

Numéro179ELLIPSITOMIC ASSOCIATORSNouvelle sérieD. CALAQUE & M. GONZALEZ

2 0 2 3

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Comité de rédaction

Boris ADAMCZEWSKI Christine BACHOC François CHARLES François DAHMANI Béatrice de TILLIÈRE Clotilde FERMANIAN Dorothee FREY Wendy LOWEN Eva VIEHMANN

Marc HERZLICH (dir.)

Diffusion

Maison de la SMF Case 916 - Luminy 13288 Marseille Cedex 9 France commandes@smf.emath.fr AMS P.O. Box 6248 Providence RI 02940 USA www.ams.org

Tarifs

Vente au numéro : 38 € (\$57) Abonnement électronique : 113 € (\$170) Abonnement avec supplément papier : 167 €, hors Europe : 197 € (\$296) Des conditions spéciales sont accordées aux membres de la SMF.

Secrétariat

Mémoires de la SMF Société Mathématique de France Institut Henri Poincaré, 11, rue Pierre et Marie Curie 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France Tél : (33) 01 44 27 67 99 • Fax : (33) 01 40 46 90 96 memoires@smf.emath.fr • http://smf.emath.fr/

© Société Mathématique de France 2023

Tous droits réservés (article L 122–4 du Code de la propriété intellectuelle). Toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale ou partielle faite sans le consentement de l'éditeur est illicite. Cette représentation ou reproduction par quelque procédé que ce soit constituerait une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles L 335–2 et suivants du CPI.

ISSN papier 0249-633-X; électronique : 2275-3230 ISBN 978-85629-981-4 doi:10.24033/msmf.487

Directeur de la publication : Fabien DURAND

MÉMOIRES DE LA SMF 179

ELLIPSITOMIC ASSOCIATORS

Damien Calaque Martin Gonzalez

Société Mathématique de France 2023

D. Calaque IMAG, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France. E-mail : damien.calaque@umontpellier.fr

M. Gonzalez

Institut de Recherche Technologique SystemX, Palaiseau, France. *E-mail* : martin.gonzalez@irt-systemx.fr

Soumis le 19 octobre 2020 ; révisé le 4 mai 2022 ; accepté le 20 octobre 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. – 18M60, 14H52, 20F36, 57K20, 32G34, 11F11.

Key words and phrases. – Associators, operads, groupoids, configuration spaces, braids, chord diagrams, elliptic curves, Grothendieck-Teichmüller, Eisenstein series.

Mots clefs. – Associateurs, opérades, groupoïdes, espaces de configurations, tresses, diagrammes de cordes, courbes elliptiques, Grothendieck-Teichmüller, séries d'Eisenstein.

ELLIPSITOMIC ASSOCIATORS

Damien Calaque, Martin Gonzalez

Abstract. – We develop a notion of ellipsitomic associators by means of operad theory. We take this opportunity to review the operadic point-of-view on Drinfeld associators and to provide such an operadic approach for elliptic associators too. We then show that ellipsitomic associators do exist, using the monodromy of the universal ellipsitomic KZB connection, that we introduced in a previous work. We finally relate the KZB ellipsitomic associators to certain Eisenstein series associated with congruence subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and to twisted elliptic multiple zeta values.

Résumé (Associateurs ellipsitomiques). – Nous développons la notion d'associateur ellipsitomique au moyen de la théorie des opérades. Nous saisissons cette opportunité pour revoir le point de vue opéradique sur les associateurs de Drinfeld, et pour fournir également une telle approche opéradique pour les associateurs elliptiques. Nous montrons ensuite que les associateurs ellipsitomiques existent, en utilisant la monodromie de la connexion KZB ellipsitomique universelle, que nous avions introduite dans un travail précédent. Nous relions pour finir les associateurs ellipsitomiques KZB à certaines séries d'Eisenstein associées aux sous-groupes de congruence de $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, et aux valeurs zêta multiples elliptiques tordues.

CONTENTS

Introduction	vii
Description of the paper	ix
Acknowledgements	x
1. Background material on operads and groupoids	1
1.1. S-modules	1
1.2. Operads	2
1.3. Example of an operad: Stasheff polytopes	3
1.4. Modules over an operad: Bott-Taubes polytopes	4
1.5. Convention: pointed versions	4
1.6. Group actions	5
1.7. Semi-direct products and fake pull-backs	6
1.8. Prounipotent completion	7
2. Operads associated with configuration spaces (associators)	9
2.1. Compactified configuration space of the plane	9
2.2. A presentation for the pure braid group	10
2.3. The operad of parenthesized braids	10
2.4. The operad of chord diagrams	13
2.5. The operad of parenthesized chord diagrams	14
2.6. Drinfeld associators	16
2.7. Grothendieck-Teichmuller group	17
2.8. Graded Grothendieck-Teichmuller group	19
2.9. Bitorsors	20
3. Modules associated with configuration spaces (elliptic associators)	21
3.1. Compactified configuration space of the torus	21
3.2. The pure braid group on the torus	22
3.3. The PaB -module $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ of parenthesized elliptic (or beak) braids	22
3.4. The $CD(\mathbf{k})$ -module of elliptic chord diagrams	27
3.5. The PaCD (\mathbf{k})-module of parenthesized elliptic chord diagrams	29
3.6. Elliptic associators	30
3.7. Elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group	31
3.8. Graded elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group	32

	3.9. Bitorsors	34				
4.	The module of parenthesized ellipsitomic braids	35 35 36 37 39 40				
5.	Ellipsitomic chord diagrams and ellipsitomic associators5.1. Infinitesimal ellipsitomic braids5.2. Horizontal ellipsitomic chord diagrams5.3. Parenthesized ellipsitomic chord diagrams5.4. Ellipsitomic associators	$43 \\ 43 \\ 45 \\ 48 \\ 50$				
6.	The KZB ellipsitomic associator	53 53 54 56 56 59 60				
7.	Number theoretic aspects: Eisenstein series	63 63 67 69				
A	h alternative presentation for $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$ A.1. An alternative presentation for $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ A.2. An alternative presentation for $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$	71 71 74				
G	Glossary,					
Bi	Bibliography					

INTRODUCTION

The torsor of associators was introduced by Drinfeld [17] in the early nineties, in the context of quantum groups and prounipotent Grothendieck-Teichmuller theory. Since then, it has proven to have deep connections with several areas of mathematics (and physics): number theory [34], deformation quantization [22, 33, 39], Chern-Simons theory and low-dimensional topology [32], algebraic topology and the little disks operad [38], Lie theory and the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture [1, 2] etc. In this paper we are mostly interested in the operadic and also number theoretic aspects. For instance,

- (a) The torsor of associators can be seen as the torsor of isomorphisms between two operads in (prounipotent) groupoids related to the little disks operad, denoted **PaB** and **PaCD** (for *parenthesized braids* and *parenthesized chord diagrams*). These can be understood as the Betti and de Rham fundamental groupoids of an operad of suitably compactified configuration spaces of points in the plane. See Chapter 2 for more details, and accurate references.
- (b) It is expected that associators can be seen as generating series for (variations on motivic) multiple zeta values (MZVs), as was observed for the KZ associator [34] and the Deligne associator [11].

The first example of an associator was produced by Drinfeld as the renormalized holonomy of a universal version of the so-called Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) connection [17], which is defined on a trivial principal bundle over the configuration space of points in the plane. The defining equations of an associator can be deduced from intuitive geometric reasonings about paths on configuration spaces, and they lead to representations of braid groups.

Enriquez, Etingof and the first author [12] introduced a universal version of an elliptic variation on the KZ connection (known as Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard, or KZB, connection, as the extension to higher genus is due to Bernard [6, 5]). It is a holomorphic connection defined on a non trivial principal bundle over configuration spaces of points on an elliptic curve. They showed that

— The holonomy of the universal KZB connection along fundamental cycles of an elliptic curve satisfy relations which lead to representations of braid groups on the (2-)torus. — They also satisfy a modularity property, that is a consequence of the fact that the (universal) KZB connection extends from configuration spaces of points on an elliptic curve to moduli spaces of marked elliptic curves (see also [35] for when there are at most 2 marked points).

Enriquez later introduced the notion of an elliptic associator [19], and proved that the holonomy of the universal elliptic KZB connection does produce, for every elliptic curve, an example of elliptic associator. The class of elliptic associators that are obtained via this procedure are called KZB associators. In another work [21], Enriquez defined and studied an elliptic version of MZVs; he showed that KZB associators are generating series for elliptic MZVs (eMZVs).

In a recent paper [13] we introduced a generalization of the universal elliptic KZB connection: the universal *ellipsitomic* KZB connection. It is defined over twisted configuration spaces, where the twisting is by a finite quotient Γ of the fundamental group of the elliptic curve. When $\Gamma = 1$ is trivial, one recovers the universal elliptic KZB connection.

The aim of the present paper is two-fold.

- (a) First we provide an operadic interpretation of elliptic associators. We extend this approach to the ellipsitomic case, use the language of operads to define ellipsitomic associators, and sketch the rudiments of an ellipsitomic Grothendieck-Teichmüller theory.
- (b) Then we show that holonomies of the universal ellipsitomic KZB connection along suitable paths produce examples of ellipsitomic associators, and are generating series for elliptic multiple polylogarithms at Γ -torsion points, that are similar to the twisted elliptic MZVs (teMZVs) studied in [10] by Broedel-Matthes-Richter-Schlotterer.

Our work fits in a more general program that aims at studying associators for an oriented surface together with a finite group acting on it. We summarize in the following table the contributions to this program that we are aware of:

gen.	group	associators	operadic approach	Universal connection / existence proof	coefficients
0	trivial	[17]	[4, 23]	rational KZ [17] / ibid.	MZVs [34]
0	$\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$	cyclotomic associators [18]	[14]	trigonometric KZ $[18]$ / <i>ibid.</i>	colored MZVs [18]
0	fin. $\subset PSU_2(\mathbb{C})$	unknown	unknown	[36] / unknown	unknown
1	trivial	elliptic associators [19]	this paper (Sec. 3)	elliptic KZB $\left[12\right]$ / $\left[19\right]$	eMZVs [21]
1	$\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} imes \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$	ellipsitomic associators (this paper)	this paper $(Sec. 4 \& 5)$	ellipsitomic KZB $[13]$ / this paper (Sec. 6)	this paper (Sec. 7)
> 1	trivial	[27]	[27]	KZB $\left[20\right]$ / conj. in $\left[27\right]$	maybe $[25]$

Description of the paper

The first chapter is devoted to some recollection on operads and operadic modules, with some emphasis on specific features when the underlying category is the one of groupoids. Chapter 2 also recollects known results, about the operadic approach to (genuine) associators and to various Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups. The main results we state are taken from the recent book [23].

