

8. CANONICAL AND QUASI-CANONICAL LIFTINGS

by

Stefan Wewers

Abstract. — The present note gives a detailed account of the paper of Gross on canonical and quasi-canonical liftings. These are liftings of formal \mathcal{O} -modules with extra endomorphisms, and thus correspond to CM-points in the universal deformation space.

Résumé (Relèvements canoniques et quasi-canoniques). — Nous donnons un exposé détaillé des travaux de Gross sur les relèvements canoniques et quasi-canoniques des \mathcal{O} -modules formels, qui correspondent aux points CM dans l'espace de déformations universel.

The present note gives a detailed account of Gross' paper [G] on canonical and quasi-canonical liftings. We make heavy use of results of Lubin and Tate [LT2] and Drinfeld [D] which are reviewed in [VZ]. All the results presented here have been generalized to the case of arbitrary finite height by J. K. Yu [Yu].

I thank Eva Viehmann, Inken Vollaard and Michael Rapoport for careful proof-reading and helpful discussions.

1. Canonical lifts

In this section we study canonical lifts of a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module of height two with respect to a quadratic extension L/K . In particular, we prove the first main result of [G] which computes the endomorphism ring of the reduction of a canonical lift modulo some power of the prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 14L05, 14K22.

Key words and phrases. — Formal \mathcal{O} -modules, canonical liftings, Lubin-Tate theory.

1.1. Throughout this note, K denotes a field which is complete with respect to a discrete valuation v , and whose residue class field is finite, with $q = p^f$ elements. We denote by \mathcal{O}_K the ring of integers of K . We fix a prime element π of K , and we assume that $v(\pi) = 1$.

Let $i : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow R$ be an \mathcal{O}_K -algebra. Recall that a *formal \mathcal{O}_K -module over R* is given by a commutative formal group law $F(X, Y) = X + Y + \cdots \in R[[X, Y]]$ together with a ring homomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \text{End}_R(F)$ such that the induced map $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \text{End}_R(\text{Lie} F) \cong R$ is equal to the structure map i . Whenever this is not likely to be confusing, we will omit the maps i and γ from the notation. Given an element $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we write $[a]_F(X) = i(a)X + \cdots \in R[[X]]$ for the corresponding endomorphism of F .

If F_1, F_2 are two formal \mathcal{O}_K -modules over R , we write $\text{Hom}_R(F_1, F_2)$ for the group of homomorphisms $\alpha : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ of formal \mathcal{O}_K -modules, *i.e.*, \mathcal{O}_K -linear homomorphisms of formal groups. Similarly, $\text{End}_R(F)$ denotes the (in general non-commutative) ring of \mathcal{O}_K -linear endomorphisms of F . Note that $\text{End}_R(F)$ is an \mathcal{O}_K -algebra.

1.2. Let k be an algebraic closure of the residue class field of \mathcal{O}_K . We regard k as an \mathcal{O}_K -algebra, and write $\bar{a} \in k$ for the image of an element $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

Let G be a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module over k and let $\alpha \in k[[X]]$ be an endomorphism of G , with $\alpha \neq 0$. By [VZ, Lemma 2.1], there exists an integer $h = \text{ht}(\alpha) \geq 0$, called the *height* of α , such that $\alpha(X) = \beta(X^{q^h})$, with $\beta'(0) \neq 0$. It is easy to check that the function $\text{ht} : \text{End}_k(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ (we set $\text{ht}(0) := \infty$) is a valuation on the \mathcal{O}_K -algebra $\text{End}_k(G)$. We say that the formal \mathcal{O}_K -module G has *height* h , if the endomorphism $[\pi]_G$ has height h . In other words, the restriction of the valuation ht *via* the structure map $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \text{End}_k(G)$ is equal to $h^{-1} \cdot v$.

We recall the following fundamental result.

Theorem 1.1. — *For each natural number h , there exists a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module G over k of height h . It is unique up to isomorphism. The ring $\text{End}_k(G)$ is isomorphic to the maximal order \mathcal{O}_D of a division algebra D of dimension h^2 over K , with invariant $\text{inv}(D) = 1/h$.*

Proof. — (Compare with [D], Proposition 1.7.) The existence of G follows from Lubin-Tate theory, as follows. Let L/K be the unramified extension of degree h . Extend the algebra map $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow k$ to \mathcal{O}_L , which gives k the structure of an \mathcal{O}_L -algebra. Let F be the Lubin-Tate module of \mathcal{O}_L with respect to the prime element π , *i.e.*, the (unique) formal \mathcal{O}_L -module over \mathcal{O}_L such that $[\pi]_F = \pi X + X^{q^h}$, see [LT1]. By restriction, we may regard F as a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module. Then $G := F \otimes k$ is a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module of height h over k .

The uniqueness of G is more difficult. See *e.g.* [H, Theorem 21.9.1].

Let us sketch a proof of the last statement of Theorem 1.1. Set $H := \text{End}_k(G)$. We may assume that G is the reduction to k of the Lubin–Tate module for \mathcal{O}_L , where L/K is unramified of degree h . Since the natural map $\mathcal{O}_L = \text{End}(F) \rightarrow H$ is injective (see [VZ, Lemma 2.6]), we have $\mathcal{O}_L \subset H$. By construction, the group law $G(X, Y) = X + Y + \dots$ and the endomorphisms $[a]_G(X) = \bar{a}X + \dots$, for $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, are power series with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q . Moreover, we have $[\pi]_G(X) = X^{q^h}$. Hence the polynomial $\Pi(X) := X^q$ defines an element $\Pi \in H$ with $\Pi^h = \pi$. One checks that

$$\Pi([a]_G(X)) = [a^\sigma]_G(\Pi(X)),$$

where $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is the Frobenius. From there, it is easy to see that the subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_D := \mathcal{O}_L[\Pi]$ of H is the maximal order of a division algebra D of dimension h^2 over K , with invariant $1/h$. It remains to be shown that $\mathcal{O}_D = H$.

Let $\alpha(X) = \bar{a}X + \dots$ be an element of H . Since α commutes with $[\pi]_G(X) = X^{q^h}$, the coefficients of α lie in $\mathbb{F}_{q^h} = \mathcal{O}_L/\pi\mathcal{O}_L$. Let $a \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be a lift of \bar{a} . Then $\alpha - [a]_G$ is an endomorphism of G with positive height, and therefore lies in the left ideal $H \cdot \Pi \subset H$. We have shown that the natural map

$$\mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow H/(H \cdot \Pi)$$

is surjective. Now the desired equality $\mathcal{O}_D = H$ follows from the fact (which is easy to prove) that H is complete with respect to the Π -adic topology. \square

1.3. For the rest of this note, we fix a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module G of height two over k . By Theorem 1.1, G is uniquely determined, up to isomorphism, and $\mathcal{O}_D := \text{End}_k(G)$ is the maximal order in a quaternion division algebra D over K with invariant $1/2$.

Let L/K be a quadratic extension. Let π_L denote a prime element of L . By [S, §XIII.3, Corollaire 3], there exists a K -linear embedding $\kappa : L \hookrightarrow D$. It is unique up to conjugation by elements of D^\times . We choose one such embedding and consider L , from now on, as a subfield of D . Note that $\mathcal{O}_L \subset \mathcal{O}_D$. Via this last embedding, we may regard G as a formal \mathcal{O}_L -module over k . In particular, we obtain a map $\mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{Lie } G) = k$, which extends the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow k$.

Let A be the strict completion of \mathcal{O}_L with respect to k . In other words, A is the completion of the maximal unramified extension of \mathcal{O}_L , together with a morphism $A \rightarrow k$ extending the morphism $\mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow k$.

Definition 1.2. — A *canonical lift* of G with respect to the embedding $\kappa : L \hookrightarrow D$ is a lift F of G over A in the category of \mathcal{O}_L -modules.

In more detail, a canonical lift is a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module F over A , together with an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_K -modules $\lambda : F \otimes k \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ and an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_K -algebras $\gamma : \mathcal{O}_L \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}(F)$, such that the following holds. First, the composition of γ with the regular representation $\text{End}(F) \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{Lie } F) = A$ is the canonical inclusion $\mathcal{O}_L \subset A$. Second, the composition of γ with the inclusion $\text{End}(F) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(G) = \mathcal{O}_D$ induced by λ is equal to κ . Note that γ is uniquely determined by the lift F and the first

condition. We will omit it from our notation and simply write $[a]_F : F \rightarrow F$ for the endomorphism $\gamma(a)$. Also, the fixed embedding κ will mostly be understood, and we write $[a]_G : G \rightarrow G$ for the endomorphism $\kappa(a)$.

Since G has height one as an \mathcal{O}_L -module, it follows from [VZ, Theorem 3.8], that a canonical lift F is uniquely determined, up to $*$ -isomorphism, by the embedding κ . On the other hand, using Lubin-Tate theory and the uniqueness statement of Theorem 1.1, we also conclude that a canonical lift F exists, for any choice of κ . So it is justified to speak about *the* canonical lift F of G , with respect to κ . By choosing a suitable parameter X for F , we may always assume that

$$[\pi_L]_F(X) = \pi_L X + X^{q^{2/e}},$$

where e is the ramification index of the extension L/K .

1.4. Let F be the canonical lift of G over A , with respect to a fixed embedding $\kappa : L \hookrightarrow D$. For any positive integer n , we set

$$A_n := A/\pi_L^{n+1}A, \quad F_n := F \otimes_A A_n, \quad H_n := \text{End}_{A_n}(F_n).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_L \subset H_n$ for all n , we may consider the rings H_n as left \mathcal{O}_L -modules. We have a sequence of \mathcal{O}_L -linear maps, which are injective by [VZ, Lemma 2.6]:

$$H_n \hookrightarrow H_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow H_0 = \mathcal{O}_D.$$

We shall consider H_n as an \mathcal{O}_L -submodules of \mathcal{O}_D . Since A is complete, we have

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 0} H_n = \mathcal{O}_L.$$

By [VZ, Proposition 3.2], we have an injective map

$$H_{n-1}/H_n \hookrightarrow H^2(G, M_n),$$

where $M_n := (\pi_L^n)/(\pi_L^{n+1})$.

Lemma 1.3. — *Fix $n \geq 1$ and let α be an element of $H_{n-1} - H_n$. Then $[\pi_L]_G \circ \alpha \in H_n - H_{n+1}$. In other words, multiplication with π_L induces an injective homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_L -modules*

$$H_{n-1}/H_n \hookrightarrow H_n/H_{n+1}.$$

Proof. — We may represent α by a power series $\alpha(X) \in A[[X]]$, without constant coefficient, whose reduction modulo π_L^n is an endomorphism of F_{n-1} . We write α_n for the reduction of α modulo π_L^{n+1} . Set

$$\epsilon := \alpha \circ [\pi]_F -_F [\pi]_F \circ \alpha.$$

Since α_{n-1} is an endomorphism of F_{n-1} , we have $\epsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_L^n}$. Moreover, if $(\Delta, \{\delta_a\}) \in Z^2(G, M_n)$ denotes the cocycle associated to α_n by [VZ, Proposition 3.2], then we have

$$\epsilon \equiv \delta_\pi \pmod{\pi_L^{n+1}}.$$

By assumption, the endomorphism α_{n-1} of F_{n-1} cannot be lifted to an endomorphism of F_n . Therefore, Corollary 3.4 of [VZ] shows that $\epsilon(X) = cX^q + \dots$, with $c \in (\pi_L^n) - (\pi_L^{n+1})$.

Set

$$\epsilon' := [\pi_L]_F \circ \alpha \circ [\pi]_F -_F [\pi]_F \circ [\pi_L]_F \circ \alpha.$$

Since $[\pi_L]_F$ is an endomorphism of F , we actually have $\epsilon' = [\pi_L]_F \circ \epsilon$. Using our assumption $[\pi_L]_F(X) = \pi_L X + X^{q^{2/c}}$ and the congruence $\epsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_L^n}$, we see that

$$\epsilon' = \pi_L c X^q + \dots \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_L^{n+1}}.$$

By [VZ, Corollary 3.4], this implies that $[\pi_L]_F \circ \alpha_n$ is an endomorphism of F_n , i.e., $[\pi_L] \circ \alpha \in H_n$. Moreover, if $(\Delta', \{\delta'_a\}) \in Z^2(G, M_{n+1})$ denotes the cocycle associated to $[\pi_L] \circ \alpha_{n+1}$, then we have

$$\epsilon' \equiv \delta'_\pi \pmod{\pi_L^{n+2}}.$$

Since $\pi_L c \in (\pi_L^{n+1}) - (\pi_L^{n+2})$, Corollary 3.4 of [VZ] shows that $[\pi_L]_F \circ \alpha_n$ cannot be lifted to an endomorphism of F_n . This means that $[\pi_L] \circ \alpha \notin H_{n+1}$. \square

We can now prove the main result of this section (Proposition 3.3 in [G]).

Theorem 1.4. — *For $n \geq 1$ we have $H_n = \mathcal{O}_L + \pi_L^n \mathcal{O}_D$.*

Proof. — Each group H_n is a submodule of the free rank-two \mathcal{O}_L -module \mathcal{O}_D and contains the direct factor $\mathcal{O}_L \subset \mathcal{O}_D$. Therefore, the quotients H_{n-1}/H_n are cyclic \mathcal{O}_L -modules. By Lemma 1.3, these quotients are killed by π_L . Hence H_{n-1}/H_n is either 0 or isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_L/\pi_L \mathcal{O}_L$. We claim that only the second case occurs. The case $n = 1$ is dealt with in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.5. — *We have $H_1 \neq H_0 = \mathcal{O}_D$.*

We will prove this lemma in the next subsection. Lemma 1.3 says that left multiplication with π_L induces an *injective* map $H_{n-1}/H_n \hookrightarrow H_n/H_{n+1}$. So by induction on n , Lemma 1.5 and the arguments preceding it show that $H_n/H_{n+1} \cong \mathcal{O}_L/\pi_L \mathcal{O}_L$ for all n and that \mathcal{O}_D/H_n is an \mathcal{O}_L -module of length n , killed by π_L^n . The theorem follows immediately. \square

1.5. We are now going to prove Lemma 1.5. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: L/K is unramified. In this case, we may assume that $\pi_L = \pi$ and hence $[\pi]_F = \pi X + X^{q^2}$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_D = \mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L \cdot \Pi,$$

where $\Pi = X^q$, see the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i \geq q} a_i X^i \in A_1[[X]]$ be a lift of Π with leading term X^q . Let $(\Delta, \{\delta_a\}) \in Z^2(G, M_1)$ be the cocycle associated

to α . Using Taylor expansion, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\pi(X) &= \alpha([\pi]_{F_1}(X)) -_{F_1} [\pi]_{F_1}(\alpha(X)) \\ &= (\alpha(X^{q^2}) + \pi \cdot \alpha'(X^{q^2})X) -_{F_1} (\pi\alpha(X) + \alpha(X)^{q^2}) \\ &= -\pi X^q + \dots \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

(Here we use the notation $\alpha' := \partial\alpha/\partial X$.) Therefore, by [VZ, Corollary 3.4], we have $\Pi \notin H_1$.

Case 2: L/K is ramified. Then π_L satisfies an Eisenstein equation over \mathcal{O}_K , which we may normalize to

$$\pi_L^2 + a\pi_L + \pi = 0,$$

with $a \in \pi\mathcal{O}_K$. Assuming, as usual, that $[\pi_L]_F = \pi_L X + X^q$, a short computation yields the congruence

$$(1.1) \quad [\pi]_F(X) \equiv -\pi_L X^q - X^{q^2} + \dots \pmod{\pi}.$$

Let $j \in \mathcal{O}_D$ be an element which generates an unramified quadratic extension of K . We may assume that $j(X) = \bar{u}X + \dots$, where $\bar{u} \in k$ generates the quadratic extension of the residue class field of \mathcal{O}_K . Lift j to a power series $\alpha(X) = uX + \dots \in A_1[[X]]$ modulo π , and let $(\Delta, \{\delta_a\}) \in Z^2(G, M_1)$ be the associated cocycle. Then $u^q \not\equiv u \pmod{\pi_L}$. Using the congruence (1.1), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\pi(X) &= \alpha([\pi]_{F_1}(X)) -_{F_1} [\pi]_{F_1}(\alpha(X)) \\ &= (u(-\pi_L X^q - X^{q^2}) + \dots) -_{F_1} (-\pi_L \alpha(X)^q - \alpha(X)^{q^2}) \\ &= \pi_L(u^q - u)X^q + \dots\end{aligned}$$

As in Case 1, we use [VZ, Corollary 3.4], to conclude that $j \notin H_1$.

2. Isogenies and Tate modules

In this section we review the connection between the endomorphism ring and the isogeny classes of a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module on the one hand, and lattices inside the Tate module on the other hand. These results will be used in the following section on quasi-canonical lifts.

2.1. As in the previous sections, K denotes a field which is complete with respect to a discrete valuation and has a finite residue field of order $q = p^f$. We let k denote an algebraic closure of the residue field of K . Furthermore, A is a flat local \mathcal{O}_K -algebra which is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k , and M is the fraction field of A . We fix an algebraic closure \bar{M} of M .

Let F be a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module of finite height h over A (not necessarily a canonical lift). We write

$$\Lambda(F) := F(\bar{M})_{\text{tor}} = \cup_n F[\pi^n]$$

for the torsion subgroup of F and

$$T(F) := \varprojlim_n F[\pi^n]$$

for the Tate module of F . These are \mathcal{O}_K -modules with a continuous, \mathcal{O}_K -linear action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{M}/M)$. As \mathcal{O}_K -modules, we have non-canonical isomorphisms

$$\Lambda(F) \cong (K/\mathcal{O}_K)^h, \quad T(F) \cong \mathcal{O}_K^h.$$

Set $V(F) := T(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$; then we have a canonical short exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(\bar{M}/M)$ - \mathcal{O}_K -modules

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow T(F) \rightarrow V(F) \rightarrow \Lambda(F) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let A' be a finite extension of A , and let F' be a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module over A' . An *isogeny* between F and F' defined over A' is a nonzero homomorphism $\alpha : F \otimes_A A' \rightarrow F'$ of formal \mathcal{O}_K -modules. If such an isogeny exists, then we say that F' is *isogenous* to F (over A'). For simplicity, we shall write $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$, and consider α as a power series in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}[[X]]$ whose coefficients generate a finite extension of A . We say that α is defined over A' if $\alpha \in A'[[X]]$.

Given an isogeny $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$, we obtain a diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & N \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T(F) & \longrightarrow & V(F) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda(F) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow T(\alpha) & & \cong \downarrow V(\alpha) & & \downarrow \Lambda(\alpha) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T(F') & \longrightarrow & V(F') & \longrightarrow & \Lambda(F') \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Coker } T(\alpha) & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. Note that N is equal to the kernel of α ; it is a finite \mathcal{O}_K -submodule. A trivial version of the snake lemma shows that we have a canonical isomorphism $N \cong \text{Coker } T(\alpha)$.

The following theorem states that every finite \mathcal{O}_K -submodule of $\Lambda(F)$ arises as the kernel of an isogeny. More precisely:

Theorem 2.1. — *Let $N \subset \Lambda(F)$ be a finite \mathcal{O}_K -submodule, $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ the stabilizer of N , $M' \subset \bar{M}$ the fixed field of Γ' and A' the valuation ring of M' . Then the formula*

$$\alpha(X) := \prod_{z \in N} (X -_F z) \in A'[[X]]$$

defines an isogeny $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ over A' . It has the following properties.

1. $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = N$.

2. Let $\beta : F \rightarrow F''$ be an isogeny with $N \subset \text{Ker}(\beta)$. Then there exists a unique isogeny $\gamma : F' \rightarrow F''$ with $\beta = \gamma \circ \alpha$.

Proof. — See [H, §35.2]. □

2.2. It will be more convenient for us to reformulate Theorem 2.1 in terms of lattices $T' \subset V(F)$ (instead of finite subgroups $N \subset \Lambda(F)$). Let F be a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module of finite height over A . Set $T := T(F)$ and $V := V(F)$.

Corollary 2.2. — 1. Let $T' \subset V$ be an \mathcal{O}_K -lattice containing the lattice T (a superlattice). Then there exists an isogeny $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ such that $T' = V(\alpha)^{-1}(T(F'))$. If T'' is a superlattice of T' and $\beta : F' \rightarrow F''$ an isogeny with $T'' = V(\beta)^{-1}(T(F''))$, then there exists a unique isogeny $\gamma : F' \rightarrow F''$ such that $\beta = \gamma \circ \alpha$.

2. Let $T' \subset T$ be an \mathcal{O}_K -sublattice. Then there exists an isogeny $\alpha : F' \rightarrow F$ such that $T' = \text{Im}(T(\alpha))$. If $T'' \subset T'$ is another sublattice, and $\beta : F'' \rightarrow F'$ is an isogeny such that $T'' = \text{Im}(T(\beta))$, then there exists a unique isogeny $\gamma : F'' \rightarrow F'$ with $\beta = \alpha \circ \gamma$.

Proof. — Given T' as in Part 1, we set $N := T'/T$. Via the short exact sequence (2.1), we consider N as a (finite) \mathcal{O}_K -submodule of V . Let $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ be the isogeny with kernel N , which exists by Theorem 2.1.1. Then the diagram (2.2) shows that $T' = V(\alpha)^{-1}(T(F'))$. This proves the first assertion in Part 1. The second assertion follows from Theorem 2.1.2.

We are now going to prove Part 2 of the corollary. Let $T' \subset T$ be a sublattice. Choose an integer n such that $\pi^n T \subset T'$. By Part 1 of the corollary, there exists an isogeny $\beta : F \rightarrow F'$ such that $V(\beta)^{-1}(T(F')) = \pi^{-n} T'$. The kernel of β is isomorphic to $\pi^{-n} T'/T$, which is an \mathcal{O}_K -module killed by π^n . Therefore, Theorem 2.1.2 shows that there exists an isogeny $\alpha : F' \rightarrow F$ with $\alpha \circ \beta = [\pi^n]_F$. By construction, we have

$$\text{Im}(T(\alpha)) = \pi^n \cdot V(\beta)^{-1}(T(F')) = T'.$$

This proves the first assertion of Part 2. The proof of the second assertion is left to the reader. □

2.3. Let F , T and V be as before. The faithful representation of $\text{End}(F)$ on V extends to a faithful representation

$$\text{End}^0(F) := \text{End}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K \hookrightarrow \text{End}_K(V).$$

We will from now on consider elements of $\text{End}^0(F)$ as elements of $\text{End}_K(V)$.

