

ON NONIMBEDDABILITY OF HARTOGS FIGURES INTO COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

BY E. CHIRKA & S. IVASHKOVICH

ABSTRACT. — We prove the impossibility of imbeddings of Hartogs figures into general complex manifolds which are close to an imbedding of an analytic disc attached to a totally real collar. Analogously we provide examples of the so called thin Hartogs figures in complex manifolds having no neighborhood biholomorphic to an open set in a Stein manifold.

RÉSUMÉ (*Sur la non-prolongabilité des figures de Hartogs dans les variétés complexes*)

Nous prouvons qu'il est impossible en général de plonger une figure de Hartogs dans une variété complexe proche d'un plongement du disque analytique attaché à une bande totalement réelle. De manière analogue, nous construisons un exemple d'une marmite de Hartogs dans une variété complexe qui n'admet pas un voisinage plongeable dans une variété de Stein.

1. Introduction

We discuss the possibility of imbeddings of Hartogs figures into general complex manifolds.

Texte reçu le 22 octobre 2004, accepté le 5 avril 2005.

E. CHIRKA, Steklov Math. Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Gubkina St. 8, 119991 Moscow (Russia). • *E-mail* : chirka@mi.ras.ru

S. IVASHKOVICH, Université de Lille I, UFR de Mathématiques, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq (France) and IAPMM Nat. Acad. Sci. Ukraine, Lviv, Naukova 3b, 79601 (Ukraine).

E-mail : ivachkov@math.univ-lille1.fr

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 32E10.

Key words and phrases. — Hartogs figure, holomorphic foliation, Maslov index.

Let Δ denote the unit disk in \mathbb{C} , $\Delta(r)$ a disk of radius r , Δ^2 a unit bidisk in \mathbb{C}^2 and $A_{r_1}^{r_2}$ an annulus $\Delta(r_2) \setminus \bar{\Delta}(r_1)$, $r_1 < r_2$. Recall that a “thick” *Hartogs figure* (or simply Hartogs figure) is a set of the form

$$\begin{aligned} H(\varepsilon) &:= \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z| < \varepsilon, |w| < 1 + \varepsilon \text{ or } |z| < 1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon < |w| < 1 + \varepsilon\} \\ &= \Delta(\varepsilon) \times \Delta(1 + \varepsilon) \cup \Delta(1 + \varepsilon) \times A_{1-\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

for some ε , $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Let X be a complex manifold of dimension 2 which is foliated by complex curves over the unit disk. More precisely, there is a holomorphic submersion $\pi : X \rightarrow \Delta$ with connected fibers $X_z := \pi^{-1}(z)$. Hartogs figures $H(\varepsilon)$ are naturally foliated over the disk in the first factor \mathbb{C}_z of $\mathbb{C}_{z,w}^2$ and we denote the corresponding projection by $\pi_1 : H(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \Delta(1 + \varepsilon)$. A holomorphic mapping $f : (H(\varepsilon), \pi_1) \rightarrow (X, \pi)$ is called foliated if there exists a holomorphic map $\zeta : \Delta(1 + \varepsilon) \rightarrow \Delta$ such that $\pi(f(z, w)) = \zeta(z)$.

Let $\mathbb{S}^1 := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| = 1\}$ denote the unit circle. Suppose further we are given a smooth family $\Gamma = \{\gamma_z : z \in \Delta\}$ of diffeomorphic images of \mathbb{S}^1 with $\gamma_z \subset X_z$ such that:

- (i) there are $z \in \Delta$ arbitrarily close to 0 for which γ_z bound a disk in X_z ;
- (ii) but γ_0 is not supposed to bound a disk in X_0 .

