

**376**

**ASTÉRISQUE**

**2016**

LAGRANGIAN FLOER THEORY  
AND MIRROR SYMMETRY  
ON COMPACT TORIC MANIFOLDS

Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta & Kaoru Ono

**SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE**

Publié avec le concours du CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

---

Astérisque est un périodique de la Société Mathématique de France.

Numéro 376, 2016

---

*Comité de rédaction*

Ahmed ABBES	Damien GABORIAU
Viviane BALADI	Michael HARRIS
Gérard BESSON	Fabrice PLANCHON
Laurent BERGER	Pierre SCHAPIRA
Philippe BIANE	Bertrand TOEN
Hélène ESNAULT	

Éric VASSEROT (dir.)

*Diffusion*

Maison de la SMF	Hindustan Book Agency	AMS
Case 916 - Luminy	O-131, The Shopping Mall	P.O. Box 6248
13288 Marseille Cedex 9	Arjun Marg, DLF Phase 1	Providence RI 02940
France	Gurgaon 122002, Haryana	USA
smf@smf.univ-mrs.fr	Inde	www.ams.org

*Tarifs*

*Vente au numéro* : 55 € (\$ 82)

*Abonnement* Europe : 654 €, hors Europe : 692 € (\$ 1038)

Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

*Secrétariat : Nathalie Christiaën*

Astérisque

Société Mathématique de France

Institut Henri Poincaré, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie

75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

Tél : (33) 01 44 27 67 99 • Fax : (33) 01 40 46 90 96

revues@smf.ens.fr • <http://smf.emath.fr/>

© Société Mathématique de France 2016

Tous droits réservés (article L 122-4 du Code de la propriété intellectuelle). Toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale ou partielle faite sans le consentement de l'éditeur est illicite. Cette représentation ou reproduction par quelque procédé que ce soit constituerait une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles L 335-2 et suivants du CPI.

ISSN 0303-1179

ISBN 978-2-85629-825-1

Directeur de la publication : Marc Peigné

---

**376**

**ASTÉRISQUE**

**2016**

LAGRANGIAN FLOER THEORY  
AND MIRROR SYMMETRY  
ON COMPACT TORIC MANIFOLDS

Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta & Kaoru Ono

**SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE**

Publié avec le concours du CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

*Kenji Fukaya*

Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3636 U.S.A., & Center for Geometry and Physics, Institute for Basic Sciences (IBS), Pohang, Korea

kfukaya@scgp.stonybrook.edu

*Yong-Geun Oh*

Center for Geometry and Physics, Institute for Basic Sciences (IBS), Pohang, Korea & Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang, Korea

yongoh1@postech.ac.kr

*Hiroshi Ohta*

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

ohta@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

*Kaoru Ono*

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

ono@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

---

*Classification mathématique par sujet (2000).* — 53D37, 53D40, 53D45, 14B07, 14M25, 37J05.

*Keywords.* — Floer cohomology, mirror symmetry, toric manifolds, open-closed Gromov-Witten invariant, Saito's theory of singularities, Landau-Ginzburg model, weakly unobstructed Lagrangian submanifolds, potential function, Jacobian ring, Frobenius manifold.

KF is supported partially by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #19104001, 23224002, Global COE program G08 and NSF Grant No. 1406423, YO by US NSF grant #0904197 and the IBS project IBS-R003-D1, HO by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #19340017, 23340015, 15H02054 and KO by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, #18340014, 21244002, 23224001, 26247006.

# LAGRANGIAN FLOER THEORY AND MIRROR SYMMETRY ON COMPACT TORIC MANIFOLDS

Kenji FUKAYA, Yong-Geun OH, Hiroshi OHTA & Kaoru ONO

**Abstract.** — In this paper we study Lagrangian Floer theory on toric manifolds from the point of view of mirror symmetry. We construct a natural isomorphism between the Frobenius manifold structures of the (big) quantum cohomology of the toric manifold and of Saito's theory of singularities of the potential function constructed in [Fukaya, *Tohoku Math. J.* **63** (2011)] via the Floer cohomology deformed by ambient cycles. Our proof of the isomorphism involves the open-closed Gromov-Witten theory of one-loop.

**Résumé (La théorie de Floer lagrangienne et la symétrie miroir sur les variétés toriques).**

— Dans ce volume nous étudions la théorie de Floer lagrangienne sur les variétés toriques du point de vue de la symétrie miroir. Nous construisons un isomorphisme naturel entre les structures des variétés de Frobenius du grand anneau de cohomologie quantique de la variété torique et de la théorie des singularités de Saïto sur la fonction potentielle construite en [Fukaya, *Tohoku Math. J.* **63** (2011)] en utilisant la cohomologie de Floer déformée par les cycles ambiants. Notre démonstration de l'isomorphisme utilise les invariants de Gromov-Witten ouverts/fermés de la théorie d'une-boucle.



# CONTENTS

<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	1
1.1. Introduction .....	1
1.2. Notations and terminologies .....	9
1.3. Statement of the results .....	11
1.4. Projective space: an example .....	26
 <b>2. Ring isomorphism</b> .....	 29
2.1. Norms and completions of polynomial ring over Novikov ring .....	29
2.2. Localization of Jacobian ring at moment polytope .....	33
2.3. Operator $\mathfrak{q}$ : review .....	37
2.4. Well-definedness of Kodaira-Spencer map .....	46
2.5. Well-definedness of potential function .....	59
2.6. Kodaira-Spencer map is a ring homomorphism .....	64
2.7. Surjectivity of Kodaira-Spencer map .....	86
2.8. Versality of the potential function with bulk .....	90
2.9. Algebraization of Jacobian ring .....	92
2.10. Seidel homomorphism and a result by McDuff-Tolman .....	97
2.11. Injectivity of Kodaira-Spencer map .....	101
2.11.1. The case $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+)$ .....	101
2.11.2. The case $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_0)$ .....	117
2.12. The Chern class $c_1$ and critical values of $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ .....	124
2.13. Hirzebruch surface $F_2$ : an example .....	126
 <b>3. Coincidence of pairings</b> .....	 131
3.1. Operator $\mathfrak{p}$ and Poincaré duality .....	131
3.2. Cyclic symmetry in the toric case .....	137
3.3. Operator $\mathfrak{p}$ in the toric case .....	147
3.4. Moduli space of holomorphic annuli .....	154
3.5. Poincaré duality is residue pairing .....	179
3.6. Clifford algebra and Hessian matrix .....	181
3.7. Residue pairing and Hessian determinant .....	185
3.8. Cyclic homology and variation of the invariant $Z$ .....	190
3.9. Orientation .....	205
3.9.1. Operators $D_1$ and $D_2$ .....	206

3.9.2. Orientation of Index $D_1$ .....	207
3.9.3. Orientation of Index $D_2$ .....	209
3.9.4. Continuation of linear Kuranishi models .....	210
3.10. Sign in Theorem 3.4.1 and Proposition 3.5.2 .....	213
3.10.1. Some lemmata .....	214
3.10.2. Proofs of signs in Theorem 3.4.1 and Proposition 3.5.2 .....	218
<b>4. Appendix</b> .....	<b>227</b>
4.1. Coincidence of the two definitions of $\delta^{b,b}$ .....	227
4.2. Interpolation between Kuranishi structures .....	229
4.3. $T^n$ equivariant and cyclically symmetric Kuranishi structures .....	234
4.3.1. The case of one disk component I .....	241
4.3.2. The case of one disk component II .....	253
4.3.3. The case of one disk component III .....	259
4.3.4. The case of more than one disk components .....	267
4.3.5. Taking the sum of obstruction spaces so that coordinate change exists .....	275
4.3.6. Taking fiber product with $T^n$ invariant cycles .....	280
4.4. Continuous family of $T^n$ equivariant and cyclic symmetric multisections .....	282
4.5. Construction of various other Kuranishi structures. ....	284
4.5.1. Construction of $\mathfrak{p}$ -Kuranishi structure .....	284
4.5.2. Construction of $\mathfrak{t}$ -Kuranishi structure .....	289
4.5.3. Proof of Lemma 4.2.7 .....	291
4.5.4. Kuranishi structure on the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic annuli I .....	297
4.5.5. Kuranishi structure on the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic annuli II .....	304
4.5.6. Kuranishi structure on the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic annuli III .....	309
4.6. Proof of Lemma 2.6.27 .....	314
4.7. Hochschild and quantum cohomologies .....	326
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>333</b>
<b>Index</b> .....	<b>339</b>



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove a version of mirror symmetry between compact toric A-model and Landau-Ginzburg B-model.

Let  $X$  be a compact toric manifold and take a toric Kähler structure on it. In this paper  $X$  is not necessarily assumed to be Fano. In [33] we defined a potential function with bulk,  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ , which is a family of functions of  $n$  variables  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  parameterized by the cohomology class  $\mathfrak{b} \in H(X; \Lambda_0)$ . (More precisely, it is parameterized by  $T^n$ -invariant cycles. We explain this point later in Sections 1.3 and 2.5.) ( $\Lambda_0$  is defined in Definition 1.2.1.)

