

Astérisque

YAKOV BERKOVICH

Non-solvable groups with a large fraction of involutions

Astérisque, tome 258 (1999), p. 241-248

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1999__258__241_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1999, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

NON-SOLVABLE GROUPS WITH A LARGE FRACTION OF INVOLUTIONS

by

Yakov Berkovich

Abstract. — In this note we classify the non-solvable finite groups G such that the class number of G is at least $|G|/16$. Some consequences are derived as well.

C.T.C. Wall classified all finite groups in which the fraction of involutions exceeds $1/2$ (see [1], Theorem 11.24). In this paper we classify all non-solvable finite groups in which the fraction of involutions is not less than $1/4$.

We recall some notation.

Let $k(G)$ be the class number of G . Let $i(G)$ denote the number of all involutions of G , $T(G) = \sum \chi(1)$ where χ runs over the set $\text{Irr}(G)$. Now

$$\text{mc}(G) = k(G)/|G|, \quad f(G) = T(G)/|G|, \quad i_o(G) = i(G)/|G|.$$

It is well-known (see [1], chapter 11) that

$$i(G) < T(G), \quad i_o(G) < f(G), \quad f(G)^2 \leq \text{mc}(G)$$

(with equality if and only if G is abelian).

In this note we prove the following three theorems.

Theorem 1. — *Let G be a non-solvable group.*

If $\text{mc}(G) \geq 1/16$ then $G = G'Z(G)$, where G' is the commutator subgroup of G , $Z(G)$ is the centre of G , $G' \in \{PSL(2, 5), SL(2, 5)\}$.

Theorem 2. — *Let G be a non-solvable group.*

If $f(G) \geq 1/4$ then $G = G'Z(G)$ and $G' \in \{PSL(2, 5), SL(2, 5)\}$.

Theorem 3. — *Let G be a non-solvable group.*

Then $i_o(G) \geq 1/4$ if and only if $G = PSL(2, 5) \times E$ with $\exp E \leq 2$.

Lemma 1 contains some well-known results.

Lemma 1

(a) *If G is simple and a non-linear $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is such that $\chi(1) < 4$, then $\chi(1) = 3$ and $G \in \{PSL(2, 5), PSL(2, 7)\}$; see [2].*

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. — Primary 20C15, 20D05, 20E34.

Key words and phrases. — Non-solvable finite groups, class number.

(b) (Isaacs; see [1], Theorem 14.19). If G is non-solvable, then $|cdG| \geq 4$; here $cdG = \{\chi(1) | \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)\}$.

(c) (see, for example, [1], Chapter 11). If G is non-abelian then

$$mc(G) \leq 5/8, f(G) \leq 3/4.$$

Lemma 2. — Let $G = G' > 1$, $d \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. If $mc(G) \geq (1/d)^2$ then there exists a non-linear $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $\chi(1) < d$.

Proof. — Suppose that G is a counterexample. Then by virtue of Lemma 1(b) one has

$$\begin{aligned} |G| &= \sum_{\chi} \chi(1)^2 \geq 1 + d^2(k(G) - 3) + (d+1)^2 + (d+2)^2 \\ &\geq 1 + d^2\left(\frac{|G|}{d^2} - 3\right) + 2d^2 + 6d + 5 = |G| - d^2 + 6d + 6 > |G| \end{aligned}$$

since $d \in \{4, 5, 6\}$, — a contradiction (here χ runs over the set $\text{Irr}(G)$).

Lemma 3 contains the complete classification of all groups G satisfying $i_o(G) = 1/4$.

Lemma 3. — If $i_o(G) = 1/4$ then one and only one of the following assertions holds:

- (a) $G \cong A_4$, the alternating group of degree 4.
- (b) $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$.
- (c) G is a Frobenius group with kernel of index 4.
- (d) G is a non-cyclic abelian group of order 12.
- (e) G contains a normal subgroup R of order 3 such that $G/R \cong S_3 \times S_3$; if x is an involution in G then $|C_G(x)| = 12$ (here S_3 is the symmetric group of degree 3).

Proof. — By the assumption $|G|$ is even. $i(G)$ is therefore odd by the Sylow Theorem and $|G| = 4i(G)$, $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ has order 4.