Denote by $\mathbb{S}_a^1 := \{a\} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ circles in corresponding fibers of $H(\varepsilon)$. Set also $\Delta_a := \{a\} \times \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

QUESTION. — *Does there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ such that a “thick” Hartogs figure $H(\varepsilon)$ can be holomorphically imbedded into X in the following way:*

- 1) *imbedding $f : H(\varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ is foliated;*
- 2) *$f(\Delta_0) \subset X_a$ for some $a \in \Delta$ and $f(\mathbb{S}_0^1)$ is homologous to γ_a (this means, in particular, that for this a the curve γ_a is homologous to zero in X_a);*
- 3) *the curve $f(\mathbb{S}_1^1)$ is contained in X_0 and is homologous to γ_0 in X_0 ?*

In [2, p. 124] and [1, p. 146] the existence of such imbedding is used as an obvious fact. The main goal of this note is to provide an example giving the negative answer to this question. We shall construct the following:

Example. — There exists a complex surface X with a holomorphic submersion π onto the unit disk Δ such that:

- 1) all fibers $X_z := \pi^{-1}(z)$ are disks with possible punctures;
- 2) the fiber X_0 over the origin is a punctured disk; the subset $U \subset \Delta$ consisting of such z that the fiber X_z is a disk, is nonempty, open and $\partial U \ni 0$;
- 3) for any circle γ_0 around the puncture in X_0 and for any circle γ_a in any of X_a , $a \in U$, there does not exist a foliated holomorphic map f from any “thick” Hartogs figure $H(\varepsilon)$ to X such that $f(\Delta_0) \subset X_a$ and $f(\mathbb{S}_1^1) \subset X_0$ is homologous to γ_0 in the fiber X_0 .

This example will be constructed in §2. In §3 we shall discuss imbeddings of the so called “thin” Hartogs figure into Stein manifolds and answer the question asked us by Evgeny Poletsky.

Acknowledgments. — We would like to thank E. Poletsky, who was the first who asked us the question about possibility of imbeddings of Hartogs figures into general complex manifolds. We would like also to acknowledge M. Brunella for sending us the preprint [3] where his erroneous argument with Hartogs figures is replaced by another approach using a sort of “nonparametric” Levi-type extension theorem.

We would like to give our thanks to the Referee of this note for his valuable suggestions which we had use in §3.

At any rate the question about possibility of imbeddings of Hartogs figures into a general complex manifold seems to be of some interest.

2. Construction of the example

Our example is based on the violation of the argument principle.

Let J_{st} denote the usual complex structure in $\mathbb{C}_{z,w}^2$.

Take a function $\lambda(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, which satisfies

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t < \frac{1}{9}, \\ 1 & \text{for } t > \frac{4}{9}. \end{cases}$$

For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ consider the following domain M^k in $\mathbb{C} \times \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}_{z,w}^2$:

$$M^k := (\mathbb{C} \times \Delta) \setminus \left\{ (z, w) : \frac{1}{3} \leq |z| \leq \frac{2}{3}, w^2 = z^k \lambda(|z|^2) \text{ or } |z| \geq \frac{1}{3}, w = 0 \right\}.$$

Let J_k be the (almost) complex structure on M^k with the basis of $(1,0)$ -forms constituted by dz and $dw + a_k d\bar{z}$, where

$$a_k(z, w) = \begin{cases} \frac{wz^{k+1}\lambda(|z|^2)}{w^2 - z^k\lambda(|z|^2)} & \text{for } \frac{1}{3} < |z| < \frac{2}{3}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall denote by $\Lambda_{J_k}^{p,q}(M^k)$ the subspace in $\Lambda^{p+q}(M^k)$ consisting of (p, q) -forms relative to J_k .

LEMMA 1. — J_k is well defined on the whole of M^k , is (formally) integrable, hence (M^k, J_k) is a complex manifold. Moreover:

- (i) $J_k = J_{\text{st}}$ on $M^k \setminus (\overline{A_{1/3}^{2/3}} \times \Delta)$;
- (ii) the functions $f_k(z, w) = w + (z^k/w)\lambda(|z|^2)$ and $g(z, w) = z$ are J_k -holomorphic on M^k ;
- (iii) $\text{ind}_{|w|=1-\varepsilon} f_k(z, w) = -1$ for $|z| \geq 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{6}$.

