

Astérisque

JEFF CHEEGER

BRUCE KLEINER

**Characterization of the Radon-Nikodym property
in terms of inverse limits**

Astérisque, tome 321 (2008), p. 129-138

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_2008__321__129_0

© Société mathématique de France, 2008, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RADON-NIKODYM PROPERTY IN TERMS OF INVERSE LIMITS

by

Jeff Cheeger & Bruce Kleiner

Abstract. — In this paper we clarify the relation between inverse systems, the Radon-Nikodym property, the Asymptotic Norming Property of James-Ho [10], and the GFDA spaces introduced in [5].

Résumé (Caractérisation de la propriété de Radon-Nikodym en termes de limites inverses)

Dans cet article nous clarifions la relation entre les systèmes inverses, la propriété de Radon-Nikodym, la propriété normative asymptotique de James-Ho [10] et les espaces GFDA, introduits dans [5].

1. Introduction

A Banach space V is said to have the *Radon-Nikodym Property* (RNP) if every Lipschitz map $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow V$ is differentiable almost everywhere. By now, there are a number of characterizations of Banach spaces with the RNP, the study of which goes back to Gelfand [7]; for additional references and discussion, see [1, Chapter 5], [8]. Of particular interest here is the characterization of the RNP in terms of the Asymptotic Norming Property; [10, 8].

In this paper we will show that a variant of the GFDA property introduced in [5] is actually equivalent to the Asymptotic Norming property of James-Ho, and hence by [10, 8], is equivalent to the RNP. In addition, we observe that the GFDA spaces of [5] are just spaces which are isomorphic to a separable dual space.

Definition 1.1. — An inverse system

$$(1.2) \quad W_1 \xleftarrow{\theta_1} W_2 \xleftarrow{\theta_2} \dots \xleftarrow{\theta_{i-1}} W_i \xleftarrow{\theta_i} \dots,$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 46XX, 51XX.

Key words and phrases. — Radon-Nikodym Property, Asymptotic Norming Property, inverse limit, separable dual space.

The first author was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 0105128 and the second by NSF Grant DMS 0701515.

is *standard* if the W_i 's are finite dimensional Banach spaces and the θ_i 's are linear maps of norm ≤ 1 . We let $\pi_j : \varprojlim W_i \rightarrow W_j$ denote the projection map.

Definition 1.3. — Let $\{(W_i, \theta_i)\}$ be a standard inverse system and $V \subset \varprojlim W_i$ be a subspace. The pair $(\varprojlim W_i, V)$ has the *Determining Property* if a sequence $\{v_k\} \subset V$ converges strongly provided the projected sequences $\{\pi_j(v_k)\} \subset W_j$ converge for every j , the sequence $\{\|v_k\|\}$ is bounded, and the convergence $\|\pi_j(v_k)\| \rightarrow \|v_k\|$ is uniform in k . A Banach space U has the *Determining Property* if there is a pair $(\varprojlim W_i, V)$ with Determining Property, such that V is isomorphic to U .

We have:

Theorem 1.4. — *A separable Banach space has the RNP if and only if it has the Determining Property.*

Since a Banach space has the RNP if and only if every separable subspace has the RNP, Theorem 1.4 yields a characterization of the RNP for nonseparable Banach spaces as well.

To prove the theorem, we first observe in Proposition 2.8 that the inverse limit $\varprojlim W_i$ is the dual space of a separable Banach space. Then, by a completely elementary argument, we show that a Banach space has the Determining Property if and only if it has the Asymptotic Norming Property (ANP) of James-Ho [10]. Since a separable Banach space U has the RNP if and only if it has the ANP [10, 8], the theorem follows. We remark that there is a simple direct proof that if V has the ANP (or the Determining Property), then every Lipschitz map $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow V$ is differentiable almost everywhere.

Characterizations of the RNP using inverse limits are useful for applications; see [5], the discussion below concerning metric measure spaces, and [6].

Relation with previous work. — In slightly different language, our earlier paper [5] also considered pairs $(\varprojlim W_i, V)$, where $\varprojlim W_i$ is the inverse limit of a standard inverse system, and $V \subset \varprojlim W_i$ is a closed subspace. A Good Finite Dimensional Approximation (GFDA) of a Banach space V , a notion introduced in [5], is a pair $(\varprojlim W_i, V)$ with the Determining Property such that $\pi_i|_V : V \rightarrow W_i$ is a quotient map for every i .

It follows immediately from Lemma 3.8 of [5] that if $(\varprojlim W_i, V)$ is a GFDA of V , then $V = \varprojlim W_i$. Since such inverse limits are dual spaces by Proposition 2.8, V is a separable dual space in this case. Conversely, using the Kadec-Klee renorming Lemma [11, 12], it was shown in [5] that every separable dual space is isomorphic to a Banach space which admits a GFDA. Thus, a Banach space admits a GFDA if and only if it is isomorphic to a separable dual space.

Applications to metric measure spaces. — We will call a metric measure space (X, μ) a *PI space* if the measure is doubling, and a Poincaré inequality holds in the sense of upper gradients [9, 4]. In [5], differentiation and bi-Lipschitz non-embedding theorems were proved for maps $f : X \rightarrow V$ from PI spaces into GFDA targets V , generalizing results of [4] for finite dimensional targets. As explained above, it turns out that these targets are just separable dual spaces, up to isomorphism.

