Mémoires de la S. M. F.

HUGO VOLGER The role of rudimentary relations in complexity theory

Mémoires de la S. M. F. 2^{*e*} *série*, tome 16 (1984), p. 41-51 http://www.numdam.org/item?id=MSMF_1984_2_16_41_0

© Mémoires de la S. M. F., 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Mémoires de la S. M. F. » (http://smf. emath.fr/Publications/Memoires/Presentation.html) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

\mathcal{N} umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ Société Mathématique de France 2° série, mémoire n° 16, 1984, p. 41-51

THE ROLE OF RUDIMENTARY RELATIONS IN COMPLEXITY THEORY

Volger, Hugo

Résumé:

On étudie dans cet article les classes R et XR des relations rudimentaires et faiblement rudimentaires qui se reposent sur la relation de la concaténation bornée. On obtient RUD et XRUD, les classes correspondantes des langages, comme l'union d'une hiérarchie linéaire resp. polynômiale. Ces hiérarchies utilisent des quanteurs alternants auxlongueurs bornés ou également des machines alternantes de Turing avec alternance constante. Nous allons introduire une autre description utilisant des quanteurs alternants pour des oracles. En plus on obtiendra une chaîne nouvelle des hiérarchies pour tous les niveaux exponentiels, dont l'union sera ERUD, l'analogue exponentiel de la classe RUD. Et on va montrer que ERUD est la classe E_3 des langages élémentaires.

Abstract:

We shall study the classes R resp. XR of rudimentary resp. extended rudimentary relations which are based on the relation of bounded concatenation. The associated classes RUD resp. XRUD of languages are the union of a linear - resp. polynomial time hierarchy. It can be described either by means of alternating length bounded quantifiers or by means of Turing machines with constant alternation. We shall introduce another description based on alternating quantifiers for oracle sets. Extending these results we obtain a chain of hierarchies for the iterated exponential time levels, whose union is the class ERUD, the exponential analogue of RUD. Moreover, it will be shown that ERUD coincides with the class of elementary recursive languages.

Table of contents:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Concatenation as a base of computability theory
- 3. The rudimentary relations
- 4. Turing machines with constant resp. linear alternation
- 5. The linear and polynomial time hierarchies
- 6. A chain of exponential time hierarchies
- 7. Two logspace hierarchies
- 8. The theories of bounded concatenation
- 9. Conclusion
- 10. References

0037-9484/84 03 41 11/\$ 3.10/ © Gauthier-Villars

Hugo Volger

1. Introduction:

This paper is a survey on the classes R, XR, ER of rudimentary resp. extended rudimentary resp. exponential rudimentary relations and the corresponding classes RUD, XRUD, ERUD of languages. R and XR were introduced by Smullyan in 1961 resp. Bennett in 1962 (cf.[19],[1]), whereas ER is a new class. As we shall see later, a relation is rudimentary if it is definable from the concatenation relation by means of a first order formula where all quantifiers have linear length bounds. XR resp. ER will be the polynomially resp. exponentially bounded analogue of R.

The associated classes RUD, XRUD, ERUD may be obtained as the union of certain hierarchies. In her thesis in 1975 Wrathall [27] has shown that there are length bounded quantification hierarchies which yield IH = RUD resp. PH = XRUD and have as first step NLTIME resp. NPTIME. As length bounded quantification is closely related to time bounded alternation, these hierarchies can also be described as constant alternation hierarchies for IH and PH (cf.Chandra,Stockmeyer [4],Kozen [10]).

Recently Orponen [16] has introduced a class EH as the union of an exponential time hierarchy involving oracle set quantification and having NEXPTIME as a first step. Extending his approach we are able to describe the hierarchies for LH and PH as oracle set quantification hierarchies. Moreover, we shall introduce classes EH⁽ⁱ⁾ as the union of an analogous hierarchy involving the i-th iterate e_i of the exponential function, and we shall show that each of the three descriptions may be used. As a consequence we obtain that ERUD is the union of the classes EH⁽ⁱ⁾ and coincides with the class of elementary recursive languages. In addition, the alternating log-space hierarchy of Chandra,Kozen and Stockmeyer [5] may be viewed as step -1 of this chain of hierarchies.

