

317

ASTÉRISQUE

2008

SÉMINAIRE BOURBAKI  
VOLUME 2006/2007  
EXPOSÉS 967-981

(978) *Entropy and semi-classics*

Yves COLIN de VERDIÈRE

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Publié avec le concours du CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

**SEMI-CLASSICAL MEASURES AND ENTROPY**  
[after Nalini Anantharaman and Stéphane Nonnenmacher]

by Yves COLIN de VERDIÈRE

## INTRODUCTION

This report is about recent progress on semi-classical localization of eigenfunctions for quantum systems whose classical limit is hyperbolic (Anosov systems); the main example is the Laplace operator on a compact Riemannian manifold with strictly negative curvature whose classical limit is the geodesic flow; the quantizations of hyperbolic cat maps, called “quantum cat maps”, are other nice examples. All this is part of the field called “quantum chaos”. The new results are:

- Examples of eigenfunctions for the cat maps with a strong localization (“scarring”) effect due to S. de Bièvre, F. Faure and S. Nonnenmacher [17, 16].
- Uniform distribution of Hecke eigenfunctions in the case of arithmetic Riemann surfaces by E. Lindenstrauss [26].
- General lower bounds on the entropy of semi-classical measures due to N. Anantharaman [1] and improved by N. Anantharaman–S. Nonnenmacher [3] and N. Anantharaman–H. Koch–S. Nonnenmacher [2]. This lower bound is sharp with respect to the cat maps examples.

We will mainly focus on this last result.

## 1. THE 2 BASIC EXAMPLES

### 1.1. Cat maps

We start with a matrix  $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  which is assumed to be hyperbolic: the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\pm}$  of  $A$  satisfy  $0 < |\lambda_-| < 1 < |\lambda_+|$ . The action of  $A$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defines a symplectic action  $U$  of  $A$  on the torus  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  by considering action on points mod  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

Such a map is a simple example of a chaotic map. It has been observed since a long time that such a map can be quantized: for each integer  $N$ , we consider the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_N$  of dimension  $N$  of Schwartz distributions  $f$  which are periodic of period one and of which Fourier coefficients are periodic of period  $N$ : if  $f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{2\pi i k x}$ , we have, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a_{k+N} = a_k$ . Using the metaplectic representation applied to  $A$ , we get a natural unitary action  $\hat{U}_N$  onto the space  $\mathcal{H}_N$ . We are mainly interested in the eigenfunctions of  $\hat{U}_N$ . The semi-classical parameter is  $\hbar = 1/N$  and the classical limit corresponds to large values of  $N$ . A good reference is [8].

## 1.2. The Laplace operators

On a smooth compact connected Riemannian manifold  $(X, g)$  without boundary, we consider the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  given in local coordinates by

$$\Delta = -|g|^{-1} \partial_i g^{ij} |g| \partial_j$$

with  $|g| = \det(g_{ij})$ . The Laplace operator  $\Delta$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $L^2(X)$  with domain the smooth functions and has a compact resolvent. The spectrum is discrete and denoted by

$$0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots$$

with an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions  $\varphi_k$  satisfying  $\Delta \varphi_k = \lambda_k \varphi_k$ . It is useful to introduce an effective Planck constant (the semi-classical small parameter)  $\hbar := \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . We will rewrite the eigenfunction equation  $\hbar^2 \Delta \varphi = \varphi$ . The semi-classical limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  corresponds to the high frequency limit for the periodic solutions  $u(x, t) = \exp(i\sqrt{\lambda_k} t) \varphi_k$  of the wave equation  $u_{tt} + \Delta u = 0$ . Instead of the wave evolution, we will use the Schrödinger evolution which is given by

$$\frac{\hbar}{i} u_t = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta u,$$

and introduce the unitary dynamics defined by the 1-parameter group

$$\hat{U}^t = \exp(-it\hbar\Delta/2), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For the basic definitions, one can read [5].

## 1.3. The geodesic flow

If  $(X, g)$  is a Riemannian manifold and  $v \in T_x X$  a tangent vector at the point  $x \in X$ , we define, for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G^t(x, v) = (y, w)$  as follows: if  $\gamma(t)$  is the geodesic which satisfies  $\gamma(0) = x$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$ , we put  $y := \gamma(t)$  and  $w := \dot{\gamma}(t)$ . By using the identification of the tangent bundle with the cotangent bundle induced by the metric  $g$  (which is also the Legendre transform of the Lagrangian  $\frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(x) v_i v_j$ ), we get a flow  $(G^t)^*$  on  $T^*X$  which preserves the unit cotangent bundle denoted by  $Z$ . We denote by  $U^t$  the restriction of  $(G^t)^*$  to  $Z$ . The *Liouville measure*  $dL$  on  $Z$  is the Riemannian

measure normalized as a probability measure. The Liouville measure  $dL$  is invariant by the geodesic flow.

