

## VARIATION OF PARABOLIC COHOMOLOGY AND POINCARÉ DUALITY

by

Michael Dettweiler & Stefan Wewers

---

**Abstract.** — We continue our study of the variation of parabolic cohomology ([DW]) and derive an exact formula for the underlying Poincaré duality. As an illustration of our methods, we compute the monodromy of the Picard-Euler system and its invariant Hermitian form, reproving a classical theorem of Picard.

**Résumé (Variation de la cohomologie parabolique et dualité de Poincaré).** — On continue l'étude de la variation de la cohomologie parabolique commencée dans [DW]. En particulier, on donne des formules pour l'accouplement de Poincaré sur la cohomologie parabolique, et on calcule la monodromie du système de Picard-Euler, confirmant un résultat classique de Picard.

### Introduction

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be pairwise distinct points on the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  and set  $U := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) - \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ . The Riemann–Hilbert correspondence [Del70] is an equivalence between the category of ordinary differential equations with polynomial coefficients and at most regular singularities at the points  $x_i$  and the category of local systems of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vectorspaces on  $U$ . The latter are essentially given by an  $r$ -tuple of matrices  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  satisfying the relation  $\prod_i g_i = 1$ . The Riemann–Hilbert correspondence associates to a differential equation the tuple  $(g_i)$ , where  $g_i$  is the monodromy of a full set of solutions at the singular point  $x_i$ .

In [DW] the authors investigated the following situation. Suppose that the set of points  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  and a local system  $\mathcal{V}$  with singularities at the  $x_i$  depend on a parameter  $s$  which varies over the points of a complex manifold  $S$ . More precisely, we consider a relative divisor  $D \subset \mathbb{P}_S^1$  of degree  $r$  such that for all  $s \in S$  the fibre  $D_s \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  consists of  $r$  distinct points. Let  $U := \mathbb{P}_S^1 - D$  denote the complement

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** — 14F05.

**Key words and phrases.** — Parabolic cohomology, Poincaré duality, Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.

and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a local system on  $U$ . We call  $\mathcal{V}$  a *variation of local systems* over the base space  $S$ . The *parabolic cohomology* of the variation  $\mathcal{V}$  is the local system on  $S$

$$\mathcal{W} := R^1\pi_*(j_*\mathcal{V}),$$

where  $j : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1$  denotes the natural injection and  $\pi : \mathbb{P}_S^1 \rightarrow S$  the natural projection. The fibre of  $\mathcal{W}$  at a point  $s_0 \in S$  is the parabolic cohomology of the local system  $\mathcal{V}_0$ , the restriction of  $\mathcal{V}$  to the fibre  $U_0 = U \cap \pi^{-1}(s_0)$ .

A special case of this construction is the *middle convolution functor* defined by Katz [Kat97]. Here  $S = U_0$  and so this functor transforms one local system  $\mathcal{V}_0$  on  $S$  into another one,  $\mathcal{W}$ . Katz shows that all rigid local systems on  $S$  arise from one-dimensional systems by successive application of middle convolution. This was further investigated by Dettweiler and Reiter [DR03]. Another special case are the generalized hypergeometric systems studied by Lauricella [Lau93], Terada [Ter73] and Deligne–Mostow [DM86]. Here  $S$  is the set of ordered tuples of pairwise distinct points on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  of the form  $s = (0, 1, \infty, x_4, \dots, x_r)$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is a one-dimensional system on  $\mathbb{P}_S^1$  with regular singularities at the (moving) points  $0, 1, \infty, x_4, \dots, x_r$ . In [DW] we gave another example where  $S$  is a 17-punctured Riemann sphere and the local system  $\mathcal{V}$  has finite monodromy. The resulting local system  $\mathcal{W}$  on  $S$  does not have finite monodromy and is highly non-rigid. Still, by the comparison theorem between singular and étale cohomology,  $\mathcal{W}$  gives rise to  $\ell$ -adic Galois representations, with interesting applications to the regular inverse Galois problem.

