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#### Abstract

We introduce the classical modular polynomials and calculate (modulo the determination of a certain sum of representation numbers) the intersection number of two divisors defined by modular polynomials (Hurwitz's theorem).


Résumé (Polynômes modulaires). - On introduit les polynômes modulaires classiques et détermine (modulo le calcul d'une certaine somme de nombres de représentations) le nombre d'intersection de deux diviseurs définis par des polynômes modulaires (théorème de Hurwitz).

We introduce modular polynomials and prove some elementary properties. This is classical and well-known, see e.g. [L, §5]. In the second part, we compute the intersection numbers of the divisors defined by two modular polynomials in the 2dimensional complex plane. This computation, due to Gross and Keating ([GK]), re-proves the class number relations of Kronecker (Corollary 2.2).

We only consider elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$.

## 1. Modular Polynomials

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the elliptic curve $E=\mathbb{C} / \Gamma$ with $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z} \tau$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.
Theorem 1.1 ([L, §5.3,5.1]). - There are canonical bijections between the following sets:
(i) isomorphism classes of isogenies $f: E_{1} \rightarrow E$ of degree $m$ (as yroup schemes over E),
(ii) subgroups $\Gamma_{1} \subseteq \Gamma$ of index $m$,
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(iii) $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash\left\{A \in M_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \operatorname{det} A=m\right\}$, and
(iv) $\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right) \in M_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \right\rvert\, a d=m, a \geq 1\right.$ and $\left.0 \leq b<d\right\}$.

All of these sets have $\sigma_{1}(m)=\sum_{d \mid m} d$ elements.

Proof
(i) $\rightarrow$ (ii): Set $\Gamma_{1}:=f_{*} \pi_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) .($ ii $) \rightarrow(i):$ Set $E_{1}:=\mathbb{C} / \Gamma_{1}$.
(ii) $\leftrightarrow$ (iii): Choose a basis $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{\tau}$ of $\Gamma_{1}$ with $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in M_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
(iii) $\leftrightarrow$ (iv): Left multiplication by matrices from $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to row operations. The matrices in (iv) are obviously inequivalent (the columns must be stabilized).

Now consider pairs $\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)$ of $j$-invariants of elliptic curves $E, E^{\prime}$ such that there is an isogeny $E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ of degree $m$. These pairs are described by the divisor of a certain polynomial $\varphi_{m}$ :

For $j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}$ choose elliptic curves $E, E^{\prime}$ having $j$-invariants $j, j^{\prime}$, respectively. Set

$$
\varphi_{m}\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)=\varphi_{m}\left(j(E), j\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right):=\prod_{E_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime}}\left(j(E)-j\left(E_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) ;
$$

the product is over isomorphism classes of isogenies $E_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ of degree $m . \varphi_{m}$ does not depend on the choices made and is a polynomial of degree $\sigma_{1}(m)$ in $j$. For elliptic curves $E, E^{\prime}$, the condition $\varphi_{m}\left(j(E), j\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$ is equivalent to the existence of an isogeny $E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ of degree $m$.

Define $\psi_{m}\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)$ by the same formula, but restrict the product to the isogenies which do not factor over some multiplication-by- $n$ map, $n>1$. In the above correspondence, these isogenies correspond to primitive matrices, i.e., matrices whose entries have no common divisor. We have

$$
\varphi_{m}=\prod_{n^{2} \mid m} \psi_{m / n^{2}}
$$

Obviously, $\varphi_{1}(X, Y)=\psi_{1}(X, Y)=X-Y$. As we will see below, $\varphi_{m}$ and $\psi_{m}$ are polynomials; they are called modular polynomials.

Theorem 1.2 ([L, §5.2])
(i) $\varphi_{m}, \psi_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$.
(ii) $\psi_{m}(X, t)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.
(iii) For $m>1$, we have $\psi_{m}(X, Y)=\psi_{m}(Y, X)$. Consequently, $\varphi_{m}(X, Y)=$ $\pm \varphi_{m}(Y, X)$ ("-" precisely if $m$ is a square).

Proof
(i) First notice that the coefficients $k_{i}$ of

$$
\psi_{m}\left(X, j\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)=\prod_{\operatorname{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash\left\{A \in M_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \operatorname{det} A=m, A \text { primitive }\right\}}\left(X-j\left(A \tau^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}[X]
$$

are holomorphic in $\tau^{\prime}$ and invariant under $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. From the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m}\left(X, j\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)=\prod_{a, b, d}\left(X-j\left(\frac{a \tau^{\prime}+b}{d}\right)\right)=\prod_{a, b, d}\left(X-\frac{1}{\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{a / d} \zeta_{m}^{a b}}-744-\ldots\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $a, b, d$ as in 1.1 (iv) and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right)$ primitive, $\zeta_{m}:=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} / m}$ ) we see that the $k_{i}$ are meromorphic at infinity. Since the $q$-expansion of the $j$-function has integral coefficients, we have

$$
k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{m}\right]\left[\left[q^{\prime}\right]\right]\left[\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}\right]
$$

Now there are polynomials $p_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{m}, T\right]$ such that $k_{i}-p_{i}\left(j\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right)$ lies in $q^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{m}\right]\left[\left[q^{\prime}\right]\right]$ and therefore, being a modular function, must vanish identically. Hence, $\psi_{m} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{m}\right][X, Y]$.

