

# BULLETIN DE LA S. M. F.

MORIHIKO SAITO  
**On microlocal *b*-function**

*Bulletin de la S. M. F.*, tome 122, n° 2 (1994), p. 163-184

<[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF\\_1994\\_\\_122\\_2\\_163\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1994__122_2_163_0)>

© Bulletin de la S. M. F., 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Bulletin de la S. M. F. » (<http://smf.emath.fr/Publications/Bulletin/Presentation.html>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>*

## ON MICROLOCAL $b$ -FUNCTION

BY

MORIHIKO SAITO

---

RÉSUMÉ. — Soit  $f$  un germe de fonction holomorphe en  $n$  variables. En utilisant des opérateurs différentiels microlocaux, on introduit la notion de  $b$ -fonction microlocale  $\tilde{b}_f(s)$  de  $f$ , et on démontre que  $(s+1)\tilde{b}_f(s)$  coïncide avec la  $b$ -fonction (i.e. le polynôme de Bernstein) de  $f$ . Soient  $R_f$  les racines de  $\tilde{b}_f(-s)$ ,  $\alpha_f = \min R_f$  et  $m_\alpha(f)$  la multiplicité de  $\alpha \in R_f$ . On démontre  $R_f \subset [\alpha_f, n - \alpha_f]$  et  $m_\alpha(f) \leq n - \alpha_f - \alpha + 1$  ( $\leq n - 2\alpha_f + 1$ ). Le théorème de type Thom-Sebastiani pour  $b$ -fonction est aussi démontré sous une hypothèse raisonnable.

ABSTRACT. — Let  $f$  be a germ of holomorphic function of  $n$  variables. Using microlocal differential operators, we introduce the notion of microlocal  $b$ -function  $\tilde{b}_f(s)$  of  $f$ , and show that  $(s+1)\tilde{b}_f(s)$  coincides with the  $b$ -function (i.e. Bernstein polynomial) of  $f$ . Let  $R_f$  be the roots of  $\tilde{b}_f(-s)$ ,  $\alpha_f = \min R_f$ , and  $m_\alpha(f)$  the multiplicity of  $\alpha \in R_f$ . Then we prove  $R_f \subset [\alpha_f, n - \alpha_f]$  and  $m_\alpha(f) \leq n - \alpha_f - \alpha + 1$  ( $\leq n - 2\alpha_f + 1$ ). The Thom-Sebastiani type theorem for  $b$ -function is also proved under a reasonable hypothesis.

### Introduction

Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function defined on a germ of complex manifold  $(X, x)$ . The  $b$ -function (i.e., Bernstein polynomial)  $b_f(s)$  of  $f$  is defined by the monic generator of the ideal consisting of polynomials  $b(s)$  which satisfy the relation

$$(0.1) \quad b(s)f^s = Pf^{s+1} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}[f^{-1}][s]f^s$$

for  $P \in \mathcal{D}_{X,x}[s]$ . Let  $\delta(t-f)$  denote the delta function on  $X' := X \times \mathbb{C}$  with support  $\{f=t\}$ , where  $t$  is the coordinate of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, setting  $s = -\partial_t t$ ,  $f^s$  and  $\delta(t-f)$  satisfy the same relation (see for example [8]). So  $f^s$  in (0.1) can be replaced by  $\delta(t-f)$ , and  $f^{s+1}$  by  $t\delta(t-f)$ . We define the

---

(\*) Texte reçu le 21 février 1992, révisé le 6 décembre 1992.

M. SAITO, RIMS, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-01, Japon.

*microlocal b-function*  $\tilde{b}_f(s)$  by the monic generator of the ideal consisting of polynomials  $b(s)$  which satisfy the relation

$$(0.2) \quad b(s)\delta(t-f) = P\partial_t^{-1}\delta(t-f) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}[\partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}]\delta(t-f)$$

for  $P \in \mathcal{D}_{X,x}[\partial_t^{-1}, s]$ . Here we can also allow for  $P$  a microdifferential operator [4], [6], [17] satisfying a condition on the degree of  $t$  and  $\partial_t$  (see (1.4)). We have :

PROPOSITION 0.3. —  $b_f(s) = (s+1)\tilde{b}_f(s)$ .

