Astérisque

## AMNON BESSER Sets of integers with large trigonometric sums

Astérisque, tome 258 (1999), p. 35-76

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST\_1999\_258\_35\_0>

© Société mathématique de France, 1999, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (http://smf4.emath.fr/ Publications/Asterisque/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ Astérisque 258, 1999, p. 35-76

## SETS OF INTEGERS WITH LARGE TRIGONOMETRIC SUMS

by

Amnon Besser

Abstract. — We investigate the problem of optimizing, for a fixed integer k and real u and on all sets  $K = \{a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , the measure of the set of  $\alpha \in [0,1]$  where the absolute value of the trigonometric sum  $S_K(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^k e^{2\pi i \alpha a_j}$  is greater than k - u. When u is sufficiently small with respect to k we are able to construct a set  $K_{ex}$  which is very close to optimal. This set is a union of a finite number of arithmetic progressions. We are able to show that any more optimal set, if one exists, has a similar structure to that of  $K_{ex}$ . We also get tight upper and lower bounds on the maximal measure.

## 1. Introduction

Let k be a positive integer and u < k a positive real. For a set

$$K = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k\}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \le j \le k,$$

$$S_K(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^k e^{2\pi i \alpha a_j}, \quad s_K(\alpha) = |S_K(\alpha)|,$$
$$E_{K,u} = \{\alpha \in [0,1): \quad s_K(\alpha) \ge k - u\}$$

and

$$\mu_K(u) = \mu(E_{K,u}),$$

where  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on [0, 1] normalized so  $\mu([0, 1]) = 1$ .

This work deals with the following problem, first raised at the talk of Freiman and Yudin at the Number Theory Conference (Vladimir, 1968):

**Problem 1.** — Find the set K which maximizes  $\mu_K(u)$  and find the maximal value.

**<sup>1991</sup>** Mathematics Subject Classification. — Primary 11L03; Secondary 42A05. Key words and phrases. — Trigonometric sums.

We denote by  $\mu_{\max}(k, u)$  the supremum of  $\mu_K(u)$  on all sets K of size k. The first results on this problem were obtained by Freiman and Yudin:

**Theorem 1** (Freiman, [2, page 144]). — For u = 1,  $a_1 = 0$  and  $a_k < 0.05k^{3/2}$ , the maximal measure is

$$\mu_{\max}(k,u) = rac{2\sqrt{6}}{\pi}k^{-3/2} + O(k^{-2})$$

and it is attained by K if and only if K is an arithmetic progression.

**Theorem 2** (Yudin, [4]). — For u = o(k)

$$\mu_{\max}(k, u) = \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{u}{k}\right)^{1/2} (1 + o(1))$$

as  $k \longrightarrow \infty$ .

In [1] Freiman treated the problem assuming the ratio u/k is small enough. He sketched an approach for attacking the problem and conjectured it would prove that the best set is an arithmetic progression.

The purpose of this work is to carry out and extend Freiman's approach (and also that of [4]). It will turn out that once u is sufficiently large it is no longer true that an arithmetic progression attains  $\mu_{\max}(k, u)$ . We are unable to find a set which does. Nevertheless, we do describe to some extent the "structure" of the maximal set. To make precise what this means, we will introduce and use the following terminology

**Definition 1.1.** — Let k and u be as above.

- 1. For any  $\psi \in [0,1]$  we let  $\mathbb{K}_{\psi}$  be the collection of all sets  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$  of size k that satisfy  $\mu_K(u) \geq \psi$ .
- 2. A collection  $\mathbb{K}$  of sets is said to be "good for  $\psi$ ", or to be a  $G_{\psi}$  collection, if it satisfies the following two properties:
  - (a) We have  $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{K}_{\psi}$ ,
  - (b) For any set  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$  of size k there exists a set  $K' \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $\mu_K(u) \leq \mu_{K'}(u)$ .

Our main results are of three types. We are able to describe the "structure" of sets in  $\mathbb{K}_{\psi}$  for a  $\psi$  which is very close to maximal. In addition we construct a certain subcollection of this which has property  $G_{\psi}$ . The subclass we describe is not a singleton but it does have a rather simple structure: it is essentially the union of arithmetic progressions, and we have a fairly accurate information about the location and length of all these sequences. Lastly, we get a good bound on  $\mu_{\max}(k, u)$ .

The type of results we get is dictated by our method of proof, which could be describe as an iteration of four steps.

- 1. We first guess a set K expected to have a large  $\mu_K(u)$ . We will take  $\psi = \mu_K(u)$ .
- 2. We get information about sets  $K_1$  that have an even higher  $\mu_{K_1}(u)$ . Typically this information consists of knowledge that most elements are contained inside arithmetic progressions of relatively short length. This is what we mean by describing the "structure" of  $\mathbb{K}_{\psi}$ .

- 3. Given a set  $K_1 \in \mathbb{K}_{\psi}$ , we give a procedure for obtaining out of  $K_1$  a set  $K_2$  such that  $\mu_{K_2}(u) \geq \mu_{K_1}(u)$ . The procedure usually involves compressing the elements contained in the short progressions described above to form short progressions, possibly with a single gap. Sets obtained in this way will form a subclass  $\mathbb{K}$  that has property  $G_{\psi}$  by construction.
- 4. We use the knowledge of  $\mathbb{K}_{\psi}$  to get an improved bound on  $\mu_{\max}(k, u)$ .

