

## RELATIVE EXACTNESS MODULO A POLYNOMIAL MAP AND ALGEBRAIC $(\mathbb{C}^p, +)$ -ACTIONS

BY PHILIPPE BONNET

---

ABSTRACT. — Let  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_q)$  be a polynomial dominating map from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^q$ . We study the quotient  $\mathcal{T}^1(F)$  of polynomial 1-forms that are exact along the generic fibres of  $F$ , by 1-forms of type  $dR + \sum a_i df_i$ , where  $R, a_1, \dots, a_q$  are polynomials. We prove that  $\mathcal{T}^1(F)$  is always a torsion  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_q]$ -module. Then we determine under which conditions on  $F$  we have  $\mathcal{T}^1(F) = 0$ . As an application, we study the behaviour of a class of algebraic  $(\mathbb{C}^p, +)$ -actions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and determine in particular when these actions are trivial.

RÉSUMÉ (*Exactitude relative modulo une application polynomiale et actions algébriques de  $(\mathbb{C}^p, +)$* )

Soit  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_q)$  une application polynomiale dominante de  $\mathbb{C}^n$  dans  $\mathbb{C}^q$ . Nous étudions le quotient  $\mathcal{T}^1(F)$  des 1-formes polynomiales qui sont exactes le long des fibres génériques de  $F$ , par les 1-formes du type  $dR + \sum a_i df_i$ , où  $R, a_1, \dots, a_q$  sont des polynômes. Nous montrons que  $\mathcal{T}^1(F)$  est toujours un  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_q]$ -module de torsion. Nous déterminons ensuite sous quelles conditions sur  $F$  ce module est réduit à zéro. En application, nous étudions le comportement d'une classe d'actions algébriques de  $(\mathbb{C}^p, +)$  sur  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , et nous déterminons en particulier quand ces actions sont triviales.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_q)$  be a dominating polynomial map from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^q$  with  $n > q$ . Let  $\Omega^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be the space of polynomial differential  $k$ -forms on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

---

*Texte reçu le 30 janvier 2002, accepté le 9 septembre 2002*

PHILIPPE BONNET, Section de Mathématiques, Université de Genève, 2-4, rue du Lièvre, 1211 Genève 24 (Suisse) • E-mail : [Philippe.Bonnet@math.unige.ch](mailto:Philippe.Bonnet@math.unige.ch)

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 14R20, 14R25.

Key words and phrases. — Affine geometry, relative cohomology, invariant theory.

For simplicity, we denote by  $\mathbb{C}[F]$  the algebra generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_q$ , and by  $\mathbb{C}(F)$  its fraction field. Our purpose in this paper is to compare two notions of relative exactness modulo  $F$  for polynomial 1-forms, and to deduce some consequences on some algebraic groups actions.

The first notion is the *topological relative exactness*. A polynomial 1-form  $\omega$  is topologically relatively exact (in short: TR-exact) if  $\omega$  is exact along the generic fibres of  $F$ . More precisely this means there exists a Zariski open set  $U$  in  $\mathbb{C}^q$  such that, for any  $y$  in  $U$ , the fibre  $F^{-1}(y)$  is non-critical and non-empty, and  $\omega$  has null integral along any loop  $\gamma$  contained in  $F^{-1}(y)$ .

The second notion is the *algebraic relative exactness*. A polynomial 1-form is algebraically relatively exact (in short: AR-exact) if it is a coboundary of the De Rham relative complex of  $F$  (see [13]). Recall this complex is given by the spaces of relative forms

$$\Omega_F^k = \Omega^k(\mathbb{C}^n) / \sum df_i \wedge \Omega^{k-1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

and the morphisms  $d_F : \Omega_F^k \rightarrow \Omega_F^{k+1}$  induced by the exterior derivative.

DEFINITION 1.1. — The module of relative exactness of  $F$  is the quotient  $\mathcal{T}^1(F)$  of TR-exact 1-forms by AR-exact 1-forms. This is a  $\mathbb{C}[F]$ -module under the multiplication rule  $(P(F), \omega) \mapsto P(F)\omega$ .

For holomorphic germs, Malgrange implicitly compared these notions of relative exactness in [13]. He proved that the first relative cohomology group of the germ  $F$  is zero if the singular set of  $F$  has codimension  $\geq 3$ ; in this case,  $\mathcal{T}^1(F)$  is reduced to zero. In [2], Berthier and Cerveau studied the relative exactness of holomorphic foliations, and introduced a similar quotient. For polynomials in two variables, Gavrilov [9] proved that  $\mathcal{T}^1(f) = 0$  if every fibre of  $f$  is connected and reduced. Concerning polynomial maps, we first prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 1.2. — *If  $F$  is a dominating map, then  $\mathcal{T}^1(F)$  is a torsion  $\mathbb{C}[F]$ -module.*

In other words, every TR-exact 1-form  $\omega$  can be written as

$$P(F)\omega = dR + a_1 df_1 + \dots + a_q df_q$$

where  $R, a_1, \dots, a_q$  are all polynomials. In [3], the author in collaboration with Alexandru Dimca studied in a comprehensive way the torsion of this module for any polynomial function  $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We are going to extend these results in any dimension and determine when  $\mathcal{T}^1(F)$  is zero.

Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of algebraic varieties, where  $Y$  is equidimensional and  $X$  may be reducible. A property  $\mathcal{P}$  on the fibres of  $F$  is *k-generic* if the set of points  $y$  in  $Y$  whose fibre  $F^{-1}(y)$  does not satisfy  $\mathcal{P}$  has codimension  $> k$  in  $Y$ . A *blowing-down* is an irreducible hypersurface  $V$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $F(V)$  has codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{C}^q$ . If no such hypersurface exists, we

say that  $F$  has no blowing-downs. Finally  $F$  is non-singular in codimension 1 if its singular set has codimension  $\geq 2$ . It is easy to prove that a non-singular map in codimension 1 has no blowing-downs.

DEFINITION 1.3. — The map  $F$  is primitive if its fibres are 0-generically connected and 1-generically non-empty.

Then we show that a polynomial map  $F$  is primitive if and only if every polynomial  $R$  locally constant along the generic fibres of  $F$  can be written as  $R = S(F)$ , where  $S$  is a polynomial. So this definition extends the notion of primitive polynomial (cf. [8]).

DEFINITION 1.4. — The map  $F$  is quasi-fibered if  $F$  is non-singular in codimension 1, its fibres are 1-generically connected and 2-generically non-empty. The map  $F$  is weakly quasi-fibered if  $F$  has no blowing-downs, its fibres are 1-generically connected and 2-generically non-empty.

THEOREM 1.5. — *Let  $F$  be a primitive mapping. If  $F$  is a quasi-fibered mapping, then  $T^1(F) = 0$ . If  $F$  is weakly quasi-fibered, then every TR-exact 1-form  $\omega$  splits as  $\omega = dR + \omega_0$ , where  $R$  is a polynomial and  $\omega_0 \wedge df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_q = 0$ .*

We apply these results to the study of algebraic  $(\mathbb{C}^p, +)$ -actions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Such an action is a regular map  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\varphi(u, \varphi(v, x)) = \varphi(u + v, x)$$

for all  $u, v, x$ . Geometrically speaking,  $\varphi$  is obtained by integrating a system  $\mathcal{D} = \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_p\}$  of derivations on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  that are pairwise commuting and locally nilpotent (see [11]), that is :

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \partial_i^k(f) = 0.$$

The ring of invariants  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^\varphi$  is the set of polynomials  $P$  such that

$$P \circ \varphi = P.$$

Finally  $\varphi$  is *free at the point  $x$*  if the orbit of  $x$  has dimension  $p$ , and *free* if it is free at any point of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The set of points where  $\varphi$  is not free is an algebraic set denoted  $\mathcal{NL}(\varphi)$ .

DEFINITION 1.6 (condition (H)). — An algebraic  $(\mathbb{C}^p, +)$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfies condition (H) if its ring of invariants is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in  $n - p$  variables.

Under this condition,  $\varphi$  is provided with a *quotient map*  $F$  (see [16]) defined as follows: If  $f_1, \dots, f_{n-p}$  denote a set of generators of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^\varphi$ , then

$$F : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-p}, \quad x \longmapsto (f_1(x), \dots, f_{n-p}(x)).$$

The generic fibres of  $F$  are orbits of the action, but this map need not define a topological quotient: For instance, it does not separate all the orbits. The action  $\varphi$  is *trivial* if it is conjugate by a polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to

$$\varphi_0(t_1, \dots, t_p; x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 + t_1, \dots, x_p + t_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

We are going to search under which conditions the actions satisfying  $(H)$  are trivial. According to a result of Rentschler [18], every fix-point free algebraic  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is trivial. We know [15] that  $(H)$  is always satisfied for  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ -actions on  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , but we still do not know if fixed-point free  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ -actions on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  are trivial (see [11]). In dimension  $\geq 4$ , the works [11], [21] of Nagata and Winkelmann prove that  $(H)$  need not be satisfied. For  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ -actions satisfying this condition, Deveney and Finston [6] proved that  $\varphi$  is trivial if its quotient map defines a locally trivial  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ -fibre bundle on its image.

