

363-364

ASTÉRISQUE

2015

SÉMINAIRE BOURBAKI
VOLUME 2013/2014
EXPOSÉ N° 1077

Lou van den DRIES

Approximate Groups

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Publié avec le concours du CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

Comité de rédaction

Ahmed ABBES
Viviane BALADI
Laurent BERGER
Gérard BESSON
Philippe BIANE
Hélène ESNAULT

Damien GABORIAU
Michael HARRIS
Fabrice PLANCHON
Pierre SCHAPIRA
Bertrand TOËN

Éric VASSEROT (dir.)

Diffusion

Maison de la SMF
Case 916 - Luminy
13288 Marseille Cedex 9
France
smf@smf.univ-mrs.fr

Hindustan Book Agency
O-131, The Shopping Mall
Arjun Marg, DLF Phase 1
Gurgaon 122002, Haryana
Inde

AMS
P.O. Box 6248
Providence RI 02940
USA
www.ams.org

Tarifs

Vente au numéro : 90 € (\$ 135)

Abonnement Europe : 650 €, hors Europe : 689 € (\$ 1 033)

Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

Secrétariat : Nathalie Christiaën

Astérisque
Société Mathématique de France
Institut Henri Poincaré, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie
75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
Tél : (33) 01 44 27 67 99 • Fax : (33) 01 40 46 90 96
revues@smf.ens.fr • <http://smf.emath.fr/>

© Société Mathématique de France 2015

Tous droits réservés (article L 122-4 du Code de la propriété intellectuelle). Toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale ou partielle faite sans le consentement de l'éditeur est illicite. Cette représentation ou reproduction par quelque procédé que ce soit constituerait une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles L 335-2 et suivants du CPI.

ISSN 0303-1179

ISBN 978-2-85629-804-6

Directeur de la publication : Marc PEIGNÉ

APPROXIMATE GROUPS

[according to Hrushovski and Breuillard, Green, Tao]

by Lou van den DRIES

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout G is an ambient group. Let $X, Y \subseteq G$, and set

$$XY := \{xy : x \in X, y \in Y\}, \quad X^{-1} := \{x^{-1} : x \in X\},$$

$$X^0 := \{1\} \subseteq G, \quad X^1 := X, \quad X^2 := XX, \quad X^3 := XXX, \text{ and so on.}$$

Let $\langle X \rangle$ denote the subgroup of G generated by X . A *left coset* of X is a translate $gX \subseteq G$ (even if X is not a subgroup of G). We use the term *right coset* in the same way. Call X *symmetric* if $1 \in X$ and $X^{-1} = X$. Throughout, $m, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and K, L are real numbers ≥ 1 . Note that if X is symmetric, then $\langle X \rangle = \bigcup_n X^n$. When we say that Y is covered by K left (respectively, right) cosets of X we mean that there exists $E \subseteq G$ of cardinality $|E| \leq K$ such that $Y \subseteq EX$ (respectively, $Y \subseteq XE$).

Call X an *approximate group* (in G) if X is symmetric and X^2 can be covered by finitely many left cosets of X (equivalently, by finitely many right cosets of X). Of course, this notion is trivial for finite X . Any compact symmetric neighborhood of the identity in a locally compact group is clearly an approximate group. Call X a *K -approximate group* if X is symmetric and X^2 can be covered by K left cosets of X (equivalently, by K right cosets). This notion is of particular interest when X is finite. It is easy to check that 1-approximate groups in G are subgroups of G .

We think of K as small and fixed, and are interested in the structure of finite K -approximate groups X when its cardinality $|X|$ is large compared to K . On this we have the following result due to Breuillard, Green, Tao [2] and much of it conjectured by H. Helfgott and also by E. Lindenstrauss:

THEOREM 1.1. — *If $X \subseteq G$ is a finite K -approximate group, then there is a K^6 -approximate⁽¹⁾ group $Y \subseteq X^4$, such that:*

- (i) *X is covered by L left cosets of Y , where L depends only on K ;*
- (ii) *$\langle Y \rangle$ has a d -nilpotent subgroup of finite index, with $d \leq 3 \log_2 K$.*

Here a group H is called d -nilpotent ($d \in \mathbb{N}$) if H is generated by elements $u_1, \dots, u_d$ such that $[u_i, u_j] \in \langle u_1, \dots, u_{i-1} \rangle$ whenever $1 \leq i < j \leq d$, in particular, $u_1 \in Z(H)$; note that then H is nilpotent of class $\leq d$. We also call $u_1, \dots, u_d$ a *nilpotent base* of H if the above holds.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses Hrushovski’s modeling [13] of limits of finite K -approximate groups by compact neighborhoods of the identity in Lie groups. This may remind you of Gromov [8] on groups of polynomial growth, and among the applications of Theorem 1.1 are indeed strengthenings of Gromov’s result. These are derived in Section 3, which also includes a generalized “Margulis Lemma” conjectured by Gromov; for more on this, see the paper by Courtois [3] in this volume.