The main goal of Chapter 3 is to provide a similar treatment of elliptic associators, using operadic modules in place of sole operads. We show in particular that (a variant of) the universal elliptic structure $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ (resp. its graded/de Rham counterpart $G\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}$) from [19] carries the structure of an operadic module in groupoids over the operad in groupoid **PaB** (resp. $G\mathbf{PaCD}$). We provide a generators and relations presentation for $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ (Theorem 3.3), and deduce from it the following

THEOREM (Theorem 3.15). – The torsor of elliptic associators from [19] coincides with the torsor of isomorphisms from (a variant of) $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ to $G\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}$ that are the identity on objects. Similarly, the elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group (resp. its graded version) is isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ (resp. of $G\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}$) that are the identity on objects.

The fourth chapter introduces a generalization of $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$, with an additional labeling/twisting by elements of Γ (recall that Γ is the group of deck transformations of a finite cover of the torus by another torus). We give a geometric definition of the operadic module $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$ of parenthesized ellipsitomic braids, and then provide a presentation by generators and relations for it (Theorem 4.5). In the fifth chapter we define an operadic module of ellipsitomic chord diagrams, that mixes features of $\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}$ from Chapter 3, and of the moperad of cyclotomic chord diagrams from [14]. This allows us to identify ellipsitomic associators, which we define in purely operadic terms, with series satisfying certain algebraic equations (Theorem 5.9).

Chapter 6 is devoted to the proof of the following

THEOREM (Theorem 6.1). – The set of ellipsitomic associators over \mathbb{C} is non-empty.

The proof makes crucial use of the ellipsitomic KZB connection, introduced in our previous work [13], and relies on a careful analysis of its monodromy. We actually prove that one can associate an ellipsitomic associator with every element of the upper half-plane (Theorem 6.1). In the last chapter we quickly explore some number theoretic and modular aspects of the coefficients of the "KZB produced" ellipsitomic associators from the previous chapter.

Finally, in an appendix we provide an alternative presentation for $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$.

Acknowledgements

Both authors are grateful to Adrien Brochier, Benjamin Enriquez, and Pierre Lochak, as well as Richard Hain, Nils Matthes, and Eric Hopper, for numerous conversations and suggestions. We also thank the referee for their comments and careful reading, that helped a lot improving this paper.

The first author has received funding from the Institut Universitaire de France, and from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No. 768679).

This paper is extracted from the second author's PhD thesis [26] at Sorbonne Université, and part of this work has been done while the second author was visiting the Institut Montpelliérain Alexander Grothendieck, thanks to the financial support of the Institut Universitaire de France. The second author warmly thanks the Max-Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn and Université d'Aix-Marseille, for their hospitality and excellent working conditions.

CHAPTER 1

BACKGROUND MATERIAL ON OPERADS AND GROUPOIDS

In this chapter we fix a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ having small colimits. Let us assume for simplicity of exposition that \otimes commutes with these⁽¹⁾.

1.1. S-modules

An \mathfrak{S} -module (in \mathcal{C}) is a functor $S : \operatorname{Bij} \to \mathcal{C}$, where Bij denotes the category of finite sets with bijections as morphisms. It can also be defined as a collection $(S(n))_{n\geq 0}$ of objects of \mathcal{C} such that S(n) is endowed with a right action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n for every n; one has $S(n) := S(\{1, \ldots, n\})$. A morphism of \mathfrak{S} -modules $\varphi : S \to T$ is a natural transformation. It is determined by the data of a collection $\varphi(n) : S(n) \to T(n)$ of \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant morphisms in \mathcal{C} .

The category \mathfrak{S} -mod of \mathfrak{S} -modules is naturally endowed with a symmetric monoidal product \otimes defined as follows:

$$(S \otimes T)(n) := \prod_{p+q=n} (S(p) \otimes T(q))_{\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_q}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$$

Here, if $H \subset G$ is a group inclusion, then $(-)_H^G$ is left adjoint to the restriction functor from the category of objects carrying a *G*-action to the category of objects carrying an *H*-action.

The symmetric sequence $\mathbf{1}_{\otimes}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{1}_{\otimes}(n) := egin{cases} \mathbf{1} & ext{if } n = 0 \ \emptyset & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a monoidal unit for \otimes .

^{1.} This latter assumption is not necessary (and we will have to get rid of it when considering the monoidal structure given by the direct sum of Lie algebras): if the monoidal product does not commute with colimits, the category of \mathfrak{S} -module still has enough structure so that one can define monoids and modules in it. Characterizations in terms of partial compositions remain unchanged. We refer to [15] for more details.

There is another (non-symmetric) monoidal product \circ on \mathfrak{S} -mod, defined as follows:

$$(S \circ T)(n) := \coprod_{k \ge 0} T(k) \underset{\mathfrak{S}_k}{\otimes} \left(S^{\otimes k}(n) \right).$$

Here, if H is a group and X, Y are objects carrying an H-action, then

$$X \underset{H}{\otimes} Y := \operatorname{coeq} \left(\coprod_{h \in H} X \otimes Y \underset{\operatorname{id} \otimes h}{\overset{h \otimes \operatorname{id}}{\longrightarrow}} X \otimes Y \right).$$

The symmetric sequence $\mathbf{1}_{\circ}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{1}_{\circ}(n) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a monoidal unit for $\circ.$

1.2. Operads

An operad (in C) is a unital monoid in (\mathfrak{S} -mod, \circ , $\mathbf{1}_{\circ}$). The category of operads in C will be denoted Op C.

More explicitly, an operad structure on a \mathfrak{S} -module \mathcal{O} is the data:

- of a unit map $e: \mathbf{1} \to \mathcal{O}(1);$
- for every sets I, J and any element $i \in I$, of a partial composition

$$\circ_i: \mathcal{O}(I) \otimes \mathcal{O}(J) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(J \sqcup I - \{i\}\right)$$

satisfying the following constraints:

— for every sets I, J, K, with elements $i \in I, j \in J$, the following diagram commutes:

— for every sets I, J_1, J_2 , with elements $i_1, i_2 \in I$, the following diagram commutes:

— for every sets $I, I', J, i \in I$, with a bijection $\sigma : I \to I'$, the following diagram commutes:

— for every set I, with $i \in I$, the following diagrams commute:

EXAMPLE 1.1. – Let X be an object of C. Then we define, for any finite set I, the set $\underline{\operatorname{End}}(X)(I) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^{\otimes I}, X)$. Composition of tensor products of maps provide $\underline{\operatorname{End}}(X)$ with the structure of an operad in sets.

Given an operad in sets \mathcal{O} , an \mathcal{O} -algebra in \mathcal{C} is an object X of \mathcal{C} together with a morphism of operads $\mathcal{O} \to \underline{\mathrm{End}}(X)$.

1.3. Example of an operad: Stasheff polytopes

To any finite set I we associate the configuration space

$$\operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{R}, I) = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I | x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j \}$$

and its reduced version

$$C(\mathbb{R}, I) := Conf(\mathbb{R}, I) / \mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

The Axelrod-Singer-Fulton-MacPherson compactification ⁽²⁾ $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}, I)$ of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}, I)$ is a disjoint union of |I|-th Stasheff polytopes [37], indexed by \mathfrak{S}_I . The boundary $\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}, I) := \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}, I) - \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}, I)$ is the union, over all partitions $I = J_1 \coprod \cdots \coprod J_k$, of

$$\partial_{J_1,\dots,J_k}\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R},I) := \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R},J_i) \times \overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R},k).$$

The inclusion of boundary components provides $\overline{C}(\mathbb{R}, -)$ with the structure of an operad in topological spaces (where the monoidal structure is given by the cartesian product).

^{2.} We are using the differential geometric compactification from [3], which is an analog of the algebro-geometric one from [24].

One can see that $\overline{C}(\mathbb{R}, I)$ is actually a manifold with corners, and that, considering only zero-dimensional strata of our configuration spaces, we get a suboperad $\mathbf{Pa} \subset \overline{C}(\mathbb{R}, -)$ that can be shortly described as follows:

- $\mathbf{Pa}(I)$ is the set of pairs (σ, p) with σ is a linear order on I and p a maximal parenthesization of $\underbrace{\bullet \cdots \bullet}_{}$,

$$|I|$$
 time

— the operad structure is given by substitution.

Notice that **Pa** is actually an operad in sets, and that **Pa**-algebras are nothing else than *magmas*.

1.4. Modules over an operad: Bott-Taubes polytopes

A module over an operad \mathcal{O} (in \mathcal{C}) is a right \mathcal{O} -module in (\mathfrak{S} -mod, \circ , $\mathbf{1}_{\circ}$). Notice that any operad is a module over itself. We let the reader find the very explicit description of a module in terms of partial compositions, as for operads.

To any finite set I we associate the configuration space

$$\operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^1, I) = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in (\mathbb{S}^1)^I | x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j \}$$

and its reduced version

$$C(\mathbb{S}^1, I) := Conf(\mathbb{S}^1, I) / \mathbb{S}^1.$$

The Axelrod-Singer-Fulton-MacPherson compactification $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1, I)$ of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}^1, I)$ is a disjoint union of |I|-th Bott-Taubes polytopes [8], indexed by \mathfrak{S}_I . The boundary $\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1, I) := \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1, I) - \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}^1, I)$ is the union, over all partitions $I = J_1 \coprod \cdots \coprod J_k$, of

$$\partial_{J_1,\ldots,J_k}\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1,I) := \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R},J_i) \times \overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1,k).$$

The inclusion of boundary components provides $\overline{C}(\mathbb{S}^1, -)$ with the structure of a module over the operad $\overline{C}(\mathbb{R}, -)$ in topological spaces.

One can see that $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1, I)$ is actually a manifold with corners, and that, considering only zero-dimensional strata of our configuration spaces, we get $\mathbf{Pa} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1, -)$, which is a module over $\mathbf{Pa} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}, -)$.

1.5. Convention: pointed versions

Observe that there is an operad Unit defined by

$$\operatorname{Unit}(n) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } n = 0, 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By convention, all our operads \mathcal{O} will be Unit-pointed and reduced, in the sense that they will come equipped with a specific operad morphism Unit $\rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ that is an

isomorphism in arity ≤ 1 : $\mathcal{O}(n) \simeq \mathbf{1}$ if n = 0, 1. Morphisms of operads are required to be compatible with this pointing.

Now, if \mathcal{P} is an \mathcal{O} -module, then it naturally becomes a Unit-module as well, by restriction. By convention, all our modules will be pointed as well, in the sense that they will come equipped with a specific Unit-module morphism Unit $\rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ that is an isomorphism in arity ≤ 1 . Morphisms of modules are also required to be compatible with the pointing.

The main reason for this convention is that we need the following features, that we have in the case of compactified configuration spaces:

- For operads and modules, we want to have "deleting operations" $\mathcal{O}(n) \to \mathcal{O}(n-1)$ that decrease arity.
- For modules, we want to be able to see the operad "inside" them, i.e., we want to have distinguished morphism $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{P}$ of \mathfrak{S} -modules.

