

Astérisque

B. HELFFER

J. SJÖSTRAND

**Semiclassical expansions of the thermodynamic
limit for a Schrödinger equation**

Astérisque, tome 210 (1992), p. 135-181

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1992__210__135_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1992__210__135_0)

© Société mathématique de France, 1992, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Semiclassical expansions of the thermodynamic limit for a Schrödinger equation

I. The one well case

by B.Helffer

and

J.Sjöstrand

§1 Presentation of the problem :

One of the motivations of the study presented here is a statistical model introduced by M.Kac [Ka]₂ and called the exponential bidimensional model. This model was supposed to present phase transition. Let us just recall here (see [Ka]₂ or [Br-He] for details) that after some reductions M.Kac arrive to the question of studying the spectral properties of the following operator:

$$(1.1) \quad K_m(h) := \exp[-V^{(m)}(x)/2] \cdot \exp[h^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \partial^2 / \partial x_k^2] \cdot \exp[-V^{(m)}(x)/2]$$

with¹ :

$$(1.2) \quad V^{(m)}(x) = (1/4) \sum_{k=1}^m x_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^m \log \operatorname{ch}(\sqrt{v/2} (x_k + x_{k+1})).$$

¹ In fact, the operator which appears in Kac is $\exp(-mh/2)K_m(h)$. It is easier w.l.o.g. in this article to work with this modified Kac operator.

The parameter ν is here the inverse of the temperature and h is a semi-classical parameter. The two questions of interest are in this context:

(1.3) If $\mu_1(m;h,\nu)$ is the largest eigenvalue of the Kac's operator, what is the behavior as a function of ν and h of the thermodynamic quantity :

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (-\log \mu_1(m;h,\nu) / m).$$

(1.4) If $\mu_2(m;h,\nu)$ is the second eigenvalue (which is $< \mu_1(m;h,\nu)$ by standard results), can we study the quantity :

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_2(m;h,\nu) / \mu_1(m;h,\nu)).$$

From discussions with specialists in statistical mechanics (with T.Spencer for example), we get the impression that this problem is probably well understood and that according to the value of ν with respect to a critical value ν_c the answer to (1.4) will be that the limit will be < 1 for $\nu < \nu_c$ and will be 1 for $\nu > \nu_c$. This is a sign of a transition of phase. However, we do not have a precise reference for that and at least the problem of analyzing in detail the behavior of the different thermodynamic quantities near the critical value ν_c seems to remain open.

In his interesting course in Brandeis [Ka]₂, M. Kac explains, at least heuristically, how to compare (in the semi-classical context) the operator $K_m(h)$ to the exponential of (minus) a Schrödinger operator. The validity of this approximation (for m fixed) has been studied more carefully in [He-Br] and [He] using some results of [He-Sj]_{1,4}.

If we admit this approximation, we shall find the following problems for the Schrödinger equation :

$$(1.5) P_m(h) = -\sum_{k=1}^m h^2 \partial^2 / \partial x_k^2 + V^{(m)}(x).$$

(1.6) If $\lambda_1^{(m)}(h, \nu)$ is the smallest eigenvalue of the Schrödinger's operator, study as a function of ν and h the thermodynamic quantity :

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_1^{(m)}(m; h, \nu) / m).$$

(1.7) If $\lambda_2(m; h, \nu)$ is the second eigenvalue (which is $> \lambda_1(m; h, \nu)$ by standard results), study the quantity :

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_2(m; h, \nu) - \lambda_1(m; h, \nu)).$$

Forgetting the initial Kac's problem, we shall start to study in this article these two questions (1.6) and (1.7). Because it is a high dimension problem, we shall use (at least in the semi-classical context) the techniques introduced by one of us (J.S). Most of the results which are given here :

- (1) existence of the thermodynamic limit $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_1^{(m)}(m; h, \nu) / m)$
- (2) asymptotic expansion of the limit as a formal series in h
- (3) rapidity of the convergence as $m \rightarrow \infty$

are given in a relatively general framework but we shall see how it can be applied in our motivating example, in the particular case where $\nu < \nu_c$.

This is of course just the starting point (and the easiest) of a study which has to consider after the case where $\nu > \nu_c$, and then the transition around $\nu = \nu_c$. There is some hope to return later to the initial Kac's problem. This ν_c can be guessed by looking carefully to the properties of $V^{(m)}$. As observed by V.Kac, for $\nu < 1/4$, the potential $V^{(m)}$ has a unique minimum at 0 and appears to be convex. For $\nu > 1/4$, we shall observe a double well problem which is certainly more difficult to analyze.

The principal result of this paper will be :

Theorem 1.1

If $\nu < 1/4$, the limit $\Lambda(h, \nu) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_1(m; h, \nu) / m)$ exists and admit a complete asymptotic expansion :

$$\Lambda(h, \nu) \sim h \sum_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_j(\nu) \cdot h^j \text{ as } h \text{ tends to } 0.$$

Moreover, if we denote the corresponding semiclassical expansions for

$\lambda_1(m; h, \nu) / m$ by :

$$(\lambda_1(m; h, \nu) / m) \sim h \sum_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_j(m, \nu) \cdot h^j,$$

there exists k_0 s.t. for each j , there exists a constant $C_j(\nu)$, s.t.

$$|\Lambda_j(\nu) - \Lambda_j(m, \nu)| \leq C_j(\nu) \cdot \exp(-k_0 m).$$

$C_j(\nu)$ can be chosen independently of ν in a compact of $[0, 1/4[$.

The problems, we consider here, are also connected to quantum field theory problems and a lot of results have been obtained by other techniques (see for example the new edition of [Gl-Ja] for a updated presentation).

The paper is organized in three parts.

The first part (§ 2 and §3) is essentially devoted to the proof of the existence of the thermodynamic limit. This is a non-semiclassical proof but we shall see that a control of the convergence with respect to parameters can be useful. In §3 we give additional remarks (to $[Sj]_2$) on universal estimates of the splitting of the two first eigenvalues .

The second part (§4 and §5) is the semi-classical part and the natural continuation of two papers by one of us (J.S) $[Sj]_{1,2}$.