The class $\text{EH}^{(i)}$ which consists of languages requiring a constant number of alternations is contained in the class LA_i the corresponding class with a linear amount of alternation. Recently we have shown that the decision problem of the theory e_i -bounded concatenation is complete in the class LA_i w.r.t. polynomial time reductions for $i \ge 1$. In a certain sense these results for $\text{EH}^{(i)}$ and LA_i measure the power of e_i -bounded concatenation (cf.also Wilkie [24,25,26]). However, the question whether the inclusion $\text{EH}^{(i)} \subseteq \text{LA}_i$ is proper for some $i \ge 0$ remains open . A positive answer would imply that the inclusions $\text{PH} \subseteq \text{APTIME}$ and $\text{LH} \subseteq \text{ALTIME}$ are proper, thus solving important open problems in complexity theory.

2. Concatenation as a base of computability theory:

In 1946 Quine [17] suggested to use the concatenation relation rather than addition and multiplication as a base of computability theory. Thus in 1961 Smullyan [19] introduced the class R resp. R_g of <u>rudimentary</u> resp. <u>strictly rudimentary</u> relations on $\{1,2\}^*$. They consist of those relations which are definable from the concatenation relation by a first order formula where all quantifiers have a linear

Rudimentary Relations

bound resp. are subword quantifiers. Smullyan has shown that $R_{_{\rm S}}$ is all we need to describe computations. Each language $L \subseteq \{1,2\}^*$ which is recursively enumerable i.e. accepted by some Turing machine M can be obtained from a relation Q in $R_{_{\rm S}}$ as follows: $x \in L$ iff $\exists y\colon (x,y) \in Q$, where $(x,y) \in Q$ expresses the fact that y is an accepting computation sequence with input x. This shows that $R_{_{\rm S}}$ is large enough to enable us to describe Turing machine computations by means of words consisting of sequences of configuration words. On the other hand $R_{_{\rm S}}$ is quite small since the associated class RUD_{_{\rm S}} of languages is contained in LOCSPACE and does not contain $\{1^{n}2^{n}:n \in N\}$ (cf. Nepomnjascii [15],Meloul [11]). In addition, the NPTIME-complete problem SAT(x) is of the form $\exists y: |y| \leq |x| \land Q(x,y)$ with Q in $R_{_{\rm S}}$ as Meloul [11] has shown. This may explain why the class $R_{_{\rm S}}$ and the related classes R and XR play an important role in complexity theory.

3. The rudimentary relations:

The class R resp. R_s of <u>rudimentary</u> resp. <u>strictly rudimentary relations</u> on $\{1,2\}^*$, introduced by Smullyan [19], is defined as the least class of relations which contains the concatenation relation Con and which is closed under the boolean operations, explicit transformations and <u>linearly bounded</u> resp. <u>subword quantification</u>. The class R^+ of <u>positive rudimentary relations</u> on $\{1,2\}^*$, introduced by Bennett [1], is defined as the least class of relations which contains the relation Con and which is closed under finite unions and intersections, explicit transformations, <u>subword</u> quantification and <u>linearly bounded</u> existential quantification.

∃y:y⊆x∧…, ∀y:y⊆x→…	subword quantification
$\exists y: y \leq k x \land \dots \land \forall y: y \leq k x \rightarrow \dots$	linearly bounded quantification

Using the k-adic encoding words over $\{1, ..., k\}$ may be identified with natural numbers. Bennett [1] has shown that modulo the dyadic encoding R coincides with the class CA of <u>constructive arithmetic relations</u> on N, which is the analogue of R on <u>N</u> using + and × rather than Con. In addition, CA coincides with the class of <u>bounded</u> <u>arithmetic relations</u> of Harrow [6]. Moreover, the analogues of R resp. $R_{\rm S}$ resp. R^+ on $\{1, ..., k\}^*$ coincide with R resp. $R_{\rm S}$ resp. R^+ on $\{1, 2\}^*$ modulo the k-adic encoding and the dyadic decoding. Using the sequential encoding $\theta(Q)$ of a relation Q one obtains the corresponding classes of languages on $\{1, 2, \$\}$: RUD, RUD_S, RUD⁺. It can be shown that these classes may be identified with the unary relations in R, $R_{\rm c}$, R^+ .