In all these examples, it is a significant fact that the monodromy of the local system  $\mathcal{W}$  (i.e. the action of  $\pi_1(S)$  on a fibre of  $\mathcal{W}$ ) can be computed explicitly, i.e. one can write down matrices  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathrm{GL}_n$  which are the images of certain generators  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  of  $\pi_1(S)$ . In the case of the middle convolution this was discovered by Dettweiler–Reiter [DR00] and Völklein [Vö1]. In [DW] it is extended to the more general situation sketched above. In all earlier papers, the computation of the monodromy is either not explicit (like in [Kat97]) or uses ad hoc methods. In contrast, the method presented in [DW] is very general and can easily be implemented on a computer.

It is one matter to compute the monodromy of  $\mathcal{W}$  explicitly (i.e. to compute the matrices  $g_i$ ) and another matter to determine its image (i.e. the group generated by the  $g_i$ ). In many cases the image of monodromy is contained in a proper algebraic subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ , because  $\mathcal{W}$  carries an invariant bilinear form induced from Poincaré duality. To compute the image of monodromy, it is often helpful to know this form explicitly. After a review of the relevant results of [DW] in Section 1, we give a formula for the Poincaré duality pairing on  $\mathcal{W}$  in Section 2. Finally, in Section 3 we illustrate our method in a very classical example: the Picard–Euler system.

**1. Variation of parabolic cohomology revisited**

**1.1.** Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus 0 and  $D \subset X$  a subset of cardinality  $r \geq 3$ . We set  $U := X - D$ . There exists a homeomorphism  $\kappa : X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  between  $X$  and the Riemann sphere which maps the set  $D$  to the real line  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ . Such a homeomorphism is called a *marking* of  $(X, D)$ .

Having chosen a marking  $\kappa$ , we may assume that  $X = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  and  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Choose a base point  $x_0 \in U$  lying in the upper half plane. Write  $D = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  with  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_r \leq \infty$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$  we let  $\gamma_i$  denote the open interval  $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \subset U \cap \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ ; for  $i = r$  we set  $\gamma_0 = \gamma_r := (x_r, x_1)$  (which may include  $\infty$ ). For  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , we let  $\alpha_i \in \pi_1(U)$  be the element represented by a closed loop based at  $x_0$  which first intersects  $\gamma_{i-1}$  and then  $\gamma_i$ . We obtain the following well known presentation

$$(1) \quad \pi_1(U, x_0) = \left\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \mid \prod_i \alpha_i = 1 \right\rangle,$$

which only depends on the marking  $\kappa$ .

Let  $R$  be a (commutative) ring. A *local system of  $R$ -modules* on  $U$  is a locally constant sheaf  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $U$  with values in the category of free  $R$ -modules of finite rank. Such a local system corresponds to a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(U, x_0) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ , where  $V := \mathcal{V}_{x_0}$  is the stalk of  $\mathcal{V}$  at  $x_0$  (note that  $V$  is a free  $R$ -module of finite rank). For  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , set  $g_i := \rho(\alpha_i) \in \text{GL}(V)$ . Then we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^r g_i = 1,$$

and  $\mathcal{V}$  can also be given by a tuple  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_r) \in \text{GL}(V)^r$  satisfying the above product-one-relation.

**Convention 1.1.** — Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be two elements of  $\pi_1(U, x_0)$ , represented by closed path based at  $x_0$ . The composition  $\alpha\beta$  is (the homotopy class of) the closed path obtained by first walking along  $\alpha$  and then along  $\beta$ . Moreover, we let  $\text{GL}(V)$  act on  $V$  *from the right*.

**1.2.** Fix a local system of  $R$ -modules  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $U$  as above. Let  $j : U \hookrightarrow X$  denote the inclusion. The *parabolic cohomology* of  $\mathcal{V}$  is defined as the sheaf cohomology of  $j_*\mathcal{V}$ , and is written as  $H_p^n(U, \mathcal{V}) := H^n(X, j_*\mathcal{V})$ . We have natural morphisms  $H_c^n(U, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow H_p^n(U, \mathcal{V})$  and  $H_p^n(U, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow H^n(U, \mathcal{V})$  ( $H_c$  denotes cohomology with compact support). Moreover, the group  $H^n(U, \mathcal{V})$  is canonically isomorphic to the group cohomology  $H^n(\pi_1(U, x_0), V)$  and  $H_p^1(U, \mathcal{V})$  is the image of the cohomology with compact support in  $H^1(U, \mathcal{V})$ , see [DW, Prop. 1.1]. Thus, there is a natural inclusion

$$H_p^1(U, \mathcal{V}) \hookrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(U, x_0), V).$$