We are going to see how this last result extends via relative exactness. Let  $\varphi$  be a  $(\mathbb{C}^p, +)$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying  $(H)$ , and consider the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{D}] : (R_1, \dots, R_p) &\longmapsto \det((\partial_i(R_j))), \\ J : (R_1, \dots, R_p) &\longmapsto \det(dR_1, \dots, dR_p, df_1, \dots, df_{n-p}). \end{aligned}$$

We say that  $[\mathcal{D}]$  (resp.  $J$ ) vanishes at the point  $x$  if, for any polynomials  $R_1, \dots, R_p$ , we have

$$[\mathcal{D}](R_1, \dots, R_p)(x) = 0 \quad (\text{resp. } J(R_1, \dots, R_p)(x) = 0).$$

The zeros of  $[\mathcal{D}]$  correspond to the points of  $\mathcal{NL}(\varphi)$ , and the zeros of  $J$  are the singular points of  $F$ . We generalise Daigle's [4] Jacobian Formula for  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ -actions.

**PROPOSITION 1.7.** — *Let  $\varphi$  be an algebraic  $(\mathbb{C}^p, +)$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying condition  $(H)$ . Then there exists an invariant polynomial  $E$  such that*

$$[\mathcal{D}] = E \times J.$$

From a geometric viewpoint, this means that  $\mathcal{NL}(\varphi)$  is the union of an invariant hypersurface and of the singular set of  $F$ . In particular  $E$  is constant if  $\text{codim } \mathcal{NL}(\varphi) \geq 2$ .

**THEOREM 1.8.** — *Let  $\varphi$  be an algebraic  $(\mathbb{C}^p, +)$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying condition  $(H)$ . If  $E$  is constant and  $F$  is quasi-fibered, then  $\varphi$  is trivial.*

Therefore the assumption “quasi-fibered” correspond to some regularity in the way that  $F$  fibres the orbits. In particular the action is trivial if  $F$  defines a topological quotient, *i.e.* if  $F$  is smooth surjective and separates the orbits.

COROLLARY 1.9. — *Let  $\varphi$  be an algebraic  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying condition (H). If  $F$  is quasi-fibered, there exists a polynomial  $P$  such that  $\varphi$  is conjugate to the action*

$$\varphi'(t; x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 + tP(x_2, \dots, x_n), x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

COROLLARY 1.10. — *Every algebraic  $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, +)$ -action  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\text{codim } \mathcal{NL}(\varphi) \geq 2$  is trivial. In particular  $\varphi$  is free.*

We end up with counter-examples illustrating the necessity of the conditions of Theorem 1.8 and its corollaries.

## 2. Proof of Proposition 1.2

In this section, we establish the first proposition announced in the introduction in two steps. First we describe a TR-exact 1-form  $\omega$  on every generic fibre of  $F$ . Second we “glue” all these descriptions by using the uncountability of complex numbers. To that purpose, we use the following definitions.

For any ideal  $I$ , we denote by

$$I\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

the space of polynomial 1-forms with coefficients in  $I$ . We introduce the equivalence relation:

$$\omega \simeq \omega' [I] \iff \omega - \omega' \in d\Omega^0(\mathbb{C}^n) + \sum \Omega^0(\mathbb{C}^n) df_i + I\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

This equivalence is compatible with the structure of  $\mathbb{C}[F]$ -module given by the natural multiplication, since  $d\Omega^0(\mathbb{C}^n) + \sum \Omega^0(\mathbb{C}^n) df_i$  and  $I\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$  are both  $\mathbb{C}[F]$ -modules.

LEMMA 2.1. — *Let  $F^{-1}(y)$  be a non-empty non-critical fibre of  $F$ , where  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_q)$ . A polynomial 1-form  $\omega$  is exact on  $F^{-1}(y)$  if and only if there exists a polynomial  $R$  and some polynomial 1-forms  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q$  such that*

$$\omega = dR + \sum_i (f_i - y_i) \eta_i.$$

*Proof.* — Since  $\omega$  is exact on  $F^{-1}(y)$ , it has an holomorphic integral  $R$  on this fibre. Since  $F^{-1}(y)$  is a smooth affine variety,  $R$  is a regular map by Grothendieck’s Theorem (see [7, p. 182]). In other words,  $R$  is the restriction to  $F^{-1}(y)$  of a polynomial, which will also be denoted by  $R$ . The  $(q+1)$ -form  $(\omega - dR) \wedge df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_q$  vanishes on  $F^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $F^{-1}(y)$  is non-critical,  $(f_1 - y_1), \dots, (f_q - y_q)$  define a local system of parametres at any point of  $F^{-1}(y)$ . So the ideal  $((f_1 - y_1), \dots, (f_q - y_q))$  is reduced and we get:

$$(\omega - dR) \wedge df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_q \equiv 0 \quad [f_1 - y_1, \dots, f_q - y_q].$$