Let T', T'' be \mathcal{O}_K -superlattices of T inside V . Let $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ and $\beta : F \rightarrow F''$ be the corresponding isogenies, as in Corollary 2.2.1. We identify $V(F')$ and $V(F'')$ with V , via the isomorphisms $V(\alpha)$ and $V(\beta)$. Then $T' = T(F')$ and $T'' = T(F'')$.

Corollary 2.3. — *The map which sends a homomorphism $\psi : F' \rightarrow F''$ to the induced endomorphism $\tilde{\psi} : V \cong V(F') \rightarrow V(F'') \cong V$ is a bijection*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F', F'') \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \tilde{\psi} \in \mathrm{End}^0(F) \mid \tilde{\psi}(T') \subset T'' \}.$$

Proof. — Let $\psi : F' \rightarrow F''$ be a homomorphism and $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathrm{End}_K(V)$ the induced endomorphism of V . By definition, we have $\tilde{\psi}(T') \subset T''$. We have to show that $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathrm{End}^0(F)$. Set $\gamma := \psi \circ \alpha : F \rightarrow F''$. The isogeny γ corresponds, *via* Corollary 2.2.2, to the sublattice $\tilde{\psi}(T) \subset T''$. From the same point of view, the isogeny $\beta : F \rightarrow F''$ corresponds to the sublattice $T \subset T''$. Choose an integer n such that $\pi^n \tilde{\psi}(T) \subset T$. Then by Corollary 2.2.2, there exists an endomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow F$ such that $\beta \circ \phi = \gamma \circ [\pi^n]_F$. One checks that $\phi = \pi^n \tilde{\psi}$, as elements of $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$, which shows that $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathrm{End}^0(F)$.

Conversely, let $\tilde{\psi}$ be an element of $\mathrm{End}^0(F) \subset \mathrm{End}_K(V)$ with $\tilde{\psi}(T') \subset T''$. By definition, we can write $\tilde{\psi} = \pi^{-n} \phi$ for some endomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow F$. The isogeny $\alpha \circ [\pi^n]_F : F \rightarrow F'$ (resp. the isogeny $\beta \circ \phi : F \rightarrow F''$) corresponds, *via* Corollary 2.2.1, to the superlattice $\pi^{-n} T' \supset T$ (resp. the superlattice $\phi^{-1}(T'') \supset T$). The assumption $\tilde{\psi}(T') \subset T''$ together with $\tilde{\psi} = \pi^{-n} \phi$ implies $\pi^{-n} T' \subset \phi^{-1}(T'')$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.2.1, there exists an isogeny $\psi : F' \rightarrow F''$ with $\psi \circ \alpha \circ [\pi^n]_F = \beta \circ \phi$. By construction, $\tilde{\psi}$ is the image of ψ under the embedding $\mathrm{Hom}(F', F'') \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_K(V)$. This concludes the proof of the corollary. \square

3. Quasi-canonical lifts

A quasi-canonical lift is a lift whose endomorphism ring is an order in a quadratic extension L/K . In this section we show that every quasi-canonical lift is isogenous to a canonical lift, and we determine the set of isomorphism classes of all quasi-canonical lifts together with its natural Galois action.

3.1. We now come back to the situation of Section 1. In particular, G is the (unique) formal \mathcal{O}_K -module of height two over k . We fix a quadratic extension L/K , an \mathcal{O}_K -linear embedding $\kappa : \mathcal{O}_L \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D := \mathrm{End}_k(G)$. We denote by F the canonical lift of G with respect to κ . Recall that F is defined over A , the strict completion of \mathcal{O}_L with respect to the map $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow k$ induced by the \mathcal{O}_L -action on $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$.

Let M denote the fraction field of A , \bar{M} an algebraic closure of M and $\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{M}/M)$. We let $T := T(F)$ denote the Tate-module of F and $V := T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$. Note that T has the structure of a free \mathcal{O}_L -module of rank one, and that the Γ -action on T is continuous and \mathcal{O}_L -linear. By Lubin–Tate theory, the resulting homomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \rho : \Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{M}/M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L^\times$$

yields an isomorphism $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_L^\times$. Identifying Γ with the inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{L}/L)$, the homomorphism (3.1) is the inverse of the reciprocity map $L^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{L}/L)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ of local class field theory, restricted to \mathcal{O}_L^\times . See [LT1].

Fix an integer $s \geq 0$. Let

$$\mathcal{O}_s := \mathcal{O}_K + \mathcal{O}_L \cdot \pi^s$$

denote the order of \mathcal{O}_L generated by \mathcal{O}_K and the ideal $\mathcal{O}_L \cdot \pi^s$. It is easy to see that every order of \mathcal{O}_L containing \mathcal{O}_K is equal to \mathcal{O}_s , for some s . Let M_s/M be the ring class field of \mathcal{O}_s^\times , i.e., the fixed field of the subgroup $\Gamma_s \subset \Gamma$, where Γ_s is the inverse image of $\mathcal{O}_s^\times \subset \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ under the inverse reciprocity homomorphism (3.1). In other words, we have

$$\mathrm{Gal}(M_s/M) \cong \mathcal{O}_L^\times / \mathcal{O}_s^\times.$$

An easy computation shows that, for $s \geq 1$,

$$[M_s : M] = |\mathcal{O}_L^\times / \mathcal{O}_s^\times| = \begin{cases} q^{s-1}(q+1), & \text{if } L/K \text{ is unramified,} \\ q^s, & \text{if } L/K \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.1. — A quasi-canonical lift of G of level s (with respect to the embedding $\kappa : \mathcal{O}_L \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$) is a lift F of G , defined over some finite extension A'/A , together with an \mathcal{O}_K -algebra isomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{O}_s \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}(F')$, such that the following holds.

1. The composition of γ with the representation $\mathrm{End}(F') \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Lie } F') = A'$ is the canonical embedding $\mathcal{O}_s \hookrightarrow A'$.
2. The composition of γ with the embedding $\mathrm{End}(F') \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$ is equal to the restriction of κ to $\mathcal{O}_s \subset \mathcal{O}_L$.

To ease the notation, we will usually omit the isomorphism γ and the embedding κ from our notation. Note that a quasi-canonical lift of level 0 is the same thing as a canonical lift (which exists and is unique). For general s , we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. — Let \mathcal{O}_{M_s} denote the ring of integers of M_s .

1. Let F' be a quasi-canonical lift of level s . Then there exists an isogeny

$$\alpha : F \longrightarrow F'$$

of degree q^s , defined over \mathcal{O}_{M_s} . It is unique up to composing α with an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(F) = \mathcal{O}_L^\times$. In particular, F' can be defined over \mathcal{O}_{M_s} .

2. The set of $*$ -isomorphism classes of all quasi-canonical lifts of level s is a principal homogeneous space under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(M_s/M)$.

Remark 3.3. — The proof of this theorem will show that the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(M_s/M)$ on the set of $*$ -isomorphism classes can be described as follows. Let (F', λ) be a quasi-canonical lift of level s (with $\lambda : F' \otimes k \xrightarrow{\sim} G$), and $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Then the lift $(F', \lambda)^\sigma$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the lift $(F', [\rho(\sigma)^{-1}]_G \circ \lambda)$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2.2, two quasi-canonical lifts of the same level are always isomorphic as formal \mathcal{O}_K -modules.

3.2. Let $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ and $\beta : F \rightarrow F''$ be two isogenies with source F . We say that α and β are *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism of formal \mathcal{O}_K -modules $\gamma : F' \xrightarrow{\sim} F''$ with $\beta = \gamma \circ \alpha$.

