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## Numdam

# SUMSETS WITH DISTINCT SUMMANDS AND THE ERDỖS-HEILBRONN CONJECTURE ON SUMS OF RESIDUES 

by<br>Gregory A. Freiman, Lewis Low \& Jane Pitman


#### Abstract

Let $S$ be a set of integers or of residue classes modulo a prime $p$, with cardinality $|S|=k$, and let $T$ be the set of all sums of two distinct elements of $S$. For the integer case, it is shown that if $|T|$ is less than approximately $2.5 k$ then $S$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with relatively small cardinality. For the residue class case a result of this type is derived provided that $k>60$ and $p>50 k$. As an application, it is shown that $|T| \geq 2 k-3$ under these conditions. Earlier results of Freiman play an essential role in the proofs.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Let $Z$ be the set of all integers and let $F_{p}$ be the finite field of residue classes modulo $p$, where $p$ is a prime number. If $A$ is a subset of $Z$ or $F_{p}$ (written $A \subset Z$ or $A \subset F_{p}$ ) we denote the cardinality of $A$ by $|A|$. For a finite subset $A$ of $Z$ or $F_{p}$ we shall consider $2 A$, the set of all sums of two elements of $A$, and also $2^{\wedge} A$, the set of all sums of two distinct elements of $A$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 A=\{a+b: a, b \in A\}, \\
& 2^{\wedge} A=\{a+b: a, b \in A, a \neq b\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.2. Sums of elements from a set of integers. - First we consider the sumset $2 A$ for $A \subset Z$. We write

$$
A=\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right\}, \quad k=|A|
$$

where

$$
a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{k-1} .
$$

Since the $k-1$ sums $a_{i}+a_{i+1}$ and the $k$ sums $a_{i}+a_{i}=2 a_{i}$ are all distinct we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|2 A| \geq 2 k-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and it is easily seen that equality holds if and only if $A$ is an arithmetic progression (that is, the differences $a_{i+1}-a_{i}$ are all equal). Freiman [6, page 11] has proved the following more precise result (which will be used in Section 2 below).
Theorem $A$ (Freiman). - Let $D \subset Z$. If $|2 D| \leq 2|D|-1+C_{1}$, where $C_{1} \leq|D|-3$, then $D \subset L$, where $L$ is an arithmetic progression such that

$$
|L| \leq|D|+C_{1}
$$

(so that $D$ is obtained by deleting at most $C_{1}$ terms from the arithmetic progression $L)$.
1.3. Sums of elements from a subset of $F_{p}$. Next we look at $2 A$ for $A \subset F_{p}$ such that $|A|=k$. By analogy with (1) we have the following special case of the well-known Cauchy-Davenport theorem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|2 A| \geq \min (p, 2 k-1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

More detailed results have been obtained by various authors and Freiman [6, p.46] has used the above theorem on $2 D$ for $D \subset Z$ to obtain the following result in the same vein for $A \subset F_{p}$.

Theorem B (Freiman). - Let $A \subset F_{p}$ such that

$$
|A|=k<p / 35
$$

Suppose that $|2 A|=2 k-1+b, b<0.4 k-2$. Then $A \subset L$, where $L$ is an arithmetic progression in $F_{p}$ such that $|L|=k+b$.

### 1.4. Sums with distinct summands and the Erdös-Heilbronn conjecture

For $A \subset Z$ as in 1.2 above, the $k-1$ sums $a_{i}+a_{i+1}$ and the $k-2$ sums $a_{i}+a_{i+2}$ are all distinct and belong to $2^{\wedge} A$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \geq 2 k-3 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it can be checked that for $k \geq 5$ equality holds if and only if $A$ is an arithmetic progression.

By analogy with the Cauchy-Davenport theorem (2), Erdős and Heilbronn conjectured in the 1960's (see Erdôs and Graham [5]) that for $A \subset F_{p}$ such that $|A|=k$ we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \geq \min (p, 2 k-3) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although there is a short elementary proof of (2) (see, for example, Davenport [3]), the corresponding result for distinct summands seems to be more difficult. As discussed further below, the full conjecture (4) has been proved since 1993. The main published contribution prior to 1993 seems to be that of Mansfield [7], who proved the following theorem.

