

# Revue d'Histoire des Mathématiques



*The Gaṇitapañcaviṃśī attributed to Śrīdhara*

Takao Hayashi

Tome 19 Fascicule 2

**2 0 1 3**

**SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE**

Publiée avec le concours du Centre national de la recherche scientifique

# REVUE D'HISTOIRE DES MATHÉMATIQUES

---

## RÉDACTION

**Rédacteur en chef :**  
Norbert Schappacher

**Rédacteur en chef adjoint :**  
Philippe Nabonnand

**Membres du Comité de rédaction :**

Alain Bernard  
Frédéric Brechenmacher  
Maarten Bullynck  
Sébastien Gandon  
Hélène Gispert  
Catherine Goldstein  
Jens Høyrup  
Agathe Keller  
Marc Moyon  
Karen Parshall  
Jeanne Peiffer  
Tatiana Roque  
Sophie Roux  
Dominique Tournès

**Directeur de la publication :**

Marc Peigné

## COMITÉ DE LECTURE

Philippe Abgrall  
June Barrow-Greene  
Umberto Bottazzini  
Jean Pierre Bourguignon  
Aldo Brigaglia  
Bernard Bru  
Jean-Luc Chabert  
François Charette  
Karine Chemla  
Pierre Crépel  
François De Gandt  
Moritz Epple  
Natalia Ermolaëva  
Christian Gilain  
Jeremy Gray  
Tinne Hoff Kjeldsen  
Jesper Lützen  
Antoni Malet  
Irène Passeron  
Christine Proust  
David Rowe  
Ken Saito  
S. R. Sarma  
Erhard Scholz  
Reinhard Siegmund-Schultze  
Stephen Stigler  
Bernard Vitrac

---

**Secrétariat :**

Nathalie Christiaën  
Société Mathématique de France  
Institut Henri Poincaré  
11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 75231 Paris Cedex 05  
Tél. : (33) 01 44 27 67 99 / Fax : (33) 01 40 46 90 96  
Mél : [revues@smf.ens.fr](mailto:revues@smf.ens.fr) / URL : <http://smf.emath.fr/>

---

**Périodicité :** La *Revue* publie deux fascicules par an, de 150 pages chacun environ.

**Tarifs :** Prix public Europe : 80 €; prix public hors Europe : 89 €;  
prix au numéro : 43 €.  
Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

**Diffusion :** SMF, Maison de la SMF, Case 916 - Luminy, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9  
Hindustan Book Agency, O-131, The Shopping Mall, Arjun Marg, DLF  
Phase 1, Gurgaon 122002, Haryana, Inde  
AMS, P.O. Box 6248, Providence, Rhode Island 02940 USA

## THE *GAṆĪTAPAÑCAVIMŚĪ* ATTRIBUTED TO ŚRĪDHARA

TAKAO HAYASHI

---

**ABSTRACT.** — This paper provides a detailed study of the *Gaṇitapañcaviṃśī* attributed to the famous eighth-century mathematician Śrīdhara with a view to restore it to its original form. It consists of a revision of the text edited by David Pingree, an English translation of the whole text, and a mathematical commentary.

**RÉSUMÉ** (Le *Gaṇitapañcaviṃśī* attribué à Śrīdhara). — Ce document présente une étude détaillée de la *Gaṇitapañcaviṃśī* attribuée au célèbre mathématicien Śrīdhara du huitième siècle en vue de lui redonner sa forme originale. Il se compose d'une révision du texte édité par David Pingree, d'une traduction en anglais de l'ensemble du texte, et d'un commentaire mathématique.

### INTRODUCTION

David Pingree discovered a manuscript of a small arithmetical text named *Gaṇitapañcaviṃśī* (hereafter GP) in the library of the Wellcome Institute for History of Medicine, London. The manuscript consists of three folios, but the second folio is missing. The first and the penultimate verses mention the name of the author as Śrīdhara; the last verse here

---

Texte reçu le 5 janvier 2012, révisé et accepté le 18 avril 2013.

T. HAYASHI, Science and Engineering Research Institute, Doshisha University, Kyotanabe, Kyoto 610-0394 Japan.

Courrier électronique : [ganaka@kyoto.zaq.ne.jp](mailto:ganaka@kyoto.zaq.ne.jp)

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 01A32, 01A35.

Key words and phrases : Śrīdhara, gaṇita-pāṭī, Sanskrit arithmetical text, restoration.

Mots clefs. — Śrīdhara, gaṇita-pāṭī, texte arithmétique en sanscrit, restitution.

occurs also at the end of most of the extant manuscripts of the *Trīśatikā* (Tr) written by the eighth-century mathematician Śrīdhara. Pingree has published an edition based on this single manuscript under the title ‘The *Gaṇitapañcaviṃśī* of Śrīdhara’ [Pingree 1979].

