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# INCOMPRESSIBLE GRASSMANNIANS OF ISOTROPIC SUBSPACES 

by Nikita A. Karpenko

Abstract. - We study 2-incompressible Grassmannians of isotropic subspaces of a quadratic form, of a hermitian form over a quadratic extension of the base field, and of a hermitian form over a quaternion algebra.

Résumé (Grassmanniennes incompressibles d'espaces isotropes)
Nous étudions des grassmanniennes 2-incompressibles de sous-espaces isotropes d'une forme quadratique ainsi que d'une forme hermitienne au-dessus d'une extension quadratique du corps de base ou au-dessus d'une algèbre de quaternions.

## 1. Introduction

Let $F$ be a field and let $p$ be a prime number. We refer to [6] and [15] for definitions and general discussion of canonical $p$-dimension and $p$-incompressibility. We only recall that canonical $p$-dimension $\operatorname{cdim}_{p} X$ of a smooth complete variety $X$ is the least dimension of the image of a self-correspondence $X \rightsquigarrow X$
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of multiplicity prime to $p ; X$ is called $p$-incompressible, if $\operatorname{cdim}_{p} X=\operatorname{dim} X$, that is, if every self-correspondence of multiplicity prime to $p$ is dominant.

We work with projective homogeneous varieties, i.e., twisted flag varieties under semisimple affine algebraic groups. One large class of such varieties, for which the $p$-incompressibility property is completely understood, is given by the generalized Severi-Brauer varieties of central simple algebras, [8]. In the present paper we study another large class which may be considered as the simplest one for which we do not possess a complete general criterion of $p$-incompressibility. Namely, we study Grassmannians of totally isotropic spaces of a fixed dimension for:

- a non-degenerate quadratic form (orthogonal case, algebraic groups of types $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ ), or
- a hermitian form over a separable quadratic extension field of $F$ (unitary case, type ${ }^{2} Q$ ), or
- a hermitian form over a quaternion division $F$-algebra (symplectic case, type $\mathscr{C}$ ), where in the characteristic 2 case the hermitian form is supposed to be alternating, [14, §4.A].
Note that in the symplectic case, only the Grassmannians of subspaces of integral dimension over the quaternion algebra are considered because the others are not interesting from the viewpoint of the canonical dimension. Also note that $p=2$ is the only interesting prime for the varieties treated here.

Our main result on the orthogonal case is Theorem 3.1. Roughly speaking, it asserts that most of the necessary conditions of 2-incompressibility, established in [7], are actually necessary, see Remark 3.2. Therefore Theorem 3.1 may be considered as a step towards finding a precise criterion of 2 -incompressibility for this type of varieties.

Our main results for the unitary and the symplectic case are Theorems 4.1 and 5.2. Although the varieties occurring in the three cases have quite different nature, we treat them in similar ways and the obtained results are parallel.

These results can be used to provide a conceptual proof of the criterion of $p$-incompressibility for products of two projective homogeneous varieties in the case where one of the varieties involved is a Grassmannian of isotropic subspaces, see [12]. Although the criterion has been recently proved in full in [11], the available general proof is very ad-hoc.

Acknowledgements. - I am grateful to Alexander Merkurjev for asking me the question about possibility of generalization of [10, Theorem 3.1] during my talk at the conference "(A)round forms, cycles and motives" on the occasion of the 80th birthday of Albrecht Pfister in Mainz, Germany. I also thank the two anonymous referees (of the submission of the preprint [12] to Int. Math. Res. Not. $I M R N$ ) for careful reading and suggestions that improved the exposition.
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## 2. Canonical $p$-dimension of a fibration

As shown in [10], canonical $p$-dimension of the product $X \times Y$ of projective homogeneous $F$-varieties $X$ and $Y$ has $\operatorname{cdim}_{p} X+\operatorname{cdim}_{p} Y_{F(X)}$ as an upper bound. We may view $X$ as the base of the projection (a "trivial fibration") $X \times Y \rightarrow X$, and $Y_{F(X)}$ is its generic fiber. In this section, we generalize this upper bound relation to the case of a more general fibration and also we sharpen the upper bound, replacing $\operatorname{cdim}_{p} X$ by an, in general, smaller integer $\operatorname{cdim}_{p}^{\prime} X$ defined in terms of $X$ and the function field of the total variety of the fibration.

Here is the type of the fibrations we are interested in. Let $G$ be a quasi-split semisimple affine algebraic group over $F$ becoming split over a finite extension field of a $p$-power degree, $T$ a $G$-torsor over $F, P$ a parabolic subgroup of $G$ and $P^{\prime}$ a parabolic subgroup of $G$ contained in $P$. We consider the fibration

$$
\pi: Z:=T / P^{\prime} \rightarrow T / P=: X
$$

of projective homogeneous varieties, and we write $Y$ for its generic fiber. We are using the Chow group Ch with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{p}:=\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, the degree homomorphism deg on $\mathrm{Ch}_{0}$ of a complete variety takes its values in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

Let us first recall
Proposition 2.1 ([7, Corollary 6.2]). - Canonical p-dimension $\operatorname{cdim}_{p} X$ of $X$ is the minimal integer $d$ such that there exist a cycle class $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ch}^{d} X_{F(X)}$ and a cycle class $\beta \in \mathrm{Ch}_{d} X$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\beta_{F(X)} \cdot \alpha\right)=1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.

Lemma 2.2. - In the above settings, we have

$$
\operatorname{cdim}_{p} Z \leq \operatorname{cdim}_{p}^{\prime} X+\operatorname{cdim}_{p} Y
$$

where $\operatorname{cdim}_{p}^{\prime} X$ is the minimal integer $d$ such that there exist elements $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ch}^{d}\left(X_{F(Z)}\right)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Ch}_{d}(X)$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\alpha \cdot \beta_{F(Z)}\right)=1$.

Remark 2.3. - Replacing $F(Z)$ by $F(X)$ in the definition of $\operatorname{cdim}_{p}^{\prime} X$, we get $\operatorname{cdim}_{p}(X)$, see Proposition 2.1. Since $F(Z) \supset F(X)$, we have $\operatorname{cdim}_{p}^{\prime} X \leq$ $\operatorname{cdim}_{p} X$.

REmARK 2.4. - In the case where the parabolic subgroup $P^{\prime}$ is special, Lemma 2.2 has been proved in [5, Lemma 5.3]. The proof was more complicated (and the statement-more specific) because Proposition 2.1 was not available at the time.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. - By the definition of $\operatorname{cdim}_{p}^{\prime} X$, for $x:=\operatorname{cdim}_{p}^{\prime} X$ we can find

$$
\alpha_{X} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{x}\left(X_{F(Z)}\right) \text { and } \beta_{X} \in \mathrm{Ch}_{x}(X)
$$

with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\alpha_{X} \cdot\left(\beta_{X}\right)_{F(Z)}\right)=1$.
Note that the function field $F(X)$ is the field of definition of the variety $Y$. By Proposition 2.1, for $y:=\operatorname{cdim}_{p} Y$ we can find

$$
\alpha_{Y} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{y}\left(Y_{F(X)(Y)}\right) \text { and } \beta_{Y} \in \operatorname{Ch}_{y}(Y)
$$

with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\alpha_{Y} \cdot\left(\beta_{Y}\right)_{F(X)(Y)}\right)=1$. We are going to produce certain

$$
\alpha_{Y}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{y}\left(Z_{F(Z)}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{Y}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Ch}_{y+\operatorname{dim} X}(Z)
$$

out of $\alpha_{Y}$ and $\beta_{Y}$.
By [1, Proposition 57.10], the flat pull-back homomorphisms

$$
\mathrm{Ch}_{y+\operatorname{dim} X}(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_{y}(Y) \text { and } \operatorname{Ch}^{y}\left(Z_{F(Z)}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ch}^{y}\left(Y \times_{\operatorname{Spec} F} \operatorname{Spec} F(Z)\right)
$$

are surjective. We define $\beta_{Y}^{\prime}$ simply as a preimage of $\beta_{Y}$.
In order to define $\alpha_{Y}^{\prime}$, we notice that $F(Z)=F(X)(Y)$ so that $Y \times_{\text {Spec } F}$ Spec $F(Z)=Y_{F(X)(Y)(X)}$. We define $\alpha_{Y}^{\prime}$ as a preimage of $\left(\alpha_{Y}\right)_{F(X)(Y)(X)}$.

Now we set

$$
\alpha:=\pi^{*}\left(\alpha_{X}\right) \cdot \alpha_{Y}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Ch}^{x+y}\left(Z_{F(Z)}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta:=\pi^{*}\left(\beta_{X}\right) \cdot \beta_{Y}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Ch}_{x+y}(Z)
$$

We claim that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\alpha \cdot \beta_{F(Z)}\right)=1$. According to Proposition 2.1, the claim implies that

$$
\operatorname{cdim}_{p} Z \leq x+y=\operatorname{cdim}_{p}^{\prime} X+\operatorname{cdim}_{p} Y .
$$

It remains to prove the claim. The claim is about cycles over $F(Z)$ so that we replace the base field $F$ by $F(Z)$.

The product in $\mathrm{Ch}(Z)$, whose degree we are interested in, can be rewritten as the product of $\pi^{*}(\delta)$, where $\delta$ is a 0 -cycle class on $X$ of degree 1 , by an element $\gamma \in \operatorname{Ch}(Z)$ whose image under $\mathrm{Ch}(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ch}\left(Y_{F(X)}\right)$ is a 0-cycle class $Y$ of degree 1. We have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi^{*}(\delta) \cdot \gamma\right)=\operatorname{deg} \pi_{*}\left(\pi^{*}(\delta) \cdot \gamma\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\delta \cdot \pi_{*}(\gamma)\right)
$$

To prove the claim it suffices to check that $\pi_{*}(\gamma)=[X]$. For this, it suffices to check that the pull-back in $\operatorname{Ch}(\operatorname{Spec} F(X))=\mathbb{F}_{p}$ of $\pi_{*}(\gamma)$ along the generic point morphism Spec $F(X) \rightarrow X$ is equal to 1. By [2, Proposition 1.7 of Chapter 1] applied to the square
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the pull-back of $\pi_{*}(\gamma)$ coincides with the degree of the image of $\gamma$ in $\operatorname{Ch}(Y)$ which is indeed 1 . The claim and therefore Lemma 2.2 as well are proved.

## 3. Incompressible orthogonal Grassmannians

In this section, $n$ is a positive integer, $\varphi$ is a non-degenerate $n$-dimensional quadratic form over $F$, and $X_{i}$ with $0 \leq i<n / 2$ is the $i$ th orthogonal Grassmannian of $\varphi$.

We recall that $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=i(i-1) / 2+i(n-2 i)$. (This formula is valid for $i=n / 2$ as well.)

Now assume that $n \geq 3$. The variety $X_{1}$ is the projective quadric of $\varphi$ and the quadratic form $\varphi_{F\left(X_{1}\right)}$ is isotropic. Let $\varphi^{\prime}$ be an $(n-2)$-dimensional quadratic form Witt-equivalent to $\varphi_{F\left(X_{1}\right)}$. For $i$ with $0 \leq i<(n-2) / 2$, we write $X_{i}^{\prime}$ for the $i$ th orthogonal Grassmannian of $\varphi^{\prime}$. Higher Witt indexes appearing below are the relative higher Witt indexes of $[1, \S 25]$.

Theorem 3.1. - For some $n \geq 3$ and some $i \geq 1$, assume that the variety $X_{i}$ is 2 -incompressible. Then $\varphi$ is anisotropic, all the first $i$ higher Witt indexes $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi), \ldots, \mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi)$ of $\varphi$ are equal to 1 , and the variety $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is also 2 -incompressible.

Remark 3.2. - In [7], the following sufficient condition for 2-incompressibility of $X_{i}$ has been given: $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi)=1$ and the degree of every closed point on $X_{i}$ is divisible by $2^{i}$. The degree condition implies that $\varphi$ is anisotropic and $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi)=$ $\cdots=\mathfrak{i}_{i-1}(\varphi)=1$. So, Theorem 3.1 shows that a part of the sufficient condition for 2-incompressibility of $X_{i}$ is actually necessary.

Remark 3.3. - For $i=1$, Theorem 3.1 says that the 2 -incompressibility of the projective quadric $X_{1}$ implies that $\varphi$ is anisotropic and its first Witt index is 1 (the variety $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is just a point and as such is 2 -incompressible automatically). This statement is easy to check (as in the proof below), Lemma 2.2 is not used. A known old result due to A. Vishik actually says that $X_{1}$ is 2-incompressible if and only if $\varphi$ is anisotropic of first Witt index 1 . For a proof (based on ideas of [17]) see [1, Theorem 90.2].

Remark 3.4. - For odd $n$, the statement of Theorem 3.1 on maximal $i=$ $(n-1) / 2$ can be easily deduced from the properties of the $J$-invariant of $\varphi$. The original paper introducing $J$-invariant and establishing its main properties is [18]. The monograph [1, §88], where the $J$-invariant is replaced by its "opposite", can also be consulted. In particular, see [1, Theorem 90.3] for the relation between canonical 2-dimension and the $J$-invariant.

Here is the deduction. For $i=(n-1) / 2$, let $X:=X_{i}$ and let

$$
e_{1} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(X_{F(X)}\right), \ldots, \quad e_{i} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{i}\left(X_{F(X)}\right)
$$

be the ring generators of $\operatorname{Ch}\left(X_{F(X)}\right)$ defined as in [1, §86]. (The generators $e_{i}$ are originally introduced in [18], see also [20], and called elementary classes there.)

We call an element of $\operatorname{Ch}\left(X_{F(X)}\right)$ rational, if it lies in the image of the change of field homomorphism $\operatorname{Ch}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ch}\left(X_{F(X)}\right)$. Assuming that $X$ is 2 -incompressible, none of $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{i}$ is rational by [1, Theorem 90.3]. It follows by [1, Proposition 88.8] that the quadratic form $\varphi$ in question is anisotropic and has $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi)=\cdots=\mathfrak{i}_{i-1}(\varphi)=1$. Therefore $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi)=1$ as well. Finally, replacing the base field $F$ by the function field $F\left(X_{1}\right)$ of the quadric $X_{1}$, the element $e_{i}$ becomes rational, but none of $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{i-1}$, see [1, Corollary 88.6]. (1) This shows that

$$
\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X=1+\cdots+(i-1)=\operatorname{dim} X_{i-1}^{\prime}
$$

for the now equivalent (in the sense of existence of rational maps in both directions) varieties $X=X_{i}$ and $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$, meaning that $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is 2-incompressible.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. - If $\varphi$ is isotropic, the variety $X_{i}$ is equivalent (in the above sense of existence of rational maps in both directions) to the variety $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$. Therefore $\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i}=\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ (see, e.g., [3, Lemma 3.3]). Since $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}>$ $\operatorname{dim} X_{i-1}^{\prime}$, we get a contradiction with the 2-incompressibility of $X_{i}$.

We have shown that $\varphi$ is anisotropic. Next, assuming that $i \geq 2$, we are going to check the 2 -incompressibility of $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$. For this, we apply Lemma 2.2 to the fibration $\pi: X_{1 \subset i} \rightarrow X_{1}$, where $X_{1 \subset i}$ is the 2-flag variety projecting onto $X_{1}$ and $X_{i}$. Note that the variety $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is the generic fiber of $\pi$. The $(i-1)$ th power $h^{i-1} \in \mathrm{Ch}^{i-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$ of the hyperplane section class $h \in \mathrm{Ch}^{1}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and the class $l_{i-1} \in \mathrm{Ch}_{i-1}\left(X_{1}\right)_{F\left(X_{1 \subset i}\right)}$ of an $i$-dimensional totally isotropic subspace satisfy the relation

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(l_{i-1} \cdot h_{F\left(X_{1 \subset i}\right)}^{i-1}\right)=1 .
$$

Therefore $\operatorname{cdim}_{2}^{\prime} X_{1} \leq \operatorname{dim} X_{1}-(i-1)=(n-2)-(i-1)=n-i-1$. It follows by Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{1 \subset i} \leq(n-i-1)+\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i-1}^{\prime}
$$

Since the flag variety $X_{1 \subset i}$ is equivalent to $X_{i}$, we may replace cdim ${ }_{2} X_{1 \subset i}$ by $\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i}$ in this inequality. Since $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=(n-i-1)+\operatorname{dim} X_{i-1}^{\prime}$, the upper bound on $\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i}$, we obtained, shows that the 2-incompressibility of $X_{i}$ implies that of $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$.

[^1]We have shown that $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is 2 -incompressible. It follows by the preceding part that the quadratic form $\varphi^{\prime}$ is anisotropic. This means that $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi)=1$. Continuing this induction procedure, we get that $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi)=\cdots=\mathfrak{i}_{i-1}(\varphi)=1$.

To finish the proof of Theorem 3.1, it remains to show that $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi)=1$. Assume that $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi) \geq 2$. In particular, $i<[n / 2]$. Let $\psi$ be a $(n-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate subform of $\varphi$. (Note that the notion of non-degeneracy for quadratic forms in characteristic 2 we are using is that of [1] so that nondegenerate quadratic forms exist in any dimension.) Let $Y_{i}$ be the $i$ th orthogonal Grassmannian of $\psi$. The condition $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi) \geq 2$ ensures that the varieties $X_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$ are equivalent. Indeed, we always have a rational map $Y_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}$; on the other hand, the intersection of an $(i+1)$-dimensional totally isotropic subspace of $\varphi_{F\left(X_{i}\right)}$ with the hyperplane of definition of $\psi_{F\left(X_{i}\right)}$ has dimension at least $i$, showing that $Y_{i}\left(F\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \neq \varnothing$, so that a rational map $X_{i} \rightarrow Y_{i}$ exists as well. But $\operatorname{dim} Y_{i}<\operatorname{dim} X_{i}$ contradicting 2-incompressibility of $X_{i}$.

## 4. Unitary Grassmannians

Let $K / F$ be a separable quadratic field extension, $n \geq 0$ an integer, $V$ an $n$-dimensional vector space over $K$, and $\varphi$ a $K / F$-hermitian form on $V$. For any integer $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq n / 2$, we write $X_{i}$ for the unitary Grassmannian of totally isotropic $i$-dimensional $K$-subspaces in $V$.

We recall that $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=i(2 n-3 i)$.
Assume that $n \geq 2$. Then the variety $X_{1}$ is defined and the hermitian form $\varphi_{F\left(X_{1}\right)}$ is isotropic. Let $\varphi^{\prime}$ be an $(n-2)$-dimensional $K\left(X_{1}\right) / F\left(X_{1}\right)$-hermitian form Witt-equivalent to $\varphi$. For $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq(n-2) / 2$, we write $X_{i}^{\prime}$ for the corresponding unitary Grassmannian of totally isotropic $i$-dimensional subspaces.

Theorem 4.1. - For some integers $n$ and $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n / 2$, assume that the variety $X_{i}$ is 2 -incompressible. Then $\varphi$ is anisotropic, all the first $i$ higher Witt indexes $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi), \ldots, \mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi)$ of $\varphi$ are equal to 1 , and the variety $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is also 2-incompressible.

Proof. - We start by repeating word by word the proof of Theorem 3.1. The first change that occurs in the unitary case compared to the orthogonal one is in the formula for $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}$. But we still have $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}>\operatorname{dim} X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ in the setting, so that anisotropy of $\varphi$ is proved.

As the next step, we apply Lemma 2.2 to the projection $\pi: X_{1 \subset i} \rightarrow X_{1}$ in order to prove the 2 -incompressibility of $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$, which is again the generic fiber of $\pi$. The base $X_{1}$ of the projection, which was a usual quadric in the orthogonal case, is now a sort of unitary quadric, and we need information on its Chow group analogous to the information we have and have used for usual quadrics.

Such an information is provided in [4, §3]. It is shown there that there are elements $h \in \mathrm{Ch}^{2}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $l_{i-1} \in \mathrm{Ch}_{2(i-1)}\left(X_{1}\right)_{F\left(X_{i}\right)}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(l_{i-1} \cdot h^{i-1}\right)=1$. (The notation for the elements we use is similar to the quadric case and differs from [4].) Therefore

$$
\operatorname{cdim}_{2}^{\prime} X_{1} \leq \operatorname{dim} X_{1}-2(i-1)=(2 n-3)-2(i-1)=2 n-2 i-1
$$

It follows by Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{1 \subset i} \leq(2 n-2 i-1)+\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i-1}^{\prime} .
$$

Since the flag variety $X_{1 \subset i}$ is equivalent to $X_{i}$, we may replace cdim ${ }_{2} X_{1 \subset i}$ by $\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i}$ in this inequality. Since $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=(2 n-2 i-1)+\operatorname{dim} X_{i-1}^{\prime}$, the upper bound on $\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i}$, we obtained, shows that 2-incompressibility of $X_{i}$ implies that of $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$.

We have shown that $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is 2-incompressible. It follows by the preceding part that the quadratic form $\varphi^{\prime}$ is anisotropic. This means that $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi)=1$. Continuing this induction procedure, we get that $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi)=\cdots=\mathfrak{i}_{i-1}(\varphi)=1$.

To finish the proof of Theorem 4.1, it remains to show that $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi)=1$. Assume that $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi) \geq 2$. In particular, $i<[n / 2]$. Let $\psi$ be a $(n-1)$-dimensional nondegenerate subform of $\varphi$. The form $\psi$ exists because $\varphi$ can be diagonalized, [16, Theorem 6.3 of Chapter 7]. Let $Y_{i}$ be the $i$ th unitary Grassmannian of $\psi$. By precisely the same argument as in the orthogonal case, the condition $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi) \geq 2$ ensures that the varieties $X_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$ are equivalent. But again $\operatorname{dim} Y_{i}<\operatorname{dim} X_{i}$, contradicting 2-incompressibility of $X_{i}$.

## 5. Symplectic Grassmannians

Let $Q$ be a quaternion division $F$-algebra, $n \geq 0$ an integer, $V$ a right $n$-dimensional vector space over $Q, \varphi$ a hermitian (with respect to the canonical symplectic involution on $Q$ ) form on $V$. In the case of $\operatorname{char} F=2$, we require $\varphi$ to be alternating.

For any integer $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq n / 2$, we write $X_{i}$ for the symplectic Grassmannian of totally isotropic $i$-dimensional $Q$-subspaces in $V$. We refer to [13] for a general discussion on these varieties and recall that

$$
\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=i(4 n-6 i+1)
$$

Note that besides the symplectic Grassmannians of $\varphi$ introduced right above, for any odd integer $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$ there is the variety of totally isotropic subspaces in $V$ of "dimension" $j / 2$ over $Q$. Any such variety however is equivalent to the conic of $Q$ and therefore is not interesting regarding the questions we consider in the paper.

Lemma 5.1. - Assume that $n \geq 2$. There exists an element $h \in \operatorname{Ch}^{4}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and for any integer $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n / 2$ there exists an element $l_{i-1} \in$ $\mathrm{Ch}^{4(i-1)}\left(X_{1}\right)_{F\left(X_{i}\right)}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(l_{i-1} \cdot h_{F\left(X_{i}\right)}^{i-1}\right)=1$.

Proof. - The variety $X_{1}$ is a closed hypersurface (a sort of "symplectic quadric") in the "projective space" $Q \mathbb{P}(V)$-the variety of 1-dimensional $Q$-subspaces of $V$, cf. [13]. Note that $\operatorname{dim} Q \mathbb{P}(V)=4(n-1)$, where $n=\operatorname{dim} V$. Picking up a hyperplane $W$ in $V$, we consider the class

$$
H:=[Q \mathbb{P}(W)] \in \operatorname{Ch}^{4}(Q \mathbb{P}(V))
$$

and define $h \in \mathrm{Ch}^{4}\left(X_{1}\right)$ as the pull-back of $H$.
Now we replace the base field $F$ by the function field $F\left(X_{i}\right)$, pick up a totally isotropic $i$-dimensional $Q$-subspace in $V$, and let $l_{i-1}$ be its class in $\mathrm{Ch}_{4(i-1)}\left(X_{1}\right)$. For the closed imbedding in : $X_{1} \hookrightarrow Q \mathbb{P}(V)$ we have $i n_{*}\left(l_{i-1}\right)=H^{n-i}$. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(H^{n-1}\right)=1$, we get, applying the projection formula for $i n$ :
$\operatorname{deg}\left(l_{i-1} \cdot h^{i-1}\right)=\operatorname{deg} i n_{*}\left(l_{i-1} \cdot h^{i-1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(i n_{*}\left(l_{i-1}\right) \cdot H^{i-1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(H^{n-1}\right)=1$.

As in Lemma 5.1, assume that $n \geq 2$. Then the variety $X_{1}$ is defined and the hermitian form $\varphi_{F\left(X_{1}\right)}$ is isotropic. Let $\varphi^{\prime}$ be an $(n-2)$-dimensional hermitian form Witt-equivalent to $\varphi$. For $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq(n-2) / 2$, we write $X_{i}^{\prime}$ for the corresponding Grassmannian of totally isotropic $i$-dimensional subspaces.

Theorem 5.2. - For some $n \geq 2$ and some $i \geq 1$, assume that the variety $X_{i}$ is 2-incompressible. Then $\varphi$ is anisotropic, all the first $i$ higher Witt indexes $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi), \ldots, \mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi)$ of $\varphi$ are equal to 1 , and the variety $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is also 2 -incompressible.

Proof. - Again everything goes through as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (or also Theorem 4.1), although the symplectic Grassmannians we are working with now satisfy different dimension formulas compared to the varieties we had in the previous sections. Nevertheless we still have $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}>\operatorname{dim} X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ in the current setting, so that we obtain the anisotropy of $\varphi$.

The next step is, as before, the proof of 2-incompressibility of $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$, involving Lemma 2.2 and the projection $\pi: X_{1 \subset i} \rightarrow X_{1}$. The information on the Chow group of $X_{1}$ that we need here now is provided by Lemma 5.1. It shows that

$$
\operatorname{cdim}_{2}^{\prime} X_{1} \leq \operatorname{dim} X_{1}-4(i-1)=(4 n-5)-4(i-1)=4 n-4 i-1
$$

It follows by Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{1 \subset i} \leq(4 n-4 i-1)+\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i-1}^{\prime}
$$

Since again the flag variety $X_{1 \subset i}$ is equivalent to $X_{i}$, we may replace cdim ${ }_{2} X_{1 \subset i}$ by $\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i}$ in this inequality. Since $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=(4 n-4 i-1)+\operatorname{dim} X_{i-1}^{\prime}$, the upper bound on $\operatorname{cdim}_{2} X_{i}$, we obtained, shows that 2 -incompressibility of $X_{i}$ implies that of $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$.

We have shown that $X_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is 2-incompressible. It follows as in the orthogonal case that $\mathfrak{i}_{1}(\varphi)=\cdots=\mathfrak{i}_{i-1}(\varphi)=1$.

To finish the proof of Theorem 5.2, it remains to show that $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi)=1$. Assume that $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi) \geq 2$. In particular, $i<[n / 2]$. Let $\psi$ be a $(n-1)$-dimensional nondegenerate subform of $\varphi$. Let $Y_{i}$ be the $i$ th unitary Grassmannian of $\psi$. The condition $\mathfrak{i}_{i}(\varphi) \geq 2$ ensures that the varieties $X_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$ are equivalent. But now again $\operatorname{dim} Y_{i}<\operatorname{dim} X_{i}$ contradicting the 2-incompressibility of $X_{i}$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ In characteristic $\neq 2$, the statement also follows from A. Vishik's Main Tool Lemma [19, Theorem 3.1], see also [9, Theorem 1.1].

