

## GEOMETRIC INSTABILITY FOR NLS ON SURFACES

## Laurent Thomann

Tome 136 Fascicule 1



SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Publié avec le concours du Centre national de la recherche scientifique pages 167-193

## THE WKB METHOD AND GEOMETRIC INSTABILITY FOR NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS ON SURFACES

BY LAURENT THOMANN

ABSTRACT. — In this paper we are interested in constructing WKB approximations for the nonlinear cubic Schrödinger equation on a Riemannian surface which has a stable geodesic. These approximate solutions will lead to some instability properties of the equation.

RÉSUMÉ (Méthode WKB et instabilité géométrique pour les équations de Schrödinger non linéaires sur des surfaces)

À l'aide de la méthode WKB nous construisons des solutions approchées à l'équation de Schrödinger cubique sur une variété qui possède une géodésique stable. Cette construction permet d'obtenir des résultats d'instabilités dans des espaces de Sobolev.

## 1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian surface (i.e., a Riemannian manifold of dimension 2), orientable or not. We assume that M is either compact or a compact perturbation of the euclidian space, so that the Sobolev embeddings are true. Consider  $\Delta = \Delta_q$  the Laplace-Beltrami operator. In this paper we are interested in constructing WKB approximations for the nonlinear cubic Schrödinger equation

Key words and phrases. — nonlinear Schrödinger equation, instability, quasimode.

Texte reçu le 20 octobre 2006, révisé le 23 avril 2007 et le 22 janvier 2008

LAURENT THOMANN, Université Paris-Sud, Mathématiques, Bât 425, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France . *E-mail* : laurent.thomann@math.u-psud.fr Url:http://www.math.upsud.fr/~thomann

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. — 35Q55; 35B35; 35R25.

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u(t,x) + \Delta u(t,x) = \varepsilon |u|^2 u(t,x), & \varepsilon = \pm 1, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x) \in H^{\sigma}(M), \end{cases}$$

that is, given a small parameter 0 < h < 1 and an integer N, functions  $u_N(h)$  satisfying

(2) 
$$i\partial_t u_N(h) + \Delta u_N(h) = \varepsilon |u_N(h)|^2 u_N(h) + R_N(h),$$

with  $||u_N(h)||_{H^{\sigma}} \sim 1$  and  $||R_N(h)||_{H^{\sigma}} \leq C_N h^N$ .

Here h is introduced so that  $u_N(h)$  oscillates with frequency  $\sim \frac{1}{h}$ .

These approximate solutions to (1) will lead to some instability properties in the following sense (where  $h^{-1}$  will play the role of n):

DEFINITION 1.1. — We say that the Cauchy problem (1) is unstable near 0 in  $H^{\sigma}(M)$ , if for all C > 0 there exist times  $t_n \longrightarrow 0$  and  $u_{1,n}, u_{2,n} \in H^{\sigma}(M)$ solutions of (1) so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{1,n}(0)\|_{H^{\sigma}(M)}, \ \|u_{2,n}(0)\|_{H^{\sigma}(M)} &\leq C, \\ \|u_{1,n}(0) - u_{2,n}(0)\|_{H^{\sigma}(M)} &\longrightarrow 0, \\ \limsup \|u_{1,n}(t_n) - u_{2,n}(t_n)\|_{H^{\sigma}(M)} &\geq \frac{1}{2}C, \end{aligned}$$

when  $n \longrightarrow +\infty$ .

This means that the problem is not uniformly well-posed, if we refer to the following definition:

DEFINITION 1.2. — Let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Denote by  $B_{R,\sigma}$  the ball of radius R in  $H^{\sigma}$ . We say that the Cauchy problem (1) is uniformly well-posed in  $H^{\sigma}$  if the flow map

$$u_0 \in B_{R,\sigma} \cap H^1(M) \longmapsto \Phi_t(u_0) \in H^{\sigma}(M),$$

is uniformly continuous for any t.

We now state our instability result:

PROPOSITION 1.3. — Let  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{4}$ , and assume that M has a stable and non degenerated periodic geodesic (see Assumptions 1 and 2), then the Cauchy problem (1) is not uniformly well-posed.

This problem is motivated by the following results: Let (M, g) be a riemannian compact surface, then in [5], N. Burq, P. Gérard and N. Tzvetkov prove that (1) is uniformly well-posed in  $H^{\sigma}(M)$  for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . Whereas, in [4], they show that (1) is unstable on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{4}$ . In fact they construct solutions of (1) of the form

(3) 
$$u_n^{\kappa}(t,x) = \kappa e^{i\lambda_n^{\kappa}t} (n^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma}\psi_n(x) + r_n(t,x)),$$

tome  $136\,-\,2008\,-\,{\rm n^o}$  1

where  $0 < \kappa < 1$ ,  $\psi_n = (x_1 + ix_2)^n$  is a spherical harmonic which concentrates on the equator of the sphere when  $n \longrightarrow +\infty$  and where  $r_n$  is an error term which is small. To obtain instability, they consider  $\kappa_n \longrightarrow \kappa$ , then

$$\|u_n^{\kappa}(0) - u_n^{\kappa_n}(0)\|_{H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{S}^2)} \lesssim |\kappa - \kappa_n| \longrightarrow 0,$$

but

$$\|u_n^{\kappa}(t_n) - u_n^{\kappa_n}(t_n)\|_{H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{S}^2)} \gtrsim \kappa |\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda_n^{\kappa}t_n} - \mathrm{e}^{i\lambda_n^{\kappa_n}t_n}| \longrightarrow 2\kappa,$$

with a suitable choice of  $t_n \longrightarrow 0$ .

We follow this strategy but as the surface is not rotation invariant, the ansatz will be more complicated than (3).

This result is sharp, because in [6] they show that (1) is uniformly well-posed on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  when  $\sigma > \frac{1}{4}$ .

On the other hand, in [3] J. Bourgain shows that (1) is uniformly well-posed on the rational torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$  when  $\sigma > 0$ .

These results show how the geometry of M can lead to instability for the equation (1). Therefore it seems reasonable to obtain a result like Proposition 1.3 with purely geometric assumptions.

We first make the following assumption on M:

Assumption 1. — The manifold M has a periodic geodesic.

Denote by  $\gamma$  such a geodesic, then there exists a system of coordinates (s, r) near  $\gamma$ , say for  $(s, r) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times ] - r_0, r_0[$ , called Fermi coordinates such that (see [13], p. 80)

- 1. The curve r = 0 is the geodesic  $\gamma$  parametrized by arclength and
- 2. The curves s = constant are geodesics parametrized by arclength. The curves r = constant meet these curves perpendicularly.
- 3. In this system the metric writes

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & a^2(s, r) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We set the length of  $\gamma$  equal to  $2\pi$ . Denote by R(s,r) the Gauss curvature at (s,r), then a is the unique solution of

(4) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial r^2} + R(s,r)a = 0, \\ a(s,0) = 1, \ \frac{\partial a}{\partial r}(s,0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

BULLETIN DE LA SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

The initial conditions traduce the fact that the curve r = 0 is a unit-speed geodesic. In these coordinates the Laplace-Beltrami operator is

$$\Delta := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \operatorname{div}(\sqrt{\det g} \ g^{-1} \nabla) = \frac{1}{a} \partial_s(\frac{1}{a} \partial_s) + \frac{1}{a} \partial_r(a \partial_r)$$

A function on M, defined locally near  $\gamma$ , can be identified with a function of  $[0, 2\pi] \times ] - r_0, r_0[$  such that

$$\forall (s,r) \in [0,2\pi] \times ] - r_0, r_0[ \quad f(s+2\pi,r) = f(s,\omega r)$$

where  $\omega = 1$  if M is orientable and  $\omega = -1$  if M is not. Define

(5) 
$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega - 1) \in \{-1, 0\}$$

From (4) we deduce that a admits the Taylor expansion

(6) 
$$a = 1 - \frac{1}{2}R(s)r^2 + R_3(s)r^3 + \dots + R_p(s)r^p + o(r^p),$$

with R(s) = R(s, 0) and

(7) 
$$R_k(s) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k a}{\partial r^k}(s, 0)$$

for  $k \geq 3$ .

As  $a(s+2\pi,r) = a(s,\omega r)$ , we deduce  $R(s+2\pi) = R(s)$  and for all  $j \ge 3$ ,  $R_j(s+2\pi) = \omega^j R_j(s)$ .

Let  $p_2 = \frac{1}{a^2}\sigma^2 + \rho^2$  be the principal symbol of  $\Delta$ , and

(8) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}s(t) = \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{2\sigma}{a^2}, \ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\sigma(t) = -\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial s} = -\partial_s(\frac{1}{a^2})\sigma^2, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}r(t) = \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial \rho} = 2\rho, \ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\rho(t) = -\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial r} = -\partial_r(\frac{1}{a^2})\sigma^2, \\ s(0) = s_0, \ \sigma(0) = \sigma_0, r(0) = r_0, \ \rho(0) = \rho_0, \end{cases}$$

its associated hamiltonian system, where  $p_2 = p_2(s(t), r(t), \sigma(t), \rho(t))$ . The system (8) admits a unique solution and defines the hamiltonian flow

$$\Phi_t: (s_0, \sigma_0, r_0, \rho_0) \longmapsto (s(t), \sigma(t), r(t), \rho(t)).$$

The curve  $\Gamma = \{(s(t) = t, \sigma(t) = 1/2, r(t) = 0, \rho(t) = 0), t \in [0, 2\pi]\}$  is solution of (8) and its projection in the (s, r) space is the curve  $\gamma$ . Now denote by  $\phi$ the Poincaré map associated to the trajectory  $\Gamma$  and to the hyperplane  $\Sigma = \{s = 0\}$ . There exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $(\sigma = 1/2, r = 0, \rho = 0)$  such that the following makes sense: solve the system (8) with the initial conditions  $(0, \sigma_0, r_0, \rho_0) \in \{0\} \times \mathcal{N}$  and let T be such that  $s(T) = 2\pi$ , then  $\phi$  is the application

$$\phi: (r_0, \rho_0) \longmapsto (r(T), \rho(T)).$$

томе  $136 - 2008 - n^{o} 1$