There are two operations of $(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$: first, on matrices $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right)$ as in 1.1 (iv) by

$$
\sigma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \sigma b \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\text { via }(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{\times} \text {on }\{0, \ldots, d-1\} \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

and the first product in $(*)$ is invariant under this operation. Second, $(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ operates in a compatible way on $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{m}\right]$ by $\sigma \zeta_{m}=\zeta_{m}^{\sigma}$, and since the coefficients of $\varphi_{m}$ are invariant under this operation, we find that $\psi_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$.
(ii) By mapping $t \mapsto j$, the field of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{H}$ becomes an extension field of $\mathbb{C}(t)$ carrying an operation of the group $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. By the elementary divisors theorem, it permutes the zeroes of $\psi_{m}(X, t)$ transitively, hence $\psi_{m}(X, t)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.
(iii) The condition $\psi_{m}\left(j(E), j^{\prime}(E)\right)=0$ is equivalent to the existence of an isogeny $E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ of degree $m$ which does not factor over a multiplication-by- $n$ map for some $n>1$. This last property is also true for its dual isogeny, hence $\psi_{m}\left(j\left(E^{\prime}\right), j(E)\right)=0$. For a fixed $j_{0}^{\prime}$, the irreducible polynomial $\psi_{m}\left(X, j_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is therefore a divisor of $\psi_{m}\left(j_{0}^{\prime}, X\right)$, and conversely. It follows that $\psi_{m}\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)= \pm \psi_{m}\left(j^{\prime}, j\right)$. If the "-" sign is correct, $\psi_{m}(t, t)$ vanishes identically, so $\psi_{m}(X, t)$ has a zero in $\mathbb{C}(t)$, hence the degree of $\psi_{m}(X, t)$ must be 1 . This is true precisely for $m=1$.

From the proof of (iii) we also see that $f_{m}(X):=\varphi_{m}(X, X)$ vanishes if and only if $m$ is a square. If $m$ is not a square, the degree of $f_{m}$ can be read off the $q$-expansion in $(*)$ : set $X=j\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then because of $a \neq d$, the pole order of one factor is equal to $\max \{1, a / d\}$, hence the pole order of the entire product is

$$
\operatorname{deg} f_{m}=\sum_{a d=m} d \max \{1, a / d\}=\sum_{a d=m} \max \{a, d\}
$$

One also sees that the leading coefficient of $f_{m}$ is $\pm 1$.

## 2. Intersections

We first need to fix some notation. A quadratic space $(L, Q)$ over a ring $R$ consists of a free $R$-module $L$ of finite rank and a quadratic form $Q$ on $L$. The associated bilinear form on $L$ is defined by

$$
(x, y)=Q(x+y)-Q(x)-Q(y) .
$$

The determinant of $Q$ is the element of $R /\left(R^{\times}\right)^{2}$ given by the determinant of the matrix $\left(\left(b_{i}, b_{j}\right)\right)_{i j}$ for some basis $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ of $L$. The diagonal of $Q$ with respect to some fixed basis $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ is defined to be the $n$-tuple $\left(Q\left(b_{i}\right)\right)_{i}$ where $n$ is the rank of $L$.

For a quadratic form $F$ on $R^{m}$, we define the representation number $R_{L}(F)$ as the cardinality of the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left(f_{i}\right) \in L^{m} \mid Q\left(x_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} f_{m}\right)=F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \text { for all } x \in R^{m}\right\} \\
= & \left\{\text { isometries }\left(R^{m}, F\right) \rightarrow(L, Q)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and positive definite $Q$, this set is finite. (For each $x=e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, there are only finitely many possible values of $x_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} f_{m}=f_{i}$.)

