

## ADE SURFACE SINGULARITIES, CHAMBERS AND TORIC VARIETIES

*by*

Meral Tosun

---

**Abstract.** — We study the link between the positive divisors supported on the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of a rational double point and the root systems of Dynkin diagrams. Then, we calculate the toric variety corresponding to the fundamental Weyl chamber.

**Résumé (Singularités ADE des surfaces, chambres et variétés toriques).** — Nous étudions le lien entre les diviseurs positifs à support sur le diviseur exceptionnel de la résolution minimale d'un point double rationnel et les systèmes de racine des diagrammes de Dynkin. Puis, nous calculons la variété torique correspondant à la chambre fondamentale de Weyl.

### 1. Introduction

A singularity of a normal analytic surface is rational if the geometric genus of the surface doesn't change by a resolution of the singularity. These singularities are rather simple among surface singularities since they are absolutely isolated and their resolutions have some nice combinatoric properties. A classification of rational singularities is done by the dual graph of the minimal resolution according to their multiplicities (see [11] for details and related references).

First, DuVal observed that the dual graph of the minimal resolution of a rational singularity of multiplicity 2, called rational double point, with algebraically closed field is one of the Dynkin diagrams  $A_n$ ,  $D_n$ ,  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  and  $E_8$ , briefly ADE diagrams (see [2] or [4]). This means that the intersection matrix associated to the dual graph of the minimal resolution of a rational double point is the same as the Cartan matrix of the corresponding Dynkin diagram.

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** — 32S45, 17B20, 13A50, 14M25.

**Key words and phrases.** — Rational double singularity, resolution, root system, toric varieties.

The negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of the exceptional divisor of a resolution of a normal surface singularity permits us to study on a set of certain positive divisors supported on the exceptional divisor, which will be called the semigroup of Lipman. By using this set, we can associate a toric variety with a weighted graph whose intersection matrix is negative definite (see [1]).

In this work, motivated by a question appeared in [9], we give a geometric construction of the roots of an ADE diagram, listed in [3] (see Planche I,IV,V,VI,VII). Following [14], we observe that the semigroup of Lipman associated with an ADE diagram is the same as the fundamental Weyl chamber of the corresponding root system. In the last section, using [1], we describe the toric variety corresponding to the fundamental Weyl chamber of an ADE diagram (see [1], [15]).

## 2. Rational Singularities

Let  $S$  be a germ at  $\xi$  of a complex two dimensional normal space with a singularity at  $\xi$ . A *resolution* of  $S$  is a complex nonsingular surface with a proper map  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  such that its restriction to  $X - \pi^{-1}(\xi)$  is an isomorphism and  $X - \pi^{-1}(\xi)$  is dense in  $X$ . A resolution  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  is called *minimal resolution* if any other resolution  $\pi' : X' \rightarrow S$  factorizes by  $\pi$ . It is well known that the exceptional divisor  $E = \pi^{-1}(\xi)$  of  $\pi$  is connected and of dimension 1 (see [7], theorem V.5.2). Let us denote by  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  the irreducible components of  $E$ . The *intersection matrix*  $M(E)$  associated with  $E$  is defined by the intersection  $(E_i \cdot E_j)$  of the components  $E_i$  and  $E_j$ , which is the intersection number of  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  if  $i \neq j$ , and the first Chern class of the normal bundle to  $E_i$  if  $i = j$ . It is a negative definite matrix (see [13]).

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the free abelian group generated by the irreducible components of  $E$ :

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n m_i E_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  are called the *divisors supported on  $E$* . The support of a divisor  $Y = \sum_i m_i E_i$  is the set of the components for which  $m_i \neq 0$ . In the free abelian group  $\mathcal{G}$ , the intersection matrix  $M(E)$  defines a symmetric bilinear form. We shall denote  $(Y \cdot Z)$  the value of this bilinear form on a pair  $(Y, Z)$  of elements in  $\mathcal{G}$ . An element of  $\mathcal{G}$  in which all the coefficients are non-negative and at least one is positive, is called a *positive divisor*.

**Theorem 2.1 (see [2]).** — *The singularity  $\xi$  of  $S$  is a rational singularity if and only if the arithmetic genus  $\frac{1}{2}(Y \cdot Y + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(w_i - 2)) + 1$  of each positive divisor  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i E_i$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\leq 0$  where  $w_i = -(E_i \cdot E_i)$ .*