Theorem (Mansfield). - Let $A \subset F_{p}$ such that $|A|=k$. Then the Erdốs-Heilbronn conjecture (4) is true if

$$
\text { either } \quad k \leq 11 \quad \text { or } \quad 2^{k-1} \leq p
$$

Our aim in this paper was to develop analogues for $2^{\wedge} A$ of Freiman's results on $2 D$, both for $D \subset Z$ and for $A \subset F_{p}$, which would be strong enough for the purposes of proving (4) for a wider range, as well as being of independent interest.
1.5. Results obtained. - In Section 2 we use simple combinatorial arguments together with Freiman's theorem on $2 D$ for $D \subset Z$ to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. - Let $D$ be a set of $k$ integers for which

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} D\right| \leq 2 k-3+C
$$

where

$$
0 \leq C \leq \frac{1}{2}(k-5)
$$

Then $D$ is contained in an arithmetic progression $L$ such that

$$
|L| \leq k+2 C+2
$$

In Section 3 we use Theorem 1 and arguments based on trigonometric sums to prove the main result of the paper, which is as follows.

Theorem 2. - Let $A \subset F_{p}$ such that

$$
|A|=k<\frac{p}{50}, \quad k>60
$$

Suppose that

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \leq 2 k-3+C,
$$

where $C<0.06 k$. Then $A \subset L$, where $L$ is an arithmetic progression in $F_{p}$ such that

$$
|L| \leq k+2 C+2
$$

As a corollary, we will show that for $A \subset F_{p}$ such that $|A|=k$ the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture (4) is true if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k<\frac{p}{50}, \quad k>60 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pybus [10] told us that he had obtained a proof of a version of the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture based on different ideas. More recent work by others, including proofs of the full conjecture, will be discussed in Section 4 at the end of the paper.
1.6. Isomorphisms. - We note that the sumsets $2 A$ and $2^{\wedge} A$ can be considered for any set $A$ with addition. If $A$ and $B$ are two sets, each with an addition, and $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is a bijection, we call $\phi$ an isomorphism if and only if

$$
\phi(a)+\phi(b)=\phi(c)+\phi(d) \Leftrightarrow a+b=c+d .
$$

We call $A$ and $B$ as above isomorphic if such an isomorphism exists, in which case we have

$$
|2 A|=|2 B| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|2^{\wedge} A\right|=\left|2^{\wedge} B\right|
$$

We shall use the fact that affine transformations of $Z$ or $F_{p}$ are isomorphisms.

## 2. Sums of distinct elements from a set of integers

2.1. In this section we consider a set of integers $A$ such that $|A|=k$ and use notation as at the beginning of section 1.2, together with some further vocabulary as follows. We note that $2^{\wedge} A$ is isomorphic to the set

$$
\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{i}+a_{j}\right): 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}
$$

and it is helpful to think geometrically in terms of the points $a_{i}$ and the mid-points of pairs $a_{i}, a_{j}(i<j)$. We shall say that $a_{i}$ is representable if and only if $a_{i}$ coincides with one of the mid-points, that is

$$
2 a_{i} \in 2^{\wedge} A
$$

We shall call a sum $a_{i}+a_{i+s}$ with $s \geq 1$ an $s$-step sum, and we recall that the 1 -step and 2 -step sums are all distinct. For $s \geq 1$, an $s$-step sum will be called new if and only if it is not equal to any $j$-step sum with $1 \leq j<s$. All 1 -step and 2 -step sums are new, but for $s \geq 3$ an $s$-step sum is not necessarily new. We shall use the notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=k_{1}(A)=\text { total number of new } s \text {-step sums with } s \geq 3, \\
& k_{2}=k_{2}(A)=\text { number of } a_{j} \text { 's which are representable. }
\end{aligned}
$$

If an $s$-step sum $a_{i}+a_{i+s}$ is not new, then for some $j, k$ such that $i<j<j+k<i+s$ we must have

$$
a_{i}+a_{i+s}=a_{j}+a_{j+k}
$$

and hence

$$
0<a_{j}-a_{i}=a_{i+s}-a_{j+k}
$$

We therefore consider the associated difference set

$$
\mathcal{D}\left(a_{i}, a_{i+s}\right)=\left(a_{i+1}-a_{i}, a_{i+2}-a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{i+s}-a_{i+s-1}\right)
$$

Our proof of Theorem 1 will be based on the following lemma.
Lemma. - For $A \subset Z$ such that $|A|=k, k \geq 5$, let $k_{1}, k_{2}$ be the number of new s-step sums with $s \geq 3$ and the number of representable elements of $A$ as defined above. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}+k_{2} \geq k-4 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Consider a particular subscript $i$ such that $0 \leq i \leq k-5$. If $a_{i}+a_{i+3}$ is not new we must have

$$
\mathcal{D}\left(a_{i}, a_{i+3}\right)=(x, y, x)
$$

for some $x, y>0$, and so

$$
\mathcal{D}\left(a_{i}, a_{i+4}\right)=(x, y, x, z)
$$

for some $z$. If $z=x$ or $z=x+y$ then $a_{i+3}$ is a mid-point and so is representable, while if $z \neq x$ and $z \neq x+y$ then $a_{i}+a_{i+4}$ is new. Thus at least one of the following three statements holds:
(i) $a_{i}+a_{i+3}$ is new;
(ii) $a_{i}+a_{i+4}$ is new;
(iii) $a_{i+3}$ is representable.

This is true for $i=0,1, \ldots, k-5$; the new sums arising from (i) and (ii) for different $i$ 's are distinct, and the representable elements arising from (iii) are also distinct. Hence at least one element counted in $k_{1}+k_{2}$ arises in this way from each of the $k-4$ possible values of the subscript $i$ and so (6) follows.

We note that the above argument involves only 3 -step and 4 -step sums. By more detailed arguments using $s$-step sums with $s \geq 5$ it can be shown that in fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}+k_{2} \geq k-2 \text { for } k \geq 8 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1. - We now consider $D \subset Z$ such that $|D|=k$. Let $k_{1}=k_{1}(D), k_{2}=k_{2}(D)$ and suppose that $D$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1, so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|2^{\wedge} D\right| \leq 2 k-3+C  \tag{8}\\
0 \leq C \leq \frac{1}{2}(k-5) \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 D) \backslash\left(2^{\wedge} D\right)=\{2 d \mid d \in D, \quad d \text { is not representable }\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|2 D|=\left|2^{\wedge} D\right|+k-k_{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (8) and the above lemma we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|2 D| & \leq 2 k-3+C+k-k_{2}=3 k-3+C-k_{2}=3 k-3+C+k_{1}-\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \\
& \leq 3 k-3+C+k_{1}-(k-4)=2 k+1+C+k_{1} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The number of 1 -step sums is equal to $k-1$, the number of 2 -step sums is equal to $k-2$, and the number of new sums (different from these) is equal to $k_{1}$. Thus, we have

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} D\right|=2 k-3+k_{1}
$$

and hence by (8),

$$
k_{1} \leq C
$$

Applying this inequality in (12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|2 D| \leq 2|D|-1+2 C+2 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It now follows from (9) and (13), by Theorem A in Section 1.2, that $D \subset L$, where $L$ is an arithmetic progression such that $|L| \leq|D|+2 C+2$, as required.

## 3. Sums of distinct summands from a subset of $F_{p}$

3.1. The proof of our main result, Theorem 2 , will depend on the use of trigonometric sums. We view the elements of $F_{p}$ as residue classes modulo $p$, and note that for $a \in Z$ and $x \in F_{p}, e^{2 \pi i a x / p}$ is defined uniquely by

$$
e^{2 \pi i a x / p}=e^{2 \pi i a x_{0} / p},
$$

where $x_{0}$ is any representative residue belonging to the residue class $x$.

For finite sets $A \subset F_{p}$ we shall consider trigonometric sums of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sum_{x \in A} e^{2 \pi i a x / p} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that for such sums it is easily checked that if $k=|A|$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{x \in A} e^{2 \pi i a x / p}\right|^{2} \leq p k-k^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.2. We shall need the following lemma of Freiman [6].

Lemma. - Let $A$ be a subset of $F_{p}$ such that $|A|=k$, and let $a \in Z$ such that $a \not \equiv 0$ $(\bmod p)$ and let $T$ be the corresponding trigonometric sum defined by (14). Suppose that $|T|>C_{0} k$, where $0<C_{0}<1$. Then, for some $u$ and $v$ in $F_{p}$ such that $v \neq 0$, at least

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(C_{0}+1\right) k
$$

distinct elements of $A$ belong to the arithmetic progression

$$
\left\{u+s v: 0 \leq s \leq \frac{p-1}{2}\right\}
$$

Proof. - See Freiman [6], Section 1 of Chapter II, Corollary to Lemma on pages 46-47 and discussion on page 50.
3.3. Proof of Theorem 2. - We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. We therefore consider $A \subset F_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
|A|=k<p / 50  \tag{16}\\
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \leq 2 k-3+C, \quad C<0.06 k, \quad k>60 \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider the sum

$$
S=\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in A} \sum_{x_{3} \in 2^{\wedge} A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}\right)}
$$

We divide the sum $S$ into two parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in A} \sum_{x_{3} \in 2 A}-\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in A} \sum_{x_{3} \in(2 A) \backslash\left(2^{\wedge} A\right)}=S_{1}-S_{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

say. Since each pair $x_{1}, x_{2}$ of elements of $A$ yields exactly one $x_{3}$ in $2 A$ such that $x_{1}+x_{2}=x_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=k^{2} p \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(as in Freiman [6], p. 48 (2.3.2)).
Denote by $B$ the set of all elements of $A$ which are not representable. Then, in view of (10) we have

$$
S_{2}=\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in A} \sum_{a_{j} \in B} e^{2 \pi i(a / p)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-2 a_{j}\right)}
$$

For $a_{j}$ in $B$, the equation $x_{1}+x_{2}-2 a_{j}=0$ holds only if $x_{1}=x_{2}=a_{j}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=p|B| . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (18), (19) and (20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \geq p\left(k^{2}-k\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (21) and the definition of $S$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(k^{2}-k\right) \leq \sum_{a=0}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in A} \sum_{x_{3} \in 2^{\wedge} A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}\right)}\right| \\
& =\sum_{a=0}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{x \in A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}\right|^{2} \cdot\left|\sum_{x \in 2^{\wedge} A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}\right| \\
& =k^{2}\left|2^{\wedge} A\right|+\sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{x \in A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}\right|^{2} \cdot\left|\sum_{x \in 2^{\wedge} A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}\right| \\
& \leq k^{2}\left|2^{\wedge} A\right|+\max _{a \neq 0}^{\bmod p)}\left|\sum_{x \in A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}\right| \cdot \sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{x \in A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}\right| \cdot\left|\sum_{x \in 2^{\wedge} A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Cauchy's inequality and applying (15) to $A$ and $2^{\wedge} A$, we see that this expression is

$$
\leq k^{2}\left|2^{\wedge} A\right|+\max _{a \neq 0(\bmod p)}\left|\sum_{x \in A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}\right| \cdot \sqrt{p k-k^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{p\left|2^{\wedge} A\right|-\left|2^{\wedge} A\right|^{2}}
$$

Dividing by $p k^{2}$ and solving the inequality for

$$
U=\max _{a \neq 0(\bmod p)}\left|\sum_{x \in A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}\right|
$$

we obtain

$$
\frac{U}{k} \geq \frac{1-\alpha \beta-\gamma}{\sqrt{ }(\alpha(1-\beta)(1-\alpha \beta))}=f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), \text { say }
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\frac{\left|2^{\wedge} A\right|}{k}, \quad \beta=\frac{k}{p}, \quad \gamma=\frac{1}{k}
$$

and so, by (16) and (17)

$$
0<\alpha<2.06-3 \gamma, \quad 0<\beta<\frac{1}{50}, \quad 0<\gamma<\frac{1}{60}<\frac{1}{50}
$$

By consideration of partial derivatives in the relevant range it can be checked that

$$
f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \geq f(2.06-3 \gamma, \beta, \gamma) \geq f\left(2.01, \frac{1}{50}, \frac{1}{60}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U>0.6859 k \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the lemma in Section 3.2 above to the sum

$$
T=\sum_{x \in A} e^{2 \pi i(a / p) x}
$$

and using (22), we see that there exist $u, v$ in $F_{p}$ with $v \neq 0$ and a subset $A_{1}$ of $A$ such that

$$
A_{1} \subset\left\{u+v s: 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\right\}
$$

and $\left|A_{1}\right|=m_{1}$, say, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 0.8429 k \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the set

$$
B_{1} \subset\left\{0,1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\right\} \subset Z
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=\left\{s: 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1), u+v s \in A_{1}\right\} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By changing $u$ and $v$ if necessary we can assume that the first element of $B_{1}$ is 0 and that the greatest common divisor of the differences between successive elements of $B_{1}$ is 1 .

