

# **COXETER POLYTOPES AND BENJAMINI–SCHRAMM CONVERGENCE**

**Jean Raimbault**

**Tome 152 Fascicule 1**

2024

**SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE**

**pages 33-44**

Le *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* est un périodique trimestriel de la Société Mathématique de France.

Fascicule 1, tome 152, mars 2024

# *Comité de rédaction*

Boris ADAMCZEWSKI François CHARLES Gabriel DOSPINESCU Clothilde FERMANIAN Dorothee FREY

Youness LAMZOURI Wendy LOWEN Ludovic RIFFORD Béatrice de TILIÈRE

François DAHMANI (Dir.)

#### *Diffusion*

Maison de la SMF AMS<br>Case 916 - Luminy P.O. Box 6248 Case 916 - Luminy P.O. Box 6248<br>288 Marseille Cedex 9 Providence RI 02940 13288 Marseille Cedex 9 Providence R<br>France USA France USA<br>s@smf.emath.fr www.ams.org commandes@smf.emath.fr

## *Tarifs*

*Vente au numéro :* 43 € (\$64) *Abonnement électronique* :  $160 \in (\text{$} 8240)$ , *avec supplément papier* : Europe 244  $\in$ , hors Europe 330  $\in$  (\$421) Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

## *Secrétariat : Bulletin de la SMF*

*Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* Société Mathématique de France Institut Henri Poincaré, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France<br>Tél: (33) 1 44 27 67 99 • Fax: (33)  $\bullet$  Fax : (33) 1 40 46 90 96 bulletin@smf.emath.fr • <smf.emath.fr>

© *Société Mathématique de France 2024*

*Tous droits réservés (article L 122–4 du Code de la propriété intellectuelle). Toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale ou partielle faite sans le consentement de l'éditeur est illicite. Cette représentation ou reproduction par quelque procédé que ce soit constituerait une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles L 335–2 et suivants du CPI.*

ISSN 0037-9484 (print) 2102-622X (electronic)

Directeur de la publication : Fabien DURAND

*Bull. Soc. Math. France* **152** (1), 2024, p. 33-44

# COXETER POLYTOPES AND BENJAMINI–SCHRAMM **CONVERGENCE**

#### by Jean Raimbault

ABSTRACT.  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  We observe that a large part of the volume of a hyperbolic polyhedron is taken by a tubular neighbourhood of its boundary and use this to give a new proof for the finiteness of arithmetic maximal reflection groups following a recent work with M. Fraczyk and S. Hurtado. We also study in more depth the case of polygons in the hyperbolic plane.

Résumé (*Polytopes de Coxeter et convergence de Benjamini–Schramm*). — En partant de l'observation qu'au moins une proportion fixée du volume d'un polytope hyperbolique est concentrée dans un voisinage tubulaire de son bord, nous donnons une nouvelle démonstration de la finitude des groupes de réflexion arithmétiques, à la suite d'un travail en commun avec M. Fraczyk and S. Hurtado. Nous effectuons aussi une étude plus poussée de ce phénomène dans le cas des polygones du plan hyperbolique.

Let *X* be a space of constant curvature, which is either a hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^d$ , a Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or a sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d$ . An hyperplane in X is a one-lowerdimensional complete totally geodesic subspace, and a polytope is a bounded (or in the case of  $\mathbb{H}^d$ , finite-volume) region delimited by a finite number of hyperplanes. A polytope in  $X$  is said to be Coxeter if the dihedral angles between its faces are each of the form  $\pi/m$  for some  $m \geq 2$ . For Coxeter polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or  $\mathbb{S}^d$  there is a well-known, complete and very intelligible classification of Coxeter polytopes by Coxeter diagrams. On the other hand,

*Texte reçu le 13 septembre 2022, modifié le 7 juin 2023, accepté le 26 juillet 2023.*

Jean Raimbault, Institut de Mathématiques de Marseille, UMR 7373, CNRS, Aix-Marseille Université • *E-mail :* jean.raimbault@univ-amu.fr

Mathematical subject classification (2010). — 22E40, 51F15.

Key words and phrases. — Hyperbolic reflexion group, Benjamini–Schramm convergence. This research was supported by ANR grant AGDE – ANR-20-CE40-0010-01.

Coxeter polytopes in  $\mathbb{H}^d$  have a very different behaviour and are still quite mysterious. In the sequel, we will thus only be concerned with  $X = \mathbb{H}^d$ . We will study Coxeter polytopes from a metric viewpoint and establish some results about their shapes when the volume tends to infinity, especially when  $d = 2$ . A general survey on Coxeter groups in hyperbolic space is given in [\[13\]](#page--1-0); a more recent one is given in [\[3\]](#page--1-1), which focuses on arithmetic aspects.

Let *P* be a Coxeter polytope in  $\mathbb{H}^d$  and let  $\Gamma_P$  be the subgroup of Isom( $\mathbb{H}^d$ ) generated by reflections in the faces of *P*. This is a discrete subgroup acting on *X* with fundamental domain *P*, by the Poincaré polyhedron theorem. Moreover, *P* is identified with the  $\mathbb{H}^d$ -orbifold given by  $\Gamma_P \backslash \mathbb{H}^d$ , by endowing each face of *P* with the local orbifold structure given by its pointwise stabiliser (the group generated by reflections in the maximal faces that contain it). For  $R > 0$ , the *R*-thin part of *P* is given by

$$
P_{\leq R} = \{ x \in P : \exists \gamma \in \Gamma_P, \, d(x, \gamma x) \leq R/2, \}
$$

and it corresponds to the *R*-thin part of the orbifold. An easy exercise shows that  $P_{\leq R}$  is equal to the set of points in P that are at distance at most  $R/2$  from the boundary. The first result in this note is the following, which is essentially a consequence of the hyperbolic isoperimetric inequality as we prove in [1](#page-4-0) below.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>THEOREM 1. — *For every*  $d \geq 2$ , there exists a constant  $C(d)$  such that for *every Coxeter polytope*  $P$  *of finite volume in*  $\mathbb{H}^d$ *, we have* 

$$
\text{vol}(P_{\leq 2}) \geq C(d) \text{ vol}(P).
$$

In a joint work with M. Fraczyk and S. Hurtado [\[6\]](#page--1-2) it was proven that  $vol(M_{\leq R}) = o(vol M)$  uniformly for *M* a congruence arithmetic orbifold quotient of a given symmetric space. From this together with Theorem [1](#page-3-0) we can quite easily deduce the following result, which was originally proved by Nikulin and Agol–Belolipetsky–Storm–Whyte ([\[11,](#page--1-3) [2\]](#page--1-4), respectively). In fact, the inspiration for this note was provided by a recent work of Fisher–Hurtado [\[5\]](#page--1-5), where they use a lower-level part of  $[6]^1$  $[6]^1$  to give a new proof of Nikulin and Agol–Belolipetsky–Storm–Whyte's result.

Corollary 2. — *For any d there are at most finitely many arithmetic maximal reflection groups in*  $PO(d, 1)$ *.* 

*Proof.* — Let  $\Gamma_{P_n}$  be a sequence of pairwise non-conjugated maximal Coxeter arithmetic lattices, that is  $P_n$  is a finite-volume Coxeter polyhedron in  $\mathbb{H}^d$  and  $vol(P_n) \to +\infty$ . Let  $\Gamma_n$  be the congruence closure of  $\Gamma_{P_n}$ . Theorem D in [\[6\]](#page--1-2) states that if  $\text{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash \mathbb{H}^d) \to +\infty$  then, putting  $M_n = \Gamma_n \backslash \mathbb{H}^d$ , we have that for any  $R > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\text{vol}(M_n)_{\leq R}}{\text{vol}(M_n)}$  $\frac{N_1(M_n) \leq R}{N_0 \ln M_n} = 0$ . Since  $P_n$  is a finite (orbifold) cover of  $M_n$ 

<sup>1.</sup> Namely the "arithmetic Margulis lemma", Theorem 3.1 in loc. cit., which is an essential ingredient in the proof of Theorem D in loc. cit.

tome 152 – 2024 – $\mathrm{N}^\mathrm{O}$  1

and the ratio  $\frac{\text{vol}(\cdot)_{\leq R}}{\text{vol}}$  is decreasing in finite covers, this would contradict the fact that  $vol(P_n)_{\leq 2} \geq C(d) \, vol(P_n)$  if we knew that  $vol(\Gamma_n \backslash \mathbb{H}^d) \to +\infty$ .

So, to deduce the corollary we need only prove that  $\text{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash\mathbb{H}^d) \to +\infty$ . This is the case if the adjoint trace fields of  $\Gamma_{P_n}$  are not of bounded degree. On the other hand, if these trace fields have bounded degree then by [\[2,](#page--1-4) Lemma 6.2] and standard arguments (see, e.g. Lemma 5.4 in loc. cit.) we have that  $[\Gamma_n : \Gamma_{P_n}]$  is bounded, so that  $\text{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash \mathbb{H}^d) \gg \text{vol}(P_n)$  goes to infinity.

It is also well known that cofinite reflection groups cannot exist in large dimensions [\[12,](#page--1-6) [8\]](#page--1-7), so we may as well say that there are only finitely many congruence (or maximal arithmetic) hyperbolic reflection groups.

In the case of polygons in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , we can say more. Let  $G = \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^d)$  $PO(d, 1)$  and let  $\mu_P$  be the *G*-invariant measure on the Chabauty space  $Sub_G$  of *G* supported on the conjugacy class of  $\Gamma_P$ . We will use the notion of Benjamini– Schramm convergence introduced in [\[1,](#page--1-8) Sections 2-3]; this is the notion of convergence induced by the topology of weak convergence of measures on Sub*G*. In this language, Theorem [1](#page-3-0) implies that the trivial subgroup is not a limit point of the measures  $\mu_P$ . When  $d = 2$  we can prove the following result, which is much more precise than Theorem [1.](#page-3-0)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Theorem 3. — *If µ belongs to the closure (in topology of weak convergence of measures on* Sub*G) of the set of all µ<sup>P</sup> for P a finite-volume Coxeter polygon*  $in \mathbb{H}^2$ , then  $\mu$ -almost every subgroup is non-trivial and generated by reflections.

This seems likely to be true in higher dimensions as well, though our very elementary proof does not seem to immediately extend to this setting. Finally, note that any subgroup of  $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^d)$  generated by reflections is, in fact, generated by the reflections in the side of a Coxeter polytope (possibly with infinitely many faces), which is a well-known  $fact^2$ 

*Organisation.* — The proof of Theorem [1](#page-3-0) is very short and given in Section [1.](#page-4-0) The rest of the article is dedicated to the proof of Theorem [3;](#page-4-1) first we collect a few useful facts on the geometry of hyperbolic Coxeter polygons in Section [2,](#page-6-0) and use them in Section [3](#page--1-9) to prove that a Benjamini–Schramm limit of Coxeter polygons is almost surely non-trivial. Independently, we prove in Section [4](#page--1-10) that the set of groups generated by reflections is closed in the Chabauty topology and deduce that a Benjamini–Schramm limit of Coxeter polygons is almost surely generated by reflections.

#### 1. Proof of Theorem [1](#page-3-0)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Fix  $d \geq 2$ . Let P be a Coxeter polytope in  $\mathbb{H}^d$ . We will denote by  $F_i, i \in I$ the  $(d-1)$ -faces of *P*.

<sup>2.</sup> This is stated at the beginning of [\[13\]](#page--1-0), and the proof is more or less obvious.

BULLETIN DE LA SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Now let

$$
U = \{ x \in P : d(x, \partial P) \le 1 \}
$$

and

$$
P' = \{ x \in P : d(x, \partial P) \ge 1 \}.
$$

We have  $U \subset P_{\leq 2}$  since every point of U is moved by at most 2 by a reflection in a face of *P* (as previously noted, it is clear that, in fact,  $U = P_{\leq 2}$ ).

For  $x \in F_i$ , let  $\nu_x$  be the vector normal to  $F_i$  pointing inside  $P$ ; note that  $d(F_i, \exp_x(\nu_x)) = 1$ , for all  $x \in F_i$ . Let  $W_i \subset F_i$  defined by

$$
W_i = \{ x \in F_i : \exp_x(\nu_x) \in P', \,\forall \, j \neq i \, d(F_j, \exp_x(\nu_x)) > 1 \}
$$

and let

$$
F_i' = \{ \exp_x(\nu_x) : x \in W_i \}.
$$

Then the  $F_i'$  are disjoint open subsets of  $\partial P'$ , and their complement  $S =$  $\partial P' \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} F'_i$  is of measure 0 (with respect to the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional measure on  $\partial P'$ ) as it is equal to the set of points in  $\partial P'$  at distance 1 from at least two of the  $F_i$ .

For  $y \in F_i'$ , let  $\nu'_y$  be the vector orthogonal to  $F_i'$  pointing outside  $P'$ ; then the map

<span id="page-5-0"></span>(1) 
$$
E: [0,1] \times \partial P' \setminus S \to \mathbb{H}^d, (t,y) \mapsto \exp_y(t\nu'_y)
$$

has its image inside U. Since the local geometry of the submanifolds  $F_i'$  of  $\mathbb{H}^d$ depends only on *d*, we see that the Jacobian of *E* is uniformly bounded away from 0 (we prove this in detail in [1.1](#page-6-1) at the end of the section); let  $\varepsilon(d) > 0$  be a lower bound. Moreover, the sets  $E([0,1] \times F_i')$  are pairwise disjoint (since a point in  $E([0,1] \times F_i')$  is at distance  $\leq 1$  from exactly one face of  $\partial P$ , which is  $F_i$ , and at distance  $> 1$  of all others). It follows that

$$
\text{vol}_d(E([0,1] \times \partial P' \setminus S)) \ge \varepsilon(d) \cdot 1 \cdot \text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial P' \setminus S) = \varepsilon(d) \text{ vol}_{d-1}(\partial P'),
$$

so that  $vol(U) \ge \varepsilon(d) vol_{d-1}(\partial P')$ . We finish the proof of the theorem with the following chain of inequalities:

$$
\text{vol}_d(P) = \text{vol}_d(U) + \text{vol}_d(P')
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \text{vol}_d(U) + \text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial P')
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq (1 + \varepsilon(d)^{-1}) \text{vol}_d(U)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C(d) \text{vol}_d(P_{\leq 2}).
$$

where the second inequality follows from the isoperimetric inequality for hy-perbolic space [\[7,](#page--1-11) Proposition 6.6], which implies that  $\text{vol}_d(P') \leq \text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial P')$ .

TOME  $152 - 2024 - N^{\circ}$  1

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**1.1. Exponential map on equidistant sets.** — Let H be a geodesic hyperplane in  $\mathbb{H}^d$  and  $H'$  a connected component of  $\{x \in \mathbb{H}^d : d(x,H) = 1\}$ . Let  $E : [0,1] \times H' \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^d$  be the map defined as in [\(1\)](#page-5-0). Since all hyperplanes and their equidistant sets are related by isometries, and exponential maps are equivariant with respect to those, our claim will follow if we prove that the Jacobian det( $DE(x, t)$ ) is uniformly bounded away from 0 for  $x \in H', t \in [0, 1]$ .

The group  $\text{Isom}(H)$  acts transitively on  $H'$ , and the map  $E$  is equivariant with respect to this action. It follows that we need only to prove that  $\det(DE(t, x))$  is uniformly bounded away from 0 for a fixed x and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . This is immediate by compacity, since  $DE(t, x)$  is invertible for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### 2. Lemmas on Coxeter polygons

<span id="page-6-0"></span>We collect here some preliminary facts about Coxeter polygons in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , and give complete proofs for all of them; though they are likely well known it seems more convenient to give their (short) proofs than locate sufficiently precise references for them. First we have a consequence of the collar/Margulis lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. — *There exists*  $\eta > 0$  *such that if P is a Coxeter polygon in*  $\mathbb{H}^2$ *then:*

- <span id="page-6-2"></span>1. *if an edge of P has length*  $\leq \eta$ *, then its adjacent angles are right angles;*
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>2. *no two adjacent edges of P have both length*  $\leq \eta$ *;*
- 3. *any two non-consecutive vertices of P are at distance at least η.*

*Proof.* — Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_P$  be the discrete subgroup generated by the reflections  $\sigma_e$ in the sides  $e$  of  $P$ . Let  $\delta$  be the constant given by the collar/Margulis lemma for  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , so that for any  $x \in \mathbb{H}^2$ , we have that

$$
\Gamma_x := \langle \gamma \in \Gamma : d(x, \gamma x) \le \delta \rangle
$$

is virtually cyclic. So if  $e_2$  is an edge of P with length  $\leq \delta/2$  and  $e_1, e_3$  the adjacent edges of *P*, then the subgroup generated by  $\sigma_{e_i}$  is virtually cyclic (as each of  $\sigma_{e_i}$  moves any vertex of *e* of less than  $\delta$ ). On the other hand, discrete virtually cyclic subgroups of  $PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$  cannot contain an element of finite order other than 2 (such a subgroup contains an hyperbolic isometry and any finite-order element in the group must preserve the two endpoints of its axis), and as this subgroup contains the rotations about the vertices of  $e_2$ , the angles between  $e_1, e_1$  $e_1, e_1$  $e_1, e_1$  and  $e_2, e_3$  must be right angles. This proves part 1 for any *η* ≤ *δ/*2.

We now prove part [2](#page-6-3) for any  $\eta \leq \delta/4$ . Assume that there are two consecutive edges with lengths less than  $\eta$ , i.e. three consecutive vertices  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  such that  $d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, x_3) \leq \eta$ . Then if  $\sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$  are the reflections in the sides containing the  $x_j$ , each  $x_j$  is at distance less than  $2\eta$  of the axis of each *σ*<sup>*i*</sup> so  $d(x_j, \sigma_i x_j) < 4η \le δ$ . By the Collar Lemma it follows that the subgroup

BULLETIN DE LA SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE