

Astérisque

DAVID EISENBUD

MARK GREEN

JOE HARRIS

Higher Castelnuovo theory

Astérisque, tome 218 (1993), p. 187-202

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1993__218__187_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1993__218__187_0)

© Société mathématique de France, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

HIGHER CASTELNUOVO THEORY

David Eisenbud, Mark Green, Joe Harris¹

- 0. Introduction
- 1. The geometric case: Castelnuovo theory
- 2. The algebraic case
- 3. Cayley-Bacharach theory
- 4. A stepwise formulation

0. Introduction.

In this paper and others to follow, we intend to set out a series of conjectures concerning the Hilbert functions of points (or more generally, zero-dimensional subschemes) in projective space; or, more generally still, the Hilbert functions of graded Artinian rings. We were first led to make some of these conjectures in Eisenbud-Harris [1982] in the course of our work on Castelnuovo theory. A special case of these was proved independently by us in that paper and by Miles Reid – though as Ciliberto later noted [1987] we were both anticipated by G. Fano [1894]. Recently, we saw how our conjectures might be generalized; and in this form they relate to a number of other areas: for example, another special case is equivalent to a conjectured generalization of the classical Cayley-Bacharach theorem (as we will also discuss here); another to the Kruskal-Katona and Clements-Lindström theorems of combinatorics (see, for example, Kleitman-Green [1978]); and still others, which we intend to describe in a later paper, to questions about the existence of exceptional linear series on complete intersection curves.

Good references for unexplained terminology are Arbarello-Cornalba-Griffiths-Harris [1985] or Eisenbud-Harris [1982].

¹The authors are grateful to the NSF – the second through grant number DMS 88-02020 for partial support during the preparation of this work

1. Castelnuovo theory.

Recall that a set of points in projective space is in *uniform position* if the Hilbert function (= postulation) of a subset depends only on the cardinality of the subset. Castelnuovo theory is concerned with the possible Hilbert functions of points in uniform position. Its origins are classical: Castelnuovo first used estimates on the Hilbert functions of points to derive his upper bound on the genus of an irreducible nondegenerate curve C in projective space $\mathbf{P}^r$ in terms of the degree d of C . Castelnuovo's argument has been reproduced too many times to repeat in detail here (see, for example, Eisenbud-Harris [1982] or Arbarello-Cornalba-Griffiths-Harris [1985]), but briefly what he shows first, by completely elementary means, is that if $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ is a general hyperplane section of C then

$$g(C) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} h^1(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}(\ell))$$

or, in other words, the genus of C is bounded by the sum over all ℓ of the failure of Γ to impose independent conditions on hypersurfaces of degree ℓ . Curves of maximal genus for their degree therefore are likely to be those whose hyperplane sections Γ have the smallest possible Hilbert function h_{Γ} . Next, Castelnuovo shows that among all configurations Γ of $d \geq 2n + 1$ points in uniform position in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$, the ones with minimal Hilbert function are exactly those lying on rational normal curves; he calculates his bound $\pi(d, n)$ on the genus of a curve accordingly. Finally, since if Γ is a subset of a rational normal curve any quadric containing Γ will contain the rational normal curve, he shows that if C is a curve achieving his bound the quadrics containing C must cut out in $\mathbf{P}^n$ a surface whose hyperplane section is a rational normal curve (in particular, a surface of degree $n - 1$, the minimum possible degree for a nondegenerate surface in $\mathbf{P}^n$).

In Eisenbud-Harris [1982], we undertook to extend the results of Castelnuovo – in particular, his characterization of curves of maximal genus for their degree as lying on rational normal scrolls – to curves of high, but not maximal genus. This involved asking, for example, “What is the second smallest possible Hilbert function of a collection of points?” and in general, “What configurations of points have small Hilbert function?” What emerged was the following philosophy: The way to achieve a configuration $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ in uniform position having small Hilbert function is to put Γ on a positive-dimensional variety with small Hilbert function – in effect, on a curve of smallest possible degree, and of largest possible genus given that degree – which is the

intersection of the hypersurfaces of low degree containing Γ .

