

# Bulletin

de la SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

## **GEOMETRIC INSTABILITY FOR NLS ON SURFACES**

**Laurent Thomann**

**Tome 136  
Fascicule 1**

**2008**

**SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE**

Publié avec le concours du Centre national de la recherche scientifique

pages 167-193

## THE WKB METHOD AND GEOMETRIC INSTABILITY FOR NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS ON SURFACES

BY LAURENT THOMANN

---

ABSTRACT. — In this paper we are interested in constructing WKB approximations for the nonlinear cubic Schrödinger equation on a Riemannian surface which has a stable geodesic. These approximate solutions will lead to some instability properties of the equation.

RÉSUMÉ (*Méthode WKB et instabilité géométrique pour les équations de Schrödinger non linéaires sur des surfaces*)

À l'aide de la méthode WKB nous construisons des solutions approchées à l'équation de Schrödinger cubique sur une variété qui possède une géodésique stable. Cette construction permet d'obtenir des résultats d'instabilités dans des espaces de Sobolev.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian surface (i.e., a Riemannian manifold of dimension 2), orientable or not. We assume that  $M$  is either compact or a compact perturbation of the euclidian space, so that the Sobolev embeddings are true. Consider  $\Delta = \Delta_g$  the Laplace-Beltrami operator. In this paper we are interested in constructing WKB approximations for the nonlinear cubic Schrödinger equation

---

*Texte reçu le 20 octobre 2006, révisé le 23 avril 2007 et le 22 janvier 2008*

LAURENT THOMANN, Université Paris-Sud, Mathématiques, Bât 425, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France • *E-mail* : [laurent.thomann@math.u-psud.fr](mailto:laurent.thomann@math.u-psud.fr) • *Url* : <http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~thomann>

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 35Q55; 35B35; 35R25.

Key words and phrases. — nonlinear Schrödinger equation, instability, quasimode.

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u(t, x) + \Delta u(t, x) = \varepsilon |u|^2 u(t, x), & \varepsilon = \pm 1, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in H^\sigma(M), \end{cases}$$

that is, given a small parameter  $0 < h < 1$  and an integer  $N$ , functions  $u_N(h)$  satisfying

$$(2) \quad i\partial_t u_N(h) + \Delta u_N(h) = \varepsilon |u_N(h)|^2 u_N(h) + R_N(h),$$

with  $\|u_N(h)\|_{H^\sigma} \sim 1$  and  $\|R_N(h)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq C_N h^N$ .

Here  $h$  is introduced so that  $u_N(h)$  oscillates with frequency  $\sim \frac{1}{h}$ .

These approximate solutions to (1) will lead to some instability properties in the following sense (where  $h^{-1}$  will play the role of  $n$ ):

DEFINITION 1.1. — We say that the Cauchy problem (1) is unstable near 0 in  $H^\sigma(M)$ , if for all  $C > 0$  there exist times  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $u_{1,n}, u_{2,n} \in H^\sigma(M)$  solutions of (1) so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{1,n}(0)\|_{H^\sigma(M)}, \|u_{2,n}(0)\|_{H^\sigma(M)} &\leq C, \\ \|u_{1,n}(0) - u_{2,n}(0)\|_{H^\sigma(M)} &\rightarrow 0, \\ \limsup \|u_{1,n}(t_n) - u_{2,n}(t_n)\|_{H^\sigma(M)} &\geq \frac{1}{2}C, \end{aligned}$$

when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

This means that the problem is not uniformly well-posed, if we refer to the following definition:

DEFINITION 1.2. — Let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Denote by  $B_{R,\sigma}$  the ball of radius  $R$  in  $H^\sigma$ . We say that the Cauchy problem (1) is uniformly well-posed in  $H^\sigma$  if the flow map

$$u_0 \in B_{R,\sigma} \cap H^1(M) \mapsto \Phi_t(u_0) \in H^\sigma(M),$$

is uniformly continuous for any  $t$ .

We now state our instability result:

PROPOSITION 1.3. — *Let  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{4}$ , and assume that  $M$  has a stable and non degenerated periodic geodesic (see Assumptions 1 and 2), then the Cauchy problem (1) is not uniformly well-posed.*

This problem is motivated by the following results: Let  $(M, g)$  be a riemannian compact surface, then in [5], N. Burq, P. Gérard and N. Tzvetkov prove that (1) is uniformly well-posed in  $H^\sigma(M)$  for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . Whereas, in [4], they show that (1) is unstable on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{4}$ . In fact they construct solutions of (1) of the form

$$(3) \quad u_n^\kappa(t, x) = \kappa e^{i\lambda_n^\kappa t} (n^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma} \psi_n(x) + r_n(t, x)),$$

where  $0 < \kappa < 1$ ,  $\psi_n = (x_1 + ix_2)^n$  is a spherical harmonic which concentrates on the equator of the sphere when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and where  $r_n$  is an error term which is small. To obtain instability, they consider  $\kappa_n \rightarrow \kappa$ , then

$$\|u_n^\kappa(0) - u_n^{\kappa_n}(0)\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{S}^2)} \lesssim |\kappa - \kappa_n| \rightarrow 0,$$

but

$$\|u_n^\kappa(t_n) - u_n^{\kappa_n}(t_n)\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{S}^2)} \gtrsim \kappa |e^{i\lambda_n^\kappa t_n} - e^{i\lambda_n^{\kappa_n} t_n}| \rightarrow 2\kappa,$$

with a suitable choice of  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ .

We follow this strategy but as the surface is not rotation invariant, the ansatz will be more complicated than (3).

This result is sharp, because in [6] they show that (1) is uniformly well-posed on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  when  $\sigma > \frac{1}{4}$ .

On the other hand, in [3] J. Bourgain shows that (1) is uniformly well-posed on the rational torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$  when  $\sigma > 0$ .

These results show how the geometry of  $M$  can lead to instability for the equation (1). Therefore it seems reasonable to obtain a result like Proposition 1.3 with purely geometric assumptions.

We first make the following assumption on  $M$ :

ASSUMPTION 1. — *The manifold  $M$  has a periodic geodesic.*

Denote by  $\gamma$  such a geodesic, then there exists a system of coordinates  $(s, r)$  near  $\gamma$ , say for  $(s, r) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times ]-r_0, r_0[$ , called Fermi coordinates such that (see [13], p. 80)

1. The curve  $r = 0$  is the geodesic  $\gamma$  parametrized by arclength and
2. The curves  $s = \text{constant}$  are geodesics parametrized by arclength. The curves  $r = \text{constant}$  meet these curves perpendicularly.
3. In this system the metric writes

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2(s, r) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We set the length of  $\gamma$  equal to  $2\pi$ . Denote by  $R(s, r)$  the Gauss curvature at  $(s, r)$ , then  $a$  is the unique solution of

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial r^2} + R(s, r)a = 0, \\ a(s, 0) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial r}(s, 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The initial conditions traduce the fact that the curve  $r = 0$  is a unit-speed geodesic. In these coordinates the Laplace-Beltrami operator is

$$\Delta := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \operatorname{div}(\sqrt{\det g} g^{-1} \nabla) = \frac{1}{a} \partial_s \left( \frac{1}{a} \partial_s \right) + \frac{1}{a} \partial_r (a \partial_r).$$

A function on  $M$ , defined locally near  $\gamma$ , can be identified with a function of  $[0, 2\pi] \times ]-r_0, r_0[$  such that

$$\forall (s, r) \in [0, 2\pi] \times ]-r_0, r_0[ \quad f(s + 2\pi, r) = f(s, \omega r)$$

where  $\omega = 1$  if  $M$  is orientable and  $\omega = -1$  if  $M$  is not. Define

$$(6) \quad \omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega - 1) \in \{-1, 0\}.$$

From (4) we deduce that  $a$  admits the Taylor expansion

$$(6) \quad a = 1 - \frac{1}{2} R(s) r^2 + R_3(s) r^3 + \cdots + R_p(s) r^p + o(r^p),$$

with  $R(s) = R(s, 0)$  and

$$(7) \quad R_k(s) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k a}{\partial r^k}(s, 0),$$

for  $k \geq 3$ .

As  $a(s + 2\pi, r) = a(s, \omega r)$ , we deduce  $R(s + 2\pi) = R(s)$  and for all  $j \geq 3$ ,  $R_j(s + 2\pi) = \omega^j R_j(s)$ .

Let  $p_2 = \frac{1}{a^2} \sigma^2 + \rho^2$  be the principal symbol of  $\Delta$ , and

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} s(t) = \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{2\sigma}{a^2}, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \sigma(t) = -\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial s} = -\partial_s \left( \frac{1}{a^2} \right) \sigma^2, \\ \frac{d}{dt} r(t) = \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial \rho} = 2\rho, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) = -\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial r} = -\partial_r \left( \frac{1}{a^2} \right) \sigma^2, \\ s(0) = s_0, \quad \sigma(0) = \sigma_0, \quad r(0) = r_0, \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0, \end{cases}$$

its associated hamiltonian system, where  $p_2 = p_2(s(t), r(t), \sigma(t), \rho(t))$ . The system (8) admits a unique solution and defines the hamiltonian flow

$$\Phi_t : (s_0, \sigma_0, r_0, \rho_0) \longmapsto (s(t), \sigma(t), r(t), \rho(t)).$$

The curve  $\Gamma = \{(s(t) = t, \sigma(t) = 1/2, r(t) = 0, \rho(t) = 0), t \in [0, 2\pi]\}$  is solution of (8) and its projection in the  $(s, r)$  space is the curve  $\gamma$ . Now denote by  $\phi$  the Poincaré map associated to the trajectory  $\Gamma$  and to the hyperplane  $\Sigma = \{s = 0\}$ . There exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $(\sigma = 1/2, r = 0, \rho = 0)$  such that the following makes sense: solve the system (8) with the initial conditions  $(0, \sigma_0, r_0, \rho_0) \in \{0\} \times \mathcal{N}$  and let  $T$  be such that  $s(T) = 2\pi$ , then  $\phi$  is the application

$$\phi : (r_0, \rho_0) \longmapsto (r(T), \rho(T)).$$