Replacing linearly bounded quantification by polynomially bounded quantification (i.e. $\exists y: |y| \leq |x|^k \land ...$ and $\forall y: |y| \leq |x|^k \rightarrow ...$) one obtains the classes of <u>extended</u> rudimentary resp. <u>extended</u> positive rudimentary relations, which were introduced by Bennett [1].

Going a step further we introduce the classes ER resp. ER⁺ of <u>exponential rudi</u>mentary resp. exponential positive rudimentary relations. They are obtained from

Hugo Volger

R resp. R^+ by replacing linearly bounded quantification by <u>exponentially bounded</u> quantification (i.e. $\exists y: |y| \leq e_1(|x|^k) \land ... and \forall y: |y| \leq e_1(|x|^k) \rightarrow ... with <math>e_1(n) = 2^n$). Clearly, iterated exponential functions can be used as length bounds as well. - The corresponding classes of languages are denoted by XRUD, XRUD⁺ resp. ERUD, ERUD⁺. These classes are related as follows: $RUD_{\leq} RUD^+ \subseteq RUD, XRUD^+ \subseteq XRUD, ERUD^+ \subseteq ERUD$ and $RUD^+ \subseteq XRUD^+ \subset ERUD^+$, $RUD \subset XRUD \subset ERUD$.

It should be mentioned that Jones [8] has introduced sublinear analogues of the class R resp. RUD. In particular, he considered a subclass RUD_{log} of LOGSPACE. It is not clear how this class fits into the above set up.

4. Turing machines with constant resp. linear alternation:

Chandra and Stockmeyer [4] and Kozen [10] have extended the concept of nondeterministic Turing machines (NIM's) to <u>alternating Turing machines</u> (<u>AIM</u>'s). There is a close connection between alternation and quantification. In particular, hierarchies defined by bounded quantification are closely related to hierarchies defined by constant alternation using the same time bound.

An ATM <u>M</u> is a NTM which has 2 disjoint sets of states, the existential and universal states, and a distinguished accepting resp. rejecting state. Configurations and their successor relation are defined as for NTM's. An input w is accepted by <u>M</u> (i.e. $w \in L(\underline{M})$), if there exists a finite accepting subtree B of the computation tree of <u>M</u> for w. B is accepting, if (1) the root of B is labeled with the input configuration for w, (2) all leaves of B are labeled with accepting configurations, (3) if a node b of B is labeled with an existential (resp. universal) configuration C then at least one (resp. all) successor configurations C' of C must appear as labels of successors b' of b (cf. Berman [2]).

A language L belongs to the <u>alternation class</u> STA(s,t,a), if L is accepted by an ATM <u>M</u> such that each w in L possesses an accepting subtree B of depth $\leq t(n)$ and alternation depth $\leq a(n)$ and each configuration in B uses space $\leq s(n)$, where n=|w|. We shall use the notation $STA_{\exists}(s,t,a)$ resp. $STA_{\forall}(s,t,a)$ to indicate that the input configuration is required to be existential resp. universal. As special cases we obtain the <u>alternating time class</u> ATIME(t) = STA(-,t,-) and the <u>alternating space</u> <u>class</u> ASPACE(s) = STA(s,-,-). The <u>time class with constant alternation</u> CATIME(t) is defined as U<STA₃(-,t,k):k $\in N$ >. Similarly the <u>time class with linear alternation</u> LATIME(t) is defined as STA₃(-,t,id).

Alternating time bridges the gap between nondeterministic time and deterministic space as Chandra,Kozen and Stockmeyer [5] have shown:

(*) $NTIME(t) \subseteq CATIME(t) \subseteq LATIME(t) \subseteq ATIME(t) \subseteq DSPACE(t)$ for $t \ge id$

(**) ALOGSPACE = PTIME , APTIME = PSPACE , APSPACE = EXPTIME