

412

ASTÉRISQUE

2019

RENORMALIZATION IN QUANTUM FIELD THEORY
(AFTER R. BORCHERDS)

Estanislao HERSCOVICH

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Astérisque est un périodique de la Société Mathématique de France.

Numéro 412, 2019

Comité de rédaction

Marie-Claude ARNAUD Fanny KASSEL
Christophe BREUIL Alexandru OANCEA
Damien CALAQUE Nicolas RESSAYRE
Philippe EYSSIDIEUX Sylvia SERFATY
Nicolas BURQ (dir.)

Diffusion

Maison de la SMF AMS
Case 916 - Luminy P.O. Box 6248
13288 Marseille Cedex 9 Providence RI 02940
France USA
commandes@smf.emath.fr <http://www.ams.org>

Tarifs

Vente au numéro: 45 € (\$ 68)
Abonnement Europe: 665 €, hors Europe: 718 € (\$ 1 077)
Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

Secrétariat

Astérisque
Société Mathématique de France
Institut Henri Poincaré, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie
75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
Fax: (33) 01 40 46 90 96
asterisque@smf.emath.fr • <http://smf.emath.fr/>

© Société Mathématique de France 2019

Tous droits réservés (article L 122-4 du Code de la propriété intellectuelle). Toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale ou partielle faite sans le consentement de l'éditeur est illicite. Cette représentation ou reproduction par quelque procédé que ce soit constituerait une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles L 335-2 et suivants du CPI.

ISSN: 0303-1179 (print) 2492-5926 (electronic)
ISBN 978-2-85629-910-4
doi:10.24033/ast.1078

Directeur de la publication: Stéphane Seuret

412

ASTÉRISQUE

2019

RENORMALIZATION IN QUANTUM FIELD THEORY
(AFTER R. BORCHERDS)

Estanislao HERSCOVICH

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Estanislao Herscovich

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes, 38610 Gières, France.

`Estanislao.Herscovich@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr`

Texte reçu le 13 mars 2018, révisé le 8 février 2019, accepté le 18 mars 2019.

Mathematical Subject Classification (2010).— 16T10, 46N50, 46T30, 58D30, 81T15, 81T20.

Mots-clefs.— Théorie quantique de champs, renormalisation, distributions, cogèbres.

Keywords.— QFT, renormalization, distributions, coalgebras.

RENORMALIZATION IN QUANTUM FIELD THEORY (AFTER R. BORCHERDS)

par Estanislao HERSCOVICH

Abstract.—The aim of this manuscript is to provide a complete and precise formulation of the renormalization picture for perturbative Quantum Field Theory (pQFT) on general curved spacetimes introduced by R. Borchers in [10]. More precisely, we give a full proof of the free and transitive action of the group of renormalizations on the set of Feynman measures associated with a local precut propagator, and that such a set is nonempty if the propagator is further assumed to be manageable and of cut type. Even though we follow the general principles laid by Borchers in [10], we have in many cases proceeded differently to prove his claims, and we have also needed to add some hypotheses to be able to prove the corresponding statements.

Résumé. (Rénormalisation en théorie quantique de champs (d'après R. Borchers))—Le but de ce manuscrit est de présenter une formulation complète et précise de la procédure de renormalisation dans la théorie quantique des champs perturbative (pQFT) sur des espaces-temps courbes, introduite par R. Borchers dans [10]. Plus précisément, nous donnons une preuve exhaustive de l'action simplement transitive du groupe de renormalisation sur l'ensemble des mesures de Feynman associées à un propagateur de type coupe, et sur l'existence des mesures de Feynman associées à tels propagateurs. Même si nous avons suivi les principes généraux posés par Borchers dans [10], souvent nous avons procédé différemment pour prouver ses affirmations, et nous avons dû aussi ajouter quelques hypothèses pour pouvoir démontrer les assertions respectives.

CONTENTS

Introduction	ix
General description	ix
Structure of the book	xi
Prerequisites	xv
Acknowledgements	xv
1. Preliminaries on algebra and functional analysis	1
1.1. Invariants and coinvariants in pre-abelian categories having images .	2
1.2. Basics on locally convex spaces	5
1.3. Basic facts on symmetric monoidal categories	7
1.4. Basics on bornological locally convex vector spaces	11
1.5. Basic facts on (co)algebras and (co)modules in symmetric monoidal categories	27
1.6. Basics on locally m -convex algebras and their locally convex modules	30
1.7. Basics on bornological algebras and their bornological locally convex modules	34
2. Preliminaries on vector bundles	45
2.1. The Serre-Swan theorem and its enhancements	45
2.2. Sections of compact support	47
2.3. Topologies on the spaces of sections	50
3. Some results on tensor products	57
3.1. The tensor and symmetric coalgebra and the cofree comodule in a symmetric monoidal category	57
3.2. More on symmetric monoidal categories and bialgebras over them ..	59
3.3. Some useful constructions	67
3.4. Some important morphisms	71
3.5. Two caveats	73
3.6. A particular case	76
3.7. Two inverse limit constructions	78
3.8. The symmetric bialgebra of X over A	80
3.9. Applications to the convenient tensor-symmetric coalgebra of X over k	81
3.10. The double tensor-symmetric coalgebra over A	83
3.11. More applications to the twisted tensor-symmetric convenient coalgebra over k	84

3.12. Comparing the tensor-symmetric coalgebras	85
4. Preliminaries on distributions on manifolds	87
4.1. Basic results	87
4.2. External product and symmetric distributions	91
4.3. Pull-backs of distributions	96
4.4. Push-forwards of $\text{Vol}(M)$ -valued distributions	102
4.5. Internal product	103
4.6. Boundary values of complex holomorphic functions as distributions ..	109
5. Quantum field theory (after Borchers)	115
5.1. The set-up	115
5.2. Some technical differences with the article of Borchers	121
5.3. The notion of support	121
5.4. Generalities on propagators	123
5.5. Propagators of cut type	126
5.6. Extensions of propagators	128
5.7. Feynman measures	136
5.8. Renormalization	141
5.9. Meromorphic families of propagators, Feynman measures and renormalizations	146
6. The first main result: The simply transitive action of the group of renormalizations	149
7. The second main result: The existence of a Feynman measure associated with a manageable local propagator of cut type	159
7.1. The Bernstein-Sato polynomial and extensions of distributions	159
7.2. The existence of Feynman measures in the continuous case	164
7.3. The meromorphic family of Feynman measures	167
7.4. The holomorphic family of Feynman measures	171
7.5. The existence of a Feynman measure for a manageable local propagator of cut type	173
Bibliography	175
Index	183

INTRODUCTION

General description

The aim of this work is twofold:

- (i) To give a complete and precise formulation of the renormalization picture for perturbative Quantum Field Theory (pQFT), following the point of view introduced by R. Borcherds in [10]. In particular, we explain in full detail the different objects he introduces, together with their algebraic and topological structures.
- (ii) To give a complete proof of Theorems 15, 18, 20 and 21 in [10] about the free and transitive action of the group of renormalizations on the set of Feynman measures associated with a local propagator of cut type, as well as the existence of a Feynman measure associated with any such propagator. This is done in Theorems 6.0.8, 7.3.10, 7.4.2 and 7.5.2. For the existence we were obliged to impose a further condition on the propagator that does not appear in [10] and that we called *manageability* (see Definition 7.3.4). Without it we were unable to construct the needed Feynman measure, and we remark that this extra assumption is verified in the basic examples of scalar field theory or Dirac field theory on Minkowski, de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetime (see Remark 7.3.5).

