

Astérisque

C. ROCHE

Densities for certain leaves of real analytic foliations

Astérisque, tome 222 (1994), p. 373-387

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1994__222__373_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

DENSITIES FOR CERTAIN LEAVES OF REAL ANALYTIC FOLIATIONS

C. ROCHE¹

I. INTRODUCTION.

Let suppose an n dimensional real analytic manifold M be given. We will suppose M to be paracompact connected and oriented. A real analytic $n - 1$ *foliation with singularities* \mathcal{F} on M is determined by giving an open covering (U_i) of M together with real analytic integrable 1-forms $\omega_i \in \Omega^1(U_i)$ such that on the overlapping charts, $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, there exists a non vanishing function $g_{i,j} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^*$ such that $\omega_i = g_{i,j} \omega_j$. Leaves of \mathcal{F} on U_i are unions of the integral manifolds of the pfaffian equation $\omega_i = 0$.

The singular set of the foliation $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is the analytic subspace of M defined by the annulation of the forms ω_i . In local coordinates of M , each ω_i can be written as

$$\omega_i(x) = \sum_{l=1}^n a_l^i(x) dx^l$$

and locally $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is determined by the equations

$$a_1^i(x) = 0, \dots, a_n^i(x) = 0 \quad x \in U_i.$$

The hypothesis that the $g_{i,j}$ be non vanishing allows to suppose that the singular set is of codimension at least 2. Such \mathcal{F} defines on $M \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ an $n - 1$ dimensional analytic foliation: \mathcal{F}_{reg} . Leaves of \mathcal{F}_{reg} are called regular leaves of \mathcal{F} .

Morover if we suppose \mathcal{F} to be transversally orientable, as will be done in this paper, Theorem A and B of Cartan in the real case [3] show that we can glue the 1-forms in order to suppose that the foliation \mathcal{F} is given by a globally defined real analytic differential form ω , that is $\omega_i = \omega|_{U_i}$.

Consider now a union Γ of regular leaves of such a foliation \mathcal{F} , Γ is an immersed $n - 1$ real analytic submanifold of M . Γ is called a separating solution

¹This research was partially supported by Brazilian CNPq

by Khovanskii if there are two disjoint open sets, L_1 and L_2 of M such that $M \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \Gamma = L_1 \cup L_2$, $\Gamma = \bar{L}_1 \setminus L_1 \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ and finally ω points inside L_1 all along Γ .

In [17] we generalize this notion introducing Rollian pfaffian hypersurfaces. A regular leaf V of \mathcal{F} is so called if for each analytic path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ intersecting the set V twice, say $\gamma(0) \in V$ and $\gamma(1) \in V$ there is an intermediate point, say $\gamma(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ where the path is tangent to \mathcal{F} . At this point, if \mathcal{F} is determined by the pfaff equation $\omega = 0$

$$\omega(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) = 0.$$

Such a Rollian pfaffian hypersurface (Rollian leaf or Rollian ph for short) will be denoted $\{V, \mathcal{F}, M\}$ to emphasize the pfaffian equation verified by V .

Khovanskii's Rolle theorem asserts that every separating solution of $\omega = 0$ is a union of Rollian ph. Separating solutions are not easy to find but, as it was shown in [17], an argument of Haefliger proves that if $M \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is simply connected, each regular leaf of \mathcal{F} is a Rollian ph.

In [17] we used this generalisation to prove the following general finiteness theorem.

Theorem on uniform finiteness. *Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_q$ be transversally oriented singular foliations on M . If X is a semianalytic subset of M for each compact set K of M there is a constant $b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that for any set of Rollian pfaffian hypersurfaces $\{V_i, \mathcal{F}_i, M\}$, $i = 1, \dots, q$ the number of connected components of $X \cap V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_q$ meeting K is bounded by b .*

A careful reading of the proof of this theorem in [17] shows that a separating manifold is in fact a locally finite union of Rollian ph as was shown by Khovanskii [5].

As an easy consequence of this result we can mention that a Rollian ph $\{V, \mathcal{F}, M\}$ is a real analytic submanifold of M closed in $M \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$.

In developping the ideas sketched in Khovanskii's work [5] [6] in joint work with R. Moussu, J.-M. Lion and J.-Ph. Rolin (started in [16]) we tried to consider Rollian ph just as building blocks for a theory similar to that of semianalytic sets. By different methods the same goal is pursued by Tougeron [19]. This idea leads to the problem of the behaviour of the boundary of a Rollian ph. At present time it is not known if the closure of a Rollian ph $\{V, \mathcal{F}, M\}$, \bar{V} can be stratified with some regularity condition. In a forthcoming paper of F. Cano, J.-M. Lion and R. Moussu an important result on the regularity of the boundary $\bar{V} \setminus V$ of such a Rollian ph will be described. [2].

