

# CLASSICAL, EXCEPTIONAL, AND EXOTIC HOLONOMIES : A STATUS REPORT

Robert L. BRYANT

Department of Mathematics  
Duke University  
PO Box 90320  
Durham, NC 27708-0320, USA  
bryant@math.duke.edu

**Abstract.** I report on the status of the problem of determining the groups that can occur as the irreducible holonomy of a torsion-free affine connection on some manifold.

**Résumé.** Il s'agit d'un rapport sur le problème de la détermination des groupes qui peuvent être les groupes d'holonomie de connexions affines sans torsion.

**M.S.C. Subject Classification Index (1991) :** 53B05 53C10; 53C15 58A15.

**Acknowledgements.** This manuscript was begun during the Round Table at Luminy in 1992. The support of the National Science Foundation in the form of Grant DMS-9205222 is gratefully acknowledged.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	95
1. HOLONOMY AND $G$ -STRUCTURES	99
2. THE METRIC CASES	120
3. THE NON-METRIC CASES	129
4. SOME EXOTIC CASES	158
BIBLIOGRAPHY	163

## INTRODUCTION

**0.1. Overview.** The goal of this report is to present, in a unified way, what is known about the problem of prescribed holonomy of torsion-free affine connections smooth manifolds.

In §1, I give the fundamental definitions and develop the algebra needed to formulate Berger's criteria which a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(T_x M)$  must satisfy if it is to be the holonomy of a torsion-free affine connection on  $M$  which is not locally symmetric. I also develop the closely related notion of a torsion-free  $H$ -structure. The fundamental strategy is to 'classify' the torsion-free connections with a given holonomy  $H$  by first 'classifying' the torsion-free  $H$ -structures and then examining the problem of determining for any given torsion-free  $H$ -structure, its space of compatible torsion-free connections. In nearly all cases, there is a unique compatible torsion-free connection, but there are important exceptions that are closely related to the second-order homogeneous spaces.

I formulate the classification problem for general torsion-free  $H$ -structures as a problem treatable by the methods of Cartan-Kähler theory. Finally, I conclude this section with an appendix containing definitions of the various Spencer constructions that will be needed and a discussion of the history of the classification of the irreducible second-order homogeneous spaces. This classification turns out to be important in the classification of the affine torsion-free holonomies in §3.

In §2, I review Berger's list of the possible irreducible holonomies for pseudo-Riemannian metrics which are not locally symmetric. In the course of the review, I analyze each of the possibilities and determine the degree of generality of each one. Among the notable results are, first, that the group  $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{H})$ , which appeared on Berger's original list turns out not to be possible as the holonomy of a torsion-free connection, and, second, that there are two extra cases left off the usual lists (see §2.7-8). These can be viewed as alternate real forms of a group whose compact form

is  $\mathrm{Sp}(p) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ , the holonomy group of the so-called ‘quaternionic-Kähler’ metrics.

In §3, I turn to Berger’s list of the possible irreducible holonomies for affine connections which are not locally symmetric and do not preserve any non-zero quadratic form. This list turns out to be quite interesting and the examples display a wide variety of phenomena. Actually, one has to remember that Berger’s original list was only meant to cover all but a finite number of the possibilities, leaving open the possibility of a finite number of ‘exotic’ examples. Moreover, in Berger’s original list, there was no attempt to deal with the different possibilities for the holonomy of the central part of the group; Berger’s classification deals mainly with the classification of the semi-simple part of the irreducible holonomies. It turns out that the center of the group plays a very important role and gives rise to a wealth of examples that had heretofore not been anticipated.

Finally, in §4, I discuss what is known about the exotic examples so far (see Table 4). Perhaps the most interesting of these examples, aside from the examples in dimension 4 first discussed in [Br2], are the ones associated to the ‘exceptional’ second-order homogeneous spaces of dimension 16 and 27. For example, a consequence of this is that  $E_6^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathrm{SL}(27, \mathbb{C})$  can occur as the holonomy of a torsion-free (but not locally symmetric) connection on a complex manifold of dimension 27! Unfortunately, as of this writing, the full classification of the possible exotic examples is far from complete.

**0.2. Notation.** In this report, I have adopted a slightly non-standard nomenclature for the various groups that are to be discussed. This subsection will serve to fix this notation, which is closely related to that used in [KoNa].

I will need to work with vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$ . Conjugation has its standard meaning in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$ ; in each case, the fixed subalgebra is  $\mathbb{R}$ . The symbol  $\mathbb{F}$  will be used to denote any one of these division algebras. The elements of the standard  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{F}^n$  are to be thought of as columns of elements of  $\mathbb{F}$  of height  $n$ . It is convenient to take all vector spaces over  $\mathbb{H}$  to be *right* vector spaces.

For any vector space  $V$  over  $F$ , the group of invertible  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear endomorphisms of  $V$  will be denoted  $\mathrm{GL}(V, \mathbb{F})$  or just  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  when there is no danger of confusion. The algebra of  $n$ -by- $n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$  will be denoted by  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . This algebra acts on the left of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  by the obvious matrix multiplication, representing the algebra  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^n)$ . As usual, let  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \subset M_n(\mathbb{F})$  denote the Lie group consisting

of the invertible matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , i.e.,  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) = \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}^n)$ . When  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , the group  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  has two components and it is occasionally useful to use the notation  $\mathrm{GL}^+(V)$  for the identity component. For any  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , define  $A^* \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  to be the conjugate transpose of  $A$ , so that  $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$  for all  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ .

For a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , the notation  $\mathrm{SL}(V)$  has its standard meaning. There is no good notion of a quaternionic determinant; however, the obvious identification  $\mathbb{H}^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^{4n}$  induces an embedding  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(4n, \mathbb{R})$  and the subgroup  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$  is then defined by  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{H}) = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \cap \mathrm{SL}(4n, \mathbb{R})$ . Note that  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$  has codimension 1 (not 4) in  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ . In Chevalley's nomenclature,  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ , which is a real form of  $\mathrm{SL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ , is denoted  $\mathrm{SU}^*(2n)$ . My notation for the other real forms of  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  are the standard ones:  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathrm{SU}(p, q) = \{ A \in \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid A^* I_{p,q} A = I_{p,q} \}$ . For simplicity,  $\mathrm{SU}(n)$  denotes  $\mathrm{SU}(n, 0)$ .

When  $\mathbb{F}$  is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $Q$  is a non-degenerate quadratic form on a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ , the slightly non-standard usage  $\mathrm{SO}(V, Q)$  (respectively,  $\mathrm{CO}(V, Q)$ ) will refer to the identity component of the subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  that fixes  $Q$  (respectively, that fixes  $Q$  up to a multiple). The notations  $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$  ( $= \mathrm{SO}(p)$  when  $q = 0$ ) and  $\mathrm{CO}(p, q)$  ( $= \mathrm{CO}(p)$  when  $q = 0$ ) denote the identity components of the standard subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}(p+q, \mathbb{R})$ , while  $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathrm{CO}(n, \mathbb{C})$  denote the standard subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Finally,  $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{H})$  stands for the subgroup consisting of those  $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$  that satisfy  $A^* iI_n A = iI_n$ . In Chevalley's nomenclature,  $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{H})$ , which is a real form of  $\mathrm{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ , is denoted  $\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)$ .

Finally, when  $\mathbb{F}$  is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\Omega$  is a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form on a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ , the notation  $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$  (respectively,  $\mathrm{CSp}(V, \Omega)$ ) will stand for the subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  that fixes  $\Omega$  (respectively, that fixes  $\Omega$  up to a multiple.) The notations  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathrm{CSp}(n, \mathbb{R})$  denote the standard subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  while  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathrm{CSp}(n, \mathbb{C})$  denote the standard subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ . (In Chevalley's notation,  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$  is denoted by  $\mathrm{Sp}^*(n)$ .) As for the other real forms of  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , I use the usual  $\mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$  to denote the subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(p+q, \mathbb{H})$  consisting of those matrices  $A \in M_{p+q}(\mathbb{H})$  that satisfy  $A^* I_{p,q} A = I_{p,q}$ , with  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 0)$  abbreviated to  $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ .

Now define the following subspaces

$$S_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid A = {}^t A \}$$