See (1.5). The microlocal *b*-function  $\tilde{b}_f(s)$  is sometimes easier to treat than the *b*-function  $b_f(s)$ . Let  $R_f$  be the roots of  $\tilde{b}_f(-s)$ ,  $\alpha_f = \min R_f$ ,  $m_\alpha(f)$  the multiplicity of  $\alpha \in R_f$ , and  $n = \dim X$ . Then, using the duality of filtered  $\mathcal{D}$ -Modules [15] and the theory of Hodge Modules [12], we prove

THEOREM 0.4. —  $R_f \subset [\alpha_f, n - \alpha_f]$ .

THEOREM 0.5. —  $m_\alpha(f) \leq n - \alpha_f - \alpha + 1 \quad (\leq n - 2\alpha_f + 1)$ .

See (2.8), (2.10).

The estimate (0.4) is optimal because  $\max R_f = n - \alpha_f$  in the quasi-homogeneous isolated singularity case. See also remark after (2.8) below. Note that  $R_f \subset \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\alpha_f > 0$  by [4], and (0.5) is an improvement of  $m_\alpha(f) \leq n - \delta_{\alpha,1}$  (with  $\delta_{\alpha,1}$  Kronecker's delta) which is shown in [9] as a corollary of the relation with Deligne's vanishing cycle sheaf  $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$  [2] (see also [5]). This relation implies for example that  $\exp(2\pi i\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in R_f$  are the eigenvalues of the monodromy on  $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$ . But  $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$  cannot be replaced with the reduced cohomology of a Milnor fiber at  $x$  as in the isolated singularity case, because we have to take the Milnor fibration at several points of  $\text{Sing } f^{-1}(0)$  even when we consider the *b*-function of  $f$  at  $x$ . See (2.12) below.

Let  $T_u$  and  $T_s$  denote respectively the unipotent and semisimple part of the monodromy  $T$  on  $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$ . Let  $\varphi_f^\alpha \mathbb{C}_X = \text{Ker}(T_s - \exp(-2\pi i\alpha))$  (as a shifted perverse sheaf), and  $N = \log T_u / 2\pi i$ . In the proof of (0.5), we get also :

PROPOSITION 0.6. — *We have  $N^{r+1} = 0$  on  $\varphi_f^\alpha \mathbb{C}_X$  for  $\alpha \in [\alpha_f, \alpha_f + 1]$  and  $r = [n - \alpha_f - \alpha]$ . In particular,  $N^{r+1} = 0$  on  $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$  for  $r = [n - 2\alpha_f]$ .*

For the proof of (0.4)–(0.6), we use the filtration  $V$  (similar to that in [5], [9]) defined on the  $\mathcal{D}_{X,x}[t, \partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}]$ -module  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f$  generated by the delta function  $\delta(t-f)$ . Note that (0.3) may be viewed as an extension

of Malgrange's result [8] to the nonisolated singularity case (see (1.7) below), and in the isolated singularity case, (0.4)–(0.6) can be deduced from results of [8], [19], [20] (and [18]) using an argument as in [14]. In the nondegenerate Newton boundary case [7], we get an estimate of  $\alpha_f$  using the Newton polyhedron (see (3.3)). The idea of its proof is essentially same as [16].

Let  $g$  be a holomorphic function on a germ of complex manifold  $(Y, y)$ . Let  $Z = X \times Y, z = (x, y)$ , and  $h = f + g \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ . We define  $R_g, R_h$  as above. Then we have :

**PROPOSITION 0.7.** —  $R_f + R_g \subset R_h + \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}, R_h \subset R_f + R_g + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**THEOREM 0.8.** — *Assume there is a holomorphic vector field  $\xi$  such that  $\xi g = g$ . Then we have  $R_f + R_g = R_h$ , and*

$$m_\gamma(h) = \max_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \{m_\alpha(f) + m_\beta(g) - 1\}.$$

See (4.3)–(4.4). Here  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  (or  $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ ) is the set of nonnegative (or non-positive) integers. In the case where  $f$  and  $g$  have isolated singularities, (0.7)–(0.8) can be easily deduced from results of MALGRANGE [8], [10] (see (4.6) below), and (0.8) was first obtained by [21] in this case. Note that (0.8) is not true in general if the hypothesis is not satisfied. See (4.8) below.