1.6. Group actions

Let G be a *-module in group, where * is the terminal operad: the partial composition \circ_i is a group morphism $G(n) \to G(n+m-1)$.

EXAMPLE 1.2. – Let Γ be a group, we consider the \mathfrak{S} -module in groups $\overline{\Gamma} := {\Gamma^n / \Gamma^{\text{diag}}}_{n \geq 0}$, where Γ^{diag} denotes the normal closure of the diagonal subgroup in each Γ^n . It is equipped with the following *-module structure: the *i*-th partial composition is given by the partial diagonal morphism

$$[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] \longrightarrow [\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{i-1}, \underbrace{\gamma_i, \dots, \gamma_i}_{m \text{ times}}, \gamma_{i+1}, \dots, \gamma_n].$$

Given an operad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C} , we say that an \mathcal{O} -module \mathcal{P} carries a *G*-action if

- for every $n \ge 0$, there is an \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant left action $G(n) \times \mathcal{P}(n) \to \mathcal{P}(n)$,
- for every $m \ge 0$, $n \ge 0$, and $1 \le i \le n$, the partial composition

 $\circ_i : \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}(m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n+m-1)$

is equivariant along the above group morphism $G(n) \rightarrow G(n+m-1)$.

A morphism $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Q}$ of \mathcal{O} -modules with G-action is said G-equivariant if, for every $n \geq 0$, the map $\mathcal{P}(n) \to \mathcal{Q}(n)$ is G(n)-equivariant.

Given a group Γ , we say that an \mathcal{O} -module \mathcal{P} carries a *diagonally trivial action of* Γ if it carries a $\overline{\Gamma}$ -action.

The quotient $G \setminus \mathcal{P}$ of an \mathcal{O} -module \mathcal{P} with a G-action is defined as follows:

- For every $n \ge 0$, $(G(n) \setminus \mathcal{P})(n) := G(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}(n);$

— The equivariance of the partial composition \circ_i tels us that it descends to the quotient

 $(G(n)\setminus\mathcal{P}(n))\otimes\mathcal{O}(m)\longrightarrow G(n+m-1)\setminus\mathcal{P}(n+m-1).$

1.7. Semi-direct products and fake pull-backs

Let **Grpd** be the category of groupoids. For a group G, we denote G-**Grpd** the category of groupoids equipped with a G-action. There is a *semi-direct product* functor

$$egin{array}{rcl} G\text{-}\mathbf{Grpd} &\longrightarrow & \mathbf{Grpd}_{/G} \ \mathcal{P} &\longmapsto & \mathcal{P}
times G \end{array}$$

where the group G is viewed as a groupoid with a single object, and where $\mathcal{P} \rtimes G$ is defined as follows:

- Objects of $\mathcal{P} \rtimes G$ are just objects of \mathcal{P} ;
- In addition to the arrows of \mathcal{P} , for every $g \in G$, and for every object \mathbf{p} of P, there is an arrow $g \cdot \mathbf{p} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{p}$;
- These new arrows multiply together via the group multiplication of G;
- For every morphism f in P, and every $g \in G$, the relation $gfg^{-1} = g \cdot f$ holds.

NOTATION 1.3. – We warn the reader that we use all along the paper the following rather unusual convention for arrows in a groupoid, and more generally in a category: we often concatenate arrows rather than composing them.

In other words, $f_1f_2 = f_2 \circ f_1$.

There is also a functor \mathcal{G} going in the other direction

$$\mathbf{Grpd}_{/G} \longrightarrow G \mathbf{-Grpd}$$
$$(\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\varphi} G) \longmapsto \mathcal{G}(\varphi),$$

that one can describe as follows:

- The G-set of objects of $\mathcal{G}(\varphi)$ is the free G-set generated by $Ob(\mathcal{Q})$;
- A morphism $(g, x) \to (h, y)$ in $\mathcal{G}(\varphi)$ is a morphism $x \xrightarrow{f} y$ in \mathcal{Q} such that $g\varphi(f) = h$.

EXAMPLE 1.4. – The groupoid $\mathcal{G}(B_n \to \mathfrak{S}_n)$ is the colored braid groupoid $\mathbf{CoB}(n)$ from [23, §5.2.8].

REMARK 1.5. – Given an object q of \mathcal{Q} , $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{G}(\varphi)}(g,q)$ is the kernel of the morphism $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}}(q) \to G$ for every $g \in G$.

Let \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} be two operads (resp. modules) in groupoids. If we are given a morphism $f: \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{P}) \to \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$ between the operads (resp. operad modules) of objects of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} , then (following [23]) we can define an operad (resp. operad module) $f^*\mathcal{Q}$ in the following way:

 $- \operatorname{Ob}(f^{\star}\mathcal{Q}) := \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{P}),$

 $- \operatorname{Hom}_{(f^{\star}\mathcal{Q})(n)}(p,q) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}(n)}(f(p), f(q)).$

In particular, $f^*\mathcal{Q}$, which we call the *fake pull-back* of \mathcal{Q} along f, inherits the operad structure of \mathcal{P} for its operad of objects and that of \mathcal{Q} for the morphisms.

REMARK 1.6. – Notice that this is not a pull-back in the category of operads in groupoids.

1.8. Prounipotent completion

Let **k** be a Q-ring. We denote by **CoAlg**_{**k**} the symmetric monoidal category of complete filtered topological coassociative cocommutative counital **k**-coalgebras, where the monoidal product is given by the completed tensor product $\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{k}}$ over **k**.

Let $Cat(CoAlg_k)$ be the category of small $CoAlg_k$ -enriched categories. It is symmetric monoidal as well, with monoidal product \otimes defined as follows:

$$- \operatorname{Ob}(C \otimes C') := \operatorname{Ob}(C) \times \operatorname{Ob}(C').$$

 $--\operatorname{Hom}_{C\otimes C'}\left((c,c'),(d,d')\right):=\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(c,d)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{k}}\operatorname{Hom}_{C'}(c',d').$

All the constructions of the previous section still make sense, at the cost of replacing the group G with its completed group algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{k}G}$ (which is a Hopf algebra) in the semi-direct product construction.

Considering the cartesian symmetric monoidal structure on **Grpd**, there is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Grpd} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{CoAlg_k}) \\ \mathcal{G} & \longmapsto \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned}$$

defined as follows:

— Objects of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})$ are objects of \mathcal{P} .

— For $a, b \in Ob(\mathcal{P})$,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})}(a,b) = \mathbf{k} \cdot \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(a,b).$$

Here $\mathbf{k} \cdot \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(a, b)$ is equipped with the unique coalgebra structure such that the elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(a, b)$ are grouplike (meaning that they are diagonal for the coproduct and that their counit is 1), and the " n " refers to the completion with respect to the topology defined by the sequence $(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}^{k}}(a, b))_{k\geq 0}$, where \mathcal{I}^{k} is the category having the same objects as \mathcal{P} and morphisms lying in the k-th power (for the composition of morphisms) of kernels of the counits of $\mathbf{k} \cdot$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(a, b)$'s.

— For a functor $F : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Q}, F(\mathbf{k}) : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k}) \to \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{k})$ is the functor given by F on objects and by **k**-linearly extending F on morphisms.

Being symmetric monoidal, this functor sends operads in groupoids to operads in $Cat(CoAlg_k)$.

EXAMPLE 1.7. – For instance, viewing Pa as an operad in groupoid (with only identities as morphisms), then Pa(k) is the operad in $Cat(CoAlg_k)$ with same objects as Pa, and whose morphisms are

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Pa}(\mathbf{k})(n)}(a,b) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} & \text{if } a = b\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

with **k** being equipped with the coproduct $\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1$ and counit $\epsilon(1) = 1$.

The functor we have just defined has a right adjoint

$$G: \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{CoAlg}_{\mathbf{k}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Grpd},$$

that we can describe as follows:

— For C in $Cat(CoAlg_k)$, objects of G(C) are objects of C.

— For $a, b \in Ob(\mathcal{G})$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{G(C)}(a, b)$ is the subset of grouplike elements in $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(a, b)$.

Being right adjoint to a symmetric monoidal functor, it is lax symmetric monoidal, and thus it sends operads (resp. modules) to operads (resp. modules).

We thus get a **k**-prounipotent completion functor $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \hat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{k}) := G(\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}))$ for (operads and modules in) groupoids.

REMARK 1.8. – Let $\varphi : G \to S$ be a surjective group morphism, and assume that S is finite. One can prove that the prounipotent completion $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi)(\mathbf{k})$ of the construction from the previous section is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(\varphi(\mathbf{k}))$, where $\varphi(\mathbf{k}) : G(\varphi, \mathbf{k}) \to S$ is Hain's relative completion [28]. This essentially follows from that, when S is finite, the kernel of the relative completion is the completion of the kernel.

CHAPTER 2

OPERADS ASSOCIATED WITH CONFIGURATION SPACES (ASSOCIATORS)

2.1. Compactified configuration space of the plane

To any finite set I we associate a configuration space

$$\operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{C}, I) = \{ \mathbf{z} = (z_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{C}^I | z_i \neq z_j \text{ if } i \neq j \}.$$

We also consider its reduced version

$$C(\mathbb{C}, I) := Conf(\mathbb{C}, I) / \mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

We then consider the Axelrod-Singer-Fulton-MacPherson compactification $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}, I)$ of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}, I)$. The boundary $\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}, I) = \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}, I) - \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}, I)$ is made of the following irreducible components: for any partition $I = J_1 \coprod \cdots \coprod J_k$ there is a component

$$\partial_{J_1,\dots,J_k}\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C},I)\cong\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C},k)\times\prod_{i=1}^k\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C},J_i).$$

The inclusion of boundary components provides $\overline{C}(\mathbb{C}, -)$ with the structure of an operad in topological spaces. One can picture the partial operadic composition morphisms as follows:



2.2. A presentation for the pure braid group

The pure braid group PB_n is generated by elementary pure braids P_{ij} , $1 \le i < j \le n$, which satisfy the following relations:

(PB1) $(P_{ij}, P_{kl}) = 1$ if $\{i, j\}$ and $\{k, l\}$ are non crossing,

(PB2) $(P_{kj}P_{ij}P_{kj}^{-1}, P_{kl}) = 1$ if i < k < j < l,

(PB3) $(P_{ij}, P_{ik}P_{jk}) = (P_{jk}, P_{ij}P_{ik}) = (P_{ik}, P_{jk}P_{ij}) = 1$ if i < j < k.