Fix an isogeny $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$. To simplify the notation, we will identify $V(F')$ with V via the isomorphism $V(\alpha)$. Then, by Corollary 2.2.1, α corresponds, up to isomorphism, to an \mathcal{O}_K -superlattice $T' \supset T$ in V . Moreover, by Corollary 2.3, α induces an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_K -algebras

$$(3.2) \quad \text{End}(F') \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \phi \in L = \text{End}^0(F) \mid \phi(T') \subset T' \}.$$

This exhibits $\text{End}(F')$ as an order of \mathcal{O}_L .

Lemma 3.4. — *Let T be a free \mathcal{O}_L -module of rank one, $V := T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$. Let $T' \supset T$ be an \mathcal{O}_K -superlattice in V . Then there exists a generator t of T (i.e., $T = \mathcal{O}_L \cdot t$) and integers $n, s \geq 0$ such that*

$$\pi_L^n \cdot T' = (\mathcal{O}_K \cdot \pi^{-s} + \mathcal{O}_L) \cdot t.$$

Moreover, the multiplier $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ of T' is equal to the order $\mathcal{O}_s \subset \mathcal{O}_L$.

Proof. — For $T' \supset T$ as in Part 1, define

$$n := \max\{n' \mid \pi_L^{n'} T' \supset T\}, \quad s := \min\{s' \mid \pi^{s'} \pi_L^n T' \subset T\}.$$

Then $\pi_L^n T'/T$ is a cyclic \mathcal{O}_K -module, generated by an element of the form $\pi^{-s}t$. Moreover, any t with this property is a generator of T . It follows that $\pi_L^n T' = (\mathcal{O}_K \cdot \pi^{-s} + \mathcal{O}_L) \cdot t$. The proof of the fact that \mathcal{O}_s is the multiplier of T' is standard and left to the reader. \square

A superlattice $T' \supset T$ is called *minimal of level s* if $T' = (\mathcal{O}_K \cdot \pi^{-s} + \mathcal{O}_L) \cdot t$, for some generator t of T . The corresponding isogenies $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ are also called *minimal of level s* . We let X_s denote the set of isomorphism classes of minimal isogenies of level s . The Galois group Γ acts on X_s , in a natural way. There is also an action of \mathcal{O}_L^\times on X_s , given by composing $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ with the automorphism $[a]_F : F \xrightarrow{\sim} F$, for $a \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$.

Proposition 3.5. — *The actions of Γ and \mathcal{O}_L^\times on X_s are anti-compatible via the reciprocity homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L^\times$, i.e., for $\sigma \in \Gamma$ there exists an isomorphism $\gamma_\sigma : (F')^\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} F'$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\sigma} & (F')^\sigma \\ [\rho(\sigma)^{-1}]_F \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_\sigma \\ F & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F' \end{array}$$

commutes. Furthermore, X_s is a principal homogeneous space under the induced action of $\text{Gal}(M_s/M) \cong \mathcal{O}_L^\times / \mathcal{O}_s^\times$.

Proof. — If the isogeny $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ corresponds to the lattice T' , then $\alpha \circ [a]_F : F \rightarrow F'$, for $a \in \mathcal{O}_L$, corresponds to the lattice $a^{-1} \cdot T'$. Therefore, it follows immediately from Lemma 3.4 that the action of \mathcal{O}_L^\times on X_s is transitive, and the stabilizer of each element is equal to \mathcal{O}_s^\times . To see that this action is compatible with the Galois action, fix an element $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Clearly, the kernel of α^σ can be identified with $(T'/T)^\sigma = \rho(\sigma) \cdot T'/T$. Since this is also the kernel of $\alpha \circ [\rho(\sigma)^{-1}]_F$, the existence of γ_σ follows from Theorem 2.1. The proposition is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. — We first prove Part 1 of the theorem. Let F' be a quasi-canonical lift of level s . Set $T' := T(F')$ and $V' := T' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$. The isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_s \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}(F')$ extends to an isomorphism $L \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}^0(F')$, which gives V' the structure of an L -vector space of dimension one and identifies \mathcal{O}_s with the multiplier of the lattice $T' \subset V'$.

Let $T'' \subset T'$ be a maximal \mathcal{O}_L -submodule of rank one. Then $T' = (\mathcal{O}_K \cdot \pi^{-s} + \mathcal{O}_L) \cdot t$ for some generator t of T'' , by Lemma 3.4. Let $\alpha : F'' \rightarrow F'$ be an isogeny with $\text{Im}(T(\alpha)) = T''$, see Corollary 2.2.2. By Corollary 2.3, α induces an isomorphism

$$\text{End}(F'') \cong \{ \phi \in \text{End}^0(F') = L \mid \phi(T'') = T'' \} \cong \mathcal{O}_L.$$

Therefore, $F'' \cong F$ as formal \mathcal{O}_K -modules. Choosing an arbitrary isomorphism $F'' \cong F$, we can regard $\alpha : F \cong F'' \rightarrow F'$ as an element of X_s . Since \mathcal{O}_L^\times acts transitively on X_s , by Proposition 3.5, we have proved Part 1 of Theorem 3.2.

Now we prove Part 2 of the theorem. In view of Part 1 and Proposition 3.5, we only need to show the following. For every minimal isogeny $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ of level s , there exists an isomorphism $\lambda : F' \otimes k \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ which makes F' a quasi-canonical lift. For this, we may assume that the isogeny α is given, as a power series with coefficients in \mathcal{O}_{M_s} , by the formula of Theorem 2.1:

$$\alpha(X) := \prod_{\gamma \in \text{Ker}(\alpha)} (X -_F \gamma).$$

Here $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ is simply considered as a subset of the maximal ideal of the ring of integers of \bar{M} . Therefore, the reduction of α to k is $\bar{\alpha}(X) = X^{q^s}$. By the proof of Theorem 1.1, we may assume that $\Pi(X) := X^q$ is an endomorphism of G and lies in the normalizer of $\mathcal{O}_L = \text{End}(F) \subset \mathcal{O}_D$. In particular, $\bar{\alpha} = \Pi^s$ is an endomorphism of G . Therefore, $F' \otimes k$ is actually equal to G . We define the isomorphism $\lambda : F' \otimes k \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ as the identity and claim that (F', λ) is a quasi-canonical lift.

By construction, we have an isomorphism

$$(3.3) \quad \text{End}(F') \cong \{ \phi \in L = \text{End}^0(F) \mid \phi(T') = T' \} \cong \mathcal{O}_s.$$

Hence the image of the natural injection $\text{End}(F') \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\text{Lie } F') = \mathcal{O}_{M_s}$ is an \mathcal{O}_K -algebra isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_s . It must therefore be equal to \mathcal{O}_s . Let $\gamma : \mathcal{O}_s \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}(F')$ be the resulting isomorphism. Then Condition 1 of Definition 3.1 holds by construction.

Let $\kappa' : \mathcal{O}_s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$ be the composition of γ with the embedding $\text{End}(F') \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$ induced by the identification $F' \otimes k = G$. We have to show that κ' is equal to the restriction of κ to \mathcal{O}_s (see Condition 2 of Definition 3.1). Tracing back the definitions, we see that $\kappa' = (\kappa|_{\mathcal{O}_s})^{\bar{\alpha}}$ is the conjugate of $\kappa|_{\mathcal{O}_s}$ by $\bar{\alpha} = \Pi^s \in \mathcal{O}_D$. Since we assumed Π to lie in the normalizer of the image of κ , we have already proved that κ' and $\kappa|_{\mathcal{O}_s}$ have the same image and are equal up to composition with an element of $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. However, if L/K is ramified, then the assumption that Π normalizes \mathcal{O}_L already implies that $\Pi \in \mathcal{O}_L$, and we get $\kappa' = \kappa|_{\mathcal{O}_s}$ as desired. Now assume that L/K is unramified. Then it suffices to show that κ' and $\kappa|_{\mathcal{O}_s}$ agree modulo the maximal ideal $\mathcal{O}_D \cdot \Pi$. But this is a consequence of Condition 1 of Definition 3.1. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

4. Canonical subgroups

The main result of this section is Proposition 4.6 which computes the valuation of the formal modulus of a quasi-canonical lift. The heart of the proof of this proposition is the study of *canonical subgroups* and their behavior under isogenies. The relevance of canonical subgroups was first pointed out in [L].