## 1. Microlocal $b$ -function

**1.1.** — Let  $X$  be a complex manifold of pure dimension  $n$ , and  $x \in X$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{X,x}$ . We define rings  $\mathcal{R}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  by

$$(1.1.1) \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}[t, \partial_t], \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{D}[t, \partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}],$$

where  $t, \partial_t$  satisfy the relation  $\partial_t t - t \partial_t = 1$ , and  $\mathcal{D}[t, \partial_t] = \mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t, \partial_t]$ , etc. We define the filtration  $V$  on  $\mathcal{R}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  by the differences of the degrees of  $t$  and  $\partial_t$  :

$$(1.1.2) \quad V^p \mathcal{R} = \sum_{i-j \geq p} \mathcal{D} t^i \partial_t^j \quad (\text{same for } \tilde{\mathcal{R}}).$$

Then we have :

$$(1.1.3) \quad \begin{cases} V^p \mathcal{R} = t^p V^0 \mathcal{R} = V^0 \mathcal{R} t^p & (p > 0), \\ V^{-p} \mathcal{R} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p} \partial_t^j V^0 \mathcal{R} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p} V^0 \mathcal{R} \partial_t^j & (p > 0), \\ V^p \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \partial_t^{-p} V^0 \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = V^0 \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \partial_t^{-p}. \end{cases}$$

**1.2.** — Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f \neq 0$ . Let

$$(1.2.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_f = \mathcal{O}[\partial_t]\delta(t-f), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f = \mathcal{O}[\partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}]\delta(t-f),$$

where  $\mathcal{O}[\partial_t]\delta(t-f)$  is a free module of rank one over  $\mathcal{O}[\partial_t]$  ( $= \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\partial_t]$ ) with a basis  $\delta(t-f)$  (similarly for  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f$ ). Here  $\delta(t-f)$  denotes the delta function supported on  $\{f = t\}$  (see remark below). We have a structure of  $\mathcal{R}$ -module and  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -module on  $\mathcal{B}_f$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f$  respectively by

$$(1.2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \xi(a\partial_t^i\delta(t-f)) = (\xi a)\partial_t^i\delta(t-f) - (\xi f)a\partial_t^{i+1}\delta(t-f), \\ t(a\partial_t^i\delta(t-f)) = fa\partial_t^i\delta(t-f) - ia\partial_t^{i-1}\delta(t-f) \end{cases}$$

for  $a \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $\xi \in \Theta_{X,x}$ . We define a decreasing filtration  $G$  on  $\mathcal{B}_f$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f$  by

$$(1.2.3) \quad G^p \mathcal{B}_f = V^p \mathcal{R}\delta(t-f), \quad G^p \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f = V^p \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\delta(t-f),$$

and an increasing filtration  $F$  by

$$(1.2.4) \quad F_p \mathcal{B}_f = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq p} \mathcal{O}\partial_t^i\delta(t-f), \quad F_p \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f = \bigoplus_{i \leq p} \mathcal{O}\partial_t^i\delta(t-f)$$

Then we have :

$$(1.2.5) \quad \partial_t^i : G^p \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{p-i} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f, \quad \partial_t^i : F_p \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{p+i} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f,$$

$$(1.2.6) \quad \mathcal{D}_{X,x}[s](F_p \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f) \subset G^{-p} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f.$$

*Remark.* — The  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $\mathcal{B}_f$  is identified with the germ at  $(x, 0)$  of the direct image of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  as  $\mathcal{D}$ -Module by the closed embedding  $i_f$  defined by the graph of  $f$ , where  $t$  is identified with the coordinate of  $\mathbb{C}$ . See [4] and [17].

**1.3 Definition.** — The *b-function*  $b_f(s)$  (resp. *microlocal b-function*  $\tilde{b}_f(s)$ ) is defined by the minimal polynomial of the action of  $s := -\partial_t$  on  $\text{Gr}_G^0 \mathcal{B}_f$  (resp.  $\text{Gr}_G^0 \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f$ ).

**REMARK.** — Since  $\text{Gr}_V^0 \mathcal{R} = \text{Gr}_V^0 \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{D}[s]$ ,  $b_f(s)$  (resp.  $\tilde{b}_f(s)$ ) is the monic generator of the ideal consisting of polynomials  $b(s)$  which satisfy the relation

$$(1.3.1) \quad b(s)\delta(t-f) = P\delta(t-f)$$