

376

ASTÉRISQUE

2016

LAGRANGIAN FLOER THEORY
AND MIRROR SYMMETRY
ON COMPACT TORIC MANIFOLDS

Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta & Kaoru Ono

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Publié avec le concours du CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

Astérisque est un périodique de la Société Mathématique de France.

Numéro 376, 2016

Comité de rédaction

Ahmed ABBES	Damien GABORIAU
Viviane BALADI	Michael HARRIS
Gérard BESSON	Fabrice PLANCHON
Laurent BERGER	Pierre SCHAPIRA
Philippe BIANE	Bertrand TOEN
Hélène ESNAULT	

Éric VASSEROT (dir.)

Diffusion

Maison de la SMF	Hindustan Book Agency	AMS
Case 916 - Luminy	O-131, The Shopping Mall	P.O. Box 6248
13288 Marseille Cedex 9	Arjun Marg, DLF Phase 1	Providence RI 02940
France	Gurgaon 122002, Haryana	USA
smf@smf.univ-mrs.fr	Inde	www.ams.org

Tarifs

Vente au numéro : 55 € (\$ 82)

Abonnement Europe : 654 €, hors Europe : 692 € (\$ 1038)

Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

Secrétariat : Nathalie Christiaën

Astérisque

Société Mathématique de France

Institut Henri Poincaré, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie

75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

Tél : (33) 01 44 27 67 99 • Fax : (33) 01 40 46 90 96

revues@smf.ens.fr • <http://smf.emath.fr/>

© Société Mathématique de France 2016

Tous droits réservés (article L 122-4 du Code de la propriété intellectuelle). Toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale ou partielle faite sans le consentement de l'éditeur est illicite. Cette représentation ou reproduction par quelque procédé que ce soit constituerait une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles L 335-2 et suivants du CPI.

ISSN 0303-1179

ISBN 978-2-85629-825-1

Directeur de la publication : Marc Peigné

376

ASTÉRISQUE

2016

LAGRANGIAN FLOER THEORY
AND MIRROR SYMMETRY
ON COMPACT TORIC MANIFOLDS

Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta & Kaoru Ono

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Publié avec le concours du CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

Kenji Fukaya

Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook,
NY 11794-3636 U.S.A., & Center for Geometry and Physics, Institute for Basic Sci-
ences (IBS), Pohang, Korea

kfukaya@scgp.stonybrook.edu

Yong-Geun Oh

Center for Geometry and Physics, Institute for Basic Sciences (IBS), Pohang, Korea
& Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang, Korea

yongoh1@postech.ac.kr

Hiroshi Ohta

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

ohta@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Kaoru Ono

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

ono@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Classification mathématique par sujet (2000). — 53D37, 53D40, 53D45, 14B07, 14M25, 37J05.

Keywords. — Floer cohomology, mirror symmetry, toric manifolds, open-closed Gromov-Witten in-
variant, Saito's theory of singularities, Landau-Ginzburg model, weakly unobstructed Lagrangian
submanifolds, potential function, Jacobian ring, Frobenius manifold.

KF is supported partially by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #19104001, 23224002,
Global COE program G08 and NSF Grant No. 1406423, YO by US NSF grant #0904197 and the
IBS project IBS-R003-D1, HO by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #19340017, 23340015,
15H02054 and KO by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, #18340014, 21244002, 23224001,
26247006.

LAGRANGIAN FLOER THEORY AND MIRROR SYMMETRY ON COMPACT TORIC MANIFOLDS

Kenji FUKAYA, Yong-Geun OH, Hiroshi OHTA & Kaoru ONO

Abstract. — In this paper we study Lagrangian Floer theory on toric manifolds from the point of view of mirror symmetry. We construct a natural isomorphism between the Frobenius manifold structures of the (big) quantum cohomology of the toric manifold and of Saito's theory of singularities of the potential function constructed in [Fukaya, *Tohoku Math. J.* **63** (2011)] via the Floer cohomology deformed by ambient cycles. Our proof of the isomorphism involves the open-closed Gromov-Witten theory of one-loop.

Résumé (La théorie de Floer lagrangienne et la symétrie miroir sur les variétés toriques).