4.1. We continue with the notation used in the last section. In particular, A is the completion of the maximal unramified extension of \mathcal{O}_L and M the fraction field of A . We choose an algebraic closure \bar{M} of M and let $v : \bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the exponential rank-one valuation with $v(\pi) = 1$.

Let M'/M be some finite extension, and let A' denote the valuation ring of M' . Throughout this section, we will implicitly assume that the extension M'/M is ‘sufficiently large’. In practice this will mean that sometimes we have to enlarge M' in order to make certain torsion points M' -rational.

For the moment, we fix an arbitrary lift F of the formal \mathcal{O}_K -module G , defined over A' (not necessarily the canonical lift). By [VZ, Theorem 3.8], F is isomorphic to the pullback of the universal deformation \tilde{F} of G via a unique $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{\text{nr}}$ -algebra morphism $R^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow A$. Moreover, R^{univ} can be written as a power series algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{\text{nr}}[[u]]$. (The proof of this result in [VZ] does not provide us with a natural choice of the parameter u , but this is irrelevant for us by Remark 4.2 below. See [HG] for a more explicit choice of the parameter u .)

Definition 4.1. — The image of the parameter u under the morphism $R^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow A'$ corresponding to F is denoted by $u(F)$ and is called the *formal modulus* of the lift F . The rational number $v(F) := \min\{v(u(F)), 1\}$ is called the *valuation* of F .

Remark 4.2. — It is clear that the valuation $v(F)$ is actually independent of the choice of the parameter u . Therefore, $v(F)$ depends only on the isomorphism class of F as a formal \mathcal{O}_K -module, and not on the chosen isomorphism $\lambda : F \otimes k \xrightarrow{\sim} G$. Indeed,

a unit $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ induces an automorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ of the universal deformation space of G (which sends the pair (F, λ) to the pair $(F, \gamma \circ \lambda)$). Applying the automorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ amounts to replacing the parameter u by $u' := \tilde{\gamma}^* u$.

Definition 4.3. — A sub- \mathcal{O}_K -module $H \subset F[\pi]$ of length one is called a *canonical subgroup* if

$$v(x) > v(y)$$

for all $x \in H$ and $y \in F[\pi] - H$.

Note that a canonical subgroup, if it exists, is unique. We may therefore speak about *the* canonical subgroup of F . The two last definitions are related to each other in the following manner.

Proposition 4.4

1. Write $[\pi]_F = \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i X^i$, with $a_i \in A'$. Then $v(F) = \min\{v(a_q), 1\}$.
2. The lift F has a canonical subgroup if and only if

$$v(F) < \frac{q}{q+1}.$$

Proof. — It follows from the proof of [VZ, Theorem 3.8], that we can choose for the parameter u defining the isomorphism $R^{\text{univ}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{\text{ar}}[[u]]$ the q th coefficient of $[\pi]_{\tilde{F}}$, where \tilde{F} is the universal deformation of G . Therefore, Part 1 of the proposition is a direct consequence of the definition of $v(F)$. Now Part 2 is easily seen by looking at the Newton polygon of $[\pi]_F$. Indeed, the slope filtration on the set $F[\pi] - \{0\}$ is also a filtration of \mathcal{O}_K -modules. But as an \mathcal{O}_K -module, $F[\pi]$ has length two, so there can be at most two finite negative slopes. Also, breaks occur only at $i = 1, q^2$ and possibly at $i = q$. Since $v(a_1) = 1$ and $v(a_{q^2}) = 0$, we have a break at $i = q$ if and only if $v(F) < q/(q+1)$. \square

4.2. Fix a lift F of G defined over A' and a sub- \mathcal{O}_K -module $H \subset F[\pi]$ of length one. Let $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ be the isogeny with kernel H , defined by Theorem 2.1. Recall that α is given by the power series

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha(X) := \prod_{x \in H} (X -_F x).$$

Let us choose an isomorphism $\lambda' : F' \otimes k \xrightarrow{\sim} G$. We will use λ' as an identification, i.e., we will regard F' as a lift of G . As in Section 3.2, one can choose λ' in such a way that $\alpha \otimes k$ gets identified with the isogeny $\Pi = X^q : G \rightarrow G$. However, this choice is not at all canonical. In what follows, we are mainly interested in relating the two valuations $v(F)$ and $v(F')$. By Remark 4.2, the choice that we have made is irrelevant for this problem.

Let $\beta : F \rightarrow F'$ be the unique isogeny such that $[\pi]_F = \beta \circ \alpha$. Then $H' := \ker(\beta)$ is equal to the image of $F[\pi]$ under the isogeny α . Clearly, H' is an \mathcal{O}_K -module of length one.

Proposition 4.5

1. Suppose that H is the canonical subgroup of F . There are two cases:
 - (a) If $v(F) \leq \frac{1}{q}$ then $v(F') = q \cdot v(F)$ and H' is not canonical.
 - (b) If $\frac{1}{q} < v(F) < \frac{q}{q+1}$ then $v(F') = 1 - v(F)$ and H' is the canonical subgroup of F' .
2. Suppose that H is not the canonical subgroup of F . Again we have two cases:
 - (a) If $v(F) \leq \frac{q}{q+1}$ then $v(F') = q^{-1} \cdot v(F)$.
 - (b) If $v(F) \geq \frac{q}{q+1}$ then $v(F') = 1/(q+1)$.
 In both cases, H' is the canonical subgroup of F' .

Proof. — Suppose that H is canonical. By Proposition 4.4, we have $v(F) < q/(q+1)$. Moreover, the proof of this proposition shows that the Newton polygon of $[\pi]_F$ has exactly two finite negative slopes, namely

$$s_1 = -\frac{1 - v(F)}{q - 1}, \quad s_2 = -\frac{v(F)}{q^2 - q}.$$

Here s_1 is the slope above the interval $[1, q]$ and corresponds to the canonical subgroup, whereas s_2 is the slope above $[q, q^2]$.

Pick an element $y \in F[\pi] - H$; then $v(y) = -s_2 = v(F)/(q^2 - q)$. It follows from (4.1) that the element $z := \alpha(y) \in H'$ has valuation

$$v(z) = \sum_{x \in H} v(y -_F x) = q \cdot v(y) = \frac{v(F)}{q - 1}.$$

Now if $v(F) \leq 1/q$ then $v(z) \leq 1/(q^2 - q)$. This means that $-v(z)$ is equal to the slope of the Newton polygon of $[\pi]_{F'}$ above the interval $[q, q^2]$. We conclude that

$$v(F') = (q^2 - q) \cdot v(z) = q \cdot v(F)$$

and that H' is not the canonical subgroup of F' . On the other hand, if $v(F) > 1/q$ then $v(z) > 1/(q^2 - q)$. Therefore, $v(z)$ is equal to the slope above the interval $[1, q]$. We conclude that

$$v(F') = 1 - (q - 1) \cdot v(F) = 1 - v(F)$$

and that H' is the canonical subgroup of F' . This finishes the proof of Case 1. The proof of Case 2 is similar and left to the reader. \square

4.3. Let us now assume that the lift F is the canonical lift of G with respect to some fixed embedding $\kappa : L \hookrightarrow D$. Note that we have $v(F) = 1$ if L/K is unramified and $v(F) = 1/2$ if L/K is ramified. In the former case, F has no canonical subgroup, whereas in the latter case the canonical subgroup of F is the kernel of $[\pi_L]_F$.