Our results apply under the assumptions  $k/30000 \ge u > 1$  and  $k \ge \text{Const}$ , with Const an unspecified constant. We note that this second assumption is only forced on us because we are using lemma 5.2 which is ineffective. If an effective bound is supplied for that lemma, it will be very easy to deduce an effective lower bound on k as well.

We state a simplified version of the results here under the additional assumption  $u > k^{2/3}$ . With these assumptions, it follows (proposition 3.1) that for an arithmetic progression K of difference 1 and length k there exists some  $\beta_{k,u}$  (definition 3.2) such that

$$E_{K,u} = [-\beta_{k,u}, \beta_{k,u}] \pmod{1}.$$

We will see in proposition 3.4 that

$$\beta_{k,u}\approx \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi}\frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{u}{k}\right)^{1/2}$$

We describe a certain basic set  $K_{ex}$  (a more precise description will be given in construction 6.1). Set  $m_0 = k - 5u/12$  and  $\beta = \beta_{m_0,u}$ . To first order,  $\beta_{m_0,u} \approx \beta_{k,u}(1 + 5u/8k)$ . The set  $K_{ex}$  is the union of an arithmetic progression of length  $m_0$ , symmetric around 0, and for any non zero integer n an arithmetic progression of length

$$\frac{1}{2}m_n = \frac{u}{(\pi n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{2}\right),\,$$

centered around  $\frac{n}{\beta}$ . All the arithmetic progressions here have difference 1. The structure of  $K_{ex}$  and the particular values of the  $m_n$  are chosen in such a way that the contributions of the shorter progressions to  $S_{K_{ex}}(\alpha)$  exactly compensates for the decline of the contribution of the large progression when  $|\alpha| > \beta/2$ . We show in proposition 6.12 that  $\mu_{K_{ex}}(u) \approx 2\beta$ . The results are now as follows.

1.

$$\mu_{\max}(k, u) = 2\beta_{m_0, u} \left( 1 + O\left( \left( \frac{u}{k} \right)^2 \right) \right)$$

A set K ∈ K<sub>μKex</sub> (u) has the following structure (similar to that of Kex).
 (a) All but

$$\frac{5}{12}u + O\left(\frac{u^2}{k}\right)$$

elements of K are contained in a short arithmetic progression of length  $1/4\beta$ . To state the other results we will assume that this progression is

symmetric around 0 and its difference is 1. The general case is essentially the same by translation and dilation which change nothing.

(b) Most other elements are contained in a union of short arithmetic progressions with centres near <sup>n</sup>/<sub>β</sub> and -<sup>n</sup>/<sub>β</sub> for n ∈ N. each such short progression has length 2k at the most. The number of elements contained in progressions near ±<sup>n</sup>/<sub>β</sub> is

$$m_n + O\left(\frac{u^2}{k}\right).$$

- (c) The number of elements not contained in any of the progressions above is  $O((u/k)^{1/2}u)$ .
- 3. The following subclass of  $\mathbb{K}_{\mu_{K_{ex}}(u)}$  is of type  $G_{\mu_{K_{ex}}(u)}$ . It consists of the sets where all the elements *contained* in the progression described in (a) above in fact form an arithmetic progression except that one gap may persist.

All O terms can and will be made explicit although no claim for best bounds is made.

Here is a brief summary of the contents of this paper. In section 3 we estimate  $\mu(E_{K,u})$  in the case where K is an arithmetic progression, and we prove the lower bound:

$$\mu(E_{K,u}) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{u}{k}\right)^{1/2}$$

for such a progression. In section 4 we prove the upper bound

$$\mu(E_{K,u}) \le rac{d}{k} \left(rac{u}{k}
ight)^{1/2}$$

holds for an explicitly given  $d \approx 4$  and all sets K under some mild restrictions on k and u. In section 5 we consider a set

$$K \in \mathbb{K}_{\psi}, \quad \psi = rac{2\sqrt{6}}{\pi} rac{1}{k} \left(rac{u}{k}
ight)^{1/2}$$

We show that this implies that  $E_{K,u}$  is contained in a union of small intervals and that K has most of its elements contained in an arithmetic progression of short length. We then perform the first of our "compression arguments" mentioned above and construct a  $G_{\psi}$  subclass consisting of the sets where these elements form an arithmetic progression with at most one gap. The construction of  $K_{ex}$  is described in section 6. In sections 7 to 9 we describe the structure of  $\mathbb{K}_{\mu_{K_{ex}}(u)}$  and also describe a  $G_{\mu_{K_{ex}}(u)}$  subclass.

Some of the results of this paper appeared in [1]. We follow [1] very closely in sections 3 to 5. We note that the argument in [1, p. 368] may be completed to give the result that, the part of K not in an arithmetic progression is bounded in size by cu with  $c \rightarrow 1/(2-4/\pi)$  as  $u/k \rightarrow 0$ . This result is improved here to  $c \approx 5/12$ .

It is a great pleasure to thank Prof. Freiman for the help and fruitful discussions during the preparation of this work. I would also like to thank the referee for making many valuable remarks.