

Astérisque

ANNE BAUVAL

Quantum group and Poisson-deformation of $SU(2)$

Astérisque, tome 232 (1995), p. 49-65

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1995__232__49_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Quantum group– and Poisson– deformation of $SU(2)$

Anne Bauval

Introduction

Woronowicz ([W1], [W2]) defined a family (in the set-theoretical sense) of quantum groups $(SU_\mu(2))_{\mu \in \mathbf{R}^+}$. (For $\mu = 1$, the C^* -algebra A_μ underlying $SU_\mu(2)$ is merely the algebra $C(SU(2))$ of continuous functions from the classical group $SU(2)$ into \mathbf{C}).

“Forgetting” the group structure of $SU(2)$, Sheu ([S1]) used the Weyl calculus to construct a continuous deformation of the Poisson structure of $C^\infty(SU(2))$, where the fibres are precisely the C^* -algebras A_μ .

Unifying these two points of view, we shall do the following :

- (§1) put Woronowicz’s $SU_\mu(2)$ ’s together into a continuous field of quantum groups,
- (§2) construct a deformation of Poisson- $SU(2)$ in the underlying continuous field of C^* -algebras A_μ ,
- (§3) prove that such a deformation is unique among deformations fulfilling suitable requirements,
- (§4) prove that Sheu’s deformation fulfills these requirements, and compare it in detail with our deformation.

Paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 will be achieved by working, as Sheu did, at the more elementary level of Poisson-deformations of the disc, which is a “slice” of $SU(2)$.

1 Continuous structure on the family of quantum groups $SU_\mu(2)$

Definition 1.1 ([W1], [W2]) *For any $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$, A_μ is the enveloping C^* -algebra of the involutive \mathbf{C} -algebra \mathcal{A}_μ defined by two generators α_μ, γ_μ and relations :*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha_\mu^* \alpha_\mu + \gamma_\mu^* \gamma_\mu = 1 & (1_\mu) \quad \gamma_\mu^* \gamma_\mu = \gamma_\mu \gamma_\mu^* \quad (3_\mu) \\ \alpha_\mu \alpha_\mu^* + \mu^2 \gamma_\mu^* \gamma_\mu = 1 & (2_\mu) \quad \alpha_\mu \gamma_\mu = \mu \gamma_\mu \alpha_\mu \quad (4_\mu) \\ & \alpha_\mu \gamma_\mu^* = \mu \gamma_\mu^* \alpha_\mu \quad (5_\mu) \end{array}$$

and if $\mu \neq 0$, the quantum group $SU_\mu(2)$ is defined by the unitary matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_\mu & -\mu \gamma_\mu^* \\ \gamma_\mu & \alpha_\mu^* \end{pmatrix}$$

In order to endow the family of these $SU_\mu(2)$'s with a structure of continuous field of quantum groups ([B1], [B2]), we just have to endow the family $(A_\mu)_{\mu \in \mathbf{R}^*}$ with a structure of continuous field of C^* -algebras in such a way that the sections $\mu \mapsto \alpha_\mu$, $\mu \mapsto \gamma_\mu$ are continuous. (We shall even do a little more : this field will also be defined at $\mu = 0$).

Definition 1.2 *A is the universal C^* -algebra defined by three generators α, γ, μ and relations :*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^* \alpha + \gamma^* \gamma &= 1 & (1) & \quad \gamma^* \gamma = \gamma \gamma^* & (3) \\ \alpha \alpha^* + \mu^2 \gamma^* \gamma &= 1 & (2) & \quad \alpha \gamma = \mu \gamma \alpha & (4) \\ & & & \quad \alpha \gamma^* = \mu \gamma^* \alpha & (5) \\ \mu &\text{ commutes with } \alpha, \gamma & (6) \\ -1 &\leq \mu \leq 1 & (7). \end{aligned}$$

A is the $$ -subalgebra generated by α, γ, μ .*

The restriction of the parameter μ to $[-1; 1]$ is harmless since for $\mu \neq 0$, there is an isomorphism of quantum groups (not only of C^* -algebras) between $SU_\mu(2)$ and $SU_{1/\mu}(2)$ (sending α_μ to $\alpha_{1/\mu}$ and γ_μ to $-\frac{1}{\mu} \gamma_{1/\mu}$) : using this isomorphism it is then easy to extend to \mathbf{R} the field on $[-1; 1]$ which we are going to construct.

Moreover, such a restriction of the parameter is necessary, otherwise the generator μ would not be bounded, hence the involutive algebra defined by these generators and relations would not have a C^* -envelope.

We shall construct a field of C^* -algebras over $[-1; 1]$, using the natural morphism from $C([-1; 1])$ into the center of A . (This morphism is given by relations (6) and (7)). By a slight generalization of the Dauns-Hofmann theorem, proved by Dupré and Gilette ([DG], proposition 1.3 and corollary 2.2) and quoted in [Ri], there is a unique upper semi-continuous field related to the $C([-1; 1])$ - C^* -algebra A in the following way.