$\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  is an element of an appropriate completion of  $\Lambda_0[y_1, y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_n, y_n^{-1}]$ , the Laurent polynomial ring over (universal) Novikov ring  $\Lambda_0$ . We denote this completion by  $\Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1} \rangle\rangle_0^{\mathring{P}}$ . (See Definition 1.3.1 for its definition.) We put

$$\text{Jac}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}) = \frac{\Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1} \rangle\rangle_0^{\mathring{P}}}{\text{Clos}_{d_{\mathring{P}}}\left(y_i \frac{\partial \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}}{\partial y_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\right)}.$$

Differentiation of  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  with respect to the ambient cohomology class  $\mathfrak{b}$  gives rise to a  $\Lambda_0$  module homomorphism

$$(1.1.1) \quad \mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{b}} : H(X; \Lambda_0) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}).$$

We define a quantum ring structure  $\cup^{\mathfrak{b}}$  on  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$  by deforming the cup product by  $\mathfrak{b}$  using Gromov-Witten theory. (See Definition 1.3.28.) The main result of this paper can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.1.** — Equip  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$  with the ring structure  $\cup^{\mathfrak{b}}$ . Then

1. The homomorphism  $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  in (1.1.1) is a ring isomorphism.
2. If  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  is a Morse function, i.e., all its critical points are nondegenerate, the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  above intertwines the Poincaré duality pairing on  $H(X; \Lambda)$  and the residue pairing on  $\text{Jac}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}) \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \Lambda$ .

We refer readers to Section 1.3 for the definition of various notions appearing in Theorem 1.1.1. Especially the definition of residue pairing which we use in this paper is given in Definition 1.3.24 and Theorem 1.3.25.

We now explain how Theorem 1.1.1 can be regarded as a mirror symmetry between toric A-model and Landau-Ginzburg B-model:

- (a) First, the surjectivity of (1.1.1) implies that the family  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  is a versal family in the sense of deformation theory of singularities. (See Theorem 2.8.1.)
- (b) Therefore the tangent space  $T_{\mathfrak{b}}H(X; \Lambda_0)$  carries a ring structure pulled-back from the Jacobian ring by (1.1.1). Theorem 1.1.1.1 implies that this pull-back coincides with the quantum ring structure  $\cup^{\mathfrak{b}}$ .
- (c) Theorem 1.1.1.2 implies that the residue pairing, which is defined when  $\text{Jac}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}})$  is a Morse function, can be extended to arbitrary class  $\mathfrak{b} \in H(X; \Lambda_0)$ . In fact, the Poincaré duality pairing of  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$  is independent of  $\mathfrak{b}$  and so obviously extended.

This (extended) residue pairing is nondegenerate and defines a ‘Riemannian metric’ on  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ . (Here we put parenthesis since our metric is over the field of fractions  $\Lambda$  of Novikov ring and is not over  $\mathbb{R}$ .) As in the standard Riemannian geometry, it determines the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  on  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ . Theorem 1.1.1.2 then implies that this connection is flat: In fact, in the A-model side this connection is nothing but the canonical affine connection on the affine space  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ .

Let  $w_i$  be affine coordinates of  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$  i.e., the coordinates satisfying  $\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_i} \partial/\partial w_j = 0$ . Then we have a function  $\Phi$  on  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$  that satisfies

$$(1.1.2) \quad \langle \mathbf{f}_i \cup^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle_{\text{PD}_X} = \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial w_i \partial w_j \partial w_k}.$$

Here  $\{\mathbf{f}_i\}$  is the basis of  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$  corresponding to the affine coordinates  $w_i$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{PD}_X}$  is the Poincaré duality pairing.

In fact,  $\Phi$  is constructed from Gromov-Witten invariants and is called Gromov-Witten potential. (See [59], for example.) Using the isomorphism given in Theorem 1.1.1.1 we find that the third derivative of  $\Phi$  also gives the structure constants of the Jacobian ring.

- (d) In [33, Section 10], we defined an Euler vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$  on  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ . We also remark that the Jacobian ring carries a unit that is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection: This is obvious in the A-model side and hence the same holds in the B-model side.
- (e) The discussion above implies that the miniversal family  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  determines the structure of Frobenius manifold on  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ . K. Saito [63] and M. Saito [65] defined a Frobenius manifold structure on the parameter space of miniversal