376

ASTÉRISQUE

2016

LAGRANGIAN FLOER THEORY AND MIRROR SYMMETRY ON COMPACT TORIC MANIFOLDS

Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta & Kaoru Ono

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Publié avec le concours du CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

Astérisque est un périodique de la Société Mathématique de France.
Numéro 376, 2016

Comité de rédaction

Ahmed Abbes
Viviane Baladi
Gérard Besson
Laurent Berger
Philippe Biane
Hélène Esnault
Damien Gaboriau
Michael Harris
Fabrice Planchon
Pierre Schapira
Bertrand Toen
Éric Vasserot (dir.)

Diffusion

Maison de la SMF
Case 916 - Luminy
13288 Marseille Cedex 9
France
smf@smf.univ-mrs.fr

Hindustan Book Agency
O-131, The Shopping Mall
Arjun Marg, DLF Phase 1
Gurgaon 122002, Haryana
Inde

AMS
P.O. Box 6248
Providence RI 02940
USA
www.ams.org

Tarifs

Vente au numéro : 55 € ($ 82)
Abonnement Europe : 654 €, hors Europe : 692 € ($ 1038)
Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

Secrétariat : Nathalie Christiaën

Astérisque
Société Mathématique de France
Institut Henri Poincaré, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie
75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
Tél : (33) 01 44 27 67 99 • Fax : (33) 01 40 46 90 96
revues@smf.ens.fr • http://smf.emath.fr/

© Société Mathématique de France 2016

Tous droits réservés (article L 122–4 du Code de la propriété intellectuelle). Toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale ou partielle faite sans le consentement de l'éditeur est illicite. Cette représentation ou reproduction par quelque procédé que ce soit constituerait une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles L 335–2 et suivants du CPI.

ISSN 0303-1179
ISBN 978-2-85629-825-1

Directeur de la publication : Marc Peigné

376

ASTÉRISQUE

2016

LAGRANGIAN FLOER THEORY AND MIRROR SYMMETRY ON COMPACT TORIC MANIFOLDS

Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta & Kaoru Ono

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Publié avec le concours du CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

Kenji Fukaya
Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3636 U.S.A., & Center for Geometry and Physics, Institute for Basic Sciences (IBS), Pohang, Korea
kfukaya@scgp.stonybrook.edu

Yong-Geun Oh
Center for Geometry and Physics, Institute for Basic Sciences (IBS), Pohang, Korea & Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang, Korea
yongoh1@postech.ac.kr

Hiroshi Ohta
Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
ohta@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Kaoru Ono
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
ono@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

***Classification mathématique par sujet* (2000).** — 53D37, 53D40, 53D45, 14B07, 14M25, 37J05.
Keywords. — Floer cohomology, mirror symmetry, toric manifolds, open-closed Gromov-Witten invariant, Saito's theory of singularities, Landau-Ginzburg model, weakly unobstructed Lagrangian submanifolds, potential function, Jacobian ring, Frobenius manifold.

KF is supported partially by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #19104001, 23224002, Global COE program G08 and NSF Grant No. 1406423, YO by US NSF grant #0904197 and the IBS project IBS-R003-D1, HO by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #19340017, 23340015, 15H02054 and KO by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, #18340014, 21244002, 23224001, 26247006.

LAGRANGIAN FLOER THEORY AND MIRROR SYMMETRY ON COMPACT TORIC MANIFOLDS

Kenji FUKAYA, Yong-Geun OH, Hiroshi OHTA & Kaoru ONO

Abstract. — In this paper we study Lagrangian Floer theory on toric manifolds from the point of view of mirror symmetry. We construct a natural isomorphism between the Frobenius manifold structures of the (big) quantum cohomology of the toric manifold and of Saito's theory of singularities of the potential function constructed in [Fukaya, *Tohoku Math. J.* **63** (2011)] via the Floer cohomology deformed by ambient cycles. Our proof of the isomorphism involves the open-closed Gromov-Witten theory of one-loop.

Résumé **(La théorie de Floer lagrangienne ét la symétrie miroir sur les variétés toriques).** — Dans ce volume nous étudions la théorie de Floer lagrangienne sur les variétés toriques du point de vue de la symétrie miroir. Nous construisons un isomorphisme naturel entre les structures des variétés de Frobenius du grand anneau de cohomologie quantique de la variété torique et de la théorie des singularités de Saïto sur la fonction potentielle construite en [Fukaya, *Tohoku Math. J.* **63** (2011)] en utilisant la cohomologie de Floer déformée par les cycles ambiants. Notre démonstration de l'isomorphisme utilise les invariants de Gromov-Witten ouverts/fermés de la théorie d'une-boucle.

© Astérisque 376, SMF 2016

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Introduction 1
1.2. Notations and terminologies 9
1.3. Statement of the results 11
1.4. Projective space: an example 26

2. Ring isomorphism 29
2.1. Norms and completions of polynomial ring over Novikov ring 29
2.2. Localization of Jacobian ring at moment polytope 33
2.3. Operator $\mathfrak{q}$: review 37
2.4. Well-definedness of Kodaira-Spencer map 46
2.5. Well-definedness of potential function 59
2.6. Kodaira-Spencer map is a ring homomorphism 64
2.7. Surjectivity of Kodaira-Spencer map 86
2.8. Versality of the potential function with bulk 90
2.9. Algebraization of Jacobian ring 92
2.10. Seidel homomorphism and a result by McDuff-Tolman 97
2.11. Injectivity of Kodaira-Spencer map 101
2.11.1. The case $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda_+)$ 101
2.11.2. The case $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda_0)$ 117
2.12. The Chern class c_1 and critical values of $\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ 124
2.13. Hirzebruch surface F_2: an example 126

3. Coincidence of pairings 131
3.1. Operator $\mathfrak{p}$ and Poincaré duality 131
3.2. Cyclic symmetry in the toric case 137
3.3. Operator $\mathfrak{p}$ in the toric case 147
3.4. Moduli space of holomorphic annuli 154
3.5. Poincaré duality is residue pairing 179
3.6. Clifford algebra and Hessian matrix 181
3.7. Residue pairing and Hessian determinant 185
3.8. Cyclic homology and variation of the invariant Z 190
3.9. Orientation 205
3.9.1. Operators D_1 and D_2 206

3.9.2. Orientation of Index D_1 207
3.9.3. Orientation of Index D_2 209
3.9.4. Continuation of linear Kuranishi models 210
3.10. Sign in Theorem 3.4.1 and Proposition 3.5.2 213
3.10.1. Some lemmata 214
3.10.2. Proofs of signs in Theorem 3.4.1 and Proposition 3.5.2 218

4. Appendix 227
4.1. Coincidence of the two definitions of $\delta^{\mathfrak{b},b}$ 227
4.2. Interpolation between Kuranishi structures 229
4.3. T^n equivariant and cyclically symmetric Kuranishi structures 234
4.3.1. The case of one disk component I 241
4.3.2. The case of one disk component II 253
4.3.3. The case of one disk component III 259
4.3.4. The case of more than one disk components 267
4.3.5. Taking the sum of obstruction spaces so that coordinate change exists 275
4.3.6. Taking fiber product with T^n invariant cycles 280
4.4. Continuous family of T^n equivariant and cyclic symmetric multisections 282
4.5. Construction of various other Kuranishi structures. 284
4.5.1. Construction of $\mathfrak{p}$-Kuranishi structure 284
4.5.2. Construction of $\mathfrak{t}$-Kuranishi structure 289
4.5.3. Proof of Lemma 4.2.7 291
4.5.4. Kuranishi structure on the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic annuli I 297
4.5.5. Kuranishi structure on the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic annuli II 304
4.5.6. Kuranishi structure on the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic annuli III 309
4.6. Proof of Lemma 2.6.27 314
4.7. Hochschild and quantum cohomologies 326

Bibliography 333

Index 339

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove a version of mirror symmetry between compact toric A-model and Landau-Ginzburg B-model.

Let X be a compact toric manifold and take a toric Kähler structure on it. In this paper X is not necessarily assumed to be Fano. In [**33**] we defined a potential function with bulk, $\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, which is a family of functions of n variables $y_1, \dots, y_n$ parameterized by the cohomology class $\mathfrak{b} \in H(X; \Lambda_0)$. (More precisely, it is parameterized by T^n-invariant cycles. We explain this point later in Sections 1.3 and 2.5.) (Λ_0 is defined in Definition 1.2.1.)

$\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is an element of an appropriate completion of $\Lambda_0[y_1, y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_n, y_n^{-1}]$, the Laurent polynomial ring over (universal) Novikov ring Λ_0. We denote this completion by $\Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1}\rangle\rangle_0^{\mathring{P}}$. (See Definition 1.3.1 for its definition.) We put

$$\mathrm{Jac}(\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}) = \frac{\Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1}\rangle\rangle_0^{\mathring{P}}}{\mathrm{Clos}_{d_{\mathring{P}}}\left(y_i \frac{\partial \mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}}{\partial y_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\right)}.$$

Differentiation of $\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ with respect to the ambient cohomology class $\mathfrak{b}$ gives rise to a Λ_0 module homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{ks}_{\mathfrak{b}} : H(X; \Lambda_0) \to \mathrm{Jac}(\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}). \tag{1.1.1}$$

We define a quantum ring structure $\cup^{\mathfrak{b}}$ on $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ by deforming the cup product by $\mathfrak{b}$ using Gromov-Witten theory. (See Definition 1.3.28.) The main result of this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1.1. — *Equip $H(X; \Lambda_0)$ with the ring structure $\cup^{\mathfrak{b}}$. Then*

1. *The homomorphism $\mathfrak{ks}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ in* (1.1.1) *is a ring isomorphism.*
2. *If $\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a Morse function, i.e., all its critical points are nondegenerate, the isomorphism $\mathfrak{ks}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ above intertwines the Poincaré duality pairing on $H(X; \Lambda)$ and the residue pairing on $\mathrm{Jac}(\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}) \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \Lambda$.*

We refer readers to Section 1.3 for the definition of various notions appearing in Theorem 1.1.1. Especially the definition of residue pairing which we use in this paper is given in Definition 1.3.24 and Theorem 1.3.25.

We now explain how Theorem 1.1.1 can be regarded as a mirror symmetry between toric A-model and Landau-Ginzburg B-model:

(a) First, the surjectivity of (1.1.1) implies that the family $\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a versal family in the sense of deformation theory of singularities. (See Theorem 2.8.1.)

(b) Therefore the tangent space $T_{\mathfrak{b}}H(X;\Lambda_0)$ carries a ring structure pulled-back from the Jacobian ring by (1.1.1). Theorem 1.1.1.1 implies that this pull-back coincides with the quantum ring structure $\cup^{\mathfrak{b}}$.

(c) Theorem 1.1.1.2 implies that the residue paring, which is defined when $\mathrm{Jac}(\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}})$ is a Morse function, can be extended to arbitrary class $\mathfrak{b} \in H(X;\Lambda_0)$. In fact, the Poincaré duality pairing of $H(X;\Lambda_0)$ is independent of $\mathfrak{b}$ and so obviously extended.

This (extended) residue pairing is nondegenerate and defines a 'Riemannian metric' on $H(X;\Lambda_0)$. (Here we put parenthesis since our metric is over the field of fractions Λ of Novikov ring and is not over $\mathbb{R}$.) As in the standard Riemannian geometry, it determines the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on $H(X;\Lambda_0)$. Theorem 1.1.1.2 then implies that this connection is flat: In fact, in the A-model side this connection is noting but the canonical affine connection on the affine space $H(X;\Lambda_0)$.

Let w_i be affine coordinates of $H(X;\Lambda_0)$ i.e., the coordinates satisfying $\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_i}\partial/\partial w_j = 0$. Then we have a function Φ on $H(X;\Lambda_0)$ that satisfies

$$\langle \mathbf{f}_i \cup^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_k\rangle_{\mathrm{PD}_X} = \frac{\partial^3\Phi}{\partial w_i \partial w_j \partial w_k}. \tag{1.1.2}$$

Here $\{\mathbf{f}_i\}$ is the basis of $H(X;\Lambda_0)$ corresponding to the affine coordinates w_i and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{PD}_X}$ is the Poincaré duality pairing.

In fact, Φ is constructed from Gromov-Witten invariants and is called Gromov-Witten potential. (See **[59]**, for example.) Using the isomorphism given in Theorem 1.1.1.1 we find that the third derivative of Φ also gives the structure constants of the Jacobian ring.

(d) In **[33**, Section 10**]**, we defined an Euler vector field $\mathfrak{E}$ on $H(X;\Lambda_0)$. We also remark that the Jacobian ring carries a unit that is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection: This is obvious in the A-model side and hence the same holds in the B-model side.

(e) The discussion above implies that the miniversal family $\mathfrak{PO}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ determines the structure of Frobenius manifold on $H(X;\Lambda_0)$. K. Saito **[63]** and M. Saito **[65]** defined a Frobenius manifold structure on the parameter space of miniversal