In this article we will represent the generator P_{ij} in the following two equivalent ways:



There is another elementary braid $\mathcal{A}_{i,j}$ conjugated to $P_{i,j}$. We can represent two incarnations of the generator $\mathcal{A}_{i,j}$ in the following way



Indeed, one can define $O_{ij} = P_{ij} \cdots P_{i(i+2)} P_{i(i+1)}$. In other words, $P_{ij} = O_{ij} O_{i(j-1)}^{-1}$. And we define $\P_{ij} := O_{i(j-1)}^{-1} O_{ij} = O_{i(j-1)}^{-1} P_{ij} O_{i(j-1)}$.

2.3. The operad of parenthesized braids

There are inclusions of topological operads

$$\mathbf{Pa} \subset \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{R},-) \subset \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{C},-).$$

Then it makes sense to define

$$\mathbf{PaB} := \pi_1 \left(\overline{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbb{C}, -), \mathbf{Pa} \right),$$

which is an operad in groupoids.

MÉMOIRES DE LA SMF 179

EXAMPLE 2.1 (Description of $\mathbf{PaB}(2)$). – Let us first recall that $\mathbf{Pa}(2) = \mathfrak{S}_2$, and that $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}, 2) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$. Besides the identity morphism in $\mathbf{PaB}(2)$ going from (12) to (12), there is an arrow $R^{1,2}$ in $\mathbf{PaB}(2)$ going from (12) to (21) which can be depicted as follows ⁽¹⁾:



We will denote $\tilde{R}^{1,2} := (R^{2,1})^{-1}$.

EXAMPLE 2.2 (Notable arrows in $\mathbf{PaB}(3)$). – Let us first recall that $\mathbf{Pa}(3) = \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \{(\bullet \bullet) \bullet, \bullet(\bullet \bullet)\}$ and that $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}, 3) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3 \times [0, 1]$. Therefore, there is an arrow $\Phi^{1,2,3}$ (the identity path in [0,1]) from (12)3 to 1(23) in $\mathbf{PaB}(3)$. It can be depicted as follows:



Two incarnations of $\Phi^{1,2,3}$

The following result is borrowed from [23, Theorem 6.2.4], even though it perhaps already appeared in [4] in a different form.

THEOREM 2.3. – As an operad in groupoids having **Pa** as operad of objects, **PaB** is freely generated by $R := R^{1,2}$ and $\Phi := \Phi^{1,2,3}$ together with the following relations:

(U1)
$$\Phi^{\emptyset,1,2} = \Phi^{1,\emptyset,2} = \Phi^{1,2,\emptyset} = \mathrm{Id}_{1,2} \quad (\mathrm{in} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{PaB}(2)}(12,12)),$$

(H1)
$$R^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}R^{1,3} = \Phi^{1,2,3}R^{1,23}\Phi^{2,3,1}$$
 (in Hom_{PaB(3)}((12)3,2(31))),

(H2)
$$\tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}\tilde{R}^{1,3} = \Phi^{1,2,3}\tilde{R}^{1,23}\Phi^{2,3,1}$$
 (in Hom_{PaB(3)}((12)3,2(31))),

(P)
$$\Phi^{12,3,4}\Phi^{1,2,34} = \Phi^{1,2,3}\Phi^{1,23,4}\Phi^{2,3,4}$$
 (in Hom_{**PaB**(4)}(((12)3)4,1(2(34)))).

We now briefly explain the notation we have been using in the above statement, which is quite standard.

^{1.} We actually have another arrow, that can be obtained from the first one as $(R^{2,1})^{-1}$ according to the notation that is explained after Theorem 2.3, and which can be depicted as an undercrossing braid.

NOTATION 2.4. – In this article, we write the composition of paths from left to right (and we draw the braids from top to bottom). If X is an arrow from \mathbf{p} to \mathbf{q} in $\mathbf{PaB}(n)$, then

- for any $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Pa}(k)$, the identity of \mathbf{r} in $\mathbf{PaB}(k)$ is also denoted \mathbf{r} ,
- for any $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Pa}(k)$, we write $X^{1,\dots,n}$ for $\mathbf{r} \circ_1 X \in \mathbf{PaB}(n+k-1)$,
- we write $X^{\emptyset,2,\ldots,n} \in \mathbf{PaB}(n+k-2)$ for the image of $X^{1,\ldots,n}$ by the first braid deleting operation,
- for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+k-1}$ we define $X^{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n} := (X^{1,\ldots,n}) \cdot \sigma$,
- for any $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Pa}(k)$, $X^{\mathbf{r},k+1,\ldots,k+n-1} := X \circ_1 \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{PaB}(n+k-1)$,
- we allow ourselves to combine these in an obvious way.

This notation is unambiguous as soon as we specify the starting object of our arrows.

For example, the pentagon (P) and the first hexagon (H1) relations can be respectively depicted as



or, as commuting diagrams (giving the name of the relations)



2.4. The operad of chord diagrams

The holonomy Lie algebra of the configuration space

$$\operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{C},n) := \{ \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n | z_i \neq z_j \text{ if } i \neq j \}$$

of n points on the complex line is isomorphic to the graded Lie \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathfrak{t}_n(\mathbf{k})$ generated by t_{ij} , $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, with relations

(S) $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$, (L) $[t_{ij}, t_{kl}] = 0$ if $\#\{i, j, k, l\} = 4$, (4T) $[t_{ij}, t_{ik} + t_{jk}] = 0$ if $\#\{i, j, k\} = 3$.

It is known as the Kohno-Drinfled Lie algebra.

In [4, 23] it is shown that the collection of Lie k-algebras $t_n(\mathbf{k})$ is provided with the structure of an operad in the category $\operatorname{grLie}_{\mathbf{k}}$ of positively graded finite dimensional Lie algebras over \mathbf{k} , with symmetric monoidal structure given by the direct sum \oplus . Partial compositions are described as follows:

$$\circ_{k} : \mathfrak{t}_{I}(\mathbf{k}) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{J}(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{J \sqcup I - \{k\}}(\mathbf{k})$$

$$(0, t_{\alpha\beta}) \longmapsto t_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$(t_{ij}, 0) \longmapsto \begin{cases} t_{ij} & \text{if } k \notin \{i, j\} \\ \sum_{p \in J} t_{pj} & \text{if } k = i \\ \sum_{p \in J} t_{ip} & \text{if } k = j. \end{cases}$$

Observe that there is a lax symmetric monoidal functor

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}} : \operatorname{grLie}_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cat}(\operatorname{CoAlg}_{\mathbf{k}}),$$

sending a positively graded Lie algebra to the degree completion of its universal envelopping algebra, which is a complete filtered cocommutative Hopf algebra, viewed as a $CoAlg_k$ -enriched category with only one object.

We then consider the operad of *chord diagrams* $\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k}) := \hat{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}(\mathbf{k}))$ in $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{CoAlg}_{\mathbf{k}})$.

REMARK 2.5. – This denomination comes from the fact that morphisms in $\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})(n)$ can be represented as linear combinations of diagrams of chords on n vertical strands, where the chord diagram corresponding to t_{ij} can be represented as



and the composition is given by vertical concatenation of diagrams. Partial compositions can easily be understood as "cabling and removal operations" on strands (see [4, 23]). Relations (L) and (4T) defining each $t_n(\mathbf{k})$ can be represented as follows:



2.5. The operad of parenthesized chord diagrams

Recall that the operad $\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})$ has only one object in each arity. Hence we can define the operad

$$\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}) := \omega_1^* \mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})$$

of parenthesized chord diagrams, where $\omega_1 : \mathbf{Pa} = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{Pa}(\mathbf{k})) \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k}))$ is the terminal morphism. Here is a self-explanatory example of how to depict a morphism

in $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})(3)$:



where $f \in \mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})(3)$.

EXAMPLE 2.6 (Notable arrows of PaCD(k)). – There are the following arrows in PaCD(k)(2):



We also have the following arrow in PaCD(k)(3):



REMARK 2.7. – The elements $H^{1,2}, X^{1,2}$ and $a^{1,2,3}$ are generators of the operad **PaCD(k)** and satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{split} & - X^{2,1} = (X^{1,2})^{-1}, \\ & - a^{12,3,4}a^{1,2,34} = a^{1,2,3}a^{1,23,4}a^{2,3,4}, \\ & - X^{12,3} = a^{1,2,3}X^{2,3}(a^{1,3,2})^{-1}X^{1,3}a^{3,1,2}, \\ & - H^{1,2} = X^{1,2}H^{2,1}(X^{1,2})^{-1}, \\ & - H^{12,3} = a^{1,2,3} \big(H^{2,3} + X^{2,3}(a^{1,3,2})^{-1}H^{1,3}a^{1,3,2}X^{3,2} \big) (a^{1,2,3})^{-1}. \end{split}$$

In particular, even if $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$ does not have a presentation in terms of generators and relations (as is the case for \mathbf{PaB}), one can show that $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$ has a universal property with respect to the generators $H^{1,2}, X^{1,2}$ and $a^{1,2,3}$ and the above relations (see [23, Theorem 10.3.4] for details).

2.6. Drinfeld associators

Let us first introduce some terminology that we use in this paragraph, as well as later in the paper:

- Let $\mathbf{Grpd}_{\mathbf{k}}$ denote the (symmetric monoidal) category of \mathbf{k} -prounipotent groupoids (which is the image of the completion functor $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \hat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{k})$);
- For C being **Grpd**, **Grpd**_k, or **Cat**(**CoAlg**_k), the notation

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Op} \mathcal{C}}^+$$
 (resp. $\operatorname{Iso}_{\operatorname{Op} \mathcal{C}}^+$)

refers to those automorphisms (resp. isomorphisms) which are the identity on objects.

In the remainder of this section we recall some well known results on the operadic point of view on associators and Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups, which will be useful later on. Even though the statements and proofs of all the results in this section can be found in [23], it is worth mentionning that a "pre-operadic" approach was initiated by Bar-Natan in [4].

DEFINITION 2.8. – A Drinfeld **k**-associator is an isomorphism between the operads $\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k})$ and $G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$ in $\mathbf{Grpd}_{\mathbf{k}}$, which is the identity on objects. We denote by

$$\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k}) := \operatorname{Iso}_{\operatorname{Op} \mathbf{Grpd}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{+} (\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k}), G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})),$$

the set of **k**-associators.

THEOREM 2.9 (Drinfeld [17], Bar-Natan [4], Fresse [23]). – There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of Drinfeld k-associators and the set Ass(k) of couples $(\mu, \varphi) \in \mathbf{k}^{\times} \times \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2(\mathbf{k}))$, such that

$$\begin{split} &-\varphi^{3,2,1} = (\varphi^{1,2,3})^{-1} \quad in \, \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{3}(\mathbf{k})), \\ &-\varphi^{1,2,3} e^{\mu t_{23}/2} \varphi^{2,3,1} e^{\mu t_{31}/2} \varphi^{3,1,2} e^{\mu t_{12}/2} = e^{\mu (t_{12}+t_{13}+t_{23})/2} \quad in \, \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{3}(\mathbf{k})), \\ &-\varphi^{1,2,3} \varphi^{1,23,4} \varphi^{2,3,4} = \varphi^{12,3,4} \varphi^{1,2,34} \quad in \, \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{4}(\mathbf{k})), \end{split}$$

where $\varphi^{1,2,3} = \varphi(t_{12}, t_{23})$ is viewed as an element of $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbf{k}))$ via the inclusion $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2(\mathbf{k}) \subset \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbf{k})$ sending x to t_{12} and y to t_{23} .

Three observations are in order:

- The free Lie **k**-algebra $f_2(\mathbf{k})$ in two generators x, y is graded, with generators having degree 1, and its degree completion is denoted by $\hat{f}_2(\mathbf{k})$;
- The **k**-prounipotent group $\exp(\mathfrak{f}_2(\mathbf{k}))$ is thus isomorphic to the **k**-prounipotent completion $\widehat{F}_2(\mathbf{k})$ of the free group F_2 on two generators;

— The quotient $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbf{k})$ of the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbf{k})$ by its center, generated by $t_{12} + t_{13} + t_{23}$, is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2(\mathbf{k})$. Thus, the second relation in the above theorem is equivalent to

$$\varphi^{1,2,3}e^{\mu y/2}\varphi^{2,3,1}e^{\mu z/2}\varphi^{3,1,2}e^{\mu x/2} = 1$$

in $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2(\mathbf{k}))$, where x, y, z are variables subject to relation x + y + z = 0.

This theorem was originally proven by Drinfeld in [17], though it was phrased without the operadic language. As stated, it can be found in [23, Theorem 10.2.9], and its proof relies on the universal property of **PaB** from Theorem 2.3. In particular, a morphism $F : \widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$ is uniquely determined by a scalar parameter $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\varphi \in \exp(\hat{f}_2(\mathbf{k}))$:

$$- F(R^{1,2}) = e^{\mu t_{12}/2} \cdot X^{1,2},$$

- $F(\Phi^{1,2,3}) = \varphi(t_{12}, t_{23}) \cdot a^{1,2,3}.$

where R and Φ are the ones from Examples 2.1 and 2.2.

EXAMPLE 2.10 (The KZ Associator). – The first associator was constructed by Drinfeld using the KZ connection and is known as the KZ associator Φ_{KZ} . It is defined as the the renormalized holonomy from 0 to 1 of $G'(z) = (\frac{t_{12}}{z} + \frac{t_{23}}{z-1})G(z)$, i.e., $\Phi_{\text{KZ}} := G_{0^+}^{-1}G_{1^-} \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbb{C}))$, where G_{0^+}, G_{1^-} are the solutions such that $G_{0^+}(z) \sim z^{t_{12}}$ when $z \to 0^+$ and $G_{1^-}(z) \sim (1-z)^{t_{23}}$ when $z \to 1^-$. We have

$$\Phi_{\rm KZ}(V,U) = \Phi_{\rm KZ}(U,V)^{-1}, \ \Phi_{\rm KZ}(U,V)e^{\pi \,\mathrm{i}\,V}\Phi_{\rm KZ}(V,W)e^{\pi \,\mathrm{i}\,W}\Phi_{\rm KZ}(W,U)e^{\pi \,\mathrm{i}\,U} = 1,$$

where $U = \bar{t}_{12} \in \mathfrak{f}_2(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbb{C}) := \mathfrak{t}_3(\mathbb{C})/(t_{12} + t_{13} + t_{23}), V = \bar{t}_{23} \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbb{C})$ and U + V + W = 0, and

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}^{12,3,4}\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}^{1,2,34} = \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}^{1,2,3}\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}^{1,23,4}\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}^{2,3,4},$$

hence $(2\pi i, \Phi_{KZ})$ is an element of Ass (\mathbb{C}) .

2.7. Grothendieck-Teichmuller group

DEFINITION 2.11. – The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group is defined as the group

$$\mathbf{GT} := \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Op} \mathbf{Grpd}}^+(\mathbf{PaB})$$

of automorphisms of the operad in groupoids PaB which are the identity of objects. One defines similarly its k-pro-unipotent version

$$\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k}) := \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Op} \mathbf{Grpd}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{+} \big(\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k}) \big).$$

There are also pro- ℓ and profinite versions, denoted \mathbf{GT}_{ℓ} and $\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}$, that we do not consider in this paper.

We can also characterize elements of \mathbf{GT} and $\mathbf{\widehat{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$ as solutions of certain explicit algebraic equations. This characterization proves that the above operadic definition of \mathbf{GT} coincides with the one given by Drinfeld in his original paper [17]. In this article we will focus on the **k**-pro-unipotent version of this group in genus 0 and 1, and twisted situations.

DEFINITION 2.12. – Drinfeld's Grothendieck-Teichmüller group $\widehat{\mathrm{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$ consists of pairs

$$(\lambda, f) \in \mathbf{k}^{\times} \times \widehat{\mathbf{F}}_2(\mathbf{k})$$

which satisfy the following equations:

$$- f(x,y) = f(y,x)^{-1} \text{ in } F_2(\mathbf{k}),$$

$$- x_1^{\nu} f(x_1,x_2) x_2^{\nu} f(x_2,x_3) x_3^{\nu} f(x_3,x_1) = 1 \text{ in } \widehat{F}_2(\mathbf{k}),$$

$$- f(x_{13}x_{23},x_{34}) f(x_{12},x_{23}x_{24}) = f(x_{12},x_{23}) f(x_{12}x_{13},x_{24}x_{34}) f(x_{23},x_{34}) \text{ in } \widehat{PB}_4(\mathbf{k}).$$

where x_1, x_2, x_3 are 3 variables subject only to $x_1x_2x_3 = 1$, $\nu = \frac{\lambda - 1}{2}$, and x_{ij} is the elementary pure braid $\mathbf{q}_{i,j}$ from §2.2. The multiplication law is given by

$$(\lambda_1, f_1)(\lambda_2, f_2) = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2, f_1(x^{\lambda_2}, f_2(x, y)y^{\lambda_2}f_2(x, y)^{-1})f_2(x, y)).$$

THEOREM 2.13. – There is an isomorphism between the groups $\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$.

This was first implicitely shown by Drinfeld in [17]. An explicit proof of this theorem can be found for example in [23, Theorem 11.1.7]. In particular, one obtains the couple (λ, f) from an automorphism $F \in \widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$ as follows. We have



In other words, if we set $\lambda = 2\nu + 1$, we get the assignment

$$- F(R^{1,2}) = (R^{1,2})^{\lambda},$$

- $F(\Phi^{1,2,3}) = f(x_{12}, x_{23}) \cdot \Phi^{1,2,3}.$

Next, one obtains the composition law of $\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$ from the composition of automorphisms F_1 and F_2 in $\operatorname{Aut}^+_{\operatorname{Op} \mathbf{Grpd}_k}(\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k}))$ as follows: the associated couples (λ_1, f_1) and (λ_2, f_2) in $\mathbf{k}^{\times} \times \widehat{F}_2(\mathbf{k})$ satisfy $(F_1F_2)(R^{1,2}) = (F_2 \circ F_1)(R^{1,2}) = (R^{1,2})^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$, and

$$\begin{split} (F_1F_2)(\Phi^{1,2,3}) &= (F_2 \circ F_1)(\Phi^{1,2,3}) = F_2(f_1(x_{12},x_{23})\Phi^{1,2,3}) \\ &= F_2(f_1(x_{12},x_{23}))F_2(\Phi^{1,2,3}) \\ &= f_1(F_2(x_{12}),F_2(x_{23}))f_2(x_{12},x_{23})\Phi^{1,2,3} \\ &= f_1(x_{12}^{\lambda_2},f_2(x_{12},x_{23})x_{23}^{\lambda_2}f_2(x_{12},x_{23})^{-1})f_2(x_{12},x_{23})\Phi^{1,2,3}. \end{split}$$

REMARK 2.14. – There are also profinite and pro- ℓ versions of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group, denoted $\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}$ and \mathbf{GT}_{ℓ} , respectively. There are morphisms

$$\mathbf{GT} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{GT}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{GT}_\ell \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \quad \mathrm{and} \quad \mathbf{GT} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k}).$$

It is important to keep in mind that the profinite, $\text{pro-}\ell$, **k**-pro-unipotent versions of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group do not coincide with the profinite, $\text{pro-}\ell$, **k**-pro-unipotent completions of the "thin" Grothendieck-Teichmüller group **GT** which only consists of the pairs (1, 1) and (-1, 1).

2.8. Graded Grothendieck-Teichmuller group

DEFINITION 2.15. – The graded Grothendieck-Teichmüller group is the group

$$\mathbf{GRT}(\mathbf{k}) := \mathrm{Aut}^+_{\mathrm{Op}\,\mathbf{Grpd}_k}(G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}))$$

of automorphisms of $GPaCD(\mathbf{k})$ that are the identity on objects.

REMARK 2.16. – When restricted to the full subcategory $Cat(CoAlg_{k}^{conn})$ of $CoAlg_{k}$ -enriched categories for which the hom-coalgebras are connected, the functor G leads to an equivalence between $Cat(CoAlg_{k}^{conn})$ and $Grpd_{k}$. Hence there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{\mathbf{GRT}}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Op} \operatorname{\mathbf{Cat}}(\operatorname{\mathbf{CoAlg}}_{\mathbf{k}})}^+(\operatorname{\mathbf{PaCD}}(\mathbf{k})).$$

Again, the operadic definition of $\mathbf{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$ happens to coincide with the one originally given by Drinfeld.

DEFINITION 2.17. – Let GRT_1 be the set of elements in $g \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2(\mathbf{k})) \subset \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbf{k}))$ such that

• $g^{3,2,1} = g^{-1}$ and $g^{1,2,3}g^{2,3,1}g^{3,1,2} = 1$, in $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbf{k}))$,

•
$$g^{1,2,3}g^{1,23,4}g^{2,3,4} = g^{12,3,4}g^{1,2,34}$$
, in $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_4(\mathbf{k}))$.

One has the following multiplication law on GRT_1 :

$$(g_1 * g_2)(t_{12}, t_{23}) := g_1(t_{12}, \operatorname{Ad}(g_2(t_{12}, t_{23}))(t_{23}))g_2(t_{12}, t_{23}).$$

Drinfeld showed in [17] that GRT₁ is stable under *, which defines a group structure on it, and that rescaling transformations $g(x, y) \mapsto \lambda \cdot g(x, y) = g(\lambda x, \lambda y)$ define an action of \mathbf{k}^{\times} of **GRT**₁ by automorphisms.

THEOREM 2.18. – There is a group isomorphism $\mathbf{GRT}(\mathbf{k}) \cong \mathbf{k}^{\times} \rtimes \mathrm{GRT}_1 =: \mathrm{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$.

This was first implicitely shown by Drinfeld in [17]. An explicit proof of this theorem can be found for example in [23, Theorem 10.3.10]. In particular, we obtain the couple (λ, g) from an automorphism $G \in \mathbf{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$ by the assignment

$$- G(X^{1,2}) = X^{1,2},$$

$$- G(H^{1,2}) = e^{\lambda t_{12}} H^{1,2},$$

$$- G(a^{1,2,3}) = g(t_{12}, t_{23}) \cdot a^{1,2,3}$$

The composition of automorphisms G_1 and G_2 in $\operatorname{Aut}^+_{\operatorname{Op}\hat{\mathcal{G}}}(G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}))$ is given as follows: the associated couples (λ, g_1) and (μ, g_2) in $\mathbf{k}^{\times} \times \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_3(\mathbf{k}))$ satisfy

$$(G_1G_2)(H^{1,2}) = (G_2 \circ G_1)(H^{1,2}) = \lambda \mu H^{1,2},$$

$$(G_1G_2)(a^{1,2,3}) = (G_2 \circ G_1)(a^{1,2,3})$$

$$= g_1(\mu t_{12}, g_2(t_{12}, t_{23})(\mu t_{23})g_2(t_{12}, t_{23})^{-1})g_2(t_{12}, t_{23}) \cdot a^{1,2,3}.$$

2.9. Bitorsors

Recall first that there is a free and transitive left action of $\widehat{\operatorname{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$ on $\operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{k})$, defined, for $(\lambda, f) \in \widehat{\operatorname{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$ and $(\mu, \varphi) \in \operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{k})$, by

$$((\lambda, f) * (\mu, \varphi))(t_{12}, t_{23}) := (\lambda \mu, f(e^{\mu t_{12}}, \operatorname{Ad}(\varphi(t_{12}, t_{23}))(e^{\mu t_{23}}))\varphi(t_{12}, t_{23})),$$

where $\operatorname{Ad}(f)(g) := fgf^{-1}$, for any symbols f, g.

Recall that there is also a free and transitive right action of $GRT(\mathbf{k})$ on $Ass(\mathbf{k})$ defined as follows: for $(\lambda, g) \in GRT(\mathbf{k})$ and $(\mu, \varphi) \in Ass(\mathbf{k})$, given by

$$((\mu,\varphi)*(\lambda,g))(t_{12},t_{23}):=(\lambda\mu,\varphi(\lambda t_{12},\mathrm{Ad}(g)(\lambda t_{23}))g(t_{12},t_{23})).$$

The two actions commute making $(\widehat{\operatorname{GT}}(\mathbf{k}), \operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{k}), \operatorname{GRT}(\mathbf{k}))$ into a bitorsor.

THEOREM 2.19. – There is a torsor isomorphism

(2.3) $(\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{GRT}(\mathbf{k})) \longrightarrow (\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k}), \mathrm{Ass}(\mathbf{k}), \mathrm{GRT}(\mathbf{k})).$

Proof. – On the one hand, in [23, Theorem 10.3.13] it is shown that the natural free and transitive left action of $\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$ coincides with the action of $\mathbf{GT}(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathbf{Ass}(\mathbf{k})$ via the correspondence of Theorem 2.13. On the other hand, in [23, Theorem 11.2.1], it is shown that the natural free and transitive right action of $\mathbf{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$ coincides with the action of $\mathbf{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$ over $\mathbf{Ass}(\mathbf{k})$ via the correspondence of Theorem 2.13. \Box

CHAPTER 3

MODULES ASSOCIATED WITH CONFIGURATION SPACES (ELLIPTIC ASSOCIATORS)

3.1. Compactified configuration space of the torus

Let \mathbb{T} be the topological (2-)torus. To any finite set I we associate a configuration space

$$\operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{T}, I) = \{ \mathbf{z} = (z_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{T}^I | z_i \neq z_j \text{ if } i \neq j \}.$$

We also consider its reduced version

$$C(\mathbb{T}, I) := Conf(\mathbb{T}, I) / \mathbb{T}.$$

We then consider the Axelrod-Singer-Fulton-MacPherson compactification $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I)$ of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{T}, I)$. The boundary $\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I) = \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I) - \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{T}, I)$ is made up of the following irreducible components: for any partition $I = J_1 \coprod \cdots \coprod J_k$ there is a component

$$\partial_{J_1,\dots,J_k}\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T},I)\cong\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T},k)\times\prod_{i=1}^k\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C},J_i).$$

The inclusion of boundary components provide $\overline{C}(\mathbb{T}, -)$ with the structure of a module over the operad $\overline{C}(\mathbb{C}, -)$ in topological spaces.



3.2. The pure braid group on the torus

The reduced pure braid group $\overline{\text{PB}}_{1,n}$ with *n* strands on the torus (that is the fundamental group of $C(\mathbb{T}, n)$) is generated by paths X_i 's and Y_i 's $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$, which can be represented as follows



Moreover, the following relations are satisfied in $\overline{PB}_{1,n}$:

(T1) $(X_i, X_j) = 1 = (Y_i, Y_j), \text{ for } i < j,$

- (T2) $(X_i, Y_j) = P_{ij}$ and $(X_j^{-1}, Y_i^{-1}) = \mathcal{Q}_{ij}$, for i < j,
- (T3) $(X_1, Y_1^{-1}) = P_{1n} \cdots P_{12},$
- (T4) $(X_i, P_{jk}) = 1 = (Y_i, P_{jk}),$ for all i, j < k,
- (T5) $(X_i X_j, P_{ij}) = 1 = (Y_i Y_j, P_{ij}), \text{ for } i < j,$
- (TR) $X_1 \cdots X_n = 1 = Y_1 \cdots Y_n$.

There are also the following relations, satisfied in the fundamental group $\overline{B}_{1,n}$ of $C(\mathbb{T},n)/\mathfrak{S}_n$:

(N)
$$X_{i+1} = \sigma_i^{-1} X_i \sigma_i^{-1}, \quad Y_{i+1} = \sigma_i^{-1} Y_i \sigma_i^{-1},$$

where σ_i are the generators of the braid group B_n with geometric convention as follows:



3.3. The PaB-module $PaB_{e\ell\ell}$ of parenthesized elliptic (or beak) braids

In a similar manner as in $\S2.3$, there are inclusions of topological modules ⁽¹⁾

$$\mathbf{Pa} \subset \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1, -) \subset \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{T}, -).$$

^{1.} The second one depends on the choice of an embedding $\mathbb{S}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}$: we choose by convention the "horizontal embedding," which corresponds to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{*\}$.

Then it makes sense to define

$$\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}:=\pi_1\left(\overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{T},-),\mathbf{Pa}
ight),$$

which is a **PaB**-module in groupoids.

As said in Section 1.5, there is a map of \mathfrak{S} -modules $\mathbf{PaB} \longrightarrow \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ and we abusively denote $R^{1,2}$ and $\Phi^{1,2,3}$ the images in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ of the corresponding arrows in \mathbf{PaB} .

EXAMPLE 3.1 (Structure of $\operatorname{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}(2)$). – As in Example 2.1 there is an arrow $R^{1,2}$ going from (12) to (21). Additionnally, we also have two automorphisms of (12), denoted $A^{1,2}$ and $B^{1,2}$, corresponding to the following loops on $\overline{C}(\mathbb{T},2)$:



By global translation of the torus, these are the same loops as the following



In particular, $A^{1,2}\tilde{R}^{1,2}$ and $B^{1,2}\tilde{R}^{1,2}$, which are morphisms from (12) to (21), correspond to the following paths $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, 2)$:



REMARK 3.2. – The arrows $A^{1,2}$ and $B^{1,2}$ correspond to $A_{1,2}^{\pm}$ in [19, §1.3]. Thus we will respectively depict $A^{1,2}$ and $B^{1,2}$ as



The images of $R^{1,2}$ and $\Phi^{1,2,3}$ by the \mathfrak{S} --module morphism $\mathbf{PaB} \longrightarrow \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ will still be denoted the same way. One can rephrase [19, Proposition 1.3] in the following way:

THEOREM 3.3. – As a **PaB**-module in groupoids having **Pa** as **Pa**-module of objects, **PaB**_{ell} is freely generated by $A := A^{1,2}$ and $B := B^{1,2}$, together with the following relations:

(N1)
$$\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}\tilde{R}^{1,23}\Phi^{2,3,1}A^{2,31}\tilde{R}^{2,31}\Phi^{3,1,2}A^{3,12}\tilde{R}^{3,12} = \mathrm{Id}_{(12)3},$$

(N2)
$$\Phi^{1,2,3}B^{1,23}\tilde{R}^{1,23}\Phi^{2,3,1}B^{2,31}\tilde{R}^{2,31}\Phi^{3,1,2}B^{3,12}\tilde{R}^{3,12} = \mathrm{Id}_{(12)3},$$

(E)
$$R^{1,2}R^{2,1} = \left(\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}, \tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}B^{2,13}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{2,1}\right).$$

All these relations hold in the automorphism group of (12)3 in $\operatorname{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}(3)$.

Proof. – Let $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}$ be the **PaB**-module with the above presentation. We first show that there is a morphism of **PaB**-modules $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell} \to \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$. We have already seen that there are two automorphisms A, B of (12) in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}(2)$ (see Example 3.1). We have to prove that they indeed satisfy the relations (N1), (N2) and (E).

Relations (N1) and (N2) are satisfied: the two nonagon relations (N1) and (N2) can be depicted as



It is satisfied in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$, expressing the fact that when all (here, three) points move in the same direction on the torus, this corresponds to a constant path in the reduced configuration space of points on the torus. The same is true with the second nonagon relation (N2).
Relation (E) is satisfied: below one sees the path that is obtained from the right-hand-side of the mixed relation (E):

 $- \Phi^{1,2,3} A^{1,23} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}$ is the path



 $- \tilde{R}^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} B^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1}$ is the path



One can see that the commutator of these loops is homotopic to the pure braiding of the first two points in the clockwise direction, that is $R^{1,2}R^{2,1}$, by means of the following picture:



Thus, by the universal property of $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}$, there is a morphism of **PaB**-modules $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell} \to \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$, which is the identity on objects. To show that this map is in fact an isomorphism, it suffices to show that it is an isomorphism at the level of automorphism groups of objects arity-wise, as all groupoids are connected. Let $n \geq 0$, and p be the object $(\cdots((12)3)\cdots)n$ of $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}(n)$ and $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}(n)$. We want to show that the induced morphism

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}(n)}(p) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}(n)}(p) = \pi_1\left(\overline{\operatorname{C}}(\mathbb{T},n),p\right)$$

is an isomorphism.

On the one hand, as $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, n)$ is a manifold with corners, we are allowed to move the basepoint p to a point p_{reg} which is included in the simply connected subset obtained

as the image of $^{(2)}$

$$D_{n,\tau} := \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_j = a_j + b_j \tau, a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < a_1 + 1, \\ 0 < b_n < \dots < b_1 < b_n + 1 \right\}$$

in $C(\mathbb{T}, n)$, where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau \mathbb{Z})$. We then have an isomorphism of fundamental groups $\pi_1(\bar{C}(\mathbb{T}, n), p) \simeq \pi_1(C(\mathbb{T}, n), p_{reg})$.

On the other hand, in [19, Proposition 1.4], Enriquez constructs a universal elliptic structure $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{En}$, that by definition carries an action of the (algebraic version of the) reduced braid group on the torus $\overline{B}_{1,n}$ in the following sense:

- $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{En}$ is a category;
- for every object p of $\mathbf{Pa}(n)$, there is a corresponding object [p] in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{En}$, and [p] = [q] if p and q only differ by a permutation (but have the same underlying parenthesization);
- there are group morphisms $\overline{B}_{1,n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{PaB}}^{En}_{e\ell\ell}}(p) \to \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Moreover, one has by construction of $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{En}$ that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}(n)}(p)$ is the kernel of the map $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{En}}([p]) \to \mathfrak{S}_n$. One can actually show that there is a commuting diagram

where all vertical sequences are short exact sequences. Thus, in order to show that the map $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}(n)}(p) \to \pi_1(\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T},n),p)$ is an isomorphism, we are left to show that

$$\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{1,n} \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{T},n), p_{\mathrm{reg}})$$

is indeed an isomorphism. But this map is nothing else than a conjugate of the map constructed in [7, Theorem 5], identifying the algebraic and topological versions of the braid group on the torus. \Box

^{2.} We have already done so for the proof of relation (E).

3.4. The CD(k)-module of elliptic chord diagrams

For any $n \ge 0$, recall that $t_{1,n}(\mathbf{k})$ is defined as the bigraded Lie **k**-algebra freely generated by x_1, \ldots, x_n in degree $(1,0), y_1, \ldots, y_n$ in degree (0,1) (for $i = 1, \ldots, n$), and t_{ij} in degree (1,1) (for $1 \le i \ne j \le n$), together with the relations (S), (L), (4T), and the following additional elliptic relations as well:

$$(\mathbf{S}_{e\ell\ell}) \qquad \qquad [x_i, y_j] = t_{ij} \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

$$(\mathbf{N}_{e\ell\ell}) \qquad \qquad [x_i, x_j] = [y_i, y_j] = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

$$(\mathbf{T}_{e\ell\ell}) \qquad \qquad [x_i, y_i] = -\sum_{j|j \neq i} t_{ij},$$

$$(\mathbf{L}_{e\ell\ell}) \qquad [x_i, t_{jk}] = [y_i, t_{jk}] = 0 \quad \text{if } \#\{i, j, k\} = 3,$$

$$(4T_{e\ell\ell}) [x_i + x_j, t_{ij}] = [y_i + y_j, t_{ij}] = 0 \text{for } i \neq j.$$

The $\sum_i x_i$ and $\sum_i y_i$ are central in $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}(\mathbf{k})$, and we also consider the quotient

$$\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}(\mathbf{k}) := \mathfrak{t}_{1,n}(\mathbf{k}) \left/ \left(\sum_{i} x_{i}, \sum_{i} y_{i}\right) \right.$$

EXAMPLE 3.4. $-\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}(\mathbf{k})$ is equal to the free Lie **k**-algebra $\mathfrak{f}_2(\mathbf{k})$ on two generators $x = x_1$ and $y = y_2$.

Both $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}$ are acted on by the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , and one can show that the \mathfrak{S} -modules in grLie_k

$$\mathfrak{t}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \{\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}(\mathbf{k})\}_{n\geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \{\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}(\mathbf{k})\}_{n\geq 0},$$

actually are $\mathfrak{t}(\mathbf{k})$ -modules in grLie_k. Partial compositions are defined as follows: for I a finite set and $i \in I$,

$$\begin{split} \circ_k : \mathfrak{t}_{1,I}(\mathbf{k}) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_J(\mathbf{k}) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{1,J \sqcup I - \{i\}}(\mathbf{k}) \\ (0, t_{\alpha\beta}) &\longmapsto t_{\alpha\beta} \\ (t_{ij}, 0) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} t_{ij} & \text{if } k \notin \{i, j\} \\ \sum_{p \in J} t_{pj} & \text{if } k = i \\ \sum_{p \in J} t_{ip} & \text{if } j = k \end{cases} \\ (x_i, 0) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } k \neq i \\ \sum_{p \in J} x_p & \text{if } k = i \end{cases} \\ (y_i, 0) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if } k \neq i \\ \sum_{p \in J} y_p & \text{if } k = i \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

We call $\mathfrak{t}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$, resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$, the module of *infinitesimal elliptic braids*, resp. of *infinitesimal reduced elliptic braids*.

We finally define the $\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})$ -module $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \hat{\mathcal{U}}(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}))$ of elliptic chord diagrams. Similarly to the genus 0 situation, morphisms in $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})(n)$ can be represented as chords on n vertical strands, with extra chords corresponding to the generators x_i and y_i as in the following picture:



The elliptic relations introduced above can be represented as follows, analogously as for the genus 0 case:





REMARK 3.5. – The relation between (a closely related version of) $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ and the elliptic Kontsevich integral was studied in Philippe Humbert's thesis [29].

3.5. The PaCD(k)-module of parenthesized elliptic chord diagrams

As in the genus zero case, the module of objects $Ob(\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}))$ of $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ is terminal. Hence there is a morphism of modules $\omega_2 : \mathbf{Pa} = Ob(\mathbf{Pa}(\mathbf{k})) \to Ob(\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}))$ over the morphism of operads ω_1 from §2.5, and thus we can define the $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$ -module ⁽³⁾

$$\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \omega_2^{\star} \mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}),$$

in $Cat(CoAss_k)$, of so-called *parenthesized elliptic chord diagrams*.

EXAMPLE 3.6 (Notable arrows in $\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})(2)$). – There are the following arrows $X_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2}, Y_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2}$ in $\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})(2)$:



^{3.} Recall that $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$ is defined as $\omega_1^* \mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})$.

REMARK 3.7. – As said in Section 1.5, there is a map of \mathfrak{S} -modules $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ and we abusively denote $X^{1,2}$, $H^{1,2}$ and $a^{1,2,3}$ the images in $\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ of the corresponding arrows in $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$. The elements $X_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2}, Y_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2}$ are generators of the $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$ -module $\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ and satisfy the following relations in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})(2)}(12)$:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ X_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} + X^{1,2} X_{e\ell\ell}^{2,1} (X^{1,2})^{-1} = 0, \\ \bullet \ Y_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} + X^{1,2} Y_{e\ell\ell}^{2,1} (X^{1,2})^{-1} = 0. \end{array}$$

They also satisfy the following relations in $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})(3)}((12)3)$:

$$\begin{split} \bullet \ & X_{e\ell\ell}^{12,3} + a^{1,2,3} X_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2,3} X_{e\ell\ell}^{23,1} (a^{1,2,3} X^{1,23})^{-1} \\ & + X^{12,3} (a^{3,1,2})^{-1} X_{e\ell\ell}^{31,2} (X^{12,3} (a^{3,1,2})^{-1})^{-1} = 0, \\ \bullet \ & Y_{e\ell\ell}^{12,3} + a^{1,2,3} X^{1,23} Y_{e\ell\ell}^{23,1} (a^{1,2,3} X^{1,23})^{-1} \\ & + X^{12,3} (a^{3,1,2})^{-1} Y_{e\ell\ell}^{31,2} (X^{12,3} (a^{3,1,2})^{-1})^{-1} = 0, \\ \bullet \ & H^{1,2} = [a^{1,2,3} X_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2,3} (a^{1,2,3})^{-1}, X^{1,2} a^{2,1,3} Y_{e\ell\ell}^{2,13} (a^{2,1,3})^{-1} (X^{1,2})^{-1}]. \end{split}$$

3.6. Elliptic associators

Let us introduce some terminology, complementing the one of §2.6. Let us write OpR \mathcal{C} for the category of pairs $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M})$, where \mathcal{P} is an operad and \mathcal{M} is a right \mathcal{O} -module, in \mathcal{C} . A morphism $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M}) \to (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{N})$ is a pair (f, g), where $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Q}$ is a morphism between operads and $g : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a morphism of \mathcal{P} -modules.

The superscript "+" still indicates that we consider morphisms that are the identity on objects.

DEFINITION 3.8. – An elliptic associator over \mathbf{k} is a couple (F, G) where F is a **k**-associator and G is an isomorphism between the $\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k})$ -module $\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ and the $G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$ -module $G\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ which is the identity on objects and which is compatible with F:

$$\mathbf{Ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \operatorname{Iso}_{\operatorname{OpR}\mathbf{Grpd}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{+} \left(\left(\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k}), \widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \right), \left(G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}), G\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \right) \right)$$

The following theorem identifies our definition of elliptic associators with the original one introduced by Enriquez in [19].

THEOREM 3.9. – There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set $\text{Ell}(\mathbf{k})$ and the set $\text{Ell}(\mathbf{k})$ of quadruples (μ, φ, A_+, A_-) , where $(\mu, \varphi) \in \text{Ass}(\mathbf{k})$ and $A_{\pm} \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}))$, such that:

(3.1)
$$\alpha_{\pm}^{1,2,3} \alpha_{\pm}^{2,3,1} \alpha_{\pm}^{3,1,2} = 1, \text{ where } \alpha_{\pm} = \varphi^{1,2,3} A_{\pm}^{1,23} e^{-\mu(t_{12}+t_{13})/2},$$

(3.2)
$$e^{\mu t_{12}} = \left(\varphi A_+^{1,23} \varphi^{-1}, e^{-\mu t_{12}/2} \varphi^{2,1,3} A_-^{2,13} (\varphi^{2,1,3})^{-1} e^{-\mu t_{12}/2}\right).$$

All these relations hold in the group $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,3}(\mathbf{k}))$.

Proof. – An associator F corresponds uniquely to a couple $(\mu, \varphi) \in \operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{k})$ and an isomorphism G between $\widehat{\operatorname{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ and $G\operatorname{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ sends the arrows $A^{1,2}$ and $B^{1,2}$ of $\operatorname{End}_{\widehat{\operatorname{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})(2)}(12)$ to $A_+ \cdot X^{1,2}_{e\ell\ell}$ and $A_- \cdot Y^{1,2}_{e\ell\ell}$ with $A_{\pm} \in \exp(\widehat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}))$ (recall that $\widehat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}(\mathbf{k})$ is the completed free Lie algebra over \mathbf{k} in two generators). The image of relations (N1), (N2) and (E) are precisely the relations (3.1) and (3.2).