Theorem 1.1 says that finite K -approximate groups are largely controlled by nilpotent groups. A more detailed version of this theorem in [2] gives even tighter control by so-called *coset nilprogressions*, which generalize symmetric arithmetic progressions in $\mathbb{Z}$. This amounts to a qualitative generalization of earlier “inverse” theorems by Freiman and Ruzsa in *additive combinatorics*, the study of set addition in abelian groups; see Tao and Van Vu [23]. *Multiplicative combinatorics* is its extension to arbitrary groups, and we start with some basic facts from this subject in Section 2 after sketching the proof of Theorem 1.1. That theorem, however, is trivial for finite G (take $Y = X$), unlike the detailed main result in [2]. But its proof avoids the more complicated *local* group setting of [2]. How to bound L in (i) explicitly in terms of K is not known. Such explicit bounds are known for various natural classes of finite groups; see Helfgott [9, 10, 11].

Sketch of proof for Theorem 1.1

For fixed K , finite K -approximate groups $X_i \subseteq G_i$ as $|X_i| \rightarrow \infty$ behave roughly like their (logical) limits $X \subseteq G$ where X is now a *pseudofinite* K -approximate group and the model-theoretic structure (G, X) is *rich* in a certain logical sense. (See Section 4 for the logical notions involved.) The properties of the (pseudo)counting measure on G , normalized so that X has measure 1, lead by a fundamental result in [13] to a group morphism $\pi : \langle X \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ onto a locally compact group $\mathcal{G}$ with good properties such as $\ker(\pi) \subseteq X^4$.

⁽¹⁾ The K^6 -bound is not in [2]. The bounds in (i) and (ii) are more important.

Yamabe's theorem on approximating locally compact groups by Lie groups permits changing π to a group morphism $\rho : \langle Y \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ onto a connected Lie group $\mathcal{H}$ for some definable symmetric $Y \subseteq X^4$ such that $\ker(\rho) \subseteq Y$ and finitely many left cosets of Y cover X . (See Section 4 on “definability”.) Let H be the smallest definable subgroup of G containing Y . We use induction on $d := \dim \mathcal{H}$ to construct definable normal subgroups H_i of H such that

$$\{1\} = H_0 \subseteq H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq H_{2d+1} = H$$

and the quotient H_{i+1}/H_i is pseudofinite for even i , and pseudocyclic and central in H/H_i for odd i . (On general logical grounds and by a group theoretic lemma this gives a weak version of Theorem 1.1, with bounds depending only on K instead of the specific bounds K^6 and $3 \log_2 K$. The latter require additional steps.) To prepare for this induction we first use the “no small subgroups” property of Lie groups to shrink Y , without changing $\langle Y \rangle$ or H , so that the image of Y^2 in $\mathcal{H}$ contains no nontrivial subgroup of $\mathcal{H}$. Next, with $\mathbb{N}^* \supseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}^*$ in the role of $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, we define for $g \in G$ its exit norm (or escape norm) $|g| = |g|_Y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ by

$$|g| := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g^\nu \in Y \text{ for all } \nu \in \mathbb{N}^*, \\ 1/\nu & \text{if } \nu \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ is minimal with } g^\nu \notin Y. \end{cases}$$

Thus $0 \leq |g| \leq 1$, and $|g| < 1 \Leftrightarrow g \in Y$. The Lie group $\mathcal{H}$ is controlled near the identity by its Lie algebra via the exponential map, and this allows [2] to adapt arguments stemming from Gleason [6] to show that for some $C \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $g, h \in Y$ we have

$$|gh| \leq C \cdot (|g| + |h|), \quad |ghg^{-1}| \leq C|h|, \quad |[g, h]| \leq C \cdot |g| \cdot |h|.$$

This yields a definable normal subgroup of H , namely

$$H_1 := \{h \in H : |h| = 0\} = \{h \in H : h^\nu \in Y \text{ for all } \nu \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$$

with $H_1 \subseteq \ker(\rho) \subseteq Y$. If $d = 0$, then $H = H_1 = Y = \langle Y \rangle$ and we are done, so assume $d > 0$. Replacing H by H/H_1 and Y by its image in H/H_1 without changing $\mathcal{H}$, we arrange that $|h| > 0$ for all $h \neq 1$ in H . Since Y is pseudofinite, we have $u \in Y$ with minimal $|u| > 0$. Then $|u|$ is infinitesimal, and the bound on the exit norm of commutators $[g, h]$ yields that u lies in the center of H . Let $H_2 := u^{\mathbb{Z}^*}$ be the smallest definable subgroup of H containing u . Replacing H by H/H_2 and Y by its image in H/H_2 , we can replace $\mathcal{H}$ by the lower dimensional Lie group $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}_2$, where $\mathcal{H}_2$ is the closure of the central subgroup $\rho(H_2 \cap \langle Y \rangle)$ in $\mathcal{H}$. This decrease in dimension gives by induction the desired result.