To be specific, let $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate collection of d points in uniform position; let h_Γ be its Hilbert function, so that for example $h_\Gamma(2)$ is the number of conditions imposed by Γ on quadrics. Castelnuovo says that if $d \geq 2r + 3$, then Γ must impose at least $2r + 1$ conditions on quadrics; and if $h_\Gamma(2) = 2r + 1$ exactly, then Γ must lie on a rational normal curve. Extending this, it turned out that if $d \geq 2r + 5$ and if $h_\Gamma \geq 2r + 2$ then necessarily Γ had to lie on an elliptic normal curve (Fano [1894], Eisenbud-Harris [1982], Reid [unpublished]). We deduced in particular that if a curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ had genus exceeding a bound $\pi_1(d, n)$ (substantially lower than $\pi(d, n)$), then the quadrics containing C have to cut out a surface of degree n in $\mathbf{P}^n$, which allowed us to classify such curves. Both we and Miles Reid went on to conjecture that this pattern would persist, at least for a while: for $\alpha < r$, we conjectured, under the hypothesis $d \geq 2r + 2\alpha + 1$ we could conclude that either $h_\Gamma \geq 2r + \alpha + 1$ or Γ lay on a curve of degree $r + \alpha - 1$ or less in $\mathbf{P}^r$.

In all of these cases, the latter conclusion – that Γ lay on a curve of small degree – would follow immediately if one knew that the intersection of the quadrics containing Γ was in fact positive dimensional. This observation last year suggested to us a seemingly trivial restatement. If we hypothesize that Γ is cut out by quadrics, we can ask: given $h_\Gamma(2)$, what is the largest possible d ? In other words, *What is the largest number $d(h)$ of points of intersection of a linear system of quadrics of codimension h in the space of all quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^r$, given that the intersection of those quadrics is zero-dimensional?* In these terms, we may summarize the state of our knowledge as of 1981 (and its origins) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 d(r + 1) = r + 1 & \text{(elementary)} \\
 d(r + 2) = r + 2 & \text{(elementary)} \\
 \vdots & \\
 d(2r - 1) = 2r - 1 & \text{(elementary)} \\
 d(2r) = 2r & \text{(elementary)} \\
 d(2r + 1) = 2r + 2 & \text{(Castelnuovo)} \\
 d(2r + 2) = 2r + 4 & \text{(Fano, Eisenbud-Harris, Reid)}
 \end{array}$$

The conjectures mentioned above extend this pattern to:

$$d(2r + 3) = 2r + 6$$

$$\vdots$$

$$d(3r - 3) = 4r - 6$$

$$d(3r - 2) = 4r - 4.$$

Note that this conjectured bound on the number of points is sharp, if it holds: for $h \leq 2r$, of course, any configuration of h points in linear general position will be cut out by quadrics and will impose independent conditions on quadrics; and for $2r+2 \leq h = 2r+\alpha \leq 3r-2$ we can take Γ the intersection of a linearly normal curve of degree $r + \alpha$ – that is, a curve of degree $r + \alpha$ and (maximal) genus α – with another quadric. Note, moreover, that in the last case – $d(3r - 2) = 4r - 4$ – there is also another example we can use to show that the bounds is sharp: we can take Γ the intersection of a rational normal scroll $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ with two more quadrics.

This last example suggests that at this point the pattern of $d(h)$ increasing by 2 each time stops. Indeed, corresponding to the two examples above in case $h = 3r - 2$ there are two examples to suggest that the next value of d should be

$$d(3r - 1) = 4r.$$

On the one hand, the maximal genus of a curve of degree $r + \alpha$ in $\mathbf{P}^r$ increases by 2 from $\alpha = r - 1$ to $\alpha = r$, with the result that a curve of degree $2r - 1$ and genus $r - 1$ in $\mathbf{P}^r$ will lie on the same number of quadrics as a curve of degree $2r$ and genus $r + 1$ (that is, a canonical curve). Thus we can take Γ the intersection of a canonical curve in $\mathbf{P}^r$ with a quadric to arrive at a configuration of $4r$ points imposing only $3r - 1$ conditions on quadrics. On the other hand, in the latter example, if we replace the rational normal surface scroll S , which has degree $r - 1$, with a linearly normal surface of one larger degree r (for example, a del Pezzo surface or a cone over an elliptic normal curve), the intersection of our surface with two quadrics will again have degree $4r$ and impose $3r - 1$ conditions on quadrics.

Similar examples indicate that for the next $r - 3$ steps $d(h)$ will increase by 4 each time we increase h : by way of an example, we can take Γ the intersection of a surface of degree $r - 1 + \beta$ with two further quadrics. When we get to the case $h = 4r - 5$, however, we get a new example: the intersection