Let  $\delta : \pi_1(U) \rightarrow V$  be a cocycle, i.e. we have  $\delta(\alpha\beta) = \delta(\alpha) \cdot \rho(\beta) + \delta(\beta)$  (see Convention 1.1). Set  $v_i := \delta(\alpha_i)$ . It is clear that the tuple  $(v_i)$  is subject to the relation

$$(2) \quad v_1 \cdot g_2 \cdots g_r + v_2 \cdot g_3 \cdots g_r + \cdots + v_r = 0.$$

By definition,  $\delta$  gives rise to an element in  $H^1(\pi_1(U, x_0), V)$ . We say that  $\delta$  is a *parabolic* cocycle if the class of  $\delta$  in  $H^1(\pi_1(U), V)$  lies in  $H_p^1(U, \mathcal{V})$ . By [DW, Lemma 1.2], the cocycle  $\delta$  is parabolic if and only if  $v_i$  lies in the image of  $g_i - 1$ , for all  $i$ . Thus, the assignment  $\delta \mapsto (\delta(\alpha_1), \dots, \delta(\alpha_r))$  yields an isomorphism

$$(3) \quad H_p^1(U, \mathcal{V}) \cong W_{\mathbf{g}} := H_{\mathbf{g}}/E_{\mathbf{g}},$$

where

$$(4) \quad H_{\mathbf{g}} := \{ (v_1, \dots, v_r) \mid v_i \in \text{Im}(g_i - 1), \text{relation (2) holds} \}$$

and

$$(5) \quad E_{\mathbf{g}} := \{ (v \cdot (g_1 - 1), \dots, v \cdot (g_r - 1)) \mid v \in V \}.$$

**1.3.** Let  $S$  be a connected complex manifold, and  $r \geq 3$ . An *r-configuration* over  $S$  consists of a smooth and proper morphism  $\bar{\pi} : X \rightarrow S$  of complex manifolds together with a smooth relative divisor  $D \subset X$  such that the following holds. For all  $s \in S$  the fiber  $X_s := \bar{\pi}^{-1}(s)$  is a compact Riemann surface of genus 0. Moreover, the natural map  $D \rightarrow S$  is an unramified covering of degree  $r$ . Then for all  $s \in S$  the divisor  $D \cap X_s$  consists of  $r$  pairwise distinct points  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in X_s$ .

Let us fix an *r-configuration*  $(X, D)$  over  $S$ . We set  $U := X - D$  and denote by  $j : U \hookrightarrow X$  the natural inclusion. Also, we write  $\pi : U \rightarrow S$  for the natural projection. Choose a base point  $s_0 \in S$  and set  $X_0 := \bar{\pi}^{-1}(s_0)$  and  $D_0 := X_0 \cap D$ . Set  $U_0 := X_0 - D_0 = \pi^{-1}(s_0)$  and choose a base point  $x_0 \in U_0$ . The projection  $\pi : U \rightarrow S$  is a topological fibration and yields a short exact sequence

$$(6) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(U_0, x_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(U, x_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(S, s_0) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{V}_0$  be a local system of  $R$ -modules on  $U_0$ . A *variation* of  $\mathcal{V}_0$  over  $S$  is a local system  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $R$ -modules on  $U$  whose restriction to  $U_0$  is identified with  $\mathcal{V}_0$ . The *parabolic cohomology* of a variation  $\mathcal{V}$  is the higher direct image sheaf

$$\mathcal{W} := R^1 \bar{\pi}_*(j_* \mathcal{V}).$$

By construction,  $\mathcal{W}$  is a local system with fibre

$$W := H_p^1(U_0, \mathcal{V}_0).$$

(Since an *r-configuration* is locally trivial relative to  $S$ , it follows that the formation of  $\mathcal{W}$  commutes with arbitrary basechange  $S' \rightarrow S$ .) Thus  $\mathcal{W}$  corresponds to a representation  $\eta : \pi_1(S, s_0) \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$ . We call  $\rho$  the *monodromy representation* on the parabolic cohomology of  $\mathcal{V}_0$  (with respect to the variation  $\mathcal{V}$ ).

