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#### Abstract

We define formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules and their heights, following Drinfeld. To describe their universal deformations we introduce a formal cohomology group.

Résumé (Espaces de modules formels de $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules formels). - On définit les $\mathcal{O}_{K^{-}}$ modules formels et leurs hauteurs, suivant Drinfeld. Pour décrire leurs déformations universelles, on introduit un groupe de cohomologie formelle.


Notation. - Except in the proof of Lemma 2.1, all constant coefficients of power series are assumed to be 0 .
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## 1. Formal modules

Let $A, R$ be commutative rings with 1 and $i: A \rightarrow R$ a homomorphism. We also write $a$ instead of $i(a)$ for the image of $a$ under $i$.

## Definition 1.1

1. A formal $A$-module over $R$ is a commutative formal group law $F(X, Y)=X+$ $Y+\cdots \in R[[X, Y]]$ together with a ring homomorphism $\gamma: A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{R}(F)$ such that the induced map $A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{R}(\operatorname{Lie} F) \cong R$ is equal to the structure map $i$.
2. For $a \in A$ we write $\gamma(a)(X)=[a]_{F}(X)=a X+\cdots \in R[[X]]$ for the corresponding endomorphism of $F$. We will also use the notation $X+{ }_{F} Y$ instead of $F(X, Y)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. - 14L05, 14B12, 13D10, 14K15.
Key words and phrases. - Formal module, formal group, universal deformation.
3. A homomorphism of formal A-modules over $R$ is a homomorphism $\varphi(X)$ : $F(X, Y) \rightarrow G(X, Y)$ of formal group laws $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$ over $R$ such that $\varphi \circ \gamma_{F}(a)=\gamma_{G}(a) \circ \varphi$ for all $a \in A$. Denote by $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F, G)$ the set of homomorphisms from $F$ to $G$.

Definition 1.2. - For $r \geq 2$ let $\nu_{r}=p$, if $r$ is a power of a prime $p$, and $\nu_{r}=1$ else. Denote by

$$
C_{r}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{\nu_{r}}\left((X+Y)^{r}-X^{r}-Y^{r}\right)
$$

the modified binomial form of degree $r$.
Consider the functor which assigns to every $A$-Algebra $R$ the set of formal $A$ modules over $R$. It is represented by an algebra $\Lambda_{A}$ which is generated by the indeterminate coefficients of the series $F$ and $\gamma(a)$ and whose relations are those which are required by the condition that $(F, \gamma)$ is a formal module. It has a natural grading: the degree of a coefficient is one less than the degree of the corresponding monomial in $X, Y$. It is induced by the action of $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ on $\operatorname{Spf}(A[[t]])$. From this description (or by an elementary calculation) one sees that the grading is compatible with concatenation of power series. The elements of the form $a b$ with $\operatorname{deg} a, \operatorname{deg} b \geq 1$ generate a homogeneous ideal. Let $\tilde{\Lambda}_{A}$ be the quotient with induced grading $\tilde{\Lambda}_{A}=\bigoplus \tilde{\Lambda}_{A}^{n}$.

Denote by $\mathbb{G}_{a, R}$ the additive formal group law over $R$. With the canonical $R$-action $\gamma(a)=a X$, it becomes an $R$-module over $R$.

Lemma 1.3. - If $A$ is an infinite field, then for each formal $A$-module over $A$ there exists a unique isomorphism with $\mathbb{G}_{a, A}$ whose derivative at zero equals 1 . In this case there is a canonical isomorphism $\Lambda_{A} \cong A\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right]$ as graded algebras where $\operatorname{deg} c_{i}=i$.

To prove this lemma, one explicitly computes the desired isomorphism, compare [D, Prop. 1.2]. The $c_{i}$ correspond to the coefficients of a homomorphism to the additive formal group law together with the standard $A$-module structure.

From now on let $K$ be a complete discretely valued field with finite residue field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $q=p^{l}$ for some prime $p$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ the ring of integers of $K$. Let $\pi$ be a uniformizer.

Theorem 1.4. - $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K}\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots\right]$ are non-canonically isomorphic as graded algebras where $\operatorname{deg} g_{i}=i$.