(i) Suppose that G has no a normal 2-complement. Then P is abelian of type $(2, 2)$ and by the Frobenius normal p -complement Theorem G contains a minimal non-nilpotent subgroup $F = C(3^a) \cdot P$ (here $C(m)$ is a cyclic group of order m and $A \cdot B$ is a semi-direct product of A and B with kernel B). Since all involutions are conjugate in F , all involutions are conjugate in G . Hence $C_G(x) = P$ for $x \in P^\# = P - \{1\}$, $a = 1$. If G is simple then by the Brauer-Suzuki-Wall Theorem (see [1], Theorem 5.20) one has

$$|G| = (2^2 - 1)2^2(2^2 + 1) = 60.$$

Now we assume that G is not simple. Take H , a non-trivial normal subgroup of G . If $|G : H|$ is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} i(G) &= i(H), i_o(H) = i(H)/|H| = i(G)/|H| = \\ &|G|i_o(G)/|H| = |G : H|i_o(G) = |G : H|/4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $|G : H| = 3$ and $i_o(H) = 3/4$. Now $f(H) > i_o(H)$, hence H is abelian (Lemma 1(c)) and $f(H) = 1$. It is easy to see that H is an elementary abelian 2-group, $H = P$. Now $|P| = 4$ implies $|G| = 12$, $F = G \cong A_4$.

Now suppose that H has even index. Since G is not 2-nilpotent (= has no a normal 2-complement) then $|H|$ is odd. In view of $|C_G(x)| = 4$ for $x \in P^\#$ one obtains that PH is a Frobenius group with kernel H , P is cyclic — a contradiction.

(ii) G has a normal 2-complement K .

First assume that P is cyclic. Then all involutions are conjugate in G , and for the involution $x \in P$ one has $C_G(x) = P$. Then G is a Frobenius group with kernel K of index 4.

Assume that $P = \langle \alpha \rangle \times \langle \beta \rangle$ is not cyclic. We have $P = \{1, \alpha, \beta, \alpha\beta\}$, and all elements from $P^\#$ are not pairwise conjugate in G . Thus

$$|G : C_G(\alpha)| + |G : C_G(\beta)| + |G : C_G(\alpha\beta)| = i(G) = |G : P|.$$

Note that $C_G(\alpha) = P \cdot C_K(\alpha)$, and similarly for β and $\alpha\beta$. Therefore

$$(1) \quad |C_K(\alpha)|^{-1} + |C_K(\beta)|^{-1} + |C_K(\alpha\beta)|^{-1} = 1.$$

Since $|K| > 1$ is odd then (1) implies

$$(2) \quad |C_K(\alpha)| = |C_K(\beta)| = |C_K(\alpha\beta)| = 3.$$

By the Brauer Formula (see [1], Theorem 15.47) one has

$$(3) \quad |K||C_K(P)|^2 = |C_K(\alpha)||C_K(\beta)||C_K(\alpha\beta)| = 3^3.$$

If $C_K(P) > 1$ then (3) implies $|K| = 3$ and $G = P \times K$ is an abelian non-cyclic group of order 12.

Assume $C_K(P) = 1$. Then $|K| = 3^3$. Now (2) implies that K is not cyclic. By analogy, (2) implies that $\exp K = 3$. From $\exp P = 2$ follows that G is supersolvable. Therefore R , a minimal normal subgroup of G , has order 3. Applying the Brauer Formula to G/R , one obtains $G/R \cong S_3 \times S_3$, and we obtain group (e).

Proof of Theorem 1. — Denote by $S = S(G)$ the maximal normal solvable subgroup of G .

(i) If G is non-abelian simple then $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$.

Proof. — Take $d = 4$ in Lemma 2. Then there exists $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with $\chi(1) = 3$. Now Lemma 1(a) implies $G \in \{\text{PSL}(2, 5), \text{PSL}(2, 7)\}$. Since

$$\text{mc}(\text{PSL}(2, 7)) = 1/28 < 1/16$$

then $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$ (note that $\text{mc}(\text{PSL}(2, 5)) = 1/12$).

(ii) If G is semi-simple then $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$.

Proof. — Take in G a minimal normal subgroup D . Then $D = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_s$ where the D_i 's are isomorphic non-abelian simple groups. Since (see [1], Chapter 11) $\text{mc}(D_1) \geq \text{mc}(G) \geq 1/16$, $D \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$ by (i) and so $\text{mc}(D_1) = 1/12$. Now

$$\text{mc}(D) = \text{mc}(D_1)^s = (1/12)^s \geq 1/16$$

implies that $s = 1$. Therefore $D \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$. Since $G/C_G(D)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut } D \cong S_5$, $\text{mc}(S_5) = 7/120 < 1/16$, then $G/C_G(D) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$. Because $D \cap C_G(D) = 1$, $G = D \times C_G(D)$. Now

$$1/16 \leq \text{mc}(G) = \text{mc}(C_G(D))\text{mc}(D) = (1/12)\text{mc}(C_G(D))$$

implies that $\text{mc}(C_G(D)) \geq 3/4 > 5/8$, $C_G(D)$ is abelian (Lemma 1(c)), $C_G(D) = 1$ (since G is semi-simple), and $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$.

(iii) $G/S \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$.

This follows from $\text{mc}(G/S) \geq \text{mc}(G)$ (P.Gallagher; see [1], Theorem 7.46) and (ii).

(iv) If $G = G'$ then $G \in \text{PSL}(2, 5), \text{SL}(2, 5)\}$.

Proof. — By virtue of (iii) we may assume that $S > 1$.

Suppose that (iv) is true for all proper epimorphic images of G . Take in S a minimal normal subgroup R of G , and put $|R| = p^n$. Then by the Gallagher Theorem and induction one has $G/R \in \{\text{PSL}(2, 5), \text{SL}(2, 5)\}$.

(liv) $G/R \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$, i.e. $R = S$.

If $Z(G) > 1$ then $R = Z(G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the Schur multiplier of G/R so $|R| = 2$ and $G \cong \text{SL}(2, 5)$ (Schur). In the sequel we suppose that $Z(G) = 1$.

Then $C_G(R) = R$, so $n > 1$. If $x \in R^\#$ then $|G : C_G(x)| \geq 5$, since index of any proper subgroup of $\text{PSL}(2, 5)$ is at least 5. Let $k_G(M)$ denote the number of conjugacy classes of G ($= G$ -classes), containing elements from M . Then

$$k_G(R) \leq 1 + |R^\#|/5 = (p^n + 4)/5.$$

If $x \in G - R$ then $Z(G) = 1$, and the structure of G/R imply $|G : C_G(x)| \geq 12p$ (indeed, x does not centralize R and $|G/R : C_{G/R}(xR)| \geq 12$). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} k_G(G - R) &= k(G) - k_G(R) = |G|\text{mc}(G) - k_G(R) \geq \\ &60p^n/16 - (p^n + 4)/5 = (71p^n - 16)/20. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad &|G - R| = 59p^n \geq 12pk_G(G - R) \geq 12p(71p^n - 16)/20, \\ (2) \quad &5 \times 59p^{n-1} = 295p^{n-1} \geq 213p^n - 48 \geq 426p^{n-1} - 48 \Rightarrow 131p^{n-1} \leq 48, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

(2iv) $G/R \cong \text{SL}(2, 5)$.

Proof. — Suppose that $R_1 \neq R$ is a minimal normal subgroup of G . Then (by induction)

$$RR_1 = R \times R_1 = S, \quad |R_1| = 2, \quad G/R_1 \cong \text{SL}(2, 5)$$

and $G' < G$, since the multiplier of $\text{SL}(2, 5)$ is trivial, a contradiction. Therefore R is a unique minimal normal subgroup of G . Similarly, one obtains $Z(G) = 1$.

Let $p > 2$. Then $C_G(R) = R$. In this case $Z(S) < R$, so $Z(S) = 1$ and S is a Frobenius group with kernel R of index 2. As in (liv) one has

$$k_G(S) = k_G(S - R) + k_G(R) \leq 1 + (p^n + 4)/5 = (p^n + 9)/5.$$

If $x \in G - S$ then $|G : C_G(x)| \geq 12p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} k_G(G - S) &= k(G) - k_G(S) = |G|\text{mc}(G) - k_G(S) \geq \\ &120p^n/16 - (p^n + 9)/5 = (73p^n - 18)/10, \\ |G - S| &= 118p^n \geq 12pk_G(G - S) \geq 6p(73p^n - 18)/5, \\ 295p^{n-1} &\geq 219p^n - 54 \geq 657p^{n-1} - 54, \\ &54 \geq 362p^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$