Astérisque

## CLAUDIO PEDRINI CHARLES WEIBEL Divisibility in the Chow group of zero-cycles on a singular surface

*Astérisque*, tome 226 (1994), p. 371-409 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST\_1994\_\_226\_\_371\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST\_1994\_\_226\_\_371\_0</a>

© Société mathématique de France, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (http://smf4.emath.fr/ Publications/Asterisque/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

## DIVISIBILITY IN THE CHOW GROUP OF ZERO-CYCLES ON A SINGULAR SURFACE

by

Claudio PEDRINI<sup>1</sup> and Charles WEIBEL<sup>2</sup>

## §0. Introduction.

In this paper we study the divisibility of the Chow group  $CH^2(X)$  of 0cycles on a surface X over a field k. When X is smooth this question has been studied by several authors [MSw] [B2] [R] [CT-R], and we extend many of their results to singular surfaces.

The Chow group of a singular surface X is defined as follows. Choose a closed  $Y \subset X$  containing the singular locus of X but no irreducible component of X, and let  $Z^2(X, Y)$  be the free abelian group on the set of codimension 2 points of X - Y. For each closed curve T in X missing Y, and every rational function f on T, the divisor (f) should equal 0 in  $CH^2(X)$ . If dim Y = 0,  $CH^2(X) = CH^2(X, Y)$  is the quotient of  $Z^2(X, Y)$  by the subgroup spanned by these divisors; it is independent of Y because by [PW1, 2.2] it is isomorphic to  $SK_0(X)$ , the subgroup of  $K_0(X)$  consisting of elements of rank 0 and determinant 1. If dim Y = 1 we form  $CH^2(X) = CH^2(X, Y)$  by adding the extra relations that (f) = 0 for every closed curve T on X which is locally cut out by a nonzerodivisor and every  $f \in k(T)$  such that the support of (f) misses  $T \cap Y$ ; this group is also independent of Y, because by [LW] we have  $CH^2(X, Y) \cong SK_0(X)$ .

If X is a surface and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  denotes the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto K_2(U)$ , there is a well known isomorphism, called "Bloch's Formula":

(0.1) 
$$CH^2(X) \cong SK_0(X) \cong H^2_{zar}(X, \mathcal{K}_2).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> member of GNSAGA of CNR, partially supported by ECC Science Plan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> partially supported by NSF grants

It was discovered by Bloch [B1] for smooth quasiprojective surfaces, extended to all smooth varieties by Quillen [Q], and to singular surfaces by Levine [L1]; see also [PW1, 8.9]. For regular surfaces, (0.1) also follows from the Brown-Gersten spectral sequence [BG]. For general 2-dimensional noetherian schemes, (0.1) follows from Thomason's generalization [TT, 10.3] of the Brown-Gersten spectral sequence.

Our results relate  $CH^2(X)$  to the Zariski cohomology of a certain sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^2$  on X. To define it, fix an integer n such that  $\frac{1}{n} \in k$ , let  $\mu_n$  denote the étale sheaf of  $n^{th}$  roots of unity, and set  $\mu_n^{\otimes 2} = \mu_n \otimes \mu_n$ . By definition,  $\mathcal{H}^2 = \mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n^{\otimes 2})$  is the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto H^2_{\text{et}}(U, \mu_n^{\otimes 2})$  of étale cohomology. Since this sheaf has exponent n, it is convenient to adopt the notation that G/n denotes G/nG and  ${}_nG$  denotes  $\{x \in G : nx = 0\}$  for any abelian group or sheaf G. Here is our first result.

THEOREM A. — Let X be a quasiprojective surface over a field k containing  $\frac{1}{n}$ . Then the Chern class  $c_{2,2} : K_2(U) \to H^2_{\text{et}}(U, \mu_n^{\otimes 2})$  induces an isomorphism :

 $CH^2(X)/n \cong H^2_{\mathbf{zar}}(X, \mathcal{K}_2)/n \cong H^2_{\mathbf{zar}}(X, \mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n^{\otimes 2}))$ 

This result was originally proven in the smooth case by Bloch and Ogus [BO], and generalized to the case of isolated singularities by Barbieri-Viale [BV1, 3.9]. We give a short proof of Theorem A in  $\S1$ , using the Nisnevich topology on X, a method suggested to us by R. Thomason.

After submitting this paper, which contained a second more technical proof of Theorem A in §2, we became aware of the following unpublished result of Ray Hoobler [Hoob] which, given Bloch's formula (0.1), immediately implies Theorem A.

HOOBLER'S THEOREM 0.2. — Let k be a field containing  $\frac{1}{n}$ .

1) If A is a semilocal ring, essentially of finite type over k, then the Chern class  $c_{22}: K_2(A) \to H^2_{\text{et}}(A, \mu_n^{\otimes 2})$  is an isomorphism.

2) If X is a quasiprojective scheme over k, there is an isomorphism of (Zariski) sheaves

$$c_{2,2}: \mathcal{K}_2/n \to \mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n^{\otimes 2}).$$

When X or A is smooth over k, this theorem is implicit in Merkurjev and Suslin's work [MS, §18]; see [B3, 3.3] [CT-R, p.168] and [PW2, 4.3]. When X is a singular curve, this theorem was proven in [PW2, 5.2].

Our original proof of Theorem A is therefore obsolete. As a favor to the reader, we have deleted it. It was the original  $\S 2$  of this paper.

The current §2 gives a short survey of the étale Chern classes  $c_{ij}$ . We also prove that the isomorphism in Theorem A lifts Grothendieck's Chern

class  $c_{2,4}: K_0(X) \to H^4_{et}(X, \mu_n^{\otimes 2})$  to  $SK_0(X)$  in the sense that  $c_{2,4}$  is the composite

$$SK_0(X) \longrightarrow SK_0(X)/n \cong H^2_{\operatorname{zar}}(X, \mathcal{H}^2) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^4_{\operatorname{et}}(X, \mu_n^{\otimes 2}),$$

 $\gamma$  being the edge map in the Leray spectral sequence for  $X_{\text{et}} \rightarrow X_{\text{zar}}$ . When X is smooth this proves that the "cycle map" considered in [CT-R] and [Sai,§5] is just  $c_{2,4}$ .

In §3 we consider the normalization  $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$  of X. Using Mayer-Vietoris sequences, we relate  $CH^2(X)/n$  to the Chow group  $CH^2(\tilde{X})/n$ . Let Y denote the singular locus of X, and set  $\tilde{Y} = \pi^{-1}(Y)$ , so that we have a cartesian square :



THEOREM B. — Assume that k contains  $\mu_n$  and  $\frac{1}{n}$ . Then there is an exact sequence for the sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^2 = \mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n^{\otimes 2})$ :

$$H^{1}(\widetilde{X},\mathcal{H}^{2})\oplus H^{1}(Y,\mathcal{H}^{2})\to H^{1}(\widetilde{Y},\mathcal{H}^{2})\to H^{2}(X,\mathcal{H}^{2})\to H^{2}(\widetilde{X},\mathcal{H}^{2})\to 0.$$

Using Theorem A and the two isomorphisms  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{H}^2) \cong SK_1(Y)/n$  and  $H^1(\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{H}^2) \cong SK_1(\tilde{Y})/n$  of [PW2, 5.1], we can restate Theorem B as follows.

COROLLARY C. — With n as in Theorem B, there is an exact sequence :

$$H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H}^2) \oplus SK_1(Y)/n \to SK_1(\tilde{Y})/n \to CH^2(X)/n \to CH^2(\tilde{X})/n \to 0.$$

In the Appendix, we indicate how much of Corollary C can be obtained from pure K-theoretic techniques, i.e., without resorting to  $\mathcal{H}^2$ .

In §4 we relate the *n*-torsion in the Chow group of  $\tilde{X}$  to the term  $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H}^2)$ appearing in Corollary C, as well as to the quotient  $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_2)$  of  $SK_1(\tilde{X})$ . When X is smooth, we know by [B3, 1.12][MS, 8.7.8(e)] that there is an exact sequence :

$$(0.3) \qquad 0 \to H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2)/n \to H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n^{\otimes 2})) \to {}_nCH^2(X) \to 0.$$

When X is a surface with isolated singularities, (0.3) needs to be modified because the subsheaf  ${}_{n}\mathcal{K}_{2}$  of *n*-torsion elements in  $\mathcal{K}_{2}$  has more complicated cohomology. Indeed, the vanishing of  $H^{2}(X, {}_{n}\mathcal{K}_{2})$  in the smooth case is the basis for the proof of (0.3) in [MS], but if X has isolated singularities we show in 4.2 that

$$H^{2}(X, {}_{n}\mathcal{K}_{2}) \cong H^{2}(X, \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mu_{n}^{\otimes 2})).$$

This group is just  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)/n$  when  $\mu_n \subset k$ , and we know that it can be nonzero for normal surfaces; see [PW1, 5.9]. We are able to prove the following generalization of (0.3) in §4. (Again, we have deleted those parts which Hoobler's Theorem makes obsolete.)

THEOREM D. — Let X be a quasiprojective surface over a field k containing  $\frac{1}{n}$ . Assume that X is normal, or more generally that  $\operatorname{Sing}(X)$  is finite. Then there is an exact sequence :

$$H^{0}(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}/n) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^{2}(X, {}_{n}\mathcal{K}_{2}) \to H^{1}(X, \mathcal{K}_{2})/n \to H^{1}(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}/n) \to {}_{n}CH^{2}(X) \to 0$$

*Remark.* Presumably the map  $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^2) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^1)$  in Theorem D is the differential in the Leray spectral sequence converging to  $H^*_{\text{et}}(X, \mu_n^{\otimes 2})$ . If so, and we write  $NH^3(X)$  for the kernel of  $H^3_{\text{et}}(X, \mu_n^{\otimes 2}) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^3)$ , then we may restate Theorem D as the following exact sequence, which generalizes part of the sequence of [Suslin, 4.4].

$$(0.4) 0 \to H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2)/n \to NH^3(X) \to {}_nCH^2(X) \to 0$$

COROLLARY E (Collino [C]). — Suppose that k is either an algebraically closed field, or the reals  $\mathbb{R}$ , or a local field. Let X be a surface having only isolated singularities. Then the n-torsion in  $CH^2(X)$  is finite for every n with  $\frac{1}{n} \in k$ .

Proof. Fix n and let k be any field such that  $H^i_{et}(k, M)$  is finite for constructible n-torsion sheaves M. Then each  $H^q_{et}(X, \mu_n^{\otimes i})$  is finite by [SGA4, XVI.5.1]. When X is a surface, the Leray spectral sequence  $H^p(X, \mathcal{H}^q) \Rightarrow H^*_{et}(X, \mu_n^{\otimes i})$  degenerates enough to show that the group  $H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n^{\otimes 2})) = H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2/n)$  is finite. Now apply Theorem D.

There is a "degree" map  $CH^2(X) \to \mathbb{Z}^c$ , where c denotes the number of irreducible proper components of X. The image  $\Lambda$  has finite index in  $\mathbb{Z}^c$ , and  $CH^2(X) \cong \Lambda \oplus A_0(X)$ , where  $A_0(X)$  is the group of zero cycles of relative "degree" zero. Therefore all of our divisibility results are actually statements about the divisibility of the subgroup  $A_0(X)$  of  $CH^2(X)$ .