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# OUTLINE OF THE PROOF OF THE GEOMETRIC LANGLANDS CONJECTURE FOR $G L_{2}$ 

by

Dennis Gaitsgory

To Gérard Laumon


#### Abstract

We outline a proof of the categorical geometric Langlands conjecture for $G L_{2}$, as formulated in [AG], modulo a number of more tractable statements that we call Quasi-Theorems.

Résumé (Les grandes lignes de la démonstration de la correspondance de Langlands géométrique pour $G L_{2}$ ). - On donne les grandes lignes d'une démonstration de la conjecture de Langlands géométrique catégorique pour $G L_{2}$, telle que formulée dans [AG], modulo un certain nombre d'énoncés davantage à portée que nous appelons des quasithéorèmes.


## Introduction

0.1. The goal of this paper. - The goal of this paper is to describe work-in-progress by D. Arinkin, V. Drinfeld and the author ${ }^{(1)}$ towards the proof of the (categorical) geometric Langlands conjecture.

The contents of the paper can be summarized as follows: we reduce the geometric Langlands conjecture to a combination of two sets of statements.

The first set is what we call "quasi-theorems". These are plausible (and tractable) statements that involve Langlands duality, but either for proper Levi subgroups, or of local nature, or both. Hopefully, these quasi-theorems will soon turn into actual theorems.

The second set are two conjectures (namely, Conjectures 8.2.9 and 10.2.8), both of which are theorems for $G L_{n}$. However, these conjectures do not involve Langlands

[^0]duality: Conjecture 8.2.9 only involves the geometric side of the correspondence, and Conjecture 10.2 .8 only the spectral side.
0.2. Strategy of the proof. - In this subsection we will outline the general scheme of the argument. We will be working over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic 0 . Let $X$ be a smooth and complete curve over $k$, and $G$ a reductive group. We let $\check{G}$ denote the Langlands dual group, also viewed as an algebraic group over $k$.
0.2.1. Formulation of the conjecture. - The categorical geometric Langlands conjecture is supposed to compare two triangulated (or rather DG categories). One is the "geometric" (or "automorphic") side that has to do with D-modules on the stack Bun $_{G}$ of $G$-bundles on $X$. The other is the "spectral" (or "Galois") side that has to do with quasi-coherent sheaves on the stack $\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}$ on $\check{G}$-local systems on $X$.

In our formulation of the conjecture, the geometric side is taken "as is". I.e., we consider the DG category $\mathrm{D}-\bmod \left(\mathrm{Bun}_{G}\right)$ of D -modules on $\mathrm{Bun}_{G}$. We refer the reader to $[\mathbf{D r G a} 2]$ for the definition of this category and a discussion of its general properties (e.g., this category is compactly generated for non-tautological reasons).

A naive guess for the spectral side is the DG category $\mathrm{QCoh}\left(\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}\right)$. However, this guess turns out to be slightly wrong, whenever $G$ is not a torus. A quick way to see that it is wrong is via the compatibility of the conjectural geometric Langlands equivalence with the functor of Eisenstein series, see Property Ei stated in Sect. 6.4.8. Namely, if $P$ is a parabolic of $G$ with Levi quotient $M$, we have the Eisenstein series functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Eis}_{P}: \mathrm{D}-\bmod \left(\operatorname{Bun}_{M}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}-\bmod \left(\operatorname{Bun}_{G}\right) \text { and } \\
& \operatorname{Eis}_{\check{P}, \text { spec }}: \operatorname{QCoh}\left(\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{M}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}\left(\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that are supposed to match up under the geometric Langlands equivalence (up to a twist by some line bundles). However, this cannot be the case because the functor $\operatorname{Eis}_{P}$ preserves compactness (see [DrGa3]), whereas $\operatorname{Eis}_{\check{P}, \text { spec }}$ does not.

Our "fix" for the spectral side is designed to make the above problem with Eisenstein series go away in a minimal way (see Proposition 6.4.7). We observe that the nonpreservation of compactness by the functor $\operatorname{Eis}_{\tilde{P}, \text { spec }}$ has to do with the fact that the stack LocSys ${ }_{\mathscr{G}}$ is not smooth. Namely, it expresses itself in that some coherent complexes on $\mathrm{LocSys}_{G}$ are non-perfect.