The study of the boundary of a sole Rollian ph $\{V, \mathcal{F}, M\}$ is most usefull for further research if we describe the structure of the boundary of an intersection $X \cap V$ where X is a semianalytic subset of M . If X is open connected and relatively compact in M , $X \cap V$ is a finite union of leaves of the restricted foliation $\mathcal{F}|_X$ each of them is a Rollian ph in X . The behaviour of V at the ends of M is so permitted in the case the foliation can be regularly continued.

Let's define a pfaffian subset of M as a finite intersection $W = X \cap V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_q$ where X is any semianalytic subset of M and the V_i 's are Rollian ph of foliations \mathcal{F}_i .

The following properties are known for the set $\partial W = \bar{W} \setminus W$. See [8][10].

Theorem on finiteness of the boundary. *The set ∂W is locally arc connected. Moreover if $B_a(\rho)$ is the euclidean open ball of center a and radius ρ for $a \in \bar{W}$ the number of connected components of $\partial W \cap B_a(\rho)$ can be bounded by a constant depending only on the foliations \mathcal{F}_i but not on the particular Rollian ph chosen.*

Let $C_y(A)$ be the tangent cone of $A \subset M$ at $y \in M$.

Curve selection lemma. *Let $a \in \partial W$, $u \in C_a(W)$, with $\|u\| = 1$ be given, there is a semianalytic subset Y of M such that $W \cap Y$ is a union of paths $\gamma_i((0, 1))$ one of them, say γ_0 , can be extended in a C^1 way at 0 by $\gamma_0(0) = a$ and $\gamma'_0(0) = u$.*

These curves are pfaffian curves.

In this paper we show that Rollian ph have local volume properties similar to those of semianalytic and subanalytic sets.

A subset Y of \mathbf{R}^n has a k -dimensional density at $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ if the k -dimensional volume of $B_y(\epsilon) \cap Y$, $vol_k(B_y(\epsilon) \cap Y)$ is finite for small enough $\epsilon > 0$ and the following limit exists

$$\Theta_k(Y, y) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{vol_k(B_y(\epsilon) \cap Y)}{\epsilon^k}.$$

This quantity is called density of Y at y . If these conditions are not fulfilled we can always consider the corresponding superior limit and inferior limit, which are denoted by $\bar{\Theta}_k(Y, y)$ and $\underline{\Theta}_k(Y, y) \in \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+$ respectively.

In a recent paper [7] Kurdyka and Raby show that subanalytic subsets have a density at every point. Our result is similar, but restricted to the case of Rollian ph as we cannot, at present time, obtain a general decomposition into graphs theorem for pfaffian sets.

Precisely, let M be an open semianalytic subset of \mathbf{R}^n

Theorem 1. *Let $\{V, \mathcal{F}, M\}$ be a Rollian pfaffian hypersurface then V has a density at each point of \bar{V} .*

The proof of this result uses the same idea of Kurdyka and Raby and needs a new result on decomposition of Rollian ph into graphs. This decomposition gives a precision to a similar result of Lion [8], [9] and is obtained in a more elementary way. Namely

Proposition 1. *Let ω be an integrable real analytic 1-form, in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and a small enough $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a finite number of hyperplans (H_i) and a subanalytic stratification \mathcal{N} of a ball $B_0(\rho)$ such that: if $\{V, \omega, B_0(\rho)\}$ is a Rollian ph and $N \in \mathcal{N}$ then either*

*$V \cap N$ is included in a smooth submanifold of dimension less than $n - 1$,
or $V \cap N \subset H_i \oplus H_i^\perp \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is the graph of a locally ϵ -lipschitzian analytic function on an open subset of H_i .*

That is, up to a smaller dimensional set, each Rollian ph is a graph of an analytic function. This function can be supposed to have a very small derivative.

It is known that strong regularity conditions for stratified objects doesn't imply the existence of densities. Theorem 1 gives an interesting information on the good behaviour of the boundary of a Rollian ph even in case a theorem of regular stratification happens to be obtained.

The generalisation of theorem 1 to all pfaffian sets would be not difficult provided a result similar to Proposition 1 for several pfaffian equations can be proved.

II. TANGENTS TO SEMIANALYTIC SETS AND PFAFFIAN EQUATIONS.

Here we discuss a general stratification procedure preparing a graph decomposition of Rollian ph. In the first two paragraphs the discussion is fairly general and we restrict to the case of a single pfaffian equation in the third paragraph in order to get the proof of Proposition 1. We will use freely the theory of semianalytic sets [1] and stratifications [15]. A stratification is said to be adapted to a set if this set is a union of strata.

The proofs being local we will suppose from now on that M is an open semianalytic subset of \mathbf{R}^n .

1. Strongly analytic submanifolds. A subset X of M is a strongly analytic submanifold of M if it is semianalytic in M and a submanifold of M . That is locally at each point of X , X is given by the level set of an analytic submersion