

# Astérisque

ALEXIS BONNET

GUY DAVID

**Cracktip is a global Mumford-Shah minimizer**

*Astérisque*, tome 274 (2001)

<[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST\\_2001\\_274\\_R1\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_2001_274_R1_0)>

© Société mathématique de France, 2001, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » ([http://smf4.emath.fr/  
Publications/Asterisque/](http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/)) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>*

**ASTÉRISQUE 274**

**CRACKTIP IS A GLOBAL  
MUMFORD-SHAH MINIMIZER**

**Alexis Bonnet  
Guy David**

**Société Mathématique de France 2001  
Publié avec le concours du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique**

*A. Bonnet*

Goldman Sachs, Petersborough Court, 133 Fleet Street, London EC4A 2BB.

*E-mail :* alexis.bonnet@virgin.net

*G. David*

Équipe d'Analyse harmonique, UMR CNRS 8628, Bâtiment 425,  
Université de Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay cedex, France.

*E-mail :* Guy.David@math.u-psud.fr

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** — 49K99, 49Q20.

**Key words and phrases.** — Mumford-Shah functional.

---

# CRACKTIP IS A GLOBAL MUMFORD-SHAH MINIMIZER

Alexis Bonnet, Guy David

**Abstract.** — We show that the pair  $(u, K)$  given by  $K = (-\infty, 0] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = \sqrt{2/\pi} r^{1/2} \sin(\theta/2)$  for  $r > 0$  and  $-\pi < \theta < \pi$

is a global Mumford-Shah minimizer. This means that if  $\tilde{K}$  is another closed set in the plane with locally finite Hausdorff measure,  $\tilde{u}$  is a function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{K}$  with a derivative in  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{K})$ , and the pair  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{K})$  coincides with  $(u, K)$  out of some disk  $B$ , then

$$H^1(K \cap B) + \int_{B \setminus K} |\nabla u|^2 \leq H^1(\tilde{K} \cap B) + \int_{B \setminus G} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2,$$

where  $H^1$  denotes Hausdorff measure.

We shall also show that every global Mumford-Shah minimizer  $(u', K')$  that is sufficiently close to  $(u, K)$  near infinity must be equivalent to it. This is the case, for instance, if some blow-in limit of  $(u', K')$  equals  $(u, K)$ .

The proofs will be based on a detailed study of the harmonic function  $v'$  conjugated to  $u'$ , and its level sets. We shall also use blow-up techniques and the monotonicity of an energy integral.

### Résumé (Cracktip est un minimum de Mumford-Shah global)

Le résultat principal de ce texte est que le couple  $(u, K)$  défini par  $K = ]-\infty, 0] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  et

$$u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = \sqrt{2/\pi} r^{1/2} \sin(\theta/2) \text{ pour } r > 0 \text{ et } -\pi < \theta < \pi$$

est un minimum global de la fonctionnelle de Mumford-Shah. Ceci signifie que si  $\tilde{K}$  est un fermé du plan de mesure de Hausdorff de dimension 1 localement finie,  $\tilde{u}$  est une fonction définie sur  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{K}$  dont la dérivée est dans  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{K})$ , et si le couple  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{K})$  coïncide avec  $(u, K)$  hors d'un disque  $B$ , on a

$$H^1(K \cap B) + \int_{B \setminus K} |\nabla u|^2 \leq H^1(\tilde{K} \cap B) + \int_{B \setminus G} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2,$$

où l'on note  $H^1$  la mesure de Hausdorff.

On montrera aussi que tout minimum global  $(u', K')$  de la fonctionnelle de Mumford-Shah qui est suffisamment proche de  $(u, K)$  à l'infini lui est équivalent. C'est le cas par exemple si l'une des limites de  $(u', K')$  par implosions est égale à  $(u, K)$ .

La démonstration est basée sur une étude détaillée de la fonction harmonique conjuguée de  $u'$  et de ses ensembles de niveau. On utilise aussi des techniques d'explosion et la monotonie d'une intégrale d'énergie.