As an application of the inverse limit framework, we will show in [6] that the differentiation theorem [5, Theorem 4.1] and bi-Lipschitz non-embedding theorem [5, Theorem 5.1] hold whenever the target has the RNP.

Acknowledgement. — We are very grateful to Bill Johnson for sharing an observation which helped give rise to this paper. We are much indebted to Nigel Kalton for immediately catching a serious error in an earlier version.

2. Inverse systems

In this section, we recall some basic facts concerning direct and inverse systems, and the duality between them. Then we show that inverse limits of standard inverse systems are precisely duals of separable spaces.

The following conventions will be in force throughout the remainder of the paper.

Definition 2.1. — An *standard direct system* is a sequence of finite dimensional Banach spaces $\{E_i\}$ and 1-Lipschitz linear maps $\iota_i : E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1}$.

Definition 2.2. — An *standard inverse system* is a sequence of finite dimensional Banach spaces $\{W_i\}$ and 1-Lipschitz linear maps $\theta_i : W_{i+1} \rightarrow W_i$.

Definition 2.3. — A standard direct system is *isometrically injective* if the maps $\iota_i : E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1}$ are isometric injections.

Definition 2.4. — A standard inverse system is *quotient* if the maps $\theta_i : W_{i+1} \rightarrow W_i$ are quotient maps.

By a *quotient map* of normed spaces, we mean a surjective map $\pi : U \rightarrow V$ for which the norm on the target is the quotient norm, i.e. for every $v \in V$,

$$\|v\| = \inf\{\|u\| \mid u \in \pi^{-1}(v)\}.$$

We will refer to the maps ι_i and θ_i as *bonding maps*.

There is a duality between the objects in Definitions 2.1 and 2.2, respectively, 2.3 and 2.4: if $\{(E_i, \iota_i)\}$ is a standard direct system, then $\{(E_i^*, \iota_i^*)\}$ is a standard inverse system and conversely; similarly, isometrically injective direct systems are dual to quotient systems. To see this, one uses the facts that the adjoint of a 1-Lipschitz map of Banach spaces is 1-Lipschitz and the the adjoint of an isometric embedding is a quotient map. (This follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem.) In particular, since

the spaces in our systems are assumed to be finite dimensional (hence reflexive) every inverse system arises as the dual of its dual direct system and conversely. The same holds for quotient inverse systems.

We now recall the definitions of direct and inverse limits.

Given a standard direct system $\{(E_i, \iota_i)\}$ we form the direct limit Banach space $\varinjlim E_i$ as follows. We begin with the disjoint union $\sqcup_i E_i$, and declare two elements $e \in E_i, e' \in E_{i'}$ to be equivalent if their images in E_j coincide for some $j \geq \max\{i, i'\}$. Since the bonding maps are 1-Lipschitz, the set of equivalence classes inherits an obvious vector space structure with a pseudo-norm. The direct limit $\varinjlim E_i$ is defined to be the completion of the quotient of this space by the closed subspace of elements whose pseudo-norm is zero. Clearly, there are 1-Lipschitz maps

$$\tau_i : E_i \rightarrow \varinjlim E_i,$$

which in the case of isometrically injective direct systems, are isometric injections. The union $\bigcup_i \tau_i(E_i)$ is dense in $\varinjlim E_i$.

The inverse limit $\varprojlim W_i$ of a standard inverse system $\{(W_i, \theta_i)\}$ is defined as follows. The underlying set consists of the collection of elements $(w_i) \in \prod_i W_i$ which are compatible with the bonding maps, i.e. $\theta_i(w_i) = w_{i-1}$ for all i , and which satisfy $\sup_i \|w_i\| < \infty$. This is equipped with the obvious vector space structure and the norm

$$(2.5) \quad \|\{w_i\}\| := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|w_j\|.$$

The map

$$(2.6) \quad \pi_j : \varprojlim W_i \rightarrow W_j$$

given by

$$\pi_j(\{w_i\}) = w_j$$

is 1-Lipschitz, and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi_j(\{w_i\})\| = \|\{w_i\}\|.$$

An inverse limit $\varprojlim W_i$ has a natural *inverse limit topology*, namely the weakest topology such that every projection map $\pi_j : \varprojlim W_i \rightarrow W_j$ is continuous. Thus a sequence $\{v_k\} \subset \varprojlim W_i$ converges in the inverse limit topology to $v \in \varprojlim W_i$ if and only if for every i , we have $\pi_i(v_k) \rightarrow \pi_i(v)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\{v_k\} \subset \varprojlim W_i$ and $\{v_k\} \xrightarrow{\text{invlim}} v \in \varprojlim W_i$, then

$$(2.7) \quad \|v\| \leq \liminf_k \|v_k\|.$$

Also, every norm bounded sequence $\{v_k\} \subset \varprojlim W_i$ has a subsequence which converges with respect to the inverse limit topology; this follows from a diagonal argument, because $\{\pi_i(v_k)\}$ is contained in a compact subset of W_i , for all i .