

**Guy David**  
**Marcel Filoche**  
**David Jerison**  
**Svitlana Mayboroda**

---

**A FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM FOR THE  
LOCALIZATION OF EIGENFUNCTIONS**

---

ASTÉRIQUE 392

Société Mathématique de France 2017

---

Astérisque est un périodique de la Société mathématique de France  
Numéro 392

---

*Comité de rédaction*

Ahmed ABBES  
Viviane BALADI  
Laurent BERGER  
Philippe BIANE  
Hélène ESNAULT

Philippe EYSSIDIEUX  
Damien GABORIAU  
Michael HARRIS  
Fabrice PLANCHON  
Pierre SCHAPIRA

Éric VASSEROT (dir.)

*Diffusion*

Maison de la SMF  
B.P. 67  
13274 Marseille CEDEX 9  
France  
smf@smf.univ-mrs.fr

AMS  
P.O. Box 6248  
Providence RI 02940  
USA  
www.ams.org

*Tarifs 2017*

*Vente au numéro* : 45 € (\$ 67)  
*Abonnement électronique* : 500 € (\$ 750)  
*Abonnement avec supplément papier* : 657 €, hors Europe : 699 € (\$ 1049)  
Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

*Secrétariat : Nathalie Christiaën*

Astérisque  
Société Mathématique de France  
Institut Henri Poincaré, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie  
75231 Paris CEDEX 05, France  
Tél : (33) 01 44 27 67 99 • Fax : (33) 01 40 46 90 96  
astsmf@ihp.fr • <http://smf.emath.fr/>

© Société Mathématique de France 2017

*Tous droits réservés (article L 122-4 du Code de la propriété intellectuelle). Toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale ou partielle faite sans le consentement de l'éditeur est illicite. Cette représentation ou reproduction par quelque procédé que ce soit constituerait une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles L 335-2 et suivants du CPI.*

ISSN : print 0303-1179, electronic 2492-5926

ISBN 978-2-85629-863-3

Directeur de la publication : Stéphane SEURET

---

ASTÉRIQUE 392

**A FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM FOR THE  
LOCALIZATION OF EIGENFUNCTIONS**

**Guy David  
Marcel Filoche  
David Jerison  
Svitlana Mayboroda**

**Société Mathématique de France 2017**  
Publié avec le concours du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique

G. David

Univ Paris-Sud, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, UMR 8658 Orsay, F-91405; CNRS, Orsay, F-91405; Institut Universitaire de France.

E-mail : [Guy.David@math.u-psud.fr](mailto:Guy.David@math.u-psud.fr)

M. Filoche

Physique de la Matière Condensée, École Polytechnique, CNRS, Palaiseau, France; CMLA, ÉNS Cachan, UniverSud, Cachan, France.

E-mail : [marcel.filoche@polytechnique.edu](mailto:marcel.filoche@polytechnique.edu)

D. Jerison

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307 USA.

E-mail : [jerison@math.mit.edu](mailto:jerison@math.mit.edu)

S. Mayboroda

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, 206 Church St SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA.

E-mail : [svitlana@math.umn.edu](mailto:svitlana@math.umn.edu)

---

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** --- 49Q20, 35B65.

**Key words and phrases.** --- Free boundary problem, localization of eigenfunctions.

---

- ▷ The first author acknowledges the generous support of the Institut Universitaire de France, and of the ANR (programme blanc GEOMETRYA, ANR-12-BS01-0014).
- ▷ The second author was partially supported by the CNRS grant PEPS-PTI Thorgal.
- ▷ The third author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS 1069225, the Bergman Trust, and wishes to thank Université de Paris Sud (Orsay) for a monthlong visit during June–July 2012.
- ▷ The fourth author was partially supported by the NSF grants DMS 1220089 (CAREER), DMS 1344235 (INSPIRE), DMR 0212302 (UMN MRSEC Seed grant), and the the Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship. The fourth author would also like to acknowledge the support of the CNRS and hospitality of Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France, in Oct.–Dec. of 2012 and April of 2013, when parts of this work were completed.

## A FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM FOR THE LOCALIZATION OF EIGENFUNCTIONS

Guy David, Marcel Filoche, David Jerison, Svitlana Mayboroda

**Abstract.** --- We study a variant of the Alt, Caffarelli, and Friedman free boundary problem, with many phases and a slightly different volume term, which we originally designed to guess the localization of eigenfunctions of a Schrödinger operator in a domain. We prove Lipschitz bounds for the functions and some nondegeneracy and regularity properties for the domains.

**Résumé (Un problème de frontière libre pour la localisation de fonctions propres)**

On étudie une variante du problème de frontière libre de Alt, Caffarelli et Friedman, avec plusieurs phases et un terme de volume légèrement différent, que l'on a choisie pour deviner la localisation des fonctions propres d'un opérateur de Schrödinger dans un domaine. On démontre des estimations lipschitziennes pour les fonctions associées à un minimiseur et des propriétés de nondégérescence et de régularité pour les frontières libres.



