quatrième série - tome 55

fascicule 5

septembre-octobre 2022

# ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES de L'ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE

## Ariel RAPAPORT

Proof of the exact overlaps conjecture for systems with algebraic contractions

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

## Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure

Publiées avec le concours du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique

## Responsable du comité de rédaction / Editor-in-chief

Yves de Cornulier

Publication fondée en 1864 par Louis Pasteur	Comité de rédaction	au 1 <sup>er</sup> octobre 2021
Continuée de 1872 à 1882 par H. SAINTE-CLAIRE DEVILLE	S. CANTAT	G. GIACOMIN
de 1883 à 1888 par H. DEBRAY	G. CARRON	D. Häfner
de 1889 à 1900 par C. HERMITE	Y. Cornulier	D. Harari
de 1901 à 1917 par G. DARBOUX	F. Déglise	C. Imbert
de 1918 à 1941 par É. PICARD	A. DUCROS	S. Morel
de 1942 à 1967 par P. Montel	B. FAYAD	P. Shan

## Rédaction / Editor

Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, 45, rue d'Ulm, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, France. Tél. : (33) 1 44 32 20 88. Fax : (33) 1 44 32 20 80. Email : annales@ens.fr

## Édition et abonnements / Publication and subscriptions

Société Mathématique de France Case 916 - Luminy 13288 Marseille Cedex 09 Tél. : (33) 04 91 26 74 64. Fax : (33) 04 91 41 17 51 Email : abonnements@smf.emath.fr

Tarifs

Abonnement électronique : 441 euros. Abonnement avec supplément papier : Europe : 619 €. Hors Europe : 698 € (\$ 985). Vente au numéro : 77 €.

## © 2022 Société Mathématique de France, Paris

En application de la loi du l<sup>er</sup> juillet 1992, il est interdit de reproduire, même partiellement, la présente publication sans l'autorisation de l'éditeur ou du Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (20, rue des Grands-Augustins, 75006 Paris). *All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be translated, reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any other means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the publisher.* 

## PROOF OF THE EXACT OVERLAPS CONJECTURE FOR SYSTEMS WITH ALGEBRAIC CONTRACTIONS

## BY ARIEL RAPAPORT

ABSTRACT. – We establish the exact overlaps conjecture for iterated functions systems on the real line with algebraic contractions and arbitrary translations.

RÉSUMÉ. – Nous prouvons la conjecture de chevauchements exacts pour des systèmes de fonctions itérées définies sur l'ensemble des nombres réels à contractions algébriques et translations arbitraires.

## 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Background

Let  $m \ge 1$  and  $\Phi = \{\varphi_j(x) = \lambda_j x + t_j\}_{j=0}^m$  be a finite set of contracting similarities of  $\mathbb{R}$ , so that  $0 \ne \lambda_j \in (-1, 1)$  and  $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$  for each  $0 \le j \le m$ . Such a collection  $\Phi$  is called a selfsimilar iterated function system (IFS). It is well known that there exists a unique nonempty compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ , called the attractor of  $\Phi$ , which satisfies the relation

(1.1) 
$$K = \bigcup_{j=0}^{m} \varphi_j(K)$$

The set *K* is said to be self-similar.

Suppose additionally that  $p = (p_j)_{j=0}^m$  is a probability vector. Then there exists a unique Borel probability measure  $\mu = \mu(\Phi, p)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mu = \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j \cdot \varphi_j \mu,$$

where  $\varphi_j \mu$  is the push-forward of  $\mu$  by  $\varphi_j$ . The measure  $\mu$  is supported on K, it is the unique stationary probability measure for the random walk moving from  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  to  $\varphi_j(x)$  with probability  $p_j$ , and it is called the self-similar measure corresponding to  $\Phi$  and p. We shall always assume that p has strictly positive coordinates, in which case the support of  $\mu$  is equal to K.

#### A. RAPAPORT

The dimension theory of self-similar measures is a central area of research in fractal geometry. It was proven by Feng and Hu [8] that  $\mu$  is always exact dimensional. That is, there exists a value dim  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ , called the dimension of  $\mu$ , such that

dim 
$$\mu = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(x - \delta, x + \delta)}{\log \delta}$$
 for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

As proven in [6], dim  $\mu$  agrees with the value given to  $\mu$  by other commonly used notions of dimension, such as the Hausdorff, packing and entropy dimensions.

It turns out that in most cases dim  $\mu$  satisfies a certain formula in terms of p and the contractions vector  $\lambda = (\lambda_j)_{j=0}^m$ . Denote by H(p) the entropy of p and by  $\chi$  the Lyapunov exponent corresponding to p and  $\lambda$ . That is,

(1.2) 
$$H(p) = -\sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j \log p_j \text{ and } \chi = -\sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j \log |\lambda_j|,$$

where here and everywhere else in this paper the base of the log function is 2. Set,

(1.3) 
$$\beta = \beta(\Phi, p) = \min\{1, H(p)/\chi\},\$$

then it is not hard to show that  $\beta$  is always an upper bound for dim  $\mu$  and that it is equal to dim  $\mu$  whenever the union in (1.1) is disjoint. Moreover, it was proven by Jordan, Pollicott and Simon [11] that if  $\lambda$  is kept fixed and  $|\lambda_j| \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  for each  $0 \le j \le m$ , then dim  $\mu = \beta$ for Lebesgue almost every selection of the translations  $(t_j)_{j=0}^m \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ . A version of this result for sets was first established by Falconer [4].

There are cases in which it is obvious that dimension drop occurs, i.e., that dim  $\mu$  is strictly less than  $\beta$ . Denote the index set  $\{0, \ldots, m\}$  by  $\Lambda$ . For  $n \ge 1$  and a word  $j_1 \cdots j_n = w \in \Lambda^n$  set,

(1.4) 
$$\varphi_w = \varphi_{j_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{j_n} \text{ and } \lambda_w = \lambda_{j_1} \cdots \lambda_{j_n}.$$

The IFS  $\Phi$  is said to have exact overlaps if the semigroup generated by its elements is not free. Since the members of  $\Phi$  are contractions, this is equivalent to the existence of  $n \ge 1$ and distinct words  $w_1, w_2 \in \Lambda^n$  with  $\varphi_{w_1} = \varphi_{w_2}$ . It is not difficult to see that dim  $\mu < \beta$ whenever  $\Phi$  has exact overlaps and dim  $\mu < 1$ . The following folklore conjecture says that these are the only circumstances in which dimension drop can occur. A version of it for sets was stated, probably for the first time, by Simon [16].

CONJECTURE 1. – Suppose that dim  $\mu < \beta$  then  $\Phi$  has exact overlaps.

A major step towards the verification of Conjecture 1 was achieved by Hochman [9]. For  $n \ge 1$  set,

(1.5) 
$$\Delta_n = \min \left\{ \left| \varphi_{w_1}(0) - \varphi_{w_2}(0) \right| : w_1, w_2 \in \Lambda^n, w_1 \neq w_2 \text{ and } \lambda_{w_1} = \lambda_{w_2} \right\}.$$

It always holds that  $\Delta_n \xrightarrow{n} 0$  at a rate which is at least exponential, and that  $\Delta_n = 0$  for some  $n \ge 1$  if and only if  $\Phi$  has exact overlaps. The main result in [9] says that if dim  $\mu < \beta$  then  $\Delta_n \xrightarrow{n} 0$  super-exponentially, that is

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \log \Delta_n = -\infty$$

A version of this for  $L^q$  dimensions was recently obtained by Shmerkin [15, Theorem 6.6].

4° SÉRIE - TOME 55 - 2022 - Nº 5

Two applications of Hochman's result are especially relevant to the present paper. It is not hard to see that if  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_m, t_0, \ldots, t_m$  are all algebraic numbers and  $\Delta_n \xrightarrow{n} 0$  superexponentially, then in fact  $\Phi$  must have exact overlaps. Relaying on this observation, Conjecture 1 is established in [9, Theorem 1.5] for the case of algebraic parameters. The second application verifies a conjecture of Furstenberg regarding projections of the one-dimensional Sierpinski gasket (see e.g., [13, Question 2.5]). Stated with the notation introduced above, it is proven in [9, Theorem 1.6] that Conjecture 1 is valid when m = 2 and

$$\lambda = p = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right).$$

Another important step towards Conjecture 1 was recently achieved by Varjú [17]. He has shown that if  $\mu$  is a Bernoulli convolution, that is if in the notation above

$$m = 1$$
,  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $t_0 = -1$  and  $t_1 = 1$ ,

then dim  $\mu = \beta$  whenever  $\lambda_0$  is transcendental. Together with the result mentioned above regarding systems with algebraic parameters, this verifies Conjecture 1 for the family of Bernoulli convolutions.

Given Hochman's and Shmerkin's results, it is natural to ask whether  $\Phi$  has exact overlaps whenever  $\Delta_n \xrightarrow{n} 0$  super-exponentially. Recently, examples have been constructed by Baker [1] and independently by Bárány and Käenmäki [2], which show that this is not necessarily true. In Baker's construction the maps in the IFS all contract by a rational number, and so it is especially relevant to the present paper. In a joint work with P. Varjú [14] we will treat a family of self-similar measures which is closer to the example from [2].

### 1.2. Results

The following theorem is our main result. It verifies Conjecture 1 for the case of algebraic contractions and arbitrary translations.

THEOREM 2. – Let  $m \ge 0$  and  $\Phi = \{\varphi_j(x) = \lambda_j x + t_j\}_{j=0}^m$  be a self-similar IFS on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_m$  are all algebraic numbers and that  $\Phi$  has no exact overlaps. Let  $p = (p_j)_{j=0}^m$  be a probability vector and denote by  $\mu$  the self-similar measure corresponding to  $\Phi$  and p. Then dim  $\mu = \beta$ , where  $\beta$  is as defined in (1.3).

A version for sets of the conjecture follows directly from the last theorem in the case of algebraic contractions. Given an IFS  $\Phi$  as above denote by dim<sub>s</sub>  $\Phi$  its similarity dimension, that is dim<sub>s</sub>  $\Phi$  is the unique  $s \ge 0$  which satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} |\lambda_j|^s = 1$$

It is not hard to see that  $\min\{1, \dim_s \Phi\}$  is always an upper bound for  $\dim_H K$ , where K is the attractor of  $\Phi$  and  $\dim_H$  stands for Hausdorff dimension. Moreover, the equality

(1.6) 
$$\dim_H K = \min\{1, \dim_s \Phi\}$$

is satisfied when the union in (1.1) is disjoint or, more generally, if  $\Phi$  satisfies the so-called open set condition (see for instance [3, Chapter 2.1]). The version for sets of Conjecture 1 says that (1.6) holds whenever  $\Phi$  has no exact overlaps.

ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES DE L'ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE