quatrième série - tome 44

fascicule 1 janvier-février 2011

ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES de L'ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE

# Robert POLLACK & Glenn STEVENS

Overconvergent modular symbols and p-adic L-functions

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

# OVERCONVERGENT MODULAR SYMBOLS AND *p*-ADIC *L*-FUNCTIONS

## BY ROBERT POLLACK AND GLENN STEVENS

ABSTRACT. – This paper is a constructive investigation of the relationship between classical modular symbols and overconvergent *p*-adic modular symbols. Specifically, we give a constructive proof of a *control theorem* (Theorem 1.1) due to the second author [19] proving existence and uniqueness of overconvergent eigenliftings of classical modular eigensymbols of *non-critical slope*. As an application we describe a polynomial-time algorithm for explicit computation of associated *p*-adic *L*-functions in this case. In the case of *critical slope*, the control theorem fails to always produce eigenliftings (see Theorem 5.14 and [16] for a salvage), but the algorithm still "succeeds" at producing *p*-adic *L*-functions. In the final two sections we present numerical data in several critical slope examples and examine the Newton polygons of the associated *p*-adic *L*-functions.

RÉSUMÉ. – Cet article est une exploration constructive des rapports entre les symboles modulaires classiques et les symboles modulaires p-adiques surconvergents. Plus précisément, nous donnons une preuve constructive d'un théorème de contrôle (Théorème 1.1) du deuxième auteur [19] ; ce théorème démontre l'existence et l'unicité des « liftings propres » des symboles propres modulaires classiques de pente non-critique. Comme application, nous décrivons un algorithme en temps polynomial pour le calcul explicite des fonctions L p-adiques associées dans ce cas-là. Dans le cas de pente critique, le théorème de contrôle échoue toujours à produire des « liftings propres » (voir Théorème 5.14 et [16] pour un succédané), mais l'algorithme « réussit » néanmoins à produire des fonctions L p-adiques. Dans les deux dernières sections, nous présentons des données numériques pour plusieurs exemples de pente critique et examinons le polygone de Newton des fonctions L p-adiques associées.

### 1. Introduction

Fix a prime p, and let  $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  denote a congruence subgroup of level prime to p. For k a non-negative integer, let  $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  denote the space of locally analytic p-adic distributions

The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0701153. The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0071065.

<sup>0012-9593/01/© 2011</sup> Société Mathématique de France. Tous droits réservés ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES DE L'ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE

on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  endowed with the weight k action<sup>(1)</sup> of  $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ . In [19], the second author introduced the space of overconvergent modular symbols,  $\operatorname{Symb}_{\Gamma_0}(\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ ; this is the space of  $\Gamma_0$ -equivariant maps from  $\Delta_0 := \operatorname{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$  to  $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ :

$$\left\{ \Phi : \Delta_0 \to \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p) : \Phi(\gamma D) = \Phi(D) \middle| \gamma^{-1} \text{ for } \gamma \in \Gamma_0, \ D \in \Delta_0 \right\}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  admits a surjective map to  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(\mathbb{Q}_p^2)$  inducing a Hecke-equivariant map

 $\operatorname{Symb}_{\Gamma_0}(\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Symb}_{\Gamma_0}(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\mathbb{Q}_p^2)),$ 

which we refer to as the *specialization map*.

The target of this map is the space of classical modular symbols of level  $\Gamma_0$  and weight k. By Eichler-Shimura theory, this space is finite-dimensional and contains all systems of Hecke-eigenvalues which occur in the space of classical modular forms of weight k + 2 and level  $\Gamma_0$ . On the other hand, the source of the specialization map  $\text{Symb}_{\Gamma_0}(\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p))$  is certainly an infinite-dimensional space. Nonetheless, in [19], the following control theorem is given for the specialization map, which can be viewed as an analogue of Coleman's theorem on small slope overconvergent forms being classical (see also [16]).

THEOREM 1.1. – The specialization map restricted to the subspace where  $U_p$  acts with slope strictly less that k + 1

$$\operatorname{Symb}_{\Gamma_0}(\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p))^{(< k+1)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Symb}_{\Gamma_0}(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\mathbb{Q}_p^2))^{(< k+1)}$$

is a Hecke-equivariant isomorphism.

The main goal of this paper is to provide an explicit enough description of these spaces of overconvergent modular symbols to:

- 1. give a constructive proof of the above theorem (see Theorem 5.12), and
- 2. perform explicit computations in these spaces, constructing overconvergent Heckeeigensymbols.

#### 1.1. Explicit description of modular symbols

Our first step in explicitly constructing overconvergent modular symbols is to give a simple description of  $\Delta_0 = \text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$  as a left  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ -module in terms of generators and relations for  $\Gamma$ , any finite index subgroup of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

In the case when  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free (which we will assume for the remainder of the introduction), we will be able to find divisors  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_t \in \Delta_0$  such that together with  $D_{\infty} := \{\infty\} - \{0\}$ , they generate  $\Delta_0$ , and satisfy the single relation

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix}1 & -1\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} - 1\right) D_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} (1 - \gamma_i^{-1}) D_i$$

for some  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$  (see Theorem 2.6).

To "solve the Manin relations" in such a way that we have only a single relation, we make use of a fundamental domain for the action of  $\Gamma$  on the upper-half plane with a particularly

4° SÉRIE - TOME 44 - 2011 - Nº 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(1)</sup> In this paper, we will consider *right* actions on  $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ ; moreover, we normalize our weights so that weight 0 corresponds to the trivial action (and thus to weight 2 modular forms).

nice shape; we form a fundamental domain whose interior is connected, and whose boundary is a union of unimodular paths.

Having this single relation in hand, one can construct a symbol  $\varphi \in \text{Symb}_{\Gamma}(V)$ , where V is an arbitrary right  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ -module, by producing elements  $v_1, \ldots, v_t, v_{\infty}$  in V satisfying

$$v_{\infty} |\Delta| = \sum_{i=1}^{t} v_i |(1 - \gamma_i)|$$

where  $\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - 1$ . One simply sets  $\varphi(D_i) = v_i$ , and then extends to all of  $\Delta_0$ .

Note that to be able to write down such elements in V, one needs to be able to solve an equation of the form

$$v_{\infty}|\Delta = w$$

which we will refer to as the *difference equation*.

#### **1.2.** Distributions, moments, and the difference equation

The span of the functions  $\{z^j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  is dense in the space of locally analytic functions on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . In particular, a distribution  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is uniquely determined by the values  $\{\mu(z^j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ , its sequence of moments.

Using this description of a distribution by its sequence of moments, one can write down an explicit solution to the difference equation in these spaces of distributions. Specifically, let  $\nu_j$  be the simple distribution defined by

$$\nu_j(z^r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and let  $\eta_j \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  be defined by

$$\eta_j(z^r) = \begin{cases} \binom{r}{j} b_{r-j} & \text{if } r \ge j\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where  $b_n$  is the *n*-th Bernoulli number. We then have (see Lemma 4.4)

$$\frac{\eta_j | \Delta}{j+1} = \nu_{j+1}.$$

One obtains a general solution of the difference equation by combining these basic solutions.<sup>(2)</sup> It is interesting that Bernoulli numbers play such a key role in this solution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> The denominators that appear in this solution could potentially cause a problem. It is primarily for this reason that in the text of the paper we work with  $\mathcal{D}_{k}^{\dagger}$ , a larger space of distributions.

#### 1.3. Sketch of a proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $\varphi$  in Symb<sub> $\Gamma_0$ </sub> (Sym<sup>k</sup>( $\mathbb{Q}_p^2$ )) be an eigensymbol of slope strictly less than k + 1. By combining our explicit solution of the Manin relations together with our solution of the difference equation, we can explicitly compute an overconvergent modular symbol  $\Psi$  lifting  $\varphi$ . To produce an *eigensymbol* lifting  $\varphi$ , we consider the sequence

$$\Psi_n := \frac{\Psi | U_p^n}{\lambda^n}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the  $U_p$ -eigenvalue of  $\varphi$ . Since the specialization map is Hecke-equivariant,  $\Psi_n$  also lifts  $\varphi$ . Then, using the fact that the valuation of  $\lambda$  is strictly less than k + 1, we show that  $\{\Psi_n\}$  converges to some symbol  $\Phi$ , which still lifts  $\varphi$ . By construction,  $\Phi$  is a  $U_p$ -eigensymbol with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , and is thus the symbol we are seeking.

#### 1.4. Connection with *p*-adic *L*-functions

We note that overconvergent Hecke-eigensymbols are directly related to *p*-adic *L*-functions. Indeed, let *f* be a classical modular form on  $\Gamma_0$  of non-critical slope (*i.e.* with slope strictly less than k + 1), and let  $\varphi_f$  denote its corresponding classical modular symbol. As *f* is assumed to have non-critical slope, by Theorem 1.1, there is a unique symbol  $\Phi_f$  in Symb<sub> $\Gamma_0$ </sub> ( $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ )<sup>(<k+1)</sup> which specializes to  $\varphi_f$ . It is proven in [19] that

$$\Phi_f(\{\infty\} - \{0\}) = L_p(f)$$

the *p*-adic *L*-function of f (see also Theorem 6.3 in this paper).

Thus, the above proof of Theorem 1.1 can be converted into an algorithm for computing p-adic L-functions. Indeed, starting with a modular form f, using standard methods, one can produce its corresponding modular symbol  $\varphi_f$ . As described above, one lifts  $\varphi_f$  to an overconvergent symbol, and then iterates the operator  $U_p/\lambda$  on this lift. If f is a p-ordinary modular form, then each application of  $U_p$  yields an extra digit of p-adic accuracy. Evaluating at the divisor  $\{\infty\} - \{0\}$  then gives an approximation to the p-adic L-function.

We note that computing *p*-adic *L*-functions of modular forms from their definition (i.e. by using Riemann sums) requires evaluating a sum with around  $p^n$  terms in order to get *n* digits of *p*-adic accuracy. Such an algorithm is exponential in *n*, and thus cannot be used to compute these *L*-functions to high accuracy even for small *p*. The above algorithm is polynomial in *n* (see [6, Proposition 2.14]), and can be used in practice to compute *p*-adic *L*-functions to very high accuracy.

#### **1.5.** Approximating distributions

We now describe a systematic method of approximating distributions which one needs in order to carry out the algorithm described above.

Recall that  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is uniquely determined by its sequence of moments  $\{\mu(z^j)\}$  for  $j \ge 0$ . To approximate such a distribution, one might hope to keep track of the first M moments, each modulo  $p^N$  for some integers  $M, N \gg 0$ . Unfortunately, this approach cannot be used for our purposes because such approximations are not stable under the matrix actions we are considering; that is, if one has the above data for a distribution  $\mu$ , one