## CONTENTS

<b>A. General introduction .....</b>	1
1. Introduction .....	1
2. A description of the proof .....	5
<b>B. Existence and regularity results for a modified functional .....</b>	13
3. The functional $J_R$ , $R > 1$ .....	13
4. Local Ahlfors-regularity for minimizers of $J_R$ .....	15
5. $G^-$ stays in a fixed ball .....	25
6. Existence of minimizers : general strategy .....	38
7. First cleaning of a competitor for $J_R$ .....	39
8. The $J_R^k$ approximate $J_R$ .....	52
9. Existence of minimizers (the concentration property) .....	64
10. Limit in $R$ of the minimizers for $J_R$ .....	69
11. Our modified functional and why $(v, G)$ minimizes it .....	74
<b>C. Reviews on blow-up limits and <math>C^1</math> pieces .....</b>	79
12. Blow up .....	79
13. $C^1$ curves and spiders .....	87
14. $C^1$ -regularity of $v$ near regular and spider points .....	99
<b>D. Miscellaneous properties of minimizers .....</b>	103
15. $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus G$ has no bounded component .....	103
16. $v$ really jumps at regular and spider points .....	105
17. $\Omega$ has no thin connected component .....	107
18. The case when $u$ is locally constant somewhere .....	109
<b>E. The John condition .....</b>	119
19. Connectedness and rectifiable curves .....	119
20. Paths of escape to infinity in $\Omega$ .....	123
21. Consequences on the moduli of continuity of functions .....	127

<b>F. The conjugated function <math>w</math></b> .....	131
22. The conjugated function $w$ .....	131
23. How to surround a compact set with curves .....	132
24. A limiting argument to integrate by parts .....	138
25. $v$ and $w$ have limits at points where $G$ is not connected .....	140
26. $w$ has a continuous extension to $\mathbb{R}^2$ .....	143
27. The case when $G_0$ is not bounded .....	150
<b>G. The level sets of <math>w</math></b> .....	157
28. Variations of $v$ on the level sets of $w$ .....	157
29. Proof of Lemma 28.43 .....	165
30. There are no loops in $\Gamma_m$ .....	169
31. Almost-every $\Gamma_m$ is composed of trees without endpoints .....	175
32. Our final description of the levels sets $\Gamma_m$ .....	180
<b>H. The monotonicity formula and points of low energy</b> .....	185
33. Our tour of $G_0$ .....	185
34. Variations of $v$ along our tour of $G_0$ .....	191
35. The monotonicity formula .....	203
36. $G \cap \partial\Omega_0$ contains only regular and spider points .....	211
37. Points of low energy (continued) .....	222
<b>I. Conclusions</b> .....	233
38. The final contradiction .....	233
39. The main technical statements .....	238
40. Theorem 1.16 and a variant with blow-ins .....	240
41. Cracktips are global minimizers .....	251
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	257

# CHAPTER A

## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

### 1. Introduction

The main goal of this paper is to verify that cracktips (as defined below) are global minimizers of the Mumford-Shah functional. This gives a positive answer to a question of E. De Giorgi [DG]. We shall also prove that all the global minimizers of the Mumford-Shah functional that are close enough to cracktips (in ways that will soon be made precise) are cracktips.

The global version of the Mumford-Shah functional that we consider here is morally given by

$$(1.1) \quad J(u, K) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K} |\nabla u|^2 + H^1(K),$$

where  $H^1(K)$  denotes the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure of the closed set  $K$  [see for instance [Fa] or [Ma] for definitions]. This is only a moral definition, because  $J(u, K) = +\infty$  for all interesting competitors  $(u, K)$ , and so we shall have to give a more local definition of competitors and minimizers.

Let us first define the set  $U_0$  of *admissible pairs* (or competitors). These are the pairs  $(u, K)$ , where  $K$  is a closed subset of the plane,  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K)$  is a function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K$  and whose distributional gradient  $\nabla u$  lies in  $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K)$ , and which satisfy the additional requirement that

$$(1.2) \quad H^1(K \cap B_R) + \int_{B_R \setminus K} |\nabla u|^2 < +\infty \quad \text{for all } R > 0,$$

where we denote by  $B_R = B(0, R)$  the open disk centered at the origin and with radius  $R$ .

Next let  $(u, K) \in U_0$  be given. A *competitor* for  $(u, K)$  is an admissible pair  $(v, G) \in U_0$  which satisfies the following properties for some (large)  $R > 0$ :

$$(1.3) \quad G \setminus B_R = K \setminus B_R,$$

$$(1.4) \quad v(x) = u(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (K \cup B_R),$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \text{if } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (K \cup B_R) \text{ are separated by } K, \text{ then } G \text{ also separates them.}$$

[We say that  $K$  separates  $x$  from  $y$  when  $x, y$  lie in different connected components of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K$ .]

Note that if (1.3)-(1.5) hold for  $R > 0$ , they also hold for all  $R' > R$ .

