

Bulletin

de la SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

EXTENSION OF ESTERMANN'S THEOREM TO EULER PRODUCTS ASSOCIATED TO A MULTIVARIATE POLYNOMIAL

Ludovic Delabarre

**Tome 141
Fascicule 2**

2013

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Publié avec le concours du Centre national de la recherche scientifique

pages 225-265

EXTENSION OF ESTERMANN'S THEOREM TO EULER PRODUCTS ASSOCIATED TO A MULTIVARIATE POLYNOMIAL

BY LUDOVIC DELABARRE

ABSTRACT. — Given a multivariate polynomial $h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ with integral coefficients verifying an hypothesis of analytic regularity (and satisfying $h(\mathbf{0}) = 1$), we determine the maximal domain of meromorphy of the Euler product $\prod_p h(p^{-s_1}, \dots, p^{-s_n})$ and the natural boundary is precisely described when it exists. In this way we extend a well known result for one variable polynomials due to Estermann from 1928. As an application, we calculate the natural boundary of the multivariate Euler products associated to a family of toric varieties.

RÉSUMÉ (*Extension du théorème d'Estermann aux produits eulériens associés à un polynôme de plusieurs variables*)

Etant donné un polynôme de plusieurs variables $h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ à coefficients entiers vérifiant une hypothèse de régularité analytique (et vérifiant $h(\mathbf{0}) = 1$), on détermine le domaine maximal de méromorphie du produit eulérien $\prod_p h(p^{-s_1}, \dots, p^{-s_n})$ et la frontière naturelle de méromorphie est décrite précisément lorsqu'elle existe. De

Texte reçu le 17 février 2011, révisé le 3 septembre 2011 et accepté le 16 mars 2012.

LUDOVIC DELABARRE, Université de Saint-Etienne, Faculté des Sciences, Laboratoire de Mathématiques LaMuse, 23 rue du Docteur Paul Michelon, 42023 Saint-Etienne cedex 2, France • Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany • *E-mail* : ludovic.delabarre@univ-st-etienne.fr , delabarr@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 11M32 11M41 32D15 11N99 14G05.

Key words and phrases. — multivariables Euler products, meromorphic continuation, natural boundary, cyclotomic polynomial, rational point on a toric variety.

In this article, the main results of the author's thesis are presented. The author expresses his thanks to his advisor Driss Essouabri for his many helpful remarks and his careful reading of this paper. He also wants to thank Régis de la Bretèche and Ben Lichtin for his precious advises and his many relevant remarks concerning the writing of this paper. In addition he expresses his gratitude towards the University of Caen, in particular the laboratory of mathematics LMNO where he began his thesis.

cette façon on généralise un résultat célèbre de 1928 concernant les polynômes d’une variable due à Estermann. En guise d’application, on détermine la frontière naturelle de produits eulériens de plusieurs variables associés à une famille de variétés toriques.

1. Introduction

A classic result of Estermann ([7]) from 1928 characterized precisely when an Euler product $Z(s) = \prod_p h(p^{-s})$, determined by a polynomial $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with $h(0) = 1$, admits a meromorphic extension to \mathbb{C} . In addition, Estermann showed that if this property is not satisfied, then the Euler product has a natural boundary as a meromorphic function which he identified exactly.

This article extends Estermann’s theorem to all Euler products $Z(\mathbf{s}) = h(p^{-s_1}, \dots, p^{-s_n})$ determined by any polynomial $h(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ verifying an hypothesis of analytic regularity which is mostly satisfied (see Definition 3) when $n \geq 2$ and $h(\mathbf{0}) = 1$. Thus, we characterize precisely the natural boundary of $Z(\mathbf{s})$ when h is not cyclotomic⁽¹⁾ (see Definition 1), that is, the boundary of a maximal domain on which it can be meromorphically continued.

1.1. Notations. — For two positive integers r and n we define:

$$h(X_1, \dots, X_n) := 1 + a_1 X_1^{\alpha_{11}} X_2^{\alpha_{21}} \dots X_n^{\alpha_{n1}} + \dots + a_r X_1^{\alpha_{1r}} X_2^{\alpha_{2r}} \dots X_n^{\alpha_{nr}};$$

$$Z(\mathbf{s}) := \prod_p h(p^{-s_1}, p^{-s_2}, \dots, p^{-s_n}) \text{ for } \mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where a_j for $j = 1, \dots, r$ are integers and $\alpha_{\ell j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ are non negative integers.

We also fix the following notations throughout the article.

We put $\alpha := (\alpha_{\ell j})_{(\ell, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, r\}} \in \mathbb{M}_{n, r}(\mathbb{N})$ the matrix encoding the exponents of h whose rows for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ are written $\alpha_\ell := (\alpha_{\ell 1}, \dots, \alpha_{\ell r})$ and columns for $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ are written $\alpha_{\cdot j} := {}^t(\alpha_{1j}, \dots, \alpha_{nj})$.

For $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ we set $\mathbf{X}^{\alpha_{\cdot j}} := X_1^{\alpha_{1j}} X_2^{\alpha_{2j}} \dots X_n^{\alpha_{nj}}$ so that $h(\mathbf{X}) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^r a_j \mathbf{X}^{\alpha_{\cdot j}}$.

For all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^r$ we will write $\|\mathbf{y}\| := \sum_{j=1}^r y_j$ and $\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{y}$.

For $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we put:

$$\sigma_\ell := \Re(s_\ell); \quad \tau_\ell := \Im(s_\ell); \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} := \Re(\mathbf{s}) := (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n); \quad \boldsymbol{\tau} := \Im(\mathbf{s}) := (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n).$$

⁽¹⁾ If h is cyclotomic one can check that $Z(\mathbf{s}) = h(p^{-s_1}, \dots, p^{-s_n})$ continues meromorphically to \mathbb{C}^n (see Remark 2).

We write for $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m)$ and $w = {}^t(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ the classical matrix product between ν and w :

$$\nu \cdot w := \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_i w_i.$$

For $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ and $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$, we will use throughout the paper the following equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j (\mathbf{s} \cdot \alpha_j) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n s_\ell (\alpha_\ell \cdot {}^t\beta) := \mathbf{s} \cdot \alpha \cdot {}^t\beta;$$

which results from the classical identity $(\mathbf{s} \cdot \alpha) \cdot {}^t\beta = \mathbf{s} \cdot (\alpha \cdot {}^t\beta)$.

1.2. Statement of main results. — We first recall the classic result of Estermann [7] for one variable polynomials.

Theorem. (Estermann) *Let $h(X) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^r b_m X^m = \prod_{m=1}^r (1 - \alpha_m X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Let $f(s) = \prod_p h(p^{-s})$, which converges for $\Re(s) > 1$. Then:*

- (i) $f(s)$ can be meromorphically extended to $\Re(s) > 0$.
- (ii) If $|\alpha_m| = 1$ for all $m = 1, \dots, r$, then $f(s)$ can be extended to \mathbb{C} . Otherwise, $\Re(s) = 0$ is a natural boundary for f (i.e. for each point $s = it$ on this vertical line, f cannot be extended as a meromorphic function on any neighborhood of $s = it$).

REMARK 1. — G. Dahlquist [5] generalized this result to analytic functions h with isolated singularities within the unit circle. Later, deep work by Kurokawa [8], [9] and Moroz [12] extended Estermann’s result by allowing polynomials $h(X)$ whose coefficients were integral linear combinations of complex numbers associated to characters of finite dimensional representations of a topological group.

Estermann’s main result leads naturally to the following basic definition.

DEFINITION 1. — In this paper a polynomial $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is said to be cyclotomic if there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, some $\gamma_k \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $j_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that we have

$$(1) \quad Q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{k=1}^m \Phi_{i_k}(\mathbf{X}^{\gamma_k})^{j_k};$$

where, for $i_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\Phi_{i_k}(X)$ denotes the classical i_k -th cyclotomic polynomial in the usual sense.

REMARK 2. — If $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is cyclotomic, then there exists a finite subset I of $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that

$$Q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{\lambda=(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in I} (1 - \mathbf{X}^\lambda)^{\gamma(\lambda)}$$

with $\gamma(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $\lambda \in I$. Thus we have for $\sigma_\ell > 1$ ($\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$):

$$\prod_p Q(p^{-s_1}, \dots, p^{-s_n}) = \prod_{\lambda \in I} \zeta(\mathbf{s} \cdot {}^t\lambda)^{-\gamma(\lambda)},$$

where $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function. As a result, it is clear that this Euler product meromorphically extends to \mathbb{C}^n as a finite product of classical Riemann zeta functions.

Moreover, if two polynomials $h_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $h_2(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are such that:

$$h_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = h_2(X_1, \dots, X_n)Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

for some cyclotomic polynomial Q , then the maximal domains of meromorphic continuation of the Euler products $\prod_p h_1(p^{-s_1}, p^{-s_2}, \dots, p^{-s_n})$ and $\prod_p h_2(p^{-s_1}, p^{-s_2}, \dots, p^{-s_n})$ coincide.

So from now on, it suffices to assume the following:

The polynomial h is not constant and has no cyclotomic factors.

DEFINITION 2. — Suppose that the polynomial h is not constant and has no cyclotomic factors.

For all $\delta \geq 0$ we put $\mathbf{W}(\delta) = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sigma \cdot \alpha_j > \delta, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\}\}$.

For a polynomial h in $n \geq 1$ variable(s), we first observe that $Z(\mathbf{s})$ defines a holomorphic function of \mathbf{s} in the domain $\sigma \cdot \alpha_j > 1, (j = 1, \dots, r)$. In [2], G. Bhowmik, D. Essouabri and B. Lichtin showed that there is a meromorphic continuation of $Z(\mathbf{s})$ to $\mathbf{W}(0)$. They did so by proving the following result.

Theorem (Bhowmik-Essouabri-Lichtin) *For each $\delta > 0$, there exists a bounded Euler product $G_\delta(\mathbf{s})$, absolutely convergent on $\mathbf{W}(\delta)$ such that:*

$$(2) \quad Z(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{\substack{\beta=(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \\ 1 \leq \|\beta\| \leq [\delta^{-1}]}} \zeta(\mathbf{s} \cdot \alpha \cdot {}^t\beta)^{\gamma(\beta)} G_\delta(\mathbf{s}); \text{ where } \{\gamma(\beta) : \beta \in \mathbb{N}^r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}.$$

In fact, their result is somewhat stronger. They also showed that the function $Z(\mathbf{s})$ does not admit a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbf{W}(\delta)$ for any $\delta < 0$. This followed from the fact that $\mathbf{0}$ is an accumulation point of zeros or poles of the one variable function $t \mapsto Z(t \cdot \theta)$ for almost all direction $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Before announcing the main result, we will first introduce a definition.