Proof. - First we show that $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{K}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules for all $n \geq 2$. For each $i$ let $F_{i}$ and $[a]_{i}$ denote the polynomials of degree $i$ obtained from the universal formal module by leaving out all summands of higher degree. We write

$$
F_{n}(X, Y)=F_{n-1}(X, Y)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_{i} X^{i} Y^{n-i}
$$

and

$$
[a]_{n}=[a]_{n-1}+h(a) X^{n} .
$$

Then the $c_{i}$ and $h(a)$ generate $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1}$. As $F$ is a formal group law, we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_{i} X^{i} Y^{n-i}=\alpha C_{n}(X, Y)$ (compare [ $\mathbf{H}$, Lemma 1.6.6]). Note that we need here that we consider elements in $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}$ and not in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}$ itself. In particular, $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1}$ is generated by $\alpha$ and $h(a)$. The condition that $\gamma: \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(F)$ is a homomorphism implies that modulo $(X, Y)^{n+1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[a b]_{n-1}(X)+h(a b) X^{n}=[a]_{n-1}\left([b]_{n-1}(X)\right.} & \left.+h(b) X^{n}\right)+h(a)(b X)^{n} \\
F_{n-1}\left([a]_{n-1}(X)+h(a) X^{n},[b]_{n-1}(X)+h(b) X^{n}\right) & +\alpha C_{n}(a X, b X) \\
& =[a+b]_{n-1}(X)+h(a+b) X^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[a]_{n-1}\left(F_{n-1}(X, Y)+\alpha C_{n}(X, Y)\right)+h(a)(X+Y)^{n}} \\
& \quad=F_{n-1}\left([a]_{n-1}(X)+h(a) X^{n},[a]_{n-1}(Y)+h(a) Y^{n}\right)+\alpha C_{n}(a X, a Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

In $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1}$ this leads to the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
a h(b)+b^{n} h(a) & =h(a b)  \tag{1.1}\\
h(a+b)-h(a)-h(b) & =\alpha C_{n}(a, b)  \tag{1.2}\\
\left(a^{n}-a\right) \alpha & = \begin{cases}h(a) & \text { if } n \text { is not a power of a prime } \\
h(a) p^{\prime} & \text { if } n=p^{\prime \prime},\end{cases} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and these are all relations between the generators $\alpha, h(a)$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1}$. If $n$ is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, then (1.3) shows that each $h(a)$ is a multiple of $\alpha$. If $n$ is a power of $p$ (where $q=p^{l}$ ) but not of $q$ itself, then there exists an $a \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ with $a^{n}-a \notin(\pi)$. From (1.1) we obtain $\left(a^{n}-a\right) h(b)=\left(b^{n}-b\right) h(a)$, thus $h(b)$ is a multiple of $h(a)$. Finally (1.2) shows that $\alpha$ is also a multiple of $h(a)$. Now let $n$ be a power of $q$. By choosing $h(a) \mapsto\left(a^{n}-a\right) / \pi$ and $\alpha \mapsto p / \pi$ we define an epimorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K}$. It is well defined as (1.1)-(1.3) are the only relations of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1}$. It remains to prove that $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1}$ is generated by $h(\pi)$. Let $M=\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1} /(h(\pi))$, and denote by $\bar{x} \in M$ the image of $x \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1}$. Then (1.1) shows that $\pi \overline{h(b)}=\overline{h(\pi b)}=\pi^{n} \overline{h(b)}$, thus $\overline{h(\pi b)}=0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Besides, (1.3) shows $\left(\pi^{n}-\pi\right) \bar{\alpha}=\overline{h(\pi) p}=0$, hence $\pi \bar{\alpha}=0$, and $M$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space. As $n$ is a power of $q$, (1.1) reduces to $a \overline{h(b)}+b \overline{h(a)}=\overline{h(a b)}$. This shows

$$
\overline{h(a)}=\overline{h\left(a^{n}\right)}=n \overline{a^{n-1} h(a)}=0
$$

for all $a$. Then (1.2) implies that $C_{n}(a, b) \bar{\alpha}=0$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. By [H, Lemma 21.3.2], there is an $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ with $C_{n}(x, 1) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Thus $\bar{\alpha}=0$ and $M=0$.