**Definition 1.6.** — A *global minimizer* (for the Mumford-Shah functional) is an admissible pair  $(u, K) \in U_0$  such that

$$(1.7) \quad H^1(K \cap B_R) + \int_{B_R \setminus K} |\nabla u|^2 \leq H^1(G \cap B_R) + \int_{B_R \setminus G} |\nabla v|^2$$

for all competitors  $(v, G)$  for  $(u, K)$  and  $R > 0$  such that (1.3)-(1.5) hold.

Note that we do not need to be too specific about  $R$ : if (1.7) holds for some  $R$  such that (1.3)-(1.5) hold, it stays true for all such  $R$  (by (1.3) and (1.4)).

This class of global minimizers was introduced in [Bo], where it is shown that if  $(u_0, K_0)$  is a minimizer for the (usual) Mumford-Shah functional

$$(1.8) \quad J(u, K) = \int_{\Omega \setminus K} |u - g|^2 + H^1(K) + \int_{\Omega \setminus K} |\nabla u|^2$$

(on a bounded domain  $\Omega$ , and with a given bounded function  $g$  on  $\Omega$ ), then all limits of  $(u, K)$  under blow-ups are global minimizers as in Definition 1.6. Our topological condition (1.5) actually comes from this in a natural way; see Section 12 for details about this.

Note that if  $(u, K)$  is a global minimizer, we can always add any closed set of  $H^1$ -measure zero to  $K$ , and this gives another global minimizer equivalent to  $(u, K)$ . We shall say that the global minimizer  $(u, K)$  is “reduced” if there is no proper closed subset  $\tilde{K}$  of  $K$  such that  $u$  extends to a function  $\tilde{u} \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{K})$  and  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{K})$  is a competitor for  $(u, K)$ . [We add this last constraint because of the topological condition (1.5); we want to avoid opening holes that would change the true nature of  $(u, K)$ .]

It is not too hard to check that for each global minimizer  $(u, K)$  there is a reduced global minimizer  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{K})$  which is equivalent to  $(u, K)$ , that is, such that  $\tilde{K} \subset K$ ,  $\tilde{u}$  is an extension of  $u$ , and  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{K})$  is a competitor for  $(u, K)$ . Because of this, we shall always assume that all our global minimizers are reduced. We don’t lose any generality, and this will allow us to give more pleasant descriptions of  $K$ .

The natural analogue in the present context of the celebrated Mumford-Shah conjecture in [MuSh] is that all (reduced) global minimizers belong to the following short list:

$$(1.9) \quad K = \emptyset \text{ and } u \text{ is constant;}$$

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} K \text{ is a line and } u \text{ is constant on each} \\ \text{of the two connected components of } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K; \end{cases}$$

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{cases} K \text{ is a propeller (see the definition below) and} \\ u \text{ is constant on each of the 3 components of } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K; \end{cases}$$

$$(1.12) \quad (u, K) \text{ is a cracktip (also see below).}$$

We call propeller a union of three half-lines with a common endpoint (called center) and that make  $120^\circ$  angles with each other.

We call cracktips the pairs  $(u, K)$  such that, after a suitable change of coordinates in the plane,

$$(1.13) \quad K = \{(x, 0) ; x \leq 0\}$$

(a half-line) and  $u$  is given by

$$(1.14) \quad u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = \pm \sqrt{2/\pi} r^{1/2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} + C$$

for  $r > 0$  and  $-\pi < \theta < \pi$ . The (constant) sign  $\pm$  and the value of the constant  $C$  obviously do not matter.

If the conjecture above where true, then the Mumford-Shah conjecture would quite probably follow, using the arguments in [Bo] (we did not check all the details). The converse is less clear a priori (there could be global minimizers that do not show up as blow-ups of Mumford-Shah minimizers).

Recall that if  $(u, K)$  is a global minimizer and  $K$  is connected, then  $(u, K)$  belongs to the short list above. This is one of the main points of [Bo], where it is used to prove that isolated components of  $K_0$  for Mumford-Shah minimizers are finite unions of  $C^1$ -curves.

The pairs  $(u, K)$  in (1.9), (1.10), and (1.11) are easily seen to be global minimizers. Note that the topological condition (1.5) is needed for this. The main result of this paper is that

$$(1.15) \quad \text{Cracktips (as defined by (1.13) and (1.14)) are global minimizers.}$$

Note that in the case of cracktips, the topological condition (1.5) on competitors for  $(u, K)$  is void, because  $K$  does not separate any pair of points. Thus cracktips are also minimizers for De Giorgi's definition, even though [DG] does not mention (1.5).

Our proof of (1.15) will also give that all global minimizers that are sufficiently close to a cracktip are actually cracktips. The precise meaning of "sufficiently close" may vary a little. Here is an example of sufficient condition.