

**436**

**ASTÉRISQUE**

**2022**

THE YANG-MILLS HEAT FLOW AND THE CALORIC GAUGE

Sung-Jin OH & Daniel TATARU

**SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE**

---

Astérisque est un périodique de la Société Mathématique de France.

Numéro 436, 2022

---

**Comité de rédaction**

Marie-Claude ARNAUD      Alexandru OANCEA  
Christophe BREUIL      Nicolas RESSAYRE  
Philippe EYSSIDIEUX      Rémi RHODES  
Colin GUILLARMOU      Sylvia SERFATY  
Fanny KASSEL      Sug Woo SHIN  
Eric MOULINES  
Nicolas BURQ (dir.)

**Diffusion**

Maison de la SMF      AMS  
Case 916 - Luminy      P.O. Box 6248  
13288 Marseille Cedex 9      Providence RI 02940  
France      USA  
commandes@smf.emath.fr      <http://www.ams.org>

**Tarifs**

*Vente au numéro* : 38 € (\$57)  
*Abonnement* Europe : 665 €, hors Europe : 718 € (\$1077)  
Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

**Secrétariat**

Astérisque  
Société Mathématique de France  
Institut Henri Poincaré, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie  
75231 Paris Cedex 05, France  
Fax: (33) 01 40 46 90 96  
asterisque@smf.emath.fr • <http://smf.emath.fr/>

© Société Mathématique de France 2022

*Tous droits réservés (article L 122-4 du Code de la propriété intellectuelle). Toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale ou partielle faite sans le consentement de l'éditeur est illicite. Cette représentation ou reproduction par quelque procédé que ce soit constituerait une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles L 335-2 et suivants du CPI.*

ISSN: 0303-1179 (print) 2492-5926 (electronic)

ISBN 978-2-85629-961-6

doi:10.24033/ast.1179

Directeur de la publication : Fabien Durand

---

**436**

**ASTÉRISQUE**

**2022**

THE YANG-MILLS HEAT FLOW AND THE CALORIC GAUGE

Sung-Jin OH & Daniel TATARU

**SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE**

*Sung-Jin Oh*

Department of Mathematics, UC Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, 94720 and KIAS, Seoul, Korea 02455

`sjoh@math.berkeley.edu`

*Daniel Tataru*

Department of Mathematics, UC Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, 94720

`tataru@math.berkeley.edu`

Texte soumis le 28 janvier 2018, accepté le 11 mai 2022.

---

**Mathematical Subject Classification (2010).** — 35K55, 70S15.

**Keywords.** — Yang Mills heat flow, caloric gauge, nonlinear parabolic equations, local well-posedness, global well-posedness.

**Mots-clefs.** — Flot de chaleur Yang Mills, jauge calorique, équations parabolique non linéaire, solutions globales.

# THE YANG-MILLS HEAT FLOW AND THE CALORIC GAUGE

by Sung-Jin OH & Daniel TATARU

**Abstract.** — This is the first part of the four-paper sequence, which establishes the Threshold Conjecture and the Soliton Bubbling vs. Scattering Dichotomy for the energy critical hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation in the  $(4 + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space-time.

The primary subject of this paper, however, is another PDE, namely the energy critical Yang-Mills heat flow on the 4-dimensional Euclidean space. Our first goal is to establish sharp criteria for global existence and asymptotic convergence to a flat connection for this system in  $\dot{H}^1$ , including the Dichotomy Theorem (i.e., either the above properties hold or a harmonic Yang-Mills connection bubbles off) and the Threshold Theorem (i.e., if the initial energy is less than twice that of the ground state, then the above properties hold). Our second goal is to use the Yang-Mills heat flow in order to define the caloric gauge, which will play a major role in the analysis of the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation in the subsequent papers.

**Résumé.** (L'équation de la chaleur de Yang-Mills et la jauge calorique) — Cet article est la première partie d'une série de quatre articles, qui établit la conjecture de seuil et la dichotomie Soliton Bubbling vs. Scattering pour l'équation hyperbolique de Yang-Mills à énergie critique dans l'espace-temps de Minkowski à  $(4 + 1)$  dimensions.