Definition 1.3 *ξ is the upper semi-continuous field of C^* -algebras on $[-1; 1]$ such that :*

- *the fiber of ξ at x is A/xA (x denotes here both a point in $[-1; 1]$ and the ideal of functions in $C([-1; 1])$ vanishing at this point)*
- *the total space $\sqcup_{x \in [-1; 1]} A/xA$ of ξ is endowed with a topology such that the continuous sections of ξ are the sections of the form $x \mapsto a \bmod xA$, for any $a \in A$.*

Using the universal properties of A and of the A_μ 's, one easily proves the following relationship between our field ξ and Woronowicz's family $(A_\mu)_{\mu \in [-1; 1]}$.

Proposition 1.4 *For any $\mu \in [-1; 1]$, the fiber at μ of the field ξ is naturally isomorphic to the C^* -algebra A_μ . This family of isomorphisms identifies the two continuous sections of the field ξ associated to $\alpha, \gamma \in A$ with the two sections $\mu \mapsto \alpha_\mu, \mu \mapsto \gamma_\mu$ of the family $(A_\mu)_{\mu \in [-1; 1]}$.*

Before introducing another field ζ with more elementary fibers, and proving the (lower) continuity of both fields ξ and ζ , let us first get rid of the case $\mu \leq 0$: we shall prove that the study of $\xi_{[-1; 0]}$ may be reduced to the study of $\xi_{[0; 1]}$ (and conversely), by a property with "fractal" flavour.

Proposition 1.5 Let $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq 2$) be the canonical generators of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $i_\mu : A_{-\mu} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_\mu$ the morphism defined by :

$$i_\mu(\alpha_{-\mu}) = (\varepsilon_{1,2} + \varepsilon_{2,1}) \otimes \alpha_\mu, \quad i_\mu(\gamma_{-\mu}) = (\varepsilon_{1,1} - \varepsilon_{2,2}) \otimes \gamma_\mu.$$

For any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, i_μ is an embedding.

Proof. Let D be the subalgebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ generated by the two elements $P = \varepsilon_{1,1} \otimes \varepsilon_{1,1} + \varepsilon_{2,2} \otimes \varepsilon_{2,2}$ and $Q = \varepsilon_{1,2} \otimes \varepsilon_{1,2} + \varepsilon_{2,1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2,1}$ and similarly, D' the subalgebra generated by $P' = \varepsilon_{1,1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2,2} + \varepsilon_{2,2} \otimes \varepsilon_{1,1}$ and $Q' = \varepsilon_{1,2} \otimes \varepsilon_{2,1} + \varepsilon_{2,1} \otimes \varepsilon_{1,2}$. Let $\varphi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the morphism such that $\varphi(P) = \varphi(Q) = 1$. One easily checks that the image of $j = (\text{id}_{M_2(\mathbb{C})} \otimes i_{-\mu}) \circ i_\mu$ is included in $(D \oplus D') \otimes A_{-\mu}$ and that $((\varphi \otimes 0) \otimes \text{id}_{A_{-\mu}}) \circ j = \text{id}_{A_{-\mu}}$.

We shall now reduce the study of the A_μ 's (quantum $SU(2)$) to the study of more elementary C^* -algebras B_μ (quantum disc). Let us recall the two results which naturally led us to this reduction.

Theorem 1.6 ([W2] appendix 2) A_μ is isomorphic to A_0 , for any $\mu \in]-1, 1[$.

The isomorphism $T_\mu : A_\mu \xrightarrow{\sim} A_0$ was defined by Woronowicz as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu(\alpha_\mu) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\mu^2)\mu^{2n}}{\sqrt{1-\mu^{2n+2}} + \sqrt{1-\mu^{2n}}} \alpha_0^{*n} \alpha_0^{n+1} \\ \text{and } T_\mu(\gamma_\mu) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu^n \alpha_0^{*n} \gamma_0 \alpha_0^n \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.7 ([S1] proposition 1.1) Let

$$0 \longrightarrow C(D) \longrightarrow C(\overline{D}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} C(\mathbf{T}) \longrightarrow 0$$

be the exact sequence of the unit disc and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow C^*(\mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} C(\mathbf{T}) \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Toeplitz exact sequence. Set $B_1 = C(\overline{D})$ and $B_0 = C^*(\mathcal{S})$. For $\mu = 1$ or 0 , A_μ is isomorphic to the algebra of continuous functions $f : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow B_\mu$ such that $\sigma_\mu(f(u))$ does not depend on $u \in \mathbf{T}$.

For $\mu = 1$, the isomorphism consists in identifying $SU(2)$ with a family of discs $(D_u)_{u \in \mathbf{T}}$, glued together along their boundary circle :

$$(u, Z) \in \mathbf{T} \times \overline{D} \text{ is identified to } \begin{pmatrix} Z & -\overline{u}c \\ uc & \overline{Z} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } c = \sqrt{1 - |Z|^2}.$$

(This "slicing" of $SU(2)$ is compatible with the Poisson structure, cf §3 and 4).

For $\mu = 0$, let us recall the Toeplitz exact sequence. $C^*(\mathcal{S})$ is the C^* -algebra generated by the unilateral shift operator \mathcal{S} . $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}^*$ is equal to $1 - p$, p being a rank one projection. The closed ideal of $C^*(\mathcal{S})$ generated by p is the algebra \mathcal{K} of compact operators, and $C^*(\mathcal{S})/\mathcal{K}$ is isomorphic to $C(\mathbf{T})$, the isomorphism sending the unitary generator $(\mathcal{S} \bmod \mathcal{K}) \in C^*(\mathcal{S})/\mathcal{K}$ to $\text{id}_{\mathbf{T}}$.

In both cases $\mu = 1$ or 0 , the embedding $A_\mu \rightarrow C(\mathbf{T}, B_\mu)$ sends

$$\alpha_\mu \text{ to } (u \mapsto \overline{\alpha}_\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_\mu \text{ to } (u \mapsto u\overline{\gamma}_\mu), \quad \text{with}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\alpha}_1 &= (Z \mapsto Z), & \overline{\gamma}_1 &= (Z \mapsto \sqrt{1 - |Z|^2}) \\ \overline{\alpha}_0 &= \mathcal{S}^*, & \overline{\gamma}_0 &= p. \end{aligned}$$

Using the proof of theorem 1.6, one gets the following “generalization” of theorem 1.7 for free (we pass from the case $\mu = 0$ to the “more general” case $|\mu| < 1$ by a rather silly renaming ; the only nontrivial assertion of the following corollary is the first one, which justifies this renaming).

Corollary 1.8 *For $|\mu| < 1$, let us denote by*

- $\bar{\alpha}_\mu, \bar{\gamma}_\mu$ *the elements of $C^*(\mathcal{S})$ defined as series in $\bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\gamma}_0$ by the same formulas as in theorem 1.6, where $T_\mu(\alpha_\mu), T_\mu(\gamma_\mu)$ were defined as series in α_0, γ_0*
- B_μ *the involutive subalgebra generated by $\bar{\alpha}_\mu, \bar{\gamma}_\mu$ and*
- B_μ *its closure in $C^*(\mathcal{S})$.*

For $|\mu| < 1$, B_μ is equal to $C^(\mathcal{S})$ and the closed ideal of B_μ generated by $\bar{\gamma}_\mu$ is \mathcal{K} . Moreover, for $-1 < \mu \leq 1$, there is a morphism $\sigma_\mu : B_\mu \rightarrow C(\mathbf{T})$ such that $\sigma_\mu(\bar{\alpha}_\mu^*) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{T}}$ and such that the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow B_\mu \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} C(\mathbf{T}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, and A_μ is isomorphic to the algebra of continuous functions $f : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow B_\mu$ such that $\sigma_\mu(f(u))$ does not depend on $u \in \mathbf{T}$.

Remark. For $\mu = 1$, the morphism $\sigma_1 : C(\overline{D}) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{T})$ which we are choosing is not the mere restriction but (in order to make the notations fit together) $\sigma_1(f)(v) = f(\bar{v})$. This remark will be important in lemma 4.5.

Since $\bar{\gamma}_0 = p \geq 0$, the definition of $\bar{\gamma}_\mu$ as a series makes it self adjoint. If $\mu \geq 0$ we even get : $\bar{\gamma}_\mu \geq 0$, hence (under the identification of A_μ given above) $|\gamma_\mu| = |(u \mapsto u\bar{\gamma}_\mu)| = (u \mapsto \bar{\gamma}_\mu)$. Using this fact and the universal property of A_μ , one easily proves the following proposition.

Proposition 1.9 *For $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, B_μ is isomorphic to the universal C^* -algebra defined by generators $\bar{\alpha}_\mu, \bar{\gamma}_\mu$ and relations :*

the relations $(1_\mu)-(5_\mu)$ (cf definition 1.1)

the additional relation : $\bar{\gamma}_\mu \geq 0$.

Remark. Instead of adding a relation and looking at B_μ as a quotient of A_μ , one may also prove (but this will not be used) that B_μ is isomorphic to the C^* -subalgebra of A_μ generated by α_μ , and characterize B_μ as the universal C^* -algebra defined by one generator α_μ and one relation (deduced from relations (1_μ) and (2_μ) by eliminating γ_μ) ([NN]).

Paraphrasing definitions 1.2 and 1.3 and proposition 1.4, we can now define the field of B_μ 's.