For $s = 1, 2, \dots$, we define isogenies $\alpha_s : F \rightarrow F_s$ inductively, as follows. First, choose a non-canonical \mathcal{O}_K -submodule $H \subset F[\pi]$ of height one. Set $F_1 := F/H$ and let $\alpha_1 : F \rightarrow F_1$ be the natural projection. For $s \geq 1$, choose a non-canonical \mathcal{O}_K -submodule $H_s \subset F_s[\pi]$ of height one, set $F_{s+1} := F_s/H_s$ and let $\alpha_{s+1} : F \rightarrow F_{s+1}$ be

the composition of α_s with the natural projection $F_s \rightarrow F_{s+1}$. As we have seen in the last section, we can see F_s as a lift of G in such a way that the isogeny α_s reduces to the endomorphism $\Pi^s : G \rightarrow G$ modulo the maximal ideal of A' . This choice is by no means canonical; however, for the statement of the next proposition, the choice that we have made is irrelevant, see Remark 3.3 and Remark 4.2.

Proposition 4.6. — *The lift F_s is quasi-canonical of level s , and we have*

$$v(F_s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q^{s-1}(q+1)}, & \text{if } L/K \text{ is unramified and } s \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2q^s}, & \text{if } L/K \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. — We proceed by induction over s . We start the induction at $s = 1$ if L/K is unramified and at $s = 0$ in the ramified case (one has to be careful with the notation: plugging in $s = 0$ into F_s should be understood as F). If L/K is unramified, then $v(F) = 1 > q/(q+1)$, and Proposition 4.5, Case 2(b), shows that $v(F_1) = 1/(q+1)$. This is indeed as in the statement of the proposition. The statement of the proposition is also true for $s = 0$ if L/K is ramified.

Suppose now that $s \geq 1$ or that L/K is ramified. Then $v(F_s) \leq q/(q+1)$, so Proposition 4.5, Case 2(a), shows that

$$v(F_{s+1}) = \frac{v(F_s)}{q}.$$

We see that the formula for $v(F_s)$ follows by induction.

Since F_s is isogenous to F , it is a quasi-canonical lift of some level. By construction, the isogeny $\alpha_s : F \rightarrow F_s$ has degree q^s . Let n be the maximal integer such that α_s factors over $[\pi_L^n] : F \rightarrow F$. The proof of Theorem 3.2 shows that F_s is quasi-canonical of level $s' := s - 2n/e$.

Suppose $n > 0$. By the induction hypothesis, $F_{s'}$ is quasi-isogenous of level s' . Therefore, by Remark 3.3, $F_{s'}$ and F_s are isomorphic as formal \mathcal{O}_K -modules. But then we have $v(F_s) = v(F_{s'})$. This gives a contradiction with the formula for $v(F_s)$ which we have already proved. We conclude that $n = 0$, i.e., that F_s is quasi-canonical of level s . \square

Corollary 4.7. — *Let F_s be a quasi-canonical lift of level s and \mathcal{O}_{M_s}/A be the smallest extension over which it can be defined. Then the formal modulus $u(F_s) \in \mathcal{O}_{M_s}$ of F_s is a uniformizer for the valuation ring \mathcal{O}_{M_s} .*

Proof. — It follows from Theorem 3.2 that \mathcal{O}_{M_s} is the ring of integers of the extension M_s/M , the ring class field of \mathcal{O}_s^\times . Moreover, we may assume that F_s is the lift constructed before Proposition 4.5. Therefore, the formula for $v(F_s)$ in Proposition 4.5 shows that the valuation of $u(F_s)$ is equal to the reciprocal of the degree $[M_s : M]$. This concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.8. — *Let F_s and F_{s+1} be quasi-canonical lifts of level s and $s+1$, respectively. Let $\beta : F_s \rightarrow F_{s+1}$ be an isogeny of height one. Then $H := \ker(\beta)$ is not the canonical subgroup, and*

$$v(\mathrm{Lie}(\beta)) = v(F_{s+1}).$$

Proof. — We note that β identifies F_{s+1} with the quotient F_s/H . It follows from the proof of Proposition 4.6 that H is not the canonical subgroup of F_s and that therefore the nonzero elements $x \in H$ have valuation

$$v(x) = \frac{v(F_s)}{q^2 - q}.$$

Set $b := \mathrm{Lie}(\beta)$. The formula for β in terms of H (see Theorem 2.1) shows that

$$v(b) = \sum_{x \in H - \{0\}} v(x) = \frac{v(F_s)}{q}.$$

By Corollary 4.7, this is equal to $v(F_{s+1})$. □

5. Some complements

We prove some technical results which are needed in [R].

5.1. Let K and k be as before. Let G be the formal \mathcal{O}_K -module of height two over k , with endomorphism ring \mathcal{O}_D . We have seen in [VZ] that the formal cohomology group $H^2(G, k)$ has dimension $h - 1 = 1$. Therefore, the universal deformation ring of G is $W[[t]]$ (where $W = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is the completion of the maximal unramified extension of \mathcal{O}_K).

Let A be a complete local \mathcal{O}_K -algebra with residue field k and $I \triangleleft A$ an ideal with $\mathfrak{m}_A \cdot I = 0$. Set $\bar{A} := A/I$. Let F, F' be two deformations of G over A and $\bar{\alpha} : F \otimes \bar{A} \rightarrow F' \otimes \bar{A}$ a homomorphism which is defined modulo I . Then the obstruction for lifting $\bar{\alpha}$ to a homomorphism $\alpha : F \rightarrow F'$ is an element of the k -vector space

$$H^2(G, I) \cong H^2(G, k) \otimes_k I.$$

Indeed, as in [VZ, Section 3], a lift $\alpha(X) \in A[[X]]$ of $\bar{\alpha}$ as a power series defines a cocycle $(\Delta; \delta_a)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(X, Y) &:= \alpha(X +_F Y) -_{F'} \alpha(X) -_{F'} \alpha(Y), \\ \delta_a(X) &:= \alpha([a]_F(X)) -_{F'} [a]_{F'}(\alpha(X)). \end{aligned}$$

The cohomology class of this cocycle is independent of the chosen lift α . It vanishes if and only if there exists some lift α which is a homomorphism $F \rightarrow F'$. If this is the case, then the lift which is a homomorphism is unique.

Let F be the universal deformation of G over $W[[t]]$, and let F' be another universal deformation over $W[[t']]$. Hence the pair (F, F') is defined over the formal scheme $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{Spf} R$, where $R := W[[t, t']]$.

Proposition 5.1. — *Let $\alpha : G \rightarrow G$ be an isogeny, i.e., $\alpha \neq 0$. Let J be the minimal ideal of R such that α lifts to an isogeny $F \rightarrow F'$ modulo J . Then the closed formal subscheme \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{S} defined by J is a relative divisor over $\mathrm{Spf} W$.*

Proof. — We have to show that J is generated by one element which is neither a unit nor divisible by p . Suppose, for the moment, that $\alpha \notin \mathcal{O}_K$ and set $L = K(\alpha) \subset D$. Let M be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of L and F_1 the canonical lift of G with respect to $\mathcal{O}_L \subset \mathcal{O}_D$ (which is defined over \mathcal{O}_M). There is a unique homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_K -algebras $\varphi : W[[t, t']] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M$ which induces the identity on k , such that the pair (F_1, F_1) is $*$ -isomorphic to the pullback of the pair (F, F') via φ . By construction, J is contained in the kernel of φ . This shows $J \neq R$, at least if $\alpha \notin K$. The case $\alpha \in K$ is handled in a similar way.