Proof. — (i) Integrability condition on an almost complex structure J reads as $d\Lambda_J^{1,0} \subset \Lambda_J^{2,0} + \Lambda_J^{1,1}$ where $\Lambda_J^{2,0}$ is the linear span of $\Lambda_J^{1,0} \wedge \Lambda_J^{1,0}$ and $\Lambda_J^{1,1}$ is the same for $\Lambda_J^{1,0} \wedge \Lambda_J^{0,1}$. Any form $\alpha \in \Lambda_{J_k}^{1,0}$ is represented as $\alpha_1 dz + \alpha_2(dw + a_k d\bar{z})$ with smooth α_1, α_2 , hence, $d\alpha \equiv \alpha_2 da_k \wedge d\bar{z} \pmod{(\Lambda_{J_k}^{2,0} + \Lambda_{J_k}^{1,1})}$. Now,

$$da_k \wedge d\bar{z} = \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z} dz \wedge d\bar{z} + \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial w} dw \wedge d\bar{z} + \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial \bar{w}} d\bar{w} \wedge d\bar{z} \equiv \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial w} dw \wedge d\bar{z}$$

mod $\Lambda_{J_k}^{1,1}$ since $\partial a_k / \partial \bar{w} = 0$. Finally,

$$dw \wedge d\bar{z} = (dw + a_k d\bar{z}) \wedge d\bar{z} \in \Lambda_{J_k}^{1,1}.$$

(ii) Really,

$$\begin{aligned} df_k(z, w) &= \left(k \frac{z^{k-1}}{w} \lambda + \lambda' \frac{z^k}{w} \bar{z} \right) dz + \lambda' \frac{z^{k+1}}{w} d\bar{z} + \left(1 - \frac{z^k}{w^2} \lambda \right) dw \\ &= \left(k \frac{z^{k-1}}{w} \lambda + \lambda' \frac{z^k}{w} \bar{z} \right) dz + \left(1 - \frac{z^k}{w^2} \lambda \right) (dw + a_k d\bar{z}) \in \Lambda_{J_k}^{1,0}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\bar{\partial}f_k = 0$. The case of $g(z, w) = z$ is obvious since $dz \in \Lambda_{J_k}^{1,0}(M^k)$.

(iii) is obvious. □

The following lemma tells that regions $R_1 = \{|z| < \frac{1}{3}\}$ and $R_2 = \{|z| > 1\}$ on M^k are separated by a sort of a “barrier” $\bar{A}_{1/3}^{2/3} \times \Delta$ in the sense that a foliated holomorphic map

$$f : (\zeta, \eta) \mapsto (z(\zeta), w(\zeta, \eta))$$

from $H(\varepsilon)$ to (M^k, J_k) such that $f(\mathbb{S}_\zeta^1) \sim \mathbb{S}_{z(\zeta)}^1$ which starts at R_1 (i.e. $|z(0)| < \frac{1}{3}$), cannot reach R_2 (i.e., $|z(1)|$ cannot be greater than 1).

LEMMA 2. — *Let $f : (\zeta, \eta) \mapsto (z(\zeta), w(\zeta, \eta))$ be any foliated holomorphic map $(H(\varepsilon), J_{st}) \rightarrow (M^k, J_k)$ such that*

- (i) $|z(0)| < \frac{1}{3}$,
- (ii) $f(\mathbb{S}_\zeta^1) \sim \mathbb{S}_{z(\zeta)}^1$ in $M_{z(\zeta)}^k$ for all $\zeta \in \Delta(1 + \varepsilon)$.

Then $z(\Delta) \subset \Delta$.