For a positive integer $D$, let $H(D)$ be the number of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence classes of positive definite binary quadratic forms over $\mathbb{Z}$ with determinant $D$ (which is welldefined as an element of $\mathbb{Z}$ ), counting the forms equivalent to $e x_{1}^{2}+e x_{2}^{2}$ and $e x_{1}^{2}+$ $e x_{1} x_{2}+e x_{2}^{2}$ for some natural number $e$ with multiplicities $1 / 2$ and $1 / 3$, respectively. If the positive integer $m$ is not a square, we define

$$
G(m):=\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ t^{2} \leq 4 m}} H\left(4 m-t^{2}\right) .
$$

Define $T_{m}:=V\left(\varphi_{m}\right) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.
Theorem 2.1 ([GK, 2.4]). - The curves $T_{m_{1}}$ and $T_{m_{2}}$ intersect properly if and only if $m=m_{1} m_{2}$ is not a square. In this case, their intersection is supported on pairs $\left(E, E^{\prime}\right)$ of elliptic curves with complex multiplication by orders whose discriminants satisfy $d(E), d\left(E^{\prime}\right) \geq-4 m$. The intersection number is

$$
T_{m_{1}} \cdot T_{m_{2}}=\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ t^{2}<4 m}} \sum_{d \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, t\right)} d \cdot H\left(\frac{4 m-t^{2}}{d^{2}}\right)=\sum_{n \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)} n \cdot G\left(m / n^{2}\right)
$$

Proof. - If $m=m_{1} m_{2}$ is a square, $T_{m_{1}}$ and $T_{m_{2}}$ contain $V\left(\psi_{g}\right), g=\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$, as a common component (note that $m_{1} / g$ and $m_{2} / g$ are coprime, hence squares themselves). Conversely, if $T_{m_{1}}$ and $T_{m_{2}}$ do not intersect properly, they must contain some $V\left(\psi_{g}\right)$ as a common component, but then $g=m_{1} / n_{1}^{2}=m_{2} / n_{2}^{2}$, so $m=g^{2} n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{2}$ is a square.

For a pair of elliptic curves $\left(E, E^{\prime}\right)$ corresponding to an intersection point of $T_{m_{1}}$ and $T_{m_{2}}$, there are isogenies $f_{1}, f_{2}: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ of degrees $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, respectively. Then, $\alpha:={ }^{t} f_{2} f_{1}$ is an endomorphism of $E$ of degree $m$. Since $m$ is not a
square, $E$ has complex multiplication, and $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z} \alpha$ is a sublattice of End $E$. Hence, its discriminant $(\operatorname{Tr} \alpha)^{2}-4 m<0$ is divisible by $d(E)$, so

$$
d(E) \geq(\operatorname{Tr} \alpha)^{2}-4 m \geq-4 m
$$

Similarly, considering $\beta:=f_{2}{ }^{t} f_{1}$, it follows that $d\left(E^{\prime}\right) \geq-4 m$.
Next, we compute the local intersection number at some point $\left(j_{0}, j_{0}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ corresponding to a pair of elliptic curves $\left(E, E^{\prime}\right)$. Set $u_{E}:=\frac{1}{2} \#$ Aut $E$, similarly for $E^{\prime}$. Choose $\tau_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $j\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)=j_{0}^{\prime}$. Locally at $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$, the map $j: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a branched covering of degree $u_{E^{\prime}}$, so the local intersection number in the $\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)$-plane is the intersection number in the $\left(j, \tau^{\prime}\right)$-plane divided by $u_{E^{\prime}}$.

In the $\left(j, \tau^{\prime}\right)$-plane, the $\varphi_{m_{i}}$ decompose into factors of the form

$$
j-j\left(A_{i} \tau^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { where } A_{i} \in M_{2}(\mathbb{Z}), \operatorname{det} A_{i}=m_{i}
$$

Therefore, it suffices to compute the local intersection number of two such factors, both vanishing at $\left(j_{0}, \tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. This number is the zero order of

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(A_{1} \tau^{\prime}\right)-j\left(A_{2} \tau^{\prime}\right) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $\tau^{\prime}=\tau_{0}^{\prime}$. Since $A_{1} \tau_{0}^{\prime}$ and $A_{2} \tau_{0}^{\prime}$ are $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalent, we may assume that $A_{1} \tau_{0}^{\prime}=$ $A_{2} \tau_{0}^{\prime}=: \tau_{0}$ and $c_{2}=0$. Locally at $\tau_{0}$,

$$
j(\tau)=j\left(\tau_{0}\right)+s \cdot\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)^{u_{E}}+\text { higher order terms }
$$

for some $s \neq 0$, hence $(* *)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s\left(\frac{a_{1} \tau^{\prime}+b_{1}}{c_{1} \tau^{\prime}+d_{1}}-\frac{a_{1} \tau_{0}^{\prime}+b_{1}}{c_{1} \tau_{0}^{\prime}+d_{1}}\right)^{u_{E}}-s\left(\frac{a_{2} \tau^{\prime}+b_{2}}{d_{2}}-\frac{a_{2} \tau_{0}^{\prime}+b_{2}}{d_{2}}\right)^{u_{E}}+\text { h.o.t. } \\
= & s\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{1}}{\left(c_{1} \tau_{0}^{\prime}+d_{1}\right)^{2}} \cdot\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{u_{E}}-s\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{2}}{d_{2}^{2}} \cdot\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{u_{E}}+\text { h.o.t. }
\end{aligned}
$$