Cependant, le sujet principal de cet article est une autre EDP, à savoir l'équation de la chaleur de Yang-Mills à énergie critique sur l'espace Euclidien à 4 dimensions. Notre premier objectif est d'établir des critères précis concernant l'existence et la convergence asymptotique vers une connexion plate pour ce système dans  $\dot{H}^1$ , y compris le théorème de dichotomie (c'est-à-dire, soit les propriétés ci-dessus sont valables, soit une connexion harmonique Yang-Mills bubbles off) et le théorème du seuil (c'est-à-dire si l'énergie initiale est inférieure à deux fois celle de l'état fondamental, alors les propriétés ci-dessus sont vraies). Notre deuxième objectif est d'utiliser l'équation de la chaleur de Yang-Mills afin de définir la jauge calorique, qui jouera un rôle majeur dans l'analyse de l'équation hyperbolique de Yang-Mills dans les articles suivants.



# CONTENTS

<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	1
1.1. Lie groups and Lie algebras .....	1
1.2. Connections and curvature .....	2
1.3. Yang-Mills equations .....	2
1.4. Energy, scaling and criticality .....	5
<b>2. The main results</b> .....	7
2.1. Review of results for harmonic Yang-Mills connections on $\mathbb{R}^4$ .....	7
2.2. Large data global theory for the Yang-Mills heat flow on $\mathbb{R}^4$ .....	9
2.3. Preview of results for the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation in $\mathbb{R}^{1+4}$ ..	13
2.4. The global caloric gauge and the manifold of caloric connections ....	14
2.5. The hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation in the caloric gauge .....	17
2.6. Remarks on the dynamic Yang-Mills heat flow and the de Turck trick	20
<b>3. Notations, conventions and other preliminaries</b> .....	23
3.1. Notations and conventions .....	23
3.2. Function spaces .....	23
3.3. Frequency envelopes .....	24
<b>4. Linear covariant elliptic and parabolic flows</b> .....	27
4.1. Solvability for $\Delta_A$ .....	27
4.2. Solvability for $\partial_s - \Delta_A$ : $L^2$ theory .....	31
4.3. Solvability for $\partial_s - \Delta_A$ : $L^p$ parabolic regularity .....	35
<b>5. The Yang-Mills heat flow[3pt] and the local caloric gauge</b> .....	41
5.1. The covariant and dynamic Yang-Mills heat flows .....	41
5.2. Covariant bounds for solutions .....	43
5.3. Main results in the local caloric gauge .....	46
5.4. Proof of the main results in the local caloric gauge .....	51
<b>6. The Dichotomy and the Threshold Theorems</b> .....	65
6.1. The Dichotomy Theorem .....	65
6.2. The Threshold Theorem .....	71
<b>7. The caloric gauge</b> .....	75
7.1. Caloric connections and the projection map .....	75
7.2. The caloric manifold and its tangent space .....	81
7.3. The heat flow of caloric connections: $L^2$ analysis .....	87

7.4. The heat flow of caloric connections: $L^p$ analysis .....	98
7.5. Generalized Coulomb condition and $\mathbf{Q}$ .....	106
<b>8. The dynamic Yang-Mills heat flow[3pt] and the caloric Yang-Mills waves ..</b>	<b>109</b>
8.1. The analysis of $w_\nu$ .....	112
8.2. The analysis of $A_0$ .....	113
8.3. The analysis of $\mathbf{D}^0 A_0$ .....	116
8.4. Turnabout: from curvature to caloric data .....	117
<b>9. The wave equation for <math>A_x</math> .....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>125</b>



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is two-fold:

- *To develop a large data global theory of the Yang-Mills heat flow on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .* Consider the Yang-Mills heat flow on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with a compact structure group. For initial data  $a \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ , we establish sharp criteria for global existence and asymptotic convergence to a flat connection, including the Dichotomy Theorem (Theorem 2.10) and the Threshold Theorem (Theorem 2.11).
- *To define the caloric gauge for the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation.* Using the large data global theory of the Yang-Mills heat flow, we define the so-called *caloric gauge* (Definition 2.17) and identify the structure of the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation in this gauge (Theorem 2.27).