Assume that  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  is a resolution of a normal surface singularity which is not necessarily rational. Let  $f$  be an element of the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{S,\xi}$ . Then the divisor  $(\pi^* f)$  of  $f$  on  $X$  is written as  $(\pi^* f) = Y + T_f$  where  $Y$  is a positive divisor supported on the exceptional divisor  $E$  of  $\pi$  and  $T_f$ , called the strict transform of  $f$

by  $\pi$ , intersects  $E$  in finitely many point at most. Since  $((\pi^*f) \cdot E_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ , we obtain  $(Y \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . The inverse is true when the singularity  $\xi$  is rational. We mean that, if  $Y$  is a positive divisor on  $X$  such that  $(Y \cdot E_i) = -(T \cdot E_i)$  for all  $i$ , then there exists a function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $(\pi^*f) = Y + T$  (see [2]). Now, as in [12] (see section 18), let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{E}^+(E) = \{Y \in \mathcal{G} \mid (Y \cdot E_i) \leq 0 \text{ for all } i\}$$

By [18], this set is not empty. It is an additive semigroup: For  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{E}^+(E)$ , we have  $Y_1 + Y_2 \in \mathcal{E}^+(E)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** — The set  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  is called the semigroup of Lipman.

Since  $E$  is connected, for all  $Y = \sum m_i E_i$  in  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$ , we have  $m_i \geq 1$  for all  $i$ . A partial order on  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  is defined as follows: For two elements  $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_i$  and  $Y_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i E_i$  of  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$ , we say  $Y_1 \leq Y_2$  if  $a_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i$ . The smallest element of this set is called *the fundamental cycle* of the resolution  $\pi$ . The proposition 4.1 in [10], gives the following algorithm to construct the fundamental cycle of a given  $E$ :

Let us denote by  $Z$  the fundamental cycle of  $\pi$ . Consider  $Z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ . If  $(Z_1 \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $Z_1 = Z$ . If else, there exists an  $E_{i_1}$  such that  $(Z_1 \cdot E_{i_1}) > 0$ ; in this case, we put  $Z_2 = Z_1 + E_{i_1}$  and we see whether  $(Z_2 \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . The term  $Z_j$ , ( $j \geq 1$ ), of the sequence satisfies, either  $(Z_j \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ , then we put  $Z = Z_j$ , or there is an irreducible component  $E_{i_j}$  such that  $(Z_j \cdot E_{i_j}) > 0$ , then we put  $Z_{j+1} = Z_j + E_{i_j}$ . Thus the fundamental cycle of  $\pi$  is the first cycle  $Z_k$  of this sequence such that  $(Z_k \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . By the same method, we can construct all other elements of  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  (see [14] or [17]).

The following result of Artin characterize what an exceptional divisor of a resolution of a rational singularity looks like:

**Theorem 2.3 (see [2]).** — *A singularity of a normal analytic surface in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  is rational if and only if the arithmetic genus of the fundamental cycle of the exceptional divisor of a resolution of the singularity vanishes.*

This gives:

**Corollary 2.4 (see [2]).** — *The exceptional divisor of any resolution of a rational singularity is normal crossing, with each  $E_i$  nonsingular and of genus zero, and any two distinct components intersect transversally at most in one point.*

A proof of this corollary can be found also in [17].

Then the *dual graph* associated with the exceptional divisor of a resolution of a rational singularity, in which each  $E_i$  is represented by a vertex and each intersection point is represented by an edge between the vertices corresponding to the intersecting components, is a tree. Each vertex in the dual graph is weighted by  $-(E_i \cdot E_i)$ . Conversely, with a given weighted graph, by plumbing, we can associate a *configuration*

of curves embedded in a nonsingular surface and, if such a configuration of curves satisfies theorem 2.3, its contraction gives a rational singularity of a normal analytic surface (see [6], [11]).

**Example 2.5.** — A configuration of curves associated with an ADE diagram is contracted to a rational singularity of a normal analytic surface.

Moreover, we have:

**Proposition 2.6 (see [2]).** — *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  be the minimal resolution of the rational singularity  $\xi$  of  $S$ . Then the multiplicity of  $S$  at  $\xi$  equals  $-(Z \cdot Z)$  where  $Z$  is the fundamental cycle of  $\pi$ .*

Recall that the minimal resolution is characterized by  $(E_i \cdot E_i) \leq -2$  for all irreducible components  $E_i$  of the exceptional divisor. A rational double point is a rational singularity for which the fundamental cycle of the minimal resolution satisfies  $(Z \cdot Z) = -2$ . We know that a rational double point of a surface is defined by the power series with the form  $f(x, y) + z^2 = 0$ . By using the results given above, we deduce:

**Proposition 2.7 (see [2] or [4]).** — *A normal analytic surface singularity is a rational double point if and only if the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of the singularity is a configuration of curves associated with one of the ADE diagrams.*

### 3. Root systems of rational double points

There is a well known construction of ADE diagrams starting from a semisimple Lie algebra. In this section, we are interested in the inverse of that construction, as suggested in [9]. We will see that, using the geometry of a Dynkin diagram, we can obtain the roots of the corresponding semisimple Lie algebra. This gives a partial answer to the question of Ito and Nakamura (see [9], p.194).

Let  $V$  be an euclidean space endowed with a positive definite symmetric bilinear form  $(,)$ . A reflection  $s$  on  $V$  is an orthogonal transformation  $s : V \rightarrow V$  such that, for  $v \in V$ ,  $s(v) = -v$  and it fixes pointwise the hyperplane  $H_v = \{u \in V \mid (u, v) = 0\}$  of  $V$ . We can describe the reflection by the formula  $s_v(u) = u - \frac{2(u, v)}{(v, v)}v$ .

**Definition 3.1.** — A subset  $R$  of  $V$  is called a root system if

- (i) it is finite, generates  $V$  and doesn't contain 0,
- (ii) for every  $v \in R$ , there exists a unique reflection  $s_v$  such that  $s_v(R) = R$ ,
- (iii) for every  $v \in R$ , the only multiples of  $v$  in  $R$  are  $\pm v$ ,
- (iv) for  $u, v \in R$ , we have  $\frac{2(u, v)}{(v, v)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The finite group generated by the reflections is called the Weyl group. See [8] for more details.

In what follows,  $E$  will denote a configuration of curves associated with an ADE diagram, called ADE configuration. Now, following [14], (see p.158), we want to establish the relation between the root systems and the semigroup of Lipman of  $E$ . Denote by  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  the irreducible components of  $E$ . We know that  $(E_i \cdot E_j)$  equals  $-2$  if  $i = j$  and equals  $0$  or  $1$  if  $i \neq j$  (see [4] or [12]). Now, consider the following subset of  $\mathcal{G}$ :

$$R(E) = \{Y \in \mathcal{G} \mid (Y \cdot Y) = -2\}.$$

**Proposition 3.2** (see [14]). — *The set  $R(E)$  is a root system.*

Replacing the inner product in the definition above by the symmetric bilinear form defined by the intersection matrix  $M(E)$ , we can see that  $R(E)$  satisfies the conditions of the definition above.

We will call *root divisors* the elements of  $R(E)$ . By definition,  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $-E_1, \dots, -E_n$  are root divisors but  $E_i - E_j$  is not a root divisor since  $(E_i - E_j \cdot E_i - E_j) \neq -2$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Let us denote  $B = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ . We can see that  $B$  is a vector space basis of  $R(E)$  in  $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  and every element  $Y$  in  $R(E)$  can be written as the sum of  $E_i$ 's with coefficients all nonnegative or all nonpositive (compare with [8], pp.47-48). If we denote by  $R^+(E)$  the set of the elements of  $R(E)$  with coefficients all nonnegative, then we have  $R(E) = R^+(E) \cup (-R^+(E))$ .

**Proposition 3.3** (see [14]). — *Let  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_i$  be the fundamental cycle of  $E$ . Then, for each root divisor  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i E_i$  in  $R(E)$ , we have  $m_1 \leq a_1, \dots, m_n \leq a_n$ .*

The fundamental cycle is called the highest (or biggest) root divisor in  $R(E)$ .

*Proof.* — Since  $E$  is the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of a rational double point, we have  $(Z \cdot Z) = -2$ . So  $Z \in R(E)$ . Assume that there is a positive divisor  $Y$  in  $R(E)$  such that  $Y > Z$  and  $(Y \cdot Y) = -2$ . So we have  $Y = Z + D$  where  $D$  is a positive divisor. This gives  $(Y \cdot Y) = (Z \cdot Z) + 2(Z \cdot D) + (D \cdot D)$ . Thus  $2(Z \cdot D) = -(D \cdot D)$ . Since  $Z$  is the fundamental cycle, we have  $(Z \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ , so  $(Z \cdot D) \leq 0$ . This implies  $D = 0$ .  $\square$

Hence, we can calculate the highest root divisor by the algorithm of Laufer given in the preceding section. The following proposition gives an algorithm to construct all elements of  $R(E)$  from  $Z$  by using  $B$ :

**Theorem 3.4.** — *Let  $R^+(E) = \{Y_0, \dots, Y_k\}$  with  $Y_k = Z$ . Then, for each  $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ , there exists an element  $Y_t$  in  $R^+(E)$  such that  $(Y_t \cdot E_i) = k_i < 0$  and  $Y_j = Y_t + k_i E_i$  for some  $i$ . Inversely, for each  $E_i$  in  $B$  such that  $(Y_t \cdot E_i) = k_i < 0$ ,  $Y_t + k_i E_i$  is a root divisor in  $R(E)$ .*

*Proof.* — The existence of at least one irreducible component  $E_i$  in each  $Y_j$  such that  $(Y_j \cdot E_i) < 0$  is due to negative definiteness of the intersection matrix. Then, theorem follows from the fact that  $(Y_t + k_i E_i) \cdot (Y_t + k_i E_i) = -2$ .  $\square$