Let us explain our motivations. The article [10] is really beautiful and full of ideas. However, it is very hard to read, because in many parts it seems that the author has chosen to simplify or to avoid the corresponding explanations, and in others there are several inaccuracies or potentially misleading statements. For example, the author never gives a precise definition of the *support* of an element in $ST_c\omega SJ\Phi$ (where we follow the notation in [10]), and even if one may assume that the support of a homogeneous element $A \in S^m\Gamma_c\omega SJ\Phi$ should be a subset of M^m , he talks about the intersection of supports of homogeneous elements of different degrees (e.g., see the proof of Thm. 15) or the causal comparison between both (e.g., see Def. 9). Another example of such imprecision is when he states that $ST_c\omega SJ\Phi$ is a comodule over $\Gamma SJ\Phi$ in Lemma 14, whereas the precise structure is never explained, or when he introduces the notion of Feynman measure in his Def. 9, in which he claims that there is a map $S^m\Gamma_c\omega SJ\Phi \rightarrow S^m\Gamma_c\omega SJ\Phi \otimes S^m\Gamma_c SJ\Phi$ induced by the coaction $\omega SJ\Phi \rightarrow \omega SJ\Phi \otimes SJ\Phi$. We claim that such structure does not exist in general, and no induced map canonically exists, respectively (see Section 3.5). In order to circumvent

this problem, we had considered instead another comodule over the same coalgebra, which retains enough information about the space $S\Gamma_c\omega SJ\Phi$ (cf. Sections 3.7-3.12 and Lemma 5.8.7). We may also add that we have specified some (minor) missing hypotheses in [10], such as the antisymmetric property for the causal relation on the definition of spacetime in his Def. 1, and we have made more precise the definition of cut propagator given in his Def. 7. See also Section 5.2 for some other technical differences with respect to the exposition of Borchers. Despite of all of these facts, it is clear to us that all the statements in [10] are essentially correct. However, the technicality and the subtlety of the structures required to understand the objects presented in [10] is so involved, that we believe that the gaps left there are far from being automatically filled. Our humble intention is thus to clarify the technical details as well as the algebraic structures lurking behind to provide the corresponding precise statements with their proofs.

Let us also mention that even though our proofs are greatly inspired by those of [10], we have in many cases proceeded in a different way. Compare for example the proofs of Lemma 14, and Thm. 15 in [10], and those of Lemma 5.8.7 and Theorem 6.0.8. Concerning Thm. 18, 20 and 21 in [10], we follow the general philosophy established there, but the actual proofs of those results, given in Theorems 7.3.10, 7.4.2 and 7.5.2 in this manuscript, respectively, are more involved.

Concerning the novelty of the results presented here, we believe that the contents of Chapter 1, 2, and 4 are mostly well-known to the specialists, but we provide them for the convenience of the reader, since they come from rather scattered fields. We may only except Sections 2.2 and 2.3 from the previous list, because they contain material that is new as far as we know. Corollaries 1.2.9 and 1.3.5, as well as Theorems 4.3.10 and 4.5.6, also seem to be new, even though the latter is an adaptation of a result of N. V. Dang in [27]. Chapter 3 is a generalization of some well-known algebraic structures for vector spaces to a more involved situation dealing with locally convex spaces (and in particular with sections of vector bundles), so it is in our opinion somehow new. Chapter 5 is essentially based on the article [10] of Borchers, but we provide many explanations that are absent in the mentioned paper. In particular, Sections 5.3 and 5.9 are somehow implicit in [10], as well as many results concerning propagators in Sections 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6. Moreover, since some of the results stated by Borchers in [10] seem to be not completely correct or at least unclear (e.g., the existence of a coaction of $\Gamma SJ\Phi$ on the space of nonlocal Lagrangians $S\Gamma_c\omega SJ\Phi$ stated in his Lemma 14, or of the corresponding map $S^m\Gamma_c\omega SJ\Phi \rightarrow S^m\Gamma_c\omega SJ\Phi \otimes S^m\Gamma_c SJ\Phi$ induced by the coaction $\omega SJ\Phi \rightarrow \omega SJ\Phi \otimes SJ\Phi$ in his Def. 9 of Feynman measure), we deal in many situations with more involved algebraic structures than those in his exposition. For instance, we are forced to work with the tensor algebra instead of the symmetric algebra, and to show that (only) the final constructions of the theory depend on the class in the symmetric algebra of the considered elements. As stated before, this is however different from the considerations in [10], but also from previous expositions, e.g., [14], where the symmetric algebra was considered from the