## CONTENTS

1. Introduction .....	1
2. Motivation for our main functional .....	11
3. Existence of minimizers .....	17
4. Poincaré inequalities and restriction to spheres .....	25
5. Minimizers are bounded .....	31
6. Two favorite competitors .....	39
7. Hölder-continuity of $u$ inside $\Omega$ .....	45
8. Hölder-continuity of $u$ on the boundary .....	55
9. The monotonicity formula .....	63
10. Interior Lipschitz bounds for $u$ .....	67
11. Global Lipschitz bounds for $u$ when $\Omega$ is smooth .....	81
12. A sufficient condition for $ u $ to be positive .....	87
13. Sufficient conditions for minimizers to be nontrivial .....	97
14. A bound on the number of components .....	101
15. The main non degeneracy condition; good domains .....	105
16. The boundary of a good region is rectifiable .....	123
17. Limits of minimizers .....	133
18. Blow-up Limits are minimizers .....	151

19. Blow-up limits with two phases .....	159
20. Blow-up limits with one phase .....	175
21. Local regularity when all the indices are good .....	187
22. First variation and the normal derivative .....	195
Bibliography .....	201



## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

The initial motivation for this paper was to describe the localization of eigenfunctions for an operator  $\mathcal{L}$  on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let us assume that  $|\Omega|$ , the measure of  $\Omega$ , is finite. The typical operator that we consider is the positive Laplacian  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta$ , or a Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + \mathcal{V}$ , with  $\mathcal{V}$  bounded and nonnegative.

In [20], a pointwise estimate for eigenfunctions for  $\mathcal{L}$  is found, which bounds them in terms of a single function  $w_0$ , namely the solution of  $\mathcal{L}w_0 = 1$  on  $\Omega$ , with the Dirichlet condition  $w_0 = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . Our first goal is to derive an automatic way, using  $w_0$ , to find subdomains  $W_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , of  $\Omega$ , where the eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{L}$  are more likely to be supported. The work in [20] indicates that, roughly speaking, one seeks a collection of disjoint  $W_j \subset \Omega$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , such that  $w_0$  is small on the boundaries of the  $W_j$ , and it is natural to try to measure “smallness” in terms of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  itself. Even though many handmade or numerical decompositions of  $\Omega$  based on  $w_0$  seem to give very good predictions of the localization of eigenfunctions, we would like to have a more systematic way to realize the decomposition.

The functional described below is intended to give such a good partition of  $\Omega$  into subdomains, and it turns out to be an interesting variant of functionals introduced by Alt and Caffarelli [1], and studied by many others. In the present paper, we shall mainly study the theoretical properties of our functional (existence and regularity of the minimizers and regularity of the corresponding free boundaries).

Let us now describe the main free boundary problem that we shall study here; the relation with  $w_0$  and our original localization problem will be explained more in Chapter 2.

We are given a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and (for instance) an operator  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + \mathcal{V}$ ; assumptions on the potential  $\mathcal{V}$ , or other functions associated to a similar problem, will come later. We are also given an integer  $N \geq 1$ , and we want to cut  $\Omega$  into subregions  $W_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , according to the geometry associated to  $\mathcal{L}$ . For this, we want to define and minimize a functional  $J$ . But let us first define the set of admissible pairs  $(\mathbf{u}, W)$  for which  $J(\mathbf{u}, W)$  is defined.

DEFINITION 1.1. — Given the open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and the integer  $N \geq 1$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  the set of admissible pairs  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{W})$ , where  $\mathbf{W} = (W_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  is a  $N$ -uple of pair-wise disjoint Borel-measurable sets  $W_i \subset \Omega$ , and  $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  is a  $N$ -uple of real-valued functions  $u_i$ , such that

$$(1.1) \quad u_i \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad u_i(x) = 0 \text{ for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus W_i.$$

Here  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , denotes the set of functions  $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whose derivative, computed in the distribution sense, lies in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We chose a definition for which we do not need to assume any regularity for the sets  $W_i$ , nor to give a precise meaning to the Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}_0(W_i)$ , but under mild assumptions, the functions  $u_i$  associated to minimizers will be continuous, and we will be able to take

$$W_i = \{x \in \Omega; u_i(x) > 0\}.$$

For the moment we took real-valued functions, but what we will say will systematically apply when some of the functions  $u_i$  are required to be nonnegative. In addition, some of our results will only work under this constraint (that  $u_i \geq 0$ ).

Our functional  $J$  will have three main terms. The first one is the energy

$$(1.3) \quad E(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int |\nabla u_i(x)|^2 dx,$$

where we denoted by  $\nabla u_i$  the distributional gradient of  $u_i$ , which is an  $L^2$  function. It does not matter whether we integrate on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Omega$ , or  $W_i$ , because one can check that if  $u_i \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  vanishes almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W_i$ , then  $\nabla u_i = 0$  almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W_i$ . Indeed, the Rademacher-Calderón theorem says that  $u_i$  is differentiable (i.e., has a derivative) at almost every point of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with a differential that coincides almost everywhere with the (density of the) distribution  $Du_i$ . See for instance Theorem 6.15 on page 47 of [23]. It is then easy to check that  $Du_i(x) = 0$  when  $x$  is a point of Lebesgue differentiability of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W_i$ , hence, for almost every  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W_i$ .

The second term of our functional will be

$$(1.4) \quad M(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int [u_i(x)^2 f_i(x) - u_i(x) g_i(x)] dx,$$

where the  $f_i$  and the  $g_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , are given functions on  $\Omega$  that we may choose, depending on our problem. We are slightly abusing notation here, because  $M(\mathbf{u})$  also depends on the  $W_i$  through the choice of functions we integrate against  $u_i^2$  or  $u_i$ , at least if the  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  depend on  $i$ . The convergence of the integrals in (1.4) will follow from our assumptions on the  $f_i$  and the  $g_i$ . For our initial localization problem, all the  $f_i$  will be equal to the potential  $\mathcal{V}$ , and  $g_i = 2$  for all  $i$ .