Hence in all cases $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{n-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{K}$, and we have an epimorphism of graded algebras $\mathcal{O}_{K}\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots\right] \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}$. Here $g_{i}$ is a lift of a generator of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{i}$. The construction of the isomorphism $\Lambda_{K} \cong K\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right]$ in Lemma 1.3 implies that the canonical morphism $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} \otimes K \rightarrow K\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right]$ which is compatible with the grading is also surjective. Comparing dimensions one sees that the epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_{K}\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots\right] \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}$ is an isomorphism.

## 2. Heights

Let $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ be as above and let $R$ be a local $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-algebra of characteristic $p$ with residue field $k$.

Lemma 2.1. - Let $F, G$ be formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules over $R$ and let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F, G) \backslash\{0\}$. Then there is a unique integer $h=\operatorname{ht}(\alpha) \geq 0$ and $\beta \in R[[X]]$ with $\alpha(X)=\beta\left(X^{q^{h}}\right)$ and $\beta^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. The integer $h$ is called the height $\operatorname{ht}(\alpha)$ of $\alpha$.

This lemma is analogous to the corresponding result over a field, compare $[\mathbf{H}$, 18.3.1]. For $\alpha=0$ we set $\operatorname{ht}(\alpha)=\infty$.

Proof. - We first show that $\alpha(X)=\beta\left(X^{p^{n}}\right)$ for some $\beta$ with $\beta^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. To do this we assume $\alpha(X) \neq 0$ with $(\partial \alpha / \partial X)(0)=0$ and show that $\alpha(X)=\beta\left(X^{p}\right)$ for some homomorphism $\beta$ of (not necessarily the same) formal group laws. The claim then follows by induction.

Partial differentiation of $\alpha(F(X, Y))=G(\alpha(X), \alpha(Y))$ with respect to $Y$ gives

$$
\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial X}(F(X, Y)) \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}(X, Y)=\frac{\partial G}{\partial Y}(\alpha(X), \alpha(Y)) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial X}(Y)
$$

Substituting $Y=0$ and using $(\partial \alpha / \partial X)(0)=0$ we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial X}(X) \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}(X, 0)=0
$$

As $(\partial F / \partial Y)(X, 0)=1+a_{1} X+\cdots \in R[[X]]^{\times}$, we obtain $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial X}(X)=0$. Hence $\alpha(X)=$ $\beta\left(X^{p}\right)$ for some $\beta \in R[[X]]$. Let $\sigma_{*} F$ be the formal group law obtained from $F$ by raising each coefficient to the $p$ th power. Then an easy calculation shows that $\beta$ is a homomorphism from $\sigma_{*} F$ to $G$.

We now have to show that $p^{n}$ is a power of $q$. Let $a \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Then

$$
[a]_{G}(\alpha(X))=\alpha\left([a]_{F}(X)\right)=\beta^{\prime}(0) i(a)^{p^{n}} X^{p^{n}}+\cdots
$$

and on the other hand

$$
[a]_{G}(\alpha(X))=\beta^{\prime}(0) i(a) X^{p^{n}}+\cdots .
$$

This implies $\beta^{\prime}(0)\left(i(a)-i\left(a^{p^{n}}\right)\right)=0$ with $\beta^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, hence $i(a)-i\left(a^{p^{n}}\right)=i\left(a-a^{p^{n}}\right)$ maps to 0 in $k$. Thus $a^{p^{n}}=a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $p^{n}$ is a power of $q$.

Definition 2.2. - The height of a formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $F$ over $R$ is

$$
\operatorname{ht}(F)= \begin{cases}h & \text { if }[\pi]_{F} \text { has height } h \\ \infty & \text { if }[\pi]_{F}=0\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2.3. - This definition is different from the definition of height of a formal module given in $[\mathbf{H}]$, where it is defined as the height of the reduction of the module over the residue field.

Lemma 2.4. - Let $R$ be as above and let $\left(F, \gamma_{F}\right)$ be the formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module corresponding to a homomorphism $\varphi: \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} \rightarrow R$. Then $\operatorname{ht}(F)=\min \left\{i \mid \varphi\left(g_{q^{i}-1}\right) \neq 0\right\}$.