— Dans ce volume nous étudions la théorie de Floer lagrangienne sur les variétés toriques du point de vue de la symétrie miroir. Nous construisons un isomorphisme naturel entre les structures des variétés de Frobenius du grand anneau de cohomologie quantique de la variété torique et de la théorie des singularités de Saïto sur la fonction potentielle construite en [Fukaya, *Tohoku Math. J.* **63** (2011)] en utilisant la cohomologie de Floer déformée par les cycles ambiants. Notre démonstration de l'isomorphisme utilise les invariants de Gromov-Witten ouverts/fermés de la théorie d'une-boucle.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Notations and terminologies	9
1.3. Statement of the results	11
1.4. Projective space: an example	26
 2. Ring isomorphism	 29
2.1. Norms and completions of polynomial ring over Novikov ring	29
2.2. Localization of Jacobian ring at moment polytope	33
2.3. Operator \mathfrak{q} : review	37
2.4. Well-definedness of Kodaira-Spencer map	46
2.5. Well-definedness of potential function	59
2.6. Kodaira-Spencer map is a ring homomorphism	64
2.7. Surjectivity of Kodaira-Spencer map	86
2.8. Versality of the potential function with bulk	90
2.9. Algebraization of Jacobian ring	92
2.10. Seidel homomorphism and a result by McDuff-Tolman	97
2.11. Injectivity of Kodaira-Spencer map	101
2.11.1. The case $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+)$	101
2.11.2. The case $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_0)$	117
2.12. The Chern class c_1 and critical values of $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$	124
2.13. Hirzebruch surface F_2 : an example	126
 3. Coincidence of pairings	 131
3.1. Operator \mathfrak{p} and Poincaré duality	131
3.2. Cyclic symmetry in the toric case	137
3.3. Operator \mathfrak{p} in the toric case	147
3.4. Moduli space of holomorphic annuli	154
3.5. Poincaré duality is residue pairing	179
3.6. Clifford algebra and Hessian matrix	181
3.7. Residue pairing and Hessian determinant	185
3.8. Cyclic homology and variation of the invariant Z	190
3.9. Orientation	205
3.9.1. Operators D_1 and D_2	206

3.9.2. Orientation of Index D_1	207
3.9.3. Orientation of Index D_2	209
3.9.4. Continuation of linear Kuranishi models	210
3.10. Sign in Theorem 3.4.1 and Proposition 3.5.2	213
3.10.1. Some lemmata	214
3.10.2. Proofs of signs in Theorem 3.4.1 and Proposition 3.5.2	218
4. Appendix	227
4.1. Coincidence of the two definitions of $\delta^{b,b}$	227
4.2. Interpolation between Kuranishi structures	229
4.3. T^n equivariant and cyclically symmetric Kuranishi structures	234
4.3.1. The case of one disk component I	241
4.3.2. The case of one disk component II	253
4.3.3. The case of one disk component III	259
4.3.4. The case of more than one disk components	267
4.3.5. Taking the sum of obstruction spaces so that coordinate change exists	275
4.3.6. Taking fiber product with T^n invariant cycles	280
4.4. Continuous family of T^n equivariant and cyclic symmetric multisections	282
4.5. Construction of various other Kuranishi structures.	284
4.5.1. Construction of \mathfrak{p} -Kuranishi structure	284
4.5.2. Construction of \mathfrak{t} -Kuranishi structure	289
4.5.3. Proof of Lemma 4.2.7	291
4.5.4. Kuranishi structure on the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic annuli I	297
4.5.5. Kuranishi structure on the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic annuli II	304
4.5.6. Kuranishi structure on the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic annuli III	309
4.6. Proof of Lemma 2.6.27	314
4.7. Hochschild and quantum cohomologies	326
Bibliography	333
Index	339

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove a version of mirror symmetry between compact toric A-model and Landau-Ginzburg B-model.

Let X be a compact toric manifold and take a toric Kähler structure on it. In this paper X is not necessarily assumed to be Fano. In [33] we defined a potential function with bulk, $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, which is a family of functions of n variables y_1, \dots, y_n parameterized by the cohomology class $\mathfrak{b} \in H(X; \Lambda_0)$. (More precisely, it is parameterized by T^n -invariant cycles. We explain this point later in Sections 1.3 and 2.5.) (Λ_0 is defined in Definition 1.2.1.)

