
$$

Proof:
let $A^{+}, A^{-} \subset M$ be two germs of complex lines, $j$-symmetric, transverse to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and passing throught $(0, \epsilon),(0,-\epsilon)$ (in the chart $\left.U_{2}\right)$. Let $A_{0}^{+}, A_{0}^{-}$be their real parts, $A_{0-}^{+}=A_{0}^{+} \cap\left\{x_{2} \leq 0\right\}, A_{0_{-}}^{-}=A_{0}^{-} \cap\left\{x_{2} \leq 0\right\}$. The homeomorphism $k^{-}$is the composition of a homeomorphism $k_{1}^{-}$from $\Gamma_{0_{-}}^{-}$to $A_{0_{-}}^{-}$, an analytic diffeomorphism $k^{*}: A_{0}^{-} \rightarrow A_{0}^{+}$, and a homeomorphism $k_{2}^{-}$from $A_{0-}^{+}$to $\Gamma_{0+}^{-}$.

Because $W_{1} \backslash\{p\}$ is simply connected, the path which joins $(0,-\epsilon)$ to $(0, \epsilon)$ along $W_{1}^{\mathrm{R}} \backslash\{p\}$ is contractible in $W_{1} \backslash\{p\}$ (endpoints fixed) to a path $\hat{\gamma}$ contained in $\left\{\left|y_{2}\right| \leq \epsilon\right\}$. Hence $k^{*}$ is the restriction to $A_{0}^{-}$of a biholomorphism $K^{*}: A^{-} \rightarrow A^{+}$, obtained from th holonomy of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ along this path $\hat{\gamma}$.


We choose $\epsilon$ so small that $\tilde{\Omega}$ has a first integral $x_{2} y_{2}^{2}+\ldots$ defined on $U_{\epsilon}=\left\{\left|x_{2}\right| \leq \epsilon,\left|y_{2}\right| \leq \epsilon\right\}$. Hence every leaf of $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{U_{\epsilon}}$ different from a separatrix at $p$ either does not intersect $U_{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{R}}=U_{\epsilon} \cap M^{\mathbf{R}}$, or it intersects $U_{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{R}}$ along two segments "symmetric" w.r. to the $x$-axis. We deduce that:
i) if $t \in A_{0_{-}}^{-}$, then $K^{*}(t) \in A_{0_{-}}^{+}$is the only intersection of $A_{0_{-}}^{+}$with the leaf of $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{U_{\epsilon}}$ through $t$;
ii) if $s \in \Gamma_{0-}^{-}$, then $K^{-}(s) \in \Gamma_{0+}^{-}$is the only intersection of $\Gamma_{0+}^{-}$with the leaf of $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{U_{\epsilon}}$ through $s$.

From these two remarks, it is clear that $k_{2}^{-} \circ\left(\left.K^{*}\right|_{A_{0_{-}}^{-}}\right) \circ k_{1}^{-}$is equal to $\left.K^{-}\right|_{\Gamma_{0-}^{-}}$, i.e. $k^{-}=\left.K^{-}\right|_{\Gamma_{0-}^{-}}$. Q.E.D.

Obviously, a similar result holds if we start from $\Gamma^{+}=$germ of complex line $j$-symmetric passing throught $(\epsilon, 0)=p^{+}$, etc..

As a consequence, the complex monodromy $P_{\omega}:(\mathbf{C}, 0) \rightarrow(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ may be computed as the holonomy of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ along a path $\bar{\gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow W_{0} \backslash\left\{p, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$, $\bar{\gamma}(0)=\bar{\gamma}(1)=r$, as in the following picture:


This path is homotopic to $\gamma_{2}^{-1} * \gamma_{1}^{-1} * \gamma_{1}^{-1} * \gamma_{2}^{-1}$, hence

$$
P_{\omega}=g^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}
$$

and from $(g \circ f)^{2}=i d$ we conclude

$$
P_{\omega}=f \circ g \circ f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}=[f, g]
$$

Q.E.D.

## Proof of corollary 1

It is sufficient, using the path-lifting argument of [C-M], to show that the vanishing holonomies $H(\Omega)$ and $H\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ are holomorphically conjugate. The "assertion 1 " of [C-M], pag. 478, is here replaced by

Assertion $1_{a}$ : if $\omega \in M S D(a)$ then there are analytic coordinates $(x, y)$ near $(0,0)$ s.t. $\omega$ is, modulo multiplication by a nonvanishing germ:

$$
\omega(x, y)=x^{3} d x+\left(y+a x^{2}\right) d y+f(x, y)(2 y d x-x d y), \quad f \in \mathbf{R}\{x, y\}
$$

The proof of this normal form lemma is achieved as in [C-M]: $\Omega=0$ has a separatrix $X^{4}+2 a X^{2} Y+2 Y^{2}=0$ (in suitable coordinates, preserving the
class $M S D(a)$ ), which is a separatrix also for $2 Y d X-X d Y=0$. Hence:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega \wedge\left(X^{3} d X+\left(Y+a X^{2}\right) d Y\right)=\left(X^{4}+2 a X^{2} Y+2 Y^{2}\right) \cdot H_{1}(X, Y) d X \wedge d Y \\
\Omega \wedge(2 Y d X-X d Y)=\left(X^{4}+2 a X^{2} Y+2 Y^{2}\right) \cdot H_{2}(X, Y) d X \wedge d Y \\
(2 Y d X-X d Y) \wedge\left(X^{3} d X+\left(Y+a X^{2}\right) d Y\right)=\left(X^{4}+2 a X^{2} Y+2 Y^{2}\right) \cdot d X \wedge d Y
\end{gathered}
$$ and from these formulae the assertion $1_{a}$ follows.

Let us denote by $f_{0}, g_{0}$ the generators of $H\left(\Omega_{0}\right) ;\left[f_{0}, g_{0}\right]=i d$ because $\omega_{0}$ is a centre, moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=\lambda \\
& g_{0}^{\prime}(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}=\frac{-1}{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $|\lambda| \neq 1$ because $a \neq 0$.
From the commutativity and the hyperbolicity of $H(\Omega)$ we deduce that $H(\Omega)$ is holomorphically conjugate to the group generated by

$$
z \mapsto \lambda z \quad \text { and } \quad z \mapsto \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} z
$$

For the same reasons, $H\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ also is holomorphically conjugate to that linear group, hence to $H(\Omega)$. Q.E.D.

## Proof of corollary 2

Consider the germ $f \circ g \in B h(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ : it is real $((f \circ g)(\bar{z})=\overline{(f \circ g)(z)})$, periodic with period 2, and conjugates $H(\Omega)$ with itself thanks to $[f, g]=i d$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (f \circ g) \circ g=(g \circ f) \circ g=g \circ(f \circ g) \\
& (f \circ g) \circ f=f \circ(g \circ f)=f \circ(f \circ g)
\end{aligned}
$$

As in corollary 1 , we use the path-lifting technique of [C-M] to suspend ( $f \circ g$ ) and to obtain a germ of biholomorphism $\tilde{I}: M \rightarrow M$, which preserves $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ :
$\tilde{I}^{*}(\tilde{\Omega}) \wedge \tilde{\Omega}=0$. From the fact that $(f \circ g)$ is a real involution, we obtain that $\tilde{I}$ is also a real involution. Taking the projection on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ and the restriction to $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ we obtain the required analytic involution. Q.E.D.

Remark: if $a \neq 0$ the result follows also from corollary 1: $\omega_{0}$ is invariant by $(x, y) \mapsto(-x, y)$.
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