Proof. - In the proof of Theorem 1.4 we identified the generator $g_{q^{i}-1}$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{q^{i}-1}$ with the coefficient of $X^{q^{i}}$ of $[\pi](X)$.

The following lemma reduces the examination of formal modules over fields and of their deformations to formal modules of an especially simple form. For a proof see [D, Prop. 1.7].

Lemma 2.5. - Let $(F, \gamma)$ be a formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $h<\infty$ over a separably closed field $k$ of characteristic $p>0$. Then $F$ is isomorphic to a formal module ( $F^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}$ ) over $k$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\prime}(X, Y) & \equiv X+Y \quad\left(\bmod \operatorname{deg} q^{h}\right) \\
{[a]_{F^{\prime}}(X) } & \equiv a X \quad\left(\bmod \operatorname{deg} q^{h}\right), \\
{[\pi]_{F^{\prime}}(X) } & =X^{q^{h}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Such modules are called normal modules.
Fix an integer $h>1$ and let $F_{0}$ be a formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $h$ over $k$. Assume that $R$ is a local artinian $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-algebra with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k$. Let $I \triangleleft R$ be an ideal. We set $\bar{R}=R / I$. If $F$ is a lift of $F_{0}$ over $R$, we set $\bar{F}:=F \otimes_{R} \bar{R}$.

Lemma 2.6. - Let $F, G$ be lifts of $F_{0}$ over $R$. Then the reduction map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F, G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{F}, \bar{G}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is injective.
Proof. - The reduction map in (2.1) is the composition of finitely many maps

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{R_{n+1}}\left(F \otimes R_{n+1}, G \otimes R_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{n}}\left(F \otimes R_{n}, G \otimes R_{n}\right),
$$

where $R_{n}=R / I_{n}$ with $I_{n}=I \cap \mathfrak{m}^{n}$. We may therefore assume that $\mathfrak{m} \cdot I=0$. Then $I$ is a finite dimensional $k$-vector space, and we have $I^{2}=0$. Let $\alpha(X)=a_{1} X+a_{2} X^{2}+\ldots$ be a homomorphism from $F$ to $G$ such that $\alpha(X) \equiv 0(\bmod I)$. We get

$$
\alpha\left([\pi]_{F}(X)\right)=[\pi]_{G}(\alpha(X))=0 .
$$

Since $\operatorname{ht}\left(F_{0}\right)<\infty$, we have $[\pi]_{F}(X) \neq 0(\bmod \mathfrak{m})$, thus $\alpha=0$ which proves the lemma.

From now on we may consider $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F, G)$ as a subset of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{F}, \bar{G})$.

## 3. Deformations of modules, formal cohomology

Let $F$ be a formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $h<\infty$ over $k$, and let $M$ be a finite dimensional $k$-vector space. A symmetric 2-cocycle of $F$ with coefficients in $M$ is a
collection of power series $\Delta(X, Y) \in M[[X, Y]]$ and $\left\{\delta_{a}(X) \in M[[X]]\right\}_{a \in \mathcal{O}_{K}}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(X, Y) & =\Delta(Y, X)  \tag{3.1}\\
\Delta(X, Y)+\Delta(F(X, Y), Z) & =\Delta(Y, Z)+\Delta(X, F(Y, Z))  \tag{3.2}\\
\delta_{a}(X)+\delta_{a}(Y)+\Delta\left([a]_{F}(X),[a]_{F}(Y)\right) & =i(a) \Delta(X, Y)+\delta_{a}(F(X, Y))  \tag{3.3}\\
\delta_{a}(X)+\delta_{b}(X)+\Delta\left([a]_{F}(X),[b]_{F}(X)\right) & =\delta_{a+b}(X)  \tag{3.4}\\
i(a) \delta_{b}(X)+\delta_{a}\left([b]_{F}(X)\right) & =\delta_{a b}(X) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $\Psi \in M[[X]]$, the coboundary of $\Psi$ is the symmetric 2-cocycle ( $\Delta^{\Psi},\left\{\delta_{a}^{\Psi}\right\}$ ) with

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta^{\Psi}(X, Y) & =\Psi(F(X, Y))-\Psi(X)-\Psi(Y)  \tag{3.6}\\
\delta_{a}^{\Psi}(X) & =\Psi\left([a]_{F}(X)\right)-i(a) \Psi(X) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The coboundaries form a subspace of the vector space $Z^{2}(F, M)$ of symmetric 2cocycles. The quotient of the symmetric 2 -cocycles by the coboundaries is a $k$-vector space denoted $H^{2}(F, M)$.

The following lemma is due to Keating, see [K2, Lemma 2.1].
Lemma 3.1. - $A$ cocycle $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right) \in Z^{2}(F, M)$ is zero if and only if $\delta_{\pi}(X)=0$.
Proof. - If the cocyle is zero, then clearly $\delta_{\pi}(X)=0$. Assume conversely that $\delta_{\pi}(X)=0$. Substituting $a=\pi$ in (3.3) gives

$$
\Delta\left([\pi]_{F}(X),[\pi]_{F}(Y)\right)=0
$$

since $\delta_{\pi}(X)=0$ and $i(\pi)=0$. As $[\pi]_{F}(X) \neq 0$, this implies $\Delta(X, Y)=0$. Condition (3.5) with $a=\pi$ together with $\delta_{\pi}(X)=0$ shows $\delta_{\pi b}(X)=0$. The same formula with $b=\pi$ and $a$ arbitrary gives $\delta_{a}\left([\pi]_{F}(X)\right)=0$. This implies that $\delta_{a}(X)=0$, so all components of the cocycle are zero.

In the following let $R$ denote a local artinian $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-algebra with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k$. Let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ be an ideal with $\mathfrak{m} I=0$. Then $I$ is a $k$-vector space. We set $\bar{R}=R / I$. If $F_{0}$ is a formal module over $k$ and $F$ is a lift of $F_{0}$ over $R$, denote by $\bar{F}=F \otimes_{R} \bar{R}$ the reduction modulo $I$. The reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ of power series over $R$ is denoted by $\cdot{ }^{*}$.

Proposition 3.2. - In the setting above let $F_{0}$ be a formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over $k$ and let $F, G \in R[[X, Y]]$ be formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules with $F^{*}=G^{*}=F_{0}$. For $\varphi(X) \in R[[X]]$ let $\bar{\varphi} \in \bar{R}[[X]]$ be the image. Assume that $\bar{\varphi}$ is a homomorphism from $\bar{F}$ to $\bar{G}$. Then

1. There is an element of $Z^{2}\left(F_{0}, I\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =\varphi(F(X, Y))-{ }_{G} \varphi(X)-{ }_{G} \varphi(Y) \\
\delta_{a} & =\varphi\left([a]_{F}(X)\right)-{ }_{G}[a]_{G}(\varphi(X)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}_{a}\right)=0$ if and only if $\varphi(X) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F, G)$.
3. The class of $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}_{a}\right)$ in $H^{2}\left(F_{0}, I\right)$ is independent of the choice of the lift $\varphi$ of $\bar{\varphi}$. It vanishes if and only if $\bar{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F, G) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{F}, \bar{G})$. If $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right)$ is the coboundary of $\psi$, the lift of $\bar{\varphi}$ to a homomorphism over $R$ is given by $\varphi-{ }_{G} \psi$.

Proof. - Applying $\varphi$ to the left hand side of the associativity law for $F$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X+{ }_{F} Y\right)+{ }_{F} Z=X+{ }_{F}\left(Y+_{F} Z\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the definition of $\Delta$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(X)+{ }_{G} \varphi(Y)+{ }_{G} \varphi(Z)+{ }_{G} \Delta(X, Y)+{ }_{G} \Delta\left(X+{ }_{F} Y, Z\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\varphi$ to the right hand side of (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(X)+{ }_{G} \varphi(Y)+{ }_{G} \varphi(Z)+{ }_{G} \Delta\left(X, Y+_{F} Z\right)+_{G} \Delta(Y, Z) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.10) and (3.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(X, Y)+_{G} \Delta\left(X+_{F} Y, Z\right)=\Delta\left(X, Y+_{F} Z\right)+_{G} \Delta(Y, Z) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the assumption $\mathfrak{m} \cdot I=0$, we see that (3.11) implies the second cocycle rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(X, Y)+\Delta\left(X+F_{0} Y, Z\right)=\Delta\left(X, Y+_{F_{0}} Z\right)+\Delta(Y, Z) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other cocycle rules are proved in a similar manner, replacing (3.8) by the commutativity resp. the distributivity law of $F$. This proves 1 .