$\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is an element of an appropriate completion of $\Lambda_0[y_1, y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_n, y_n^{-1}]$, the Laurent polynomial ring over (universal) Novikov ring Λ_0 . We denote this completion by $\Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1} \rangle\rangle_0^{\mathring{P}}$. (See Definition 1.3.1 for its definition.) We put

$$\text{Jac}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}) = \frac{\Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1} \rangle\rangle_0^{\mathring{P}}}{\text{Clos}_{d_{\mathring{P}}}\left(y_i \frac{\partial \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}}{\partial y_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\right)}.$$

Differentiation of $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ with respect to the ambient cohomology class \mathfrak{b} gives rise to a Λ_0 module homomorphism

$$(1.1.1) \quad \mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{b}} : H(X; \Lambda_0) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}).$$

We define a quantum ring structure $\cup^{\mathfrak{b}}$ on $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ by deforming the cup product by \mathfrak{b} using Gromov-Witten theory. (See Definition 1.3.28.) The main result of this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1.1. — Equip $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ with the ring structure $\cup^{\mathfrak{b}}$. Then

1. The homomorphism $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ in (1.1.1) is a ring isomorphism.
2. If $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a Morse function, i.e., all its critical points are nondegenerate, the isomorphism $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ above intertwines the Poincaré duality pairing on $H(X; \Lambda)$ and the residue pairing on $\text{Jac}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}) \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \Lambda$.

We refer readers to Section 1.3 for the definition of various notions appearing in Theorem 1.1.1. Especially the definition of residue pairing which we use in this paper is given in Definition 1.3.24 and Theorem 1.3.25.

We now explain how Theorem 1.1.1 can be regarded as a mirror symmetry between toric A-model and Landau-Ginzburg B-model:

- (a) First, the surjectivity of (1.1.1) implies that the family $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a versal family in the sense of deformation theory of singularities. (See Theorem 2.8.1.)
- (b) Therefore the tangent space $T_{\mathfrak{b}}H(X; \Lambda_0)$ carries a ring structure pulled-back from the Jacobian ring by (1.1.1). Theorem 1.1.1.1 implies that this pull-back coincides with the quantum ring structure $\cup^{\mathfrak{b}}$.
- (c) Theorem 1.1.1.2 implies that the residue pairing, which is defined when $\text{Jac}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}})$ is a Morse function, can be extended to arbitrary class $\mathfrak{b} \in H(X; \Lambda_0)$. In fact, the Poincaré duality pairing of $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ is independent of \mathfrak{b} and so obviously extended.

This (extended) residue pairing is nondegenerate and defines a ‘Riemannian metric’ on $H(X; \Lambda_0)$. (Here we put parenthesis since our metric is over the field of fractions Λ of Novikov ring and is not over \mathbb{R} .) As in the standard Riemannian geometry, it determines the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on $H(X; \Lambda_0)$. Theorem 1.1.1.2 then implies that this connection is flat: In fact, in the A-model side this connection is nothing but the canonical affine connection on the affine space $H(X; \Lambda_0)$.

Let w_i be affine coordinates of $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ i.e., the coordinates satisfying $\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_i} \partial/\partial w_j = 0$. Then we have a function Φ on $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ that satisfies

$$(1.1.2) \quad \langle \mathbf{f}_i \cup^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle_{\text{PD}_X} = \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial w_i \partial w_j \partial w_k}.$$

Here $\{\mathbf{f}_i\}$ is the basis of $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ corresponding to the affine coordinates w_i and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{PD}_X}$ is the Poincaré duality pairing.

In fact, Φ is constructed from Gromov-Witten invariants and is called Gromov-Witten potential. (See [59], for example.) Using the isomorphism given in Theorem 1.1.1.1 we find that the third derivative of Φ also gives the structure constants of the Jacobian ring.

- (d) In [33, Section 10], we defined an Euler vector field \mathfrak{E} on $H(X; \Lambda_0)$. We also remark that the Jacobian ring carries a unit that is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection: This is obvious in the A-model side and hence the same holds in the B-model side.
- (e) The discussion above implies that the miniversal family $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ determines the structure of Frobenius manifold on $H(X; \Lambda_0)$. K. Saito [63] and M. Saito [65] defined a Frobenius manifold structure on the parameter space of miniversal