## 2. CLASSICAL CHAOS

Good textbooks on the classical chaos are [21, 28, 10].

### 2.1. Classical Hamiltonian systems

We consider a closed phase space  $Z$  which is the torus  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  in the case of the cat map and the unit cotangent bundle in the case of the Laplace operator. On  $Z$ , we have the Liouville measure  $dL$  which is normalized as a probability measure. Moreover, we have a measure preserving smooth dynamics on  $Z$  which is the action of  $U$  in the cat map example and the geodesic flow in the Riemannian case. We will denote this action by  $U^t$  where  $t$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}$  or to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### 2.2. Ergodicity

DEFINITION 2.1. — *The dynamical system  $(Z, U^t, dL)$  is ergodic if every measurable set which is invariant by  $U^t$  is of measure 0 or 1.*

As a consequence, we get the celebrated *Birkhoff ergodic Theorem*:

THEOREM 2.2. — *If  $(Z, U^t, dL)$  is ergodic, for every  $f \in L^1(Z, dL)$  and almost every  $z \in Z$ :*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(U^t z) dt = \int_Z f dL .$$

The cat map is ergodic and the geodesic flow of every closed Riemannian manifold with  $< 0$  sectional curvature is ergodic too.

### 2.3. Mixing

A much stronger property is the *mixing property* which says that we have a correlation decay:

DEFINITION 2.3. — *The dynamical system  $U^t$  is mixing if for every  $f, g \in L^2(Z, dL)$  with  $\int_Z f dL = 0$ , we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_Z f(U^t(z))g(z)dL = 0 .$$

Cat maps as well as geodesic flows on manifolds with  $< 0$  curvature are mixing. Mixing systems are ergodic.

## 2.4. Liapounov exponent

Chaotic systems are often presented as (deterministic) dynamical systems which are very sensitive to initial conditions.

DEFINITION 2.4. — *The global Liapounov exponent  $\Lambda_+$  of the smooth dynamical system  $(Z, U^t)$  is defined as the lower bounds of the  $\Lambda$ 's for which the differential  $dU^t$  of the dynamics satisfies*

$$\|dU^t(z)\| = O(e^{\Lambda t}) ,$$

for  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , uniformly w.r. to  $z$ .

For cat maps given by  $A$ ,  $\Lambda_+ = \log |\lambda_+|$ . If  $X$  is a Riemannian manifold of sectional curvature  $-1$ ,  $\Lambda_+ = 1$ .

## 2.5. K-S entropy

Kolmogorov and Sinaï start from the work of Shannon in information theory in order to introduce an entropy  $h_{\text{KS}}(\mu)$  for a dynamical system with an invariant probability measure  $\mu$ . The definition of the entropy uses partitions of the phase space and how they are refined by the dynamics:

DEFINITION 2.5. — *If  $\mathcal{P} = \{\Omega_j | j = 1, \dots, N\}$  is a finite measurable partition of  $Z$ , we define the entropy  $h(\mathcal{P}) := -\sum \mu(\Omega_j) \log \mu(\Omega_j)$ .*

In terms of information theory, it is the average information you get by knowing in which of the  $\Omega_j$ 's the point  $z$  lies. Let  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee N}$  be the partition whose sets are

$$\Omega_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N} = \{z \in Z \text{ so that, for } l = 1, \dots, N + 1, U^{l-1}(z) \in \Omega_{j_l}\} .$$

If we define  $\mathcal{P}_1 \vee \mathcal{P}_2$  as the partition whose elements are the intersections of one element of the partition  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and one element of the partition  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , we get from the properties of the log function:

$$h(\mathcal{P}_1 \vee \mathcal{P}_2) \leq h(\mathcal{P}_1) + h(\mathcal{P}_2) .$$

Let us define  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}^{\vee n}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2 = U^{-n}(\mathcal{P}^{\vee m})$ . Using the *invariance*<sup>(1)</sup> of  $\mu$  by  $U$ , we get  $h(\mathcal{P}_2) = h(\mathcal{P}^{\vee m})$ . From  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee(n+m)} = \mathcal{P}_1 \vee \mathcal{P}_2$ , we get the *sub-additivity* of the sequence  $N \rightarrow h(\mathcal{P}^{\vee N})$ .

We define

$$h_{\text{KS}}(\mathcal{P}) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} h(\mathcal{P}^{\vee N})/N ,$$

and  $h_{\text{KS}}(\mu) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}} h_{\text{KS}}(\mathcal{P})$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> The invariance of  $\mu$  is used in a crucial way here and, as we will see, it is one of the problem we have to solve when passing to the quantum case.