Proof. — Suppose not. Set $U = z^{-1}(\Delta)$. Then $U \neq \Delta$ and there exist a point $\zeta_0 \in \Delta \cap \partial U$ and a curve $\gamma(t)$ from $\gamma(0) = 0$ to $\gamma(1) = \zeta_0$ such that $\gamma(t) \in U$ for $0 \leq t < 1$. The function $F_k(\zeta, \eta) = f_k(z(\zeta), w(\zeta, \eta))$ is holomorphic in $H(\varepsilon)$ and therefore holomorphically extends onto the bidisk $\Delta_{1+\varepsilon}^2$. Since

$$F_k(\zeta, \eta) = w(\zeta, \eta) + \frac{z(\zeta)^k}{w(\zeta, \eta)} \lambda(|z(\eta)|^2),$$

we see that $\text{ind}_{|\eta|=1} F_k(0, \eta) = \text{ind}_{|\eta|=1} w(0, \eta) \geq 0$ due to J_{st} -holomorphicity of $w(0, \eta)$ on $\{0\} \times \Delta(1 + \varepsilon)$. But $|z(\zeta_0)| = 1$, so $\lambda(|z(\zeta_0)|^2) = 1$ and therefore

$$\left| \frac{z(\zeta_0)^k}{w(\zeta_0, \eta)} \right| \cdot \lambda(|z(\zeta_0)|^2) > 1 > |w(\zeta_0, \eta)| \quad \text{for } |\eta| = 1.$$

As $w(\zeta_0, \eta)$ is holomorphic on $\{\zeta_0\} \times \Delta$, one has

$$\text{ind}_{|\eta|=1} F_k(\zeta_0, \eta) = \text{ind}_{|\eta|=1} \frac{1}{w(\zeta_0, \eta)} = -1$$

due to the condition (ii) of the lemma. This contradicts to the holomorphicity of F_k on $\Delta_{1+\varepsilon}^2$. \square

Construction of the counterexample. — Let now

$$K_j : |z - c_j| < r_j$$

be a family of mutually disjoint discs in Δ converging to 0 and Σ_j be the intersection of $\bar{K}_j \times \Delta$ with

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{3}r_j \leq |z - c_j| \leq \frac{2}{3}r_j, w^2 = \left(\frac{z - c_j}{r_j} \right)^{k_j} \lambda \left(\frac{|z - c_j|^2}{r_j^2} \right) \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ |z - c_j| \geq \frac{1}{3}r_j, w = 0 \right\}.$$

Let X be the domain $\Delta^2 \setminus (\bigcup_j \Sigma_j \cup \{z \notin \bigcup_j K_j, w = 0\})$ and J be the complex structure (integrable!) in X with the basis of $(1,0)$ -forms constituted by dz and $dw + b d\bar{z}$ where

$$b(z, w) = a_{k_j} \left(\frac{z - a_j}{r_j}, w \right) \quad \text{for } z \in K_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

and $b = 0$ otherwise. Then $J \in C^\infty(X)$ if $k_j \uparrow \infty$ sufficiently fast. $\pi : X \rightarrow \Delta$ denotes the natural projection which X inherits as a domain in $\Delta \times \Delta$.

Checking of the following lemma is straightforward (due to Lemma 2) and is left to the reader.

LEMMA 3. — *Projection π is holomorphic and therefore (X, π) is a holomorphic fibration. Moreover:*

- (i) X_z are disks with punctures; X_0 is a punctured disk; the leaf X_z is a disk for $z \in \bigcup_j \{|z - c_j| < \frac{1}{3}r_j\}$;
- (ii) there exists no foliated holomorphic map $f = (z, w) : H(\varepsilon) \rightarrow (X, J)$ such that $|z(0) - c_j| < \frac{1}{3}r_j$ for some j , $z(1) = 0$ and $f(\mathbb{S}_\zeta^1) \sim \mathbb{S}_{z(\zeta)}^1$ for all $\zeta \in \Delta(1 + \varepsilon)$.

REMARK. — In Lemmas 2 and 3, condition $f(\mathbb{S}_\zeta^1) \sim \mathbb{S}_{z(\zeta)}^1$ can be weakened to $f(\mathbb{S}_\zeta^1) \sim d \cdot \mathbb{S}_{z(\zeta)}^1$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$.