Part 2 of the proposition is a straightforward consequence of the definition of $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right)$. To prove 3., we continue with the notation used in the proof of 1 . Let $\varphi^{\prime}(X)$ be another lift of $\bar{\varphi}$, and let $\left(\Delta^{\prime} ;\left\{\delta_{a}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ be the cocycle it defines. We can write $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi+{ }_{G} \psi$, with $\psi \in I[[X]]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\prime}\left([\pi]_{F}(X)\right) & =[\pi]_{G}(\varphi(X))+{ }_{G} \delta_{\pi}(X)+{ }_{G} \psi\left([\pi]_{F}(X)\right) \\
& =[\pi]_{G}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(X)\right)+{ }_{G}\left(\delta_{\pi}(X)+{ }_{G} \psi\left([\pi]_{F}(X)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second equality we have used that $I \mathfrak{m}=0$. We conclude that $\delta_{\pi}^{\prime}(X)-\delta_{\pi}(X)=$ $\psi\left([\pi]_{F}(X)\right)$ is the $\pi$-component of the coboundary of $\psi$. Then Lemma 3.1 implies that the two cocycles differ by the coboundary of $\psi$. Hence $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right)$ and $\left(\Delta^{\prime} ;\left\{\delta_{a}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ lie in the same class in $H^{2}\left(F_{0}, I\right)$. It follows from 2. that this class vanishes if and only if $\bar{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F, G)$. This completes the proof of 3 . and the proposition.
Lemma 3.3. - In the setting of Proposition 3.2 let $(F, \gamma)$ be a lift of $F_{0}$ to $R$ and let $\bar{F}$ be the reduction to $\bar{R}$.

1. Proposition 3.2 defines a bijection between deformations of $\bar{F}$ to $R$ and cocycles in $Z^{2}\left(F_{0}, I\right)$. Its inverse is given by assigning to $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right)$ the deformation $F_{\Delta}(X, Y)=X+{ }_{F} Y+{ }_{F} \Delta(X, Y)$ and $\gamma_{\delta}(a)=\gamma(a)+_{F} \delta_{a}$.
2. Two cocycles are in the same cohomology class if and only if the corresponding deformations are isomorphic via an isomorphism which lifts the identity of $\bar{F}$.

Proof. - For the first assertion we have to check that $\left(F_{\Delta}, \gamma_{\delta}\right)$ is a formal module. From $I^{2}=0$ we obtain that the equations (3.1) to (3.5) also hold with $F$ replaced by $F_{\Delta}$. These equations immediately imply that $\left(F_{\Delta}, \gamma_{\delta}\right)$ is a formal module. For $F_{\Delta}, F$ and $\varphi=X$ we obtain the cocycle $\left(\Delta,\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right)$. Then the second assertion follows from Proposition 3.2, 3.

Corollary 3.4. - Let $F_{0}, R$, and $I$ be as above with $\operatorname{char}(R)=p, \operatorname{ht}\left(F_{0}\right)=h$, and $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right) \in Z^{2}\left(F_{0}, I\right)$.

1. Let $g \leq h$. Then $\delta_{\pi}(X) \equiv 0\left(\bmod X^{q^{g-1}+1}\right)$ if and only if $\delta_{\pi} \in I\left[\left[X^{q^{g}}\right]\right]$.
2. The following are equivalent:
(a) The cocycle $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right)$ is the coboundary of some $\psi(X) \in I[[X]]$.
(b) $\delta_{\pi} \in I\left[\left[X^{q^{h}}\right]\right]$.
(c) Let $(F, \gamma)$ be a lift of $F_{0}$ to a formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over $R$. Then the identity of $\bar{F}$ lifts to an isomorphism between $(F, \gamma)$ and $\left(F_{\Delta}, \gamma_{\delta}\right)$.
If these conditions are satisfied, $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right)$ is the coboundary of $\psi=d \circ \beta^{-1}$ where $d\left(X^{q^{h}}\right)=\delta_{\pi}(X)$ and $\beta\left(X^{q^{h}}\right)=[\pi]_{F_{0}}(X)$.