While this paper is primarily devoted to the analysis of the Yang-Mills heat flow, in the larger scheme of things it constitutes the first part of a four-paper sequence, whose overall aim is to prove the Threshold Conjecture and the Dichotomy Theorem for the energy critical *hyperbolic* Yang-Mills equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+4}$ . The four installments of the series are concerned with the following topics:

1. the *caloric gauge* for the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation, present paper.
2. large data *energy dispersed* caloric gauge solutions, [21].
3. *topological classes* of connections and large data local well-posedness, [19].
4. *soliton bubbling* vs. scattering dichotomy for large data solutions, [22].

A short overview of the whole sequence is provided in the survey paper [20].

In the remainder of the introduction, we formulate the three Yang-Mills equations that play a role in this paper, namely the harmonic Yang-Mills equation (elliptic), the Yang-Mills heat flow (parabolic) and the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation. Then in Section 2, the main results are stated in a more precise form, along with discussion of some major ideas.

### 1.1. Lie groups and Lie algebras

Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a compact noncommutative Lie group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  its associated Lie algebra. We denote by  $\text{Ad}(O)X = OXO^{-1}$  the action of  $\mathbf{G}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by conjugation (i.e., the

adjoint action), and by  $\text{ad}(X)Y = [X, Y]$  the associated action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is given by the Lie bracket. We introduce the notation  $\langle X, Y \rangle$  for a bi-invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$\langle [X, Y], Z \rangle = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g},$$

or equivalently

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle \text{Ad}(O)X, \text{Ad}(O)Y \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad O \in \mathbf{G}.$$

If  $\mathbf{G}$  is semisimple then one can take  $\langle X, Y \rangle = -\text{tr}(\text{ad}(X)\text{ad}(Y))$  i.e., the negative of the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is then positive definite. However, a bi-invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  exists for any compact Lie group  $\mathbf{G}$ .

## 1.2. Connections and curvature

The objects of study here are connection 1-forms taking values in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$A_j : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}.$$

The associated covariant differentiation operators  $\mathbf{D}_j = (\mathbf{D}^{(A)})_j$ , acting on  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued functions  $B$ , are defined by

$$\mathbf{D}_j B := \partial_j B + \text{ad}(A_j)B.$$

Their commutators yield the curvature tensor

$$F_{jk} := \partial_j A_k - \partial_k A_j + [A_j, A_k],$$

in the sense that  $\mathbf{D}_j \mathbf{D}_k - \mathbf{D}_k \mathbf{D}_j = \text{ad}(F_{jk})$ . A basic property of  $F$  is that it satisfies the *Bianchi identity*:

$$\mathbf{D}_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} + \mathbf{D}_\beta F_{\gamma\alpha} + \mathbf{D}_\gamma F_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

Given a  $\mathbf{G}$ -valued function  $O$ , its action  $B \rightarrow \text{Ad}(O)B$  induces a gauge transformation for  $A$ , namely

$$A_k \rightarrow OA_kO^{-1} - \partial_k OO^{-1} =: \mathcal{G}(O)A_k.$$

Correspondingly, we have for  $F$

$$F_{jk} \rightarrow OF_{jk}O^{-1}.$$

## 1.3. Yang-Mills equations

While this article is primarily devoted to the Yang-Mills heat flow, there are in effect three Yang-Mills equations which play a role in our work. These are as follows:

**1.3.1. The harmonic Yang-Mills equations in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .** — This is obtained as the Euler-Lagrange equation for the Lagrangian (or the energy)

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{E}_e[A] := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle F_{jk}, F^{jk} \rangle dx,$$

and has the form

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{D}^j F_{jk} = 0.$$

Both the Lagrangian and the harmonic Yang-Mills equation are invariant with respect to gauge transformations, therefore in order to have a good theory for these equations one needs to fix the gauge. A common choice here is the *Coulomb gauge*,

$$(1.3) \quad \partial^j A_j = 0,$$

which formally turns the Equations (1.2) into a strongly elliptic system,

$$(1.4) \quad \Delta_A A_k = -[A^j, \mathbf{D}_k A_j],$$

where  $\Delta_A$  is the covariant Laplacian, given by

$$(1.5) \quad \Delta_A = \mathbf{D}^j \mathbf{D}_j.$$

**1.3.2. The Yang-Mills heat flow in  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .** — This can be viewed as the gradient flow for the above Lagrangian, but written in a gauge invariant fashion. Using the letter  $s$  for the heat-time, we add a heat-time connection component  $A_s$  and the corresponding curvatures  $F_{sj}$ . Then the covariant Yang-Mills heat flow has the form

$$(1.6) \quad F_{sj} = \mathbf{D}^\ell F_{\ell j}, \quad A_j(s=0) = a_j.$$

The solutions of the harmonic Yang-Mills equation play the role of steady states for the Yang-Mills heat flow.

This flow is also gauge invariant. A natural way to fix the gauge is via the *de Turck gauge condition*

$$(1.7) \quad A_s = \partial^k A_k,$$

which formally turns the system (1.6) into a semilinear strongly parabolic system,

$$(1.8) \quad (\partial_s - \Delta_A) A_j = [A_j, \partial^k A_k] - [A^k, \partial_j A_k].$$

However, there is a second gauge choice which plays the leading role in this article, namely the *local caloric gauge*<sup>(1)</sup>,

$$(1.9) \quad A_s = 0.$$

In this gauge the system (1.6) becomes a semilinear degenerate parabolic system,

$$(1.10) \quad (\partial_s - \Delta_A) A_j = -\mathbf{D}^k \partial_j A_k,$$

where the degenerate part occurs at the level of the divergence of  $A$ , namely

$$(1.11) \quad \partial_s(\partial^k A_k) = [A^j, \mathbf{D}^k F_{jk}].$$

This would seem to be less favorable from an analytic point of view. However, as it turns out, under this gauge the long-time behavior is better. Incidentally, this is exactly the gauge which corresponds to directly taking the gradient flow for the Lagrangian in (1.1).

---

1. The word *local* is here to differentiate this gauge with the global caloric gauge defined in Definition 2.17 below.

**Remark 1.1.** — In the literature, the gradient flow  $\partial_s A_j = \mathbf{D}^\ell F_{\ell j}$  is usually called the *Yang-Mills heat flow*. In our work, however, we find it conceptually and technically useful to adopt the fully gauge-covariant formulation (1.6), and view this flow as the equation in a gauge defined by (1.9). Of course, these viewpoints are equivalent.

The first part of the paper will be devoted to the study of global solutions for the Yang-Mills heat flow.

**1.3.3. The hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation in the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1+d}$ .** — Let  $\mathbb{R}^{1+d}$  be the  $(d+1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space, equipped with the Minkowski metric  $\text{diag}(-1, +1, \dots, +1)$  in the rectangular coordinates  $(x^0, x^1, \dots, x^d)$ .

The *hyperbolic Yang-Mills equations* are the Euler-Lagrange equations associated with the formal Lagrangian action functional

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{L}[A] := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+d}} \langle F_{\alpha\beta}, F^{\alpha\beta} \rangle dx dt.$$

Here we are using the standard convention for raising indices using the Minkowski metric, and greek letters for the Minkowski setting. The (hyperbolic) time is denoted by  $t$ , and corresponds to the index 0 (i.e.,  $t = x^0$ ). Thus, the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equations take the form

$$(1.13) \quad \mathbf{D}^\alpha F_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

In order to consider the Yang-Mills problem as an evolution equation we need to consider initial data sets. An *initial data set* for (1.13) consists of two pairs of 1-forms  $(a_j, e_j)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that  $(a_j, e_j)$  is the initial data for a Yang-Mills wave  $A$  if

$$(A_j, F_{0j}) \upharpoonright_{\{t=0\}} = (a_j, e_j).$$

Note that (1.13) for  $\beta = 0$  imposes the condition that the following equation be true for any initial data for (1.13):

$$(1.14) \quad \mathbf{D}^j e_j = 0.$$

where  $\mathbf{D}^j$  denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the  $a_j$  connection. This equation is the *Gauss* (or the *constraint*) equation for (1.13).

We observe again that harmonic Yang-Mills connections play the role of steady states for the hyperbolic Yang-Mills evolution. However, here we have an additional class of symmetries, namely the Lorentz group. Taking a Lorentz transform of a steady state yields a soliton, which evolves with constant speed less than 1. It is a simple computation to verify that the energy of this soliton is larger than the energy of the original harmonic Yang-Mills connection.

Yet again, (1.13) is gauge invariant. There are several interesting gauge choices one can make for the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equations:

— The Lorenz gauge,

$$(1.15) \quad \partial^\alpha A_\alpha = 0.$$

In this gauge the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equations become a semilinear wave system

$$(1.16) \quad \square_A A_\alpha = -[A^\beta, \mathbf{D}_\alpha A_\beta].$$

In particular it has finite speed of propagation. This gauge is very convenient for local well-posedness for large but regular data. Unfortunately there are multiple technical difficulties if one tries to implement such a gauge in the low regularity setting, see e.g., [29].

— The temporal gauge,

$$(1.17) \quad A_0 = 0.$$

This is akin to the local caloric gauge for the heat flow. In particular, at the level of the divergence of  $A_x$  we again get a pure transport equation. In this gauge the Yang-Mills system is still strictly hyperbolic, and in particular it has finite speed of propagation. Because of this, it is also convenient for local well-posedness for large but regular data. Unfortunately working with either low regularity solutions or long time solutions runs into difficulties largely caused by the lack of decay/dispersion in the transport part.

— The Coulomb gauge

$$(1.18) \quad \partial^j A_j = 0,$$

where only the spatial divergence is considered. Here the causality is lost; however, the Coulomb gauge is an “elliptic” gauge which captures well the null structure of the problem, and thus works well in low regularity settings. Indeed, the Coulomb gauge was used in [12] to prove the small data result for this problem in the critical Sobolev space. Unfortunately, for large data there are issues with the Coulomb gauge.

The aim of the second part of this paper will be to use the Yang-Mills heat flow in the local caloric gauge in order to introduce a new gauge choice for the hyperbolic Yang-Mills flow, which we call the *caloric gauge*. The final objective here is to arrive at a good formulation of the hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation in the caloric gauge.

## 1.4. Energy, scaling and criticality

Here we review the standard energy, scaling and criticality considerations which apply to the three Yang-Mills problems described above.

**1.4.1. The harmonic Yang-Mills equation.** — In the context of the harmonic Yang-Mills equation, the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_e[A]$  plays the role of the energy of the connection  $A$ ; we will suggestively use the alternate notation  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}^d}[A]$  for it.

On the other hand, the Equations (1.2) also have a scale invariance property,

$$A(x) \rightarrow \lambda A(\lambda x).$$

The Sobolev space with the same scaling is  $\dot{H}^{\frac{d-2}{2}}$ , which we will view as the natural space for solutions to (1.2). We will refer to this space as the critical Sobolev space. The energy is scale invariant in dimension  $d = 4$ , which we will refer to as the *energy critical dimension*.

**1.4.2. The Yang-Mills heat flow.** — Here the energy plays the role of a Lyapunov functional,

$$(1.19) \quad \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{E}_e[A] = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{D}^j F_{jk}|^2 dx.$$

The scale invariance property now reads

$$A(x, s) \rightarrow \lambda A(\lambda x, \lambda^2 s).$$

The critical Sobolev space for the initial data  $a$  is again  $\dot{H}^{\frac{d-2}{2}}$ , and the energy critical dimension is  $d = 4$  as well.

**1.4.3. The hyperbolic Yang-Mills equation.** — Here the gauge invariant energy is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^d}[A] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{\alpha < \beta} |F_{\alpha\beta}|^2 dx.$$

The scale invariance property has the form

$$A(t, x) \rightarrow \lambda A(\lambda t, \lambda x).$$

The critical Sobolev space for the initial data  $(a, e)$  is  $\dot{H}^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{d-4}{2}}$ , and the energy critical dimension is again  $d = 4$ .

## Acknowledgments

Part of the work was carried out during the semester program “New Challenges in PDE” held at MSRI in Fall 2015. S.-J. Oh was partially supported by the Miller Research Fellowship from the Miller Institute, UC Berkeley, the TJ Park Science Fellowship from the POSCO TJ Park Foundation, the Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA1702-02, a Sloan Research Fellowship, and a National Science Foundation CAREER Grant under NSF-DMS-1945615. D. Tataru was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1266182 as well as by the Simons Investigator grant from the Simons Foundation.