

quatrième série - tome 47 fascicule 3 mai-juin 2014

*ANNALES
SCIENTIFIQUES
de
L'ÉCOLE
NORMALE
SUPÉRIEURE*

Shuji SAITO & Kanetomo SATO

*Zero-cycles on varieties over p -adic fields
and Brauer groups*

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

ZERO-CYCLES ON VARIETIES OVER p -ADIC FIELDS AND BRAUER GROUPS

BY SHUJI SAITO AND KANETOMO SATO

ABSTRACT. – In this paper, we study the Brauer-Manin pairing of smooth proper varieties over a p -adic field, and determine the p -adic part of the image of the induced cycle map. We also compute A_0 of a potentially rational surface which splits over a wildly ramified extension.

RÉSUMÉ. – Dans cet article, nous étudions l'accouplement de Brauer-Manin des variétés propres et lisses sur un corps p -adique, et déterminons la partie p -adique de l'image de l'application cycle induite. Nous calculons aussi le A_0 d'une surface potentiellement rationnelle déployée sur une extension sauvagement ramifiée.

1. Introduction

Let k be a p -adic local field, and let X be a proper smooth geometrically integral variety over k . Let $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ be the Chow group of 0-cycles on X modulo rational equivalence. An important tool to study $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ is the natural pairing due to Manin [27]

$$(M) \quad \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \times \mathrm{Br}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ denotes the Grothendieck-Brauer group $H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. When $\dim(X) = 1$, using the Tate duality theorem for abelian varieties over p -adic local fields, Lichtenbaum [25] proved that (M) is non-degenerate and induces an isomorphism

$$(L) \quad A_0(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Here $\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k)$ denotes the cokernel of the natural map $\mathrm{Br}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X)$, and $A_0(X)$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ generated by 0-cycles of degree 0. An interesting question is as to whether the pairing (M) is non-degenerate when $\dim(X) \geq 2$. See [31] for surfaces with non-zero left kernel. See [45] for varieties with trivial left kernel. In this paper, we are concerned with the right kernel of (M) in the higher-dimensional case.

1.1. – We assume that X has a regular model $\mathcal{X}$ which is proper flat of finite type over the integer ring $\mathfrak{o}_k$ of k . It is easy to see that the pairing (M) induces homomorphisms

$$(1.1.1) \quad \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$(1.1.2) \quad \mathrm{A}_0(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k) + \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k) + \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the quotient of $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ by the image of $\mathrm{Br}(k) \oplus \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X})$. If $\dim(X) = 1$, then $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X})$ is zero, and the map (1.1.2) is the same as (L) (cf. [9] 1.7 (c)). Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 1.1.3. – *Assume that the purity of Brauer groups holds for $\mathcal{X}$ (see Definition 2.1.1 below). Then:*

- (1) *The right kernel of the pairing (M) is exactly $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X})$, that is, the map (1.1.1) has dense image with respect to the natural pro-finite topology on the right hand side.*
- (2) *The map (1.1.2) is surjective.*

Restricted to the prime-to- p part, the assertion (1) is due to Colliot-Thélène and Saito [10]. The assertion (2) gives an affirmative answer to [6] Conjecture 1.4 (c), assuming the purity of Brauer groups, which holds if $\dim(\mathcal{X}) \leq 3$ or if $\mathcal{X}$ has good or semistable reduction (cf. Remark 2.1.2 below). Roughly speaking, Theorem 1.1.3 (1) asserts that if an element $\omega \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$ ramifies along the closed fiber of $\mathcal{X}$, then there exists a closed point $x \in X$ for which the specialization of ω is non-zero in $\mathrm{Br}(x)$. We will in fact prove the following stronger result on the ramification of Brauer groups:

THEOREM 1.1.4 (Corollary 3.2.3). – *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be either $\mathcal{X}$ itself or its Henselization at a closed point. Put $U := \mathcal{U}[p^{-1}]$ and assume that the purity of Brauer groups holds for $\mathcal{U}$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is Henselian local, then assume further that all irreducible components of the divisor on $\mathcal{U}$ defined by the radical of (p) are regular. Then the kernel of the map*

$$\psi_x : \mathrm{Br}(U) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in U_0} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \quad \omega \mapsto (\mathrm{inv}_v(\omega|_v))_{v \in U_0}$$

agrees with $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{U})$, where U_0 denotes the set of closed points on U .