Proof. - If $\delta_{\pi}(X) \equiv 0\left(\bmod X^{q^{g-1}+1}\right)$ then

$$
[\pi]_{F_{\Delta}}(X)=\delta_{\pi}(X)+_{F}[\pi]_{F}(X) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod \left(X^{q^{g-1}+1}\right)\right),
$$

thus $\operatorname{ht}\left(F_{\Delta}\right)>g-1$. This shows that $\delta_{\pi}(X)=[\pi]_{F_{\Delta}}(X)-{ }_{F}[\pi]_{F}(X)$ is a power series in $X^{q^{g}}$. The other assertion of 1 . is trivial. The equivalence of (a) and (c) of 2. follows from Lemma 3.3. From Lemma 3.1 we see that $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right)=\left(\Delta^{\psi} ;\left\{\delta_{a}^{\psi}\right\}\right)$ for some $\psi$ if and only if $\delta_{\pi}(X)=\delta_{\pi}^{\psi}(X)=\psi\left([\pi]_{F}(X)\right)=\psi\left([\pi]_{F_{0}}(X)\right)$. Here the last two equations follow from $I \mathfrak{m}=0$. As $\operatorname{ht}\left(F_{0}\right)=h$, this implies (b). On the other hand assume (b) and let $d\left(X^{q^{h}}\right)=\delta_{\pi}(X)$ and $\beta\left(X^{q^{h}}\right)=[\pi]_{F_{0}}(X)$. Then the $\pi$-component of the coboundary of $\psi=d \circ \beta^{-1}$ is $\delta_{\pi}$.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}$ be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Denote by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]]=\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{h-1}\right]\right]$ the power series ring over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}$ in $h-1$ variables. Let $k=\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r} /(\pi)$.

Lemma 3.5. - Let $\left(F, \gamma_{F}\right)$ be a normal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over $k$ of height $h<\infty$. Then there exists a formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]]$ which over $k$ reduces to $F$ with the following property: For $1 \leq i \leq h-1$ denote by $\left(\Gamma_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$ the reduction to $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]] /\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i}(\pi)(X) \equiv \pi X+t_{i} X^{q^{i}} \quad\left(\bmod \operatorname{deg}\left(q^{i}+1\right)\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - The module $F$ corresponds to a map $\bar{\varphi}: \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{K}\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots\right] \rightarrow k$ with $g_{i} \mapsto 0$ for all $i<q^{h}-1$. Let $\varphi: \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}$ be a lift with the same property. We choose

$$
f_{i}= \begin{cases}t_{j} & \text { if } i=q^{j}-1 \text { with } 1 \leq j<h-1 \\ \varphi\left(g_{i}\right) & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be the formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module corresponding to the map $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]]$ which maps $g_{i}$ to $f_{i}$. Then for $\left(\Gamma_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$ we see that $g_{q^{i}-1}$ is the first generator which is mapped to a nonzero element in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]] /\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}\right)$. From the description of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{q^{i}-1}$ in the proof of Theorem 1.4 we see that $\gamma_{i}(\pi)(X)$ has the desired form.

Note that a proof of this result can also be found in [GH, Section 12].
Let $\left(F, \gamma_{F}\right)$ be a normal formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $h<\infty$ over $k$. Let $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ be the deformation over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]]$ defined in Lemma 3.5. Let $\left(\Gamma^{i}, \gamma^{i}\right)$ be the reduction of $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ to $k\left[\left[t_{i}\right]\right] /\left(t_{i}\right)^{2}=R_{i}$ and let $\left(F, \gamma_{F}\right)_{R_{i}}$ be the base change of $\left(F, \gamma_{F}\right)$ to $R_{i}$.

Proposition 3.6. - For $F$ as above we have $\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{2}(F, k)=h-1$. The cocycles $\left(\Delta^{i} ;\left\{\delta_{a}^{i}\right\}\right)$ associated to the pairs of deformations $\left(F, \gamma_{F}\right)_{R_{i}}$ and $\left(\Gamma^{i}, \gamma^{i}\right)$ with values in $t_{i} R_{i} \cong k$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\pi}^{i} \equiv t_{i} X^{q^{i}} \quad\left(\bmod \operatorname{deg} q^{i}+1\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their classes form a basis for $H^{2}(F, k)$.
Proof. - Equation (3.14) immediately follows from (3.13). Corollary 3.4, 2. shows that the $\pi$-components of coboundaries are power series in $X^{q^{h}}$. Thus (3.14) implies that the classes of the cocycles $\left(\Delta^{i} ;\left\{\delta_{a}^{i}\right\}\right)$ are linearly independent in $H^{2}(F, k)$. Let $\left(\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right) \in H^{2}(F, k)$. Then by Corollary 3.4, 1., $\delta_{\pi}$ is of the form $\beta\left(X^{q^{g}}\right)$ with $\beta^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. If $g<h$ we subtract a suitable multiple of $\left(\Delta^{g} ;\left\{\delta_{a}^{g}\right\}\right)$ to annihilate the coefficient of $X^{q^{g}}$. In this way we can inductively represent the cocycle ( $\left.\Delta ;\left\{\delta_{a}\right\}\right)$ as a linear combination of the $\left(\Delta^{i} ;\left\{\delta_{a}^{i}\right\}\right)$ plus a cocycle whose $\pi$-component is congruent to 0 modulo $X^{q^{h-1}+1}$. Hence by Corollary 3.4, the cohomology class is a linear combination of the classes of the $\left(\Delta^{i} ;\left\{\delta_{a}^{i}\right\}\right)$.

Definition 3.7. - Let $R$ be a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. For a power series $f$ with coefficients in $R$ let $f^{*}$ be the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}$. A $*$-isomorphism between $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules $F, G$ over $R$ is an isomorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F, G)$ with $\varphi^{*}(X)=X$.

Let $F$ be a fixed $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $h<\infty$ over $k=\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r} /(\pi)$. We consider the functor $\mathcal{D}_{F}$ which assigns to each complete local noetherian $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}$-algebra $R$ with residue field $k$ and maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ the set of $*$-isomorphism classes of formal $\mathcal{O}_{K^{-}}$ modules over $R$ that modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ reduce to $F$.

Theorem 3.8 (Universal deformation). - Let $\left(F, \gamma_{F}\right)$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over $k$ of height $h<\infty$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{F}$ is represented by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]]$.

Proof. - As $k$ is separably closed, Lemma 2.5 shows that we may assume ( $F, \gamma_{F}$ ) to be normal. Let $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ be the deformation over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]]$ of Lemma 3.5. Let $\left(\Phi, \gamma_{\Phi}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{D}_{F}(R)$ for some complete local noetherian $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}$-algebra $R$ with residue field $k$ and maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. As $R$ is complete, it is enough to show that for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$ the following holds: If the projection $\Phi_{r}$ of $\Phi$ to $R / \mathfrak{m}^{r}$ corresponds to a homomorphism $\varphi_{r}: \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]] \rightarrow R / \mathfrak{m}^{r}$, then there is a unique lift $\varphi_{r+1}: \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K}^{n r}[[t]] \rightarrow R / \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$ of $\varphi_{r}$ corresponding to $\Phi_{r+1}$.

Let $\psi$ be any lift of $\varphi_{r}$ to $R / \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}[[X]]$. Then the pair of deformations $\psi(\Gamma, \gamma)$, $\left(\Phi_{r+1}, \gamma_{\Phi_{r+1}}\right)$ corresponds to an element of $H^{2}\left(F, \mathfrak{m}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}\right)$, hence to a uniquely defined linear combination of the $\Delta^{i}$ with coefficients $a_{i}$ in $\mathfrak{m}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$. Let $\varphi_{r+1}\left(t_{i}\right)=$ $\psi\left(t_{i}\right)+a_{i}$. Then by Corollary 3.4, the deformations $\Phi_{r+1}$ and $\varphi_{r+1}(\Gamma, \gamma)$ of $F$ over $R / \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$ are isomorphic via an isomorphism which lifts the given isomorphism over $R / \mathfrak{m}^{r}$. As the classes of the $\Delta^{i}$ are linearly independent, $\varphi_{r+1}$ is unique.
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