Since the mapping $\phi$ given by $\phi(u+v s)=s$ gives an isomorphism of $A_{1}$ onto $B_{1}$ under addition mod $p$ on $A_{1}$ and addition in $Z$ on $B_{1}$, it follows that $A_{1}$ is isomorphic to $B_{1}$ as a subset of $Z$, so that (using (3) on $B_{1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{1}\right|=\left|A_{1}\right|=m_{1}, \quad\left|2^{\wedge} A_{1}\right|=\left|2^{\wedge} B_{1}\right| \geq 2 m_{1}-3 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} A_{1}\right| \geq 2\left|A_{1}\right|+C_{1}-3, \quad C_{1}=\frac{\left|A_{1}\right|-5}{2}
$$

Then from (23) it follows that

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \geq\left|2^{\wedge} A_{1}\right| \geq 2.5\left|A_{1}\right|-5.5 \geq 2.107 k-5.5
$$

and further, remembering that $k>60$, we get

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \geq 2.06 k-3
$$

contradicting (17). Thus we can assume that

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} A_{1}\right|<2\left|A_{1}\right|+C_{1}-3
$$

and hence

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} B_{1}\right|<2\left|B_{1}\right|+C_{1}-3 .
$$

Then from Theorem 1 we get that $B_{1}$ is contained in an arithmetic progression $L \subset Z$ such that

$$
|L| \leq\left|B_{1}\right|+2 C_{1}+2=2\left|B_{1}\right|-3 \leq 2 k-3 .
$$

By our assumptions on $B_{1}$ (following (24)) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1} \subset L \subset\{0,1,2, \ldots, 2 k-4\} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

All elements of $F_{p}$, and in particular those of $A$ can be written in the form

$$
a=u+v s, \quad 0 \leq s \leq p-1
$$

for $u, v$ as above. If $A$ contained an element $a$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 k<s<p-4 k \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then in view of (24) and (26) and the fact that $p>10 k$ the sets $2^{\wedge} A_{1}$ and $A_{1}+a$ would be disjoint and so, by (25) we would have

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \geq\left|A_{1}+a\right|+\left|2^{\wedge} A_{1}\right|=m_{1}+\left|2^{\wedge} A_{1}\right| \geq 3 m_{1}-3
$$

and hence by (23)

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right|>2.06 k-3
$$

a contradiction to (17). Hence (27) does not hold for elements of $A$ and it is easily seen that all elements of $A$ can be written in the form $a=u+v s$ with

$$
-4 k \leq s \leq 6 k
$$

As $p>20 k$, addition $\bmod p$ on $s$ in the above range $[-4 k, 6 k]$ coincides with ordinary addition. Thus $A$ is isomorphic to the set $B \subset Z$ (with addition in $Z$ ) given by

$$
B=\left\{s: u+v s \in A,-\frac{1}{2}(p-1) \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\right\} \subset[-4 k, 6 k]
$$

so that by (17)

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} B\right|=\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \leq 2 k-3+C, \quad C<0.06 k
$$

By Theorem 1 it follows that $B$ is contained in an arithmetic progression $L^{\prime}$ with

$$
\left|L^{\prime}\right| \leq k+2 C+2
$$

where $C<0.06 k$, and so $A$ is contained in the arithmetic progression

$$
L=\left\{u+v s: s \in L^{\prime}\right\}
$$

with $|L|=\left|L^{\prime}\right|$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
3.4. Application to Erdốs Conjecture. - We now obtain the following corollary on the Erdős conjecture.

Corollary to Theorem 2. - Let $A \subset F_{p}$ such that

$$
|A|=k<\frac{p}{50}, \quad k>60
$$

Then

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \geq 2 k-3
$$

Proof. - If $\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \geq 2 k-2$ there is nothing to prove, so suppose that $\left|2^{\wedge} A\right| \leq 2 k-3$. Then by Theorem 2 (with $C=0$ ) we have $A \subset L$, where $L$ is an arithmetic progression in $F_{p}$ with $|L| \leq k+2$. Since $p>2 k+5$ and $|A|=k$, it follows that $A$ is isomorphic to a set $B$ of integers (under addition in $Z$ ) such that

$$
|B|=k, \quad B \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, k+2\} .
$$

Hence, using (3), we have

$$
\left|2^{\wedge} A\right|=\left|2^{\wedge} B\right| \geq 2 k-3 .
$$

## 4. Postscript on the Erdỗs-Heilbronn conjecture

Rődseth [11], also using results of Freiman, has proved (4) for $p>c k$, for some positive constant $c$. More detailed arguments along the lines of the present paper and based on (7) can be used to obtain (4) for $p \geq 8 k$, but some such restriction is essential to this approach.

Recently, two independent proofs have been given of the full Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture (4), without any restriction at all. For the first, see Dias da Silver and Hamidoune [4] and Nathanson [8]. The second, which uses only simple properties of polynomials over finite fields, is due to Alon, Nathanson and Ruzsa, [1],[2]. We are grateful to these authors for information about this work and to Professor Nathanson for the opportunity to see a preliminary version of his expository account of this topic in Nathanson [9].
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