Suppose that $J \subset (\pi)$. This means that α lifts to an isogeny $F \rightarrow F'$ over $k[[t, t']]$. Setting $t' = 0$, the isogeny α would then induce an isogeny between $F \otimes_{W[[t]]} k((t))$ and $G \otimes_k k((t))$. But $F \otimes_{W[[t]]} k((t))$ has height $h - 1 = 1$ (see [VZ]) and is therefore not isogenous to the height-two module $G \otimes_k k((t))$. This gives a contradiction and shows that $J \not\subset (\pi)$.

Let \mathfrak{m} denote the maximal ideal of R . Set $A := R/\mathfrak{m}J$ and $I := J/\mathfrak{m}J$. Then $\mathfrak{m} \cdot I = 0$, and $\bar{A} = A/I \cong R/J$. Clearly, α lifts to a homomorphism $F \otimes \bar{A} \rightarrow F' \otimes \bar{A}$ but *not* to a homomorphism $F \otimes A \rightarrow F' \otimes A$. The responsible obstruction is a nonzero element in

$$H^2(G, I) \cong H^2(G, k) \otimes_k I \cong I.$$

Let f be the image of this obstruction in I . The element f depends on the choice of an isomorphism $H^2(G, k) \cong k$, but the ideal $(f) \triangleleft A$ does not. Clearly, α lifts to a homomorphism $F \otimes A' \rightarrow F' \otimes A'$ over the ring $A' = A/(f)$. This implies $I = (f)$. Now Nakayama's Lemma shows that J is generated by one element. The proposition is proved. \square

5.2. Let A be the ring of integers of a finite extension of the fraction field of W . Let λ denote a uniformizer of A . For each positive integer n , we set $A_n := A/(\lambda^{n+1})$ and $M_n := (\lambda^n)/(\lambda^{n+1})$.

Let F_1, F_2, F_3 be three lifts of G over A . We define

$$H_n := \mathrm{Hom}(F_1 \otimes A_n, F_2 \otimes A_n), \quad H'_n := \mathrm{Hom}(F_1 \otimes A_n, F_3 \otimes A_n).$$

As for endomorphisms, the natural reduction maps $H_n, H'_n \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(G) = \mathcal{O}_D$ are injective. We will consider H_n and H'_n as subsets of \mathcal{O}_D . Note that H_n and H'_n are in fact sub- \mathcal{O}_K -modules of \mathcal{O}_D . The obstruction theory reviewed above gives injective maps

$$\kappa_n : H_{n-1}/H_n \hookrightarrow H^2(G, M_n), \quad \kappa'_n : H'_{n-1}/H'_n \hookrightarrow H^2(G, M_n).$$

Proposition 5.2. — *Let $\alpha : G \rightarrow G$ be an isogeny defined over k which does not lift to a homomorphism $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$. Let n be the unique positive integer such that $\alpha \in$*

$H_{n-1} - H_n$. Let $\beta : F_2 \rightarrow F_3$ be an isogeny defined over A , and let m denote the valuation of $b := \text{Lie}(\beta) \in A$. We make the following assumptions:

1. β has height one.
2. $m \leq (q-1)n$.

Then $\beta \circ \alpha \in H'_{n+m-1} - H'_{n+m}$.

Proof. — (compare with the proof of Lemma 1.3) We may represent α as a power series with coefficients in A without constant coefficient such that α_{n-1} , the reduction of α modulo λ^n , is a homomorphism $F_1 \otimes A_{n-1} \rightarrow F_2 \otimes A_{n-1}$. We define

$$\epsilon := \alpha \circ [\pi]_{F_1} -_{F_2} [\pi]_{F_2} \circ \alpha.$$

Then $\epsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda^n}$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon \equiv \delta_\pi \pmod{\lambda^{n+1}}$, where $(\Delta, \{\delta_a\})$ denotes the cocycle associated to α_n . The assumption $\alpha \notin H_n$ implies $\epsilon(X) = cX^q + \dots$, with $\text{ord}_\lambda(c) = n$. Similarly, define

$$\epsilon' := \beta \circ \alpha \circ [\pi]_{F_1} -_{F_3} [\pi]_{F_3} \circ \beta \circ \alpha.$$

Then $\epsilon' = \beta \circ \epsilon$. Write $\beta(X) = \sum_i b_i X^i$. It follows from Assumption 1 that the Newton polygon of β has slope $-m/(q-1)$ over $[1, \dots, q]$. This means that

$$\text{ord}_\lambda(b_i) \geq \frac{q-i}{q-1} \cdot m, \quad i = 1, \dots, q$$

(with equality for $i = 1, q$). Now Assumption 2, together with an easy calculation, shows that

$$\epsilon' = \beta \circ \epsilon = b_1 c X^q + \dots \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda^{n+m}}.$$

Since $\text{ord}_\lambda(b_1 c) = n + m$, we conclude as in the proof of Lemma 1.3 that $\beta \circ \alpha \in H'_{n+m-1} - H'_{n+m}$. \square

Corollary 5.3. — Suppose that F_1, F_2, F_3 are quasi-canonical liftings of G of level $r, s, s+1$ (with respect to some embedding $\kappa : L \hookrightarrow D$). Suppose that $r \leq s$. Suppose, moreover, that A is the minimal \mathcal{O}_K -algebra over which the lifts F_1, F_2, F_3 can be defined. (By Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 4.7, A is the ring of integers of the ring class extension of \mathcal{O}_{s+1} .)

Let $\alpha : G \rightarrow G$ be an element of \mathcal{O}_D and $\beta : F_2 \rightarrow F_3$ an isogeny of height one, defined over A . We assume that α does not lift to a homomorphism $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$. Let n be the maximal integer such that α can be lifted to a homomorphism $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ modulo λ^n . Then $\beta \circ \alpha$ can be lifted to a homomorphism $F_1 \rightarrow F_3$ modulo λ^{n+1} , but not modulo λ^{n+2} .

Proof. — It follows from Corollary 4.8 that $\text{ord}_\lambda(\text{Lie}(\beta)) = 1$. Hence we can apply Proposition 5.2, which proves the corollary. \square

References

- [D] V. G. DRINFEL'D – Elliptic modules, *Math. USSR Sbornik* **23** (1974), no. 4, p. 561–592.
- [G] B. H. GROSS – On canonical and quasi-canonical liftings, *Invent. math.* **84** (1986), p. 321–326.
- [H] M. HAZEWINKEL – *Formal groups and Applications*, Academic Press, 1978.
- [HG] M. J. HOPKINS & B. H. GROSS – Equivariant vector bundles on the Lubin-Tate moduli space, in *Topology and representation theory (Evanston, IL, 1992)*, Contemp. Math., vol. 158, 1994, p. 23–88.
- [L] J. LUBIN – *Canonical subgroups of formal groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 251, 1979.
- [LT1] J. LUBIN & J. TATE – Formal complex multiplication in local fields, *Ann. Math.* **81** (1965), p. 380–387.
- [LT2] ———, Formal moduli for one-parameter formal Lie groups, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **94** (1966), p. 49–60.
- [R] M. RAPOPORT – Deformations of isogenies of formal groups, this volume, p. 139–169.
- [S] J.-P. SERRE – *Corps locaux*, Hermann, 1968.
- [VZ] E. VIEHMANN & K. ZIEGLER – Formal moduli of formal \mathcal{O}_K -modules, this volume, p. 57–66.
- [Yu] J. K. YU – On the moduli of quasi-canonical liftings, *Compositio Math.* **96** (1995), no. 3, p. 293–321.

S. WEWERS, IWR, Im Neuenheimer Feld 368, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
E-mail : stefan.wewers@iwr.uni-heidelberg.de