locally at $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$. We now claim that the two leading coefficients are different. (However, they have the same absolute value.) Otherwise, from

$$
s\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{1}}{\left(c_{1} \tau_{0}^{\prime}+d_{1}\right)^{2}}\right)^{u_{E}}=s\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{2}}{d_{2}^{2}}\right)^{u_{E}}
$$

we get

$$
\frac{c_{1} \tau_{0}^{\prime}+d_{1}}{\sqrt{m_{1}}}=\omega \cdot \frac{d_{2}}{\sqrt{m_{2}}}
$$

for some $2 u_{E}$-th root of unity $\omega$, implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \tau_{0}^{\prime}+d_{1}=\omega \cdot \frac{\sqrt{m_{1} m_{2}}}{a_{2}} \tag{***}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side is imaginary-quadratic, so by our assumption that $m=m_{1} m_{2}$ is not a square it follows that $\omega= \pm \mathrm{i}$ and $u_{E}=2$. But in this case, $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ corresponds to an elliptic curve isogenous to $E \cong \mathbb{C} /\langle 1, \mathrm{i}\rangle$, hence $\tau_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{i})$, contradicting $(* * *)$. Hence, the zero order of $(* *)$ at $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ equals $u_{E}$.

Since the product decomposition of $\varphi_{m_{i}}$ contains

$$
\frac{1}{2 u_{E}} \cdot \#\left\{f_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(E, E^{\prime}\right) \mid \operatorname{deg} f_{i}=m_{i}\right\}
$$

factors vanishing at $\left(j_{0}, \tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, the local intersection number in the $\left(j, \tau^{\prime}\right)$-plane is

$$
\left(T_{m_{1}} \cdot T_{m_{2}}\right)_{\left(j_{0}, \tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{1}{4 u_{E}^{2}} \cdot \#\left\{\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(E, E^{\prime}\right) \mid \operatorname{deg} f_{i}=m_{i}\right\} \cdot u_{E}
$$

Hence, the local intersection number in the $\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)$-plane is

$$
\left(T_{m_{1}} \cdot T_{m_{2}}\right)_{\left(j_{0}, j_{0}^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{1}{4 u_{E} u_{E^{\prime}}} \cdot \#\left\{\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(E, E^{\prime}\right) \mid \operatorname{deg} f_{i}=m_{i}\right\}
$$

Such pairs ( $f_{1}, f_{2}$ ) correspond to representations of positive definite quadratic forms $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1} f_{1}+x_{2} f_{2}\right)$, hence

$$
\#\left\{\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(E, E^{\prime}\right) \mid \operatorname{deg} f_{i}=m_{i}\right\}=\sum_{\substack{Q>0 \\ \operatorname{diag} Q=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}} R_{\operatorname{Hom}\left(E, E^{\prime}\right)}(Q) .
$$

Therefore, the global intersection number is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{m_{1}} \cdot T_{m_{2}}= & \sum_{\substack{E, E^{\prime} \\
\text { ell.curves } / \mathbb{C} \operatorname{diag}}} \sum_{\substack{Q>0 \\
Q=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}} \frac{R_{\mathrm{Hom}\left(E, E^{\prime}\right)}(Q)}{4 u_{E} u_{E^{\prime}}} \\
= & \sum_{\substack{Q>0}} \sum_{E, E^{\prime}} \frac{R_{\mathrm{Hom}\left(E, E^{\prime}\right)}(Q)}{4 u_{E} u_{E^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 1.1 in [Go1], the inner sum equals

$$
\sum_{d \mid e(Q)} d \cdot H\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} Q}{d^{2}}\right)
$$

In our case, $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=m_{1} x_{1}^{2}+t x_{1} x_{2}+m_{2} x_{2}^{2}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $t^{2}-4 m<0$ (as $Q$ is positive definite), so the above sum is equal to

$$
\sum_{d \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, t\right)} d \cdot H\left(\frac{4 m-t^{2}}{d^{2}}\right)
$$

Putting everything together yields

$$
T_{m_{1}} \cdot T_{m_{2}}=\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ t^{2}<4 m}} \sum_{d \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, t\right)} d \cdot H\left(\frac{4 m-t^{2}}{d^{2}}\right)
$$

As a corollary, we get the class number relations of Kronecker and Hurwitz:
Corollary 2.2. - If $m$ is not a square,

$$
G(m)=\sum_{a d=m} \max \{a, d\}
$$

Proof. - By the remarks at the end of the preceding section,

$$
T_{1} \cdot T_{m}=\operatorname{deg} f_{m}=\sum_{a d=m} \max \{a, d\}
$$

Actually, using the convention $H(0):=\zeta(-1)=-1 / 12$, the above corollary is valid for all $m([\mathbf{W}, \S 116])$.
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