Our modified version for the spectral side is the category that we denote

$$
\operatorname{IndCoh}_{\text {Nilp }_{G}^{\text {glob }}}\left(\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}\right)
$$

see Sect. 3.3.2. It is a certain enlargement of $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\left(\operatorname{LocSys}_{\vec{G}}\right) \text {, whose definition uses }}$ the fact that $\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}$ is a derived locally complete intersection, and the theory of singular support of coherent sheaves for such stacks developed in [AG].
0.2.2. Idea of the proof. - The idea of the comparison between the categories $\mathrm{D}-\bmod \left(\operatorname{Bun}_{G}\right)$ and $\mathrm{IndCoh}_{\mathrm{Nillg}_{G}^{\text {glob }}}\left(\operatorname{LocSys}_{G}\right)$ pursued in this paper is the following: we embed each side into a more tractable category and compare the essential images.

For the geometric side, the more tractable category in question is the category that we denote Whit ${ }^{\text {ext }}(G, G)$, and refer to it as the extended Whittaker category; the nature of this category is explained in Sect. 0.2.3 below. The functor

$$
\mathrm{D}-\bmod \left(\operatorname{Bun}_{G}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Whit}^{\mathrm{ext}}(G, G)
$$

(which, according to Conjecture 8.2.9, is supposed to be fully faithful) is that of extended Whittaker coefficient, denoted coeff ${ }_{G}^{\mathrm{ext}}$.

For the spectral side, the more tractable category is denoted Glue $(\check{G})_{\text {spec }}$, and the functor

$$
\operatorname{IndCoh}_{\operatorname{Nilp}_{\breve{G}}^{\text {glob }}}\left(\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Glue}(\check{G})_{\text {spec }}
$$

is denoted by Glue $\left(\mathrm{CT}_{\text {spec }}^{\mathrm{enh}}\right)$ (this functor is fully faithful by Theorem 9.3.8). The idea of the pair $\left.(\operatorname{Glue}(G))_{\text {spec }}, \operatorname{Glue}\left(\mathrm{CT}_{\text {spec }}^{\mathrm{enh}}\right)\right)$ is explained in Sect. 0.2.4.

We then claim (see Quasi-Theorems 9.4.2 and 9.4.5) that there exists a canonically defined fully faithful functor

$$
\mathbb{L}_{G, G}^{\text {Whit }}{ }^{\text {ext }}: \operatorname{Glue}(\check{G})_{\text {spec }} \longrightarrow \text { Whit }^{\mathrm{ext}}(G, G)
$$

Thus, we have the following diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Glue }(\check{G})_{\text {spec }} \quad \xrightarrow{\mathbb{U}_{G, G}^{\text {Whitext }^{\text {ext }}}} \text { Whit }^{\text {ext }}(G, G) \\
& \operatorname{Glue}\left(\mathrm{CT}_{\text {spec }}^{\mathrm{enh}}\right) \uparrow \quad \uparrow \operatorname{coeff}_{G, G}^{\text {ext }}  \tag{0.1}\\
& \operatorname{IndCoh}_{\text {Nilp }_{G}^{g l o b}}\left(\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{D}-\bmod \left(\operatorname{Bun}_{G}\right) \text {, }
\end{align*}
$$

with all the arrows being fully faithful.
Assume that the essential images of the functors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}_{G, G}^{\mathrm{Whit}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ext}} \circ \mathrm{Glue}\left(\mathrm{CT}_{\mathrm{spec}}^{\mathrm{enh}}\right) \text { and coeff }{ }_{G, G}^{\mathrm{ext}} \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

coincide. We then obtain that diagram (0.1) can be (uniquely) completed to a commutative diagram by means of a functor

$$
\mathbb{L}_{G}: \operatorname{IndCoh}_{\text {Nilp }_{G}^{\text {glob }}}\left(\operatorname{LocSys}_{G}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}-\bmod \left(\operatorname{Bun}_{G}\right)
$$

and, moreover, $\mathbb{L}_{G}$ is automatically an equivalence.
The required fact about the essential images of the functors (0.2) follows from Conjecture 10.2.8.
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