**1.4.** Under a mild assumption, the monodromy representation  $\eta$  has a very explicit description in terms of the *Artin braid group*. We first have to introduce some more notation. Define

$$\mathcal{O}_{r-1} := \{ D' \subset \mathbb{C} \mid |D'| = r - 1 \} = \{ D \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \mid |D| = r, \infty \in D \}.$$

The fundamental group  $A_{r-1} := \pi_1(\mathcal{O}_{r-1}, D_0)$  is the *Artin braid group* on  $r - 1$  strands. Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r-2}$  be the standard generators, see e.g. [DW, § 2.2.] (The element  $\beta_i$  switches the position of the two points  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ ; the point  $x_i$  walks through the lower half plane and  $x_{i+1}$  through the upper half plane.) The generators  $\beta_i$  satisfy the following well known relations:

$$(7) \quad \beta_i \beta_{i+1} \beta_i = \beta_{i+1} \beta_i \beta_{i+1}, \quad \beta_i \beta_j = \beta_j \beta_i \quad (\text{for } |i - j| > 1).$$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $V$  a free  $R$ -module of finite rank. Set

$$\mathcal{E}_r(V) := \{ \mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_r) \mid g_i \in \text{GL}(V), \prod_i g_i = 1 \}.$$

We define a right action of the Artin braid group  $A_{r-1}$  on the set  $\mathcal{E}_r(V)$  by the following formula:

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{g}^{\beta_i} := (g_1, \dots, g_{i+1}, g_{i+1}^{-1} g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_r).$$

One easily checks that this definition is compatible with the relations (7). For  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}_r(V)$ , let  $H_{\mathbf{g}}$  be as in (4). For all  $\beta \in A_{r-1}$ , we define an  $R$ -linear isomorphism

$$\Phi(\mathbf{g}, \beta) : H_{\mathbf{g}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathbf{g}^\beta},$$

as follows. For the generators  $\beta_i$  we set

$$(9) \quad (v_1, \dots, v_r)^{\Phi(\mathbf{g}, \beta_i)} := (v_1, \dots, v_{i+1}, \underbrace{v_{i+1}(1 - g_{i+1}^{-1} g_i g_{i+1}) + v_i g_{i+1}}_{(i+1)\text{th entry}}, \dots, v_r).$$

For an arbitrary word  $\beta$  in the generators  $\beta_i$ , we define  $\Phi(\mathbf{g}, \beta)$  using (9) and the ‘cocycle rule’

$$(10) \quad \Phi(\mathbf{g}, \beta) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{g}^\beta, \beta') = \Phi(\mathbf{g}, \beta\beta').$$

(Our convention is to let linear maps act from the right; therefore, the left hand side of (9) is the linear map obtained from first applying  $\Phi(\mathbf{g}, \beta)$  and then  $\Phi(\mathbf{g}^\beta, \beta')$ .) It is easy to see that  $\Phi(\mathbf{g}, \beta)$  is well defined and respects the submodule  $E_{\mathbf{g}} \subset H_{\mathbf{g}}$  defined by (5). Let

$$\bar{\Phi}(\mathbf{g}, \beta) : W_{\mathbf{g}} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{\mathbf{g}^\beta}$$

denote the induced map on the quotient  $W_{\mathbf{g}} = H_{\mathbf{g}}/E_{\mathbf{g}}$ .

Given  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}_r(V)$  and  $h \in \text{GL}(V)$ , we define the isomorphism

$$\Psi(\mathbf{g}, h) : \begin{cases} H_{\mathbf{g}^h} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\mathbf{g}} \\ (v_1, \dots, v_r) & \mapsto & (v_1 \cdot h, \dots, v_r \cdot h). \end{cases},$$