The prime-to- p part of Theorem 1.1.4 has been proved in [10]. We will prove the p -primary part of this result using Kerz's idèle class group [24]. Our method of the proof gives also an alternative proof of the prime-to- p part in [10].

1.2. – As an application of Theorem 1.1.3 (2), we give an explicit calculation of $\mathrm{A}_0(X)$ for a potentially rational surface X/k , a proper smooth geometrically connected surface X over k such that $X \otimes_k k'$ is rational for some finite extension k'/k . For such a surface X , the map (1.1.2) has been known to be injective (see Proposition 4.1.2 below), and hence bijective by Theorem 1.1.3 (2). On the other hand, for such a surface X , we have

$$\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k) \simeq H_{\mathrm{Gal}}^1(G_k, \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})),$$

where $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})$ denotes the Néron-Severi group of $\overline{X} := X \otimes_k \overline{k}$, and G_k denotes the absolute Galois group of k . Thus knowing the G_k -module structure of $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})$, we can

compute $A_0(X)$ by determining which element of $\text{Br}(X)$ are unramified along the closed fiber of $\mathcal{X}$. For example, consider a cubic surface for $a \in k^\times$

$$X : T_0^3 + T_1^3 + T_2^3 + aT_3^3 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{P}_k^3 = \text{Proj}(k[T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3]).$$

If a is a cube in k , then X is isomorphic to the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ at six k -valued points in the general position (Shafarevich) and we have $A_0(X) = 0$. We will prove the following result, which is an extension of results in [10] Example 2.8.

THEOREM 1.2.1 (Theorem 4.1.1). – *Assume that $\text{ord}_k(a) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and that k contains a primitive cubic root of unity. Then we have*

$$A_0(X) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/3)^2.$$

In his paper [11], Dalawat provided a method to compute $A_0(X)$ for a potentially rational surface X , which works under the assumption that the action of G_k on $\text{NS}(\overline{X})$ is unramified. Theorem 1.1.3 provides a new method to compute $A_0(X)$, which does not require Dalawat's assumption. Note that p may be 3 in Theorem 1.2.1, so that the action of G_k on $\text{NS}(\overline{X})$ may ramify even wildly.

1.3. – Let $\mathfrak{o}_k$ be as before, and let $\mathcal{X}$ be a regular scheme which is proper flat of finite type over $\mathfrak{o}_k$. Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ has *good or semistable reduction* over $\mathfrak{o}_k$. Let d be the absolute dimension of $\mathcal{X}$, and let r be a positive integer. In [35], we proved that the cycle class map

$$\varrho_m^{d-1} : \text{CH}^{d-1}(\mathcal{X})/m \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-2}(\mathcal{X}, \mu_m^{\otimes d-1})$$

is bijective for any positive integer m prime to p . Here μ_m denotes the étale sheaf of m -th roots of unity. As a new tool to study $\text{CH}^{d-1}(\mathcal{X})$, we introduce the p -adic cycle class map defined in [37] Corollary 6.1.4:

$$\varrho_{p^r}^{d-1} : \text{CH}^{d-1}(\mathcal{X})/p^r \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_r(d-1)).$$

Here $\mathfrak{T}_r(n) = \mathfrak{T}_r(n)_{\mathcal{X}}$ denotes the étale Tate twist with $\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients [37] (see also [38] § 7), which is an object of $D^b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$, the derived category of bounded complexes of étale $\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ -sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. This object $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)$ plays the role of $\mu_m^{\otimes n}$, and we expect that $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)$ agrees with $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{ét}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{ét}}$ denotes the conjectural étale motivic complex of Beilinson-Lichtenbaum ([2], [26], [37] Conjecture 1.4.1 (1)). Concerning the map $\varrho_{p^r}^{d-1}$, we will prove the following result:

THEOREM 1.3.1. – *The cycle class map $\varrho_{p^r}^{d-1}$ is surjective.*

We have nothing to say about the injectivity of $\varrho_{p^r}^{d-1}$ in this paper (compare with [44]). A key to the proof of Theorem 1.3.1 is the non-degeneracy of a canonical pairing of finite $\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_r(d-1)) \times H_{Y, \text{ét}}^3(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_r(1)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$$

proved in [37] Theorem 10.1.1. We explain an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.3.1. Let Y , U , A_x be as in Theorem 1.1.4. Let X_0 and Y_0 be the sets of all closed points on X and Y , respectively, and let $\text{sp} : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ be the specialization map of points. By the duality mentioned above, there is an isomorphism of finite groups

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_r(d-1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{Y, \text{ét}}^3(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_r(1))^*,$$

where we put $M^* := \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ for abelian group M . We will construct an injective map

$$\theta_{p^r} : H_{Y, \text{ét}}^3(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_r(1)) \hookrightarrow \prod_{x \in U_0} {}_{p^r}\text{Br}(A_x[p^{-1}])$$

whose dual fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{CH}^{d-1}(\mathcal{X})/p^r & \xrightarrow{\varrho_{\ell^r}^{d-1}} & H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_r(d-1)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{Y, \text{ét}}^3(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_r(1))^* \\ \uparrow & & & & \uparrow (\theta_{p^r})^* \\ \bigoplus_{x \in U_0} \bigoplus_{v \in \text{Spec}(A_x[p^{-1}])_0} \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{(\psi_{p^r})^*} & \bigoplus_{x \in U_0} ({}_{p^r}\text{Br}(A_x[p^{-1}]))^* \end{array}$$

Here ψ_{p^r} denotes the direct product of the p^r -torsion part of the map ψ_x in Theorem 1.1.4 for all $x \in U_0$, which is injective by Theorem 1.1.4 and its dual $(\psi_{p^r})^*$ is surjective. Therefore Theorem 1.3.1 will follow from this commutative diagram and the surjectivity of $(\theta_{p^r})^*$ and $(\psi_{p^r})^*$ (see § 6 for details).

1.4. – This paper is organized as follows. In § 3, we will prove Theorem 1.1.4 in a stronger form. In § 4, we compute A_0 of cubic surfaces to prove Theorem 1.2.1. In § 5 and § 6, we will prove Theorem 1.1.3 and Theorem 1.3.1, respectively.

Acknowledgements. The research for this article was partially supported by EPSRC grant and JSPS Core-to-Core Program. The authors express their gratitude to Professor Ivan Fesenko for valuable comments and discussions and to The University of Nottingham for their great hospitality. Thanks are also due to Professor Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène for his lucid expository paper [7] on the subject of this paper based on his Bourbaki talk, and for allowing them to include his note [8] in this paper. They also thank Tetsuya Uematsu for several valuable comments on the arguments in § 4. Finally the authors express sincere gratitude to Professor Moritz Kerz for his valuable suggestion to simplify the proof of Theorem 1.1.4.

Notation

1.5. – For an abelian group M and a positive integer n , ${}_nM$ and M/n denote the kernel and the cokernel of the map $M \xrightarrow{\times n} M$, respectively. For a field k , $\bar{k}$ denotes a fixed separable closure, and G_k denotes the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. For a discrete G_k -module M , $H^*(k, M)$ denotes the Galois cohomology groups $H_{\text{Gal}}^*(G_k, M)$, which are the same as the étale cohomology groups of $\text{Spec}(k)$ with coefficients in the étale sheaf associated with M .

1.6. – Unless indicated otherwise, all cohomology groups of schemes are taken over the étale topology. For a commutative ring R with unity and a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\text{Spec}(R)_{\text{ét}}$, we often write $H^*(R, \mathcal{F})$ for $H^*(\text{Spec}(R), \mathcal{F})$.