In an earlier paper [Hayashi 1995], I expressed doubts about Śrīdhara’s authorship of the GP. My main arguments were: (1) the GP contains more verses (estimated to be about eighty-seven) than its title (‘Mathematics in Twenty-Five (Ślokaś)’) and the introductory stanza (*ślokānāṃ pañcaviṃśatyā* ‘by twenty-five ślokaś’) claim; (2) it contains no stanzas of the previously known two works in the same field by Śrīdhara, namely the Tr and the *Pāṭīgaṇita* (PG), which in turn have a number of verses in common (these two points had already been briefly mentioned by Pingree 1979, 888); (3) it contains half a verse of Śrīpati’s *Gaṇitatilaka* (GT, 11th century) and eight and a half verses of Bhāskara II’s *Līlāvātī* (L, AD 1150) and some of these fit metrically better in the GT and in the L than in the GP; (4) it contains half a verse prescribing a formula for the area of a circle-segment, which Gaṇeśa in his commentary (AD 1545) on the L attributes to his father Keśava and which causes inconsistency in the GP with regard to  $\pi$ ; and (5) it uses, in addition to the ordinary numerals, the word-numerals which Śrīdhara avoids in the Tr and PG. My conclusion in that paper was that ‘the present GP is not the original work itself (GP<sub>0</sub>) of Śrīdhara, although we cannot of course deny the possibility that the GP<sub>0</sub> existed’ [Hayashi 1995, 247].

Table 1 shows the number of verses in the Tr, PG, and GP as well as a conjectural number of verses to be added to, or removed from, each chapter or section of the GP in order to get GP<sub>0</sub>. This has been determined as follows.

First, I regarded the ‘twenty-five ślokaś’ as referring to the number of the verses for the *sūtras* (rules) only, because it is too small to include the *saṃjñāḥ* (terminology) and the *udāharaṇāni* (examples) or even one of them. Note that the anonymous *Pañcaviṃśatikā* (‘(Mathematical Text) Consisting of Twenty-Five (Verses)’, PV) is so called without terminology and examples.

Second, I estimated the number of verses in the chapters and sections which must have been contained in the lost folio as follows: half a verse each for the barter and the selling of living beings in the lost portion of the chapter on ‘Three-quantity operation etc.’; one verse each for the interest, the purity of gold, and the investment in the lost chapter on Mixture; one verse each for the sum, the first term, the common difference, and the number of terms of an arithmetical progression in the

lost chapter on Mathematical Series; and one verse each for equi- and inequi-perpendicular quadrilaterals in the lost portion of the chapter on Plane Figures. Thus, the total number of the verses to be restored for GP<sub>0</sub> is twenty, the average being ten verses per page. On the other hand, the extant three pages contain fifty-one verses, the average being seventeen verses per page. The gap can be explained by interpolations in those lost chapters and sections.

Third, I omitted from the extant portion of the GP those verses which have obviously been borrowed from other works. They are designated by 'F + serial number' in Table 2. They are half a verse (F1) of the chapter on Classes (from GT), four (F5-8) of the chapter on Plane Figures (from Keśava and L), and one (F9-10) of the chapter on Shadow (from L). When F7-8 and FE6 are omitted, the GP<sub>0</sub> would be without the rules and examples related to the Pythagorean Theorem which is one of the most basic propositions of geometry. But this would not be so strange as it appears to be at first sight, because the same is also the case with the PV. I also omitted three more verses (F2-4) in the chapter on Classes because the problems they treat are too sophisticated to be included in a small text like GP.

The total number of the verses for *sūtras* of the GP<sub>0</sub> thus obtained is exactly twenty-five without the introductory and concluding verses (S1, 26). The GP<sub>0</sub> still contains poetic meters other than *śloka* in its narrow sense, that is, the Anuṣṭubh meter, (Āryā in 23cd and 26 and Upajāti in 12-3ab), but this difficulty is resolved by taking the word in its broader sense, that is, 'a verse in general.'

The possibility, however, still remains that even the GP<sub>0</sub> is not the original work of Śrīdhara but a counterfeit. The following are the several points unfavorable to Śrīdhara's authorship of GP<sub>0</sub>. For the details see the relevant places in the Commentary.

(1) The terminology of the weights and measures of the GP<sub>0</sub> shows affinities with the L, rather than with the Tr and PG, in the use of the second weight system (S3ab), of the monetary unit *dramma* (S5), and of the area unit *nivartana* (S7bcd). (2) The sum and difference in the GP<sub>0</sub> (1ab) is the ordinary sum and difference of positive integers by means of the decimal place-value notation while those in the Tr and PG are the sum of a finite series of the natural numbers and the difference between two of them. (3) In the inverse problem class (10cd-11ab), the GP<sub>0</sub>, like the L, includes the case where the unknown number is increased or decreased by its own part, but the PG does not do so (the Tr does not deal with this class at all). (4) The Tr and PG do not contain the optional-quantity operation or the so called *regula falsi*, but the GP<sub>0</sub> (11cd), like the L, does. Moreover,