EXAMPLE 3.10 (Elliptic KZB Associators). – Let us fix $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$. Recall that the Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the free Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}_2(\mathbb{C})$ generated by two elements $x := x_1$ and $y := y_1$. We define the elliptic KZB associators $e(\tau) := (A(\tau), B(\tau))$ as the renormalized holonomies from 0 to 1 and 0 to τ of the differential equation

(3.3)
$$G'(z) = -\frac{\theta_{\tau}(z + \operatorname{ad} x) \operatorname{ad} x}{\theta_{\tau}(z)\theta_{\tau}(\operatorname{ad} x)}(y) \cdot G(z),$$

with values in the group $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}))$ More precisely, this equation has a unique solution G(z) defined over $\{a+b\tau \mid a, b \in (0,1)\}$ such that $G(z) \simeq (-2\pi \operatorname{i} z)^{-[x,y]}$ at $z \to 0$. In this case,

$$A(\tau) := G(z)G(z+1)^{-1}, \quad B(\tau) := G(z)G(z+\tau)^{-1}e^{-2\pi i x}.$$

These are elements of the group $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}))$. More precisely, Enriquez showed in [19] that the element $(2\pi i, \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}, A(\tau), B(\tau))$ is in $\mathrm{Ell}(\mathbb{C})$.

3.7. Elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group

DEFINITION 3.11. – The (**k**-prounipotent version of the) *elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group* is defined as the group

$$\widehat{\operatorname{\mathbf{GT}}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{OpR}\operatorname{\mathbf{Grpd}}_{\mathbf{k}}}^+ \big(\widehat{\operatorname{\mathbf{PaB}}}(\mathbf{k}), \widehat{\operatorname{\mathbf{PaB}}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \big).$$

Again, we now show that our definition coincides with the original one defined by Enriquez in [19]. Recall that the set $\widehat{\operatorname{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ is the set of tuples (λ, f, g_{\pm}) , where $(\lambda, f) \in \widehat{\operatorname{GT}}(\mathbf{k}), g_{\pm} \in \widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{2}(\mathbf{k})$ such that, in $\widehat{\mathrm{B}}_{1,3}(\mathbf{k})$,

(3.4)
$$(f(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2)g_{\pm}(A, B)(\sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_1)^{-\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1})^3 = 1,$$

(3.5)
$$u^2 = (g_+, u^{-1}g_-u^{-1}),$$

where $u = f(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2)^{-1} \sigma_1^{\lambda} f(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2)$ and $g_{\pm} = g_{\pm}(A, B)$.

For $(\lambda, f, g_{\pm}), (\lambda', f', g'_{\pm}) \in \widehat{\mathrm{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$, we set

$$(\lambda, f, g_{\pm}) * (\lambda', f', g'_{\pm}) := (\lambda'', f'', g''_{\pm}),$$

where $g''_{\pm}(A,B) = g_{\pm}(g'_{+}(A,B),g'_{-}(A,B))$. This gives $\widehat{\operatorname{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ a group structure. Moreover, for $(\lambda, f, g_{+}, g_{-}) \in \widehat{\operatorname{GT}}_{ell}(\mathbf{k})$ and $(\mu, \varphi, A_{+}, A_{-}) \in \operatorname{Ell}(\mathbf{k})$, we set

$$(\lambda, f, g_+, g_-) * (\mu, \varphi, A_+, A_-) := (\mu', \varphi', A'_+, A'_-),$$

where $A'_{\pm} := g_{\pm}(A_+, A_-)$. In [19], it is shown that this defines a free and transitive left action of $\widehat{\operatorname{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ on Ell(\mathbf{k}).

PROPOSITION 3.12. – There is an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ such that the bijection $\mathbf{Ell}(\mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ell}(\mathbf{k})$ becomes a torsor isomorphism.

Proof. – Suppose that we are given an automorphism (F, G) of $(\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k}), \widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}))$ which is the identity on objects. We already know (see §2.7) that F is determined by a pair $(\lambda, f) \in \widehat{\mathbf{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$, and that any such pair determines an F. Moreover, the images of the two generators $A^{1,2}, B^{1,2} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})(2)}(12) = \widehat{\operatorname{PB}}_{1,2}(\mathbf{k})$ are

 $G(A^{1,2}) = g_+(A^{1,2},B^{1,2}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad G(B^{1,2}) = g_-(A^{1,2},B^{1,2}),$

with $g_{\pm} \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_2(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \widehat{\operatorname{PB}}_{1,2}(\mathbf{k})$. It therefore follows from Theorem 3.3 that (λ, f, g_{\pm}) satisfies relations (3.4) and (3.5) if and only it determines an automorphism (F, G).

Let us then prove that the bijective assignment $(F, G) \mapsto (\lambda, f, g_{\pm})$ that we just described is a group morphism. For this we show that the composition of automorphisms corresponds to the group law of $\operatorname{GT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$. We already know (see §2.7) that the composition of automorphisms of $\widehat{\operatorname{PaB}}(\mathbf{k})$ corresponds to the group law in $\operatorname{GT}(\mathbf{k})$. Now, given automorphisms (F_1, G) and (F_2, H) , and there respective images $(\lambda_1, f_1, g_{\pm})$ and $(\lambda_2, f_2, h_{\pm})$, we have that

$$(H \circ G)(A) = H(g_{+}(A, B)) = g_{+}(H(A), H(B)) = g_{+}(h_{+}(A, B), h_{-}(A, B)),$$

and, likewise, $(H \circ G)(B) = g_{-}(h_{+}(A, B), h_{-}(A, B)).$

We finally prove the equivariance statement. Let $(F, G) \in \mathbf{GT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$, with image $(\lambda, f, g_{\pm}) \in \mathrm{GT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$, and let $(K, H) \in \mathbf{Ell}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$, with image (μ, φ, A_{\pm}) . It is known (see §2.9) that $K \circ F$ is sent to $(\mu, \varpi) * (\lambda, f)$. It remains to compute:

$$(H \circ G)(A) = H(g_+(A, B)) = g_+(H(A), H(B)) = g_+(A_+, A_-),$$

and, similarly, $(H \circ G)(B) = g_-(A_+, A_-)$.

3.8. Graded elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group

DEFINITION 3.13. – The graded elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group is the group

$$\mathbf{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \mathrm{Aut}^+_{\mathrm{OpR}\,\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{CoAlg}_{\mathbf{k}})} \left(\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})\right).$$

Notice that there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Aut}^+_{\operatorname{OpR}\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{CoAlg}_{k})} \big(\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \big) \\ \simeq \operatorname{Aut}^+_{\operatorname{OpR}\mathbf{Grpd}_{e}} \big(G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}), G\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \big). \end{aligned}$$

33

As before, our goal in this paragraph is to show that our definition coincides with the one of Enriquez [19]. Recall that he defines $\operatorname{GRT}_{1}^{ell}(\mathbf{k})$ as the set of triples $(g, u_{+}, u_{-}) \in \operatorname{GRT}_{1}(\mathbf{k}) \times (\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}))^{\times 2}$, satisfying

(3.6)
$$\operatorname{Ad}(g^{1,2,3})(u_{\pm}^{1,23}) + \operatorname{Ad}(g^{2,1,3})(u_{\pm}^{2,13}) + u_{\pm}^{3,12} = 0,$$

(3.7)
$$[\operatorname{Ad}(g^{1,2,3})(u_{\pm}^{1,23}), u_{\pm}^{3,12}] = 0,$$

(3.8)
$$[\operatorname{Ad}(g^{1,2,3})(u_+^{1,23}), \operatorname{Ad}(g^{2,1,3})(u_-^{2,13})] = t_{12}$$

as relations in $\hat{t}_{1,3}(\mathbf{k})$. He defines a group structure as follows:

$$(g, u_+, u_-) * (h, v_+, v_-) := (g * h, w_+, w_-),$$

where

$$w_{\pm}(x_1, y_1) := u_{\pm}(v_{+}(x_1, y_1), v_{-}(x_1, y_1)).$$

The group \mathbf{k}^{\times} acts on $\operatorname{GRT}_{1}^{ell}(\mathbf{k})$ by rescaling: $c \cdot (g, u_{\pm}) := (c \cdot g, c \cdot u_{\pm})$, where $c \cdot g$ is as before, and

$$- (c \cdot u_+)(x_1, y_1) := u_+(x_1, c^{-1}y_1), - (c \cdot u_-)(x_1, y_1) := cu_-(x_1, c^{-1}y_1).$$

We then set $\operatorname{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \operatorname{GRT}_1^{ell}(\mathbf{k}) \rtimes \mathbf{k}^{\times}$.

Moreover, there is a right action of $\operatorname{GRT}_1^{ell}(\mathbf{k})$ on $\operatorname{Ell}(\mathbf{k})$: for $(g, u_{\pm}) \in \operatorname{GRT}_1^{ell}(\mathbf{k})$ and $(\mu, \varphi, A_{\pm}) \in \operatorname{Ell}(\mathbf{k})$, we set $(\mu, \varphi, A_{\pm}) * (g, u_{\pm}) := (\mu, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{A}_{\pm})$, where

$$A_{\pm}(x_1, y_1) := A_{\pm}(u_+(x_1, y_1), u_-(x_1, y_1))$$

and, for $c \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}$, we set $(\mu, \varphi, A_{\pm}) * c := (\mu, c * \varphi, c \ddagger A_{\pm})$, where $(c \ddagger A_{\pm})(x_1, y_1) := A_{\pm}(x_1, cy_1)$. In [19] this action is shown to be free and transitive. Notice that $\tilde{A}_{\pm} = \theta(A_{\pm})$, where $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{k}})$ is defined by $x_1 \mapsto u_+(x_1, y_1)$ and $y_1 \mapsto u_-(x_1, y_1)$.

PROPOSITION 3.14. - There is an injective group morphism

$$\operatorname{\mathbf{GRT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \to \operatorname{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}).$$

Moreover, the bijection $\text{Ell}(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \text{Ell}(\mathbf{k})$ from Theorem 3.9 is equivariant along this morphism.

Proof. – For every $(G, U) \in \mathbf{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$, there are $(\lambda, g) \in \mathrm{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$ and $u_{\pm} \in \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}(\mathbf{k})$ such that

$$\begin{split} &- G(X^{1,2}) = X^{1,2}, \\ &- G(H^{1,2}) = \lambda H^{1,2}, \\ &- G(a^{1,2,3}) = g(t_{12}, t_{23})a^{1,2,3}, \\ &- U(X^{1,2}_{e\ell\ell}) = u_+(x,y)\operatorname{Id}_{12}, \\ &- U(Y^{1,2}_{e\ell\ell}) = u_-(x,y)\operatorname{Id}_{12}. \end{split}$$

In light of relations of Remark 3.7, we obtain that (λ, g, u_{\pm}) satisfies relations (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8). The assignment $(G, U) \mapsto (\lambda, g, u_{\pm})$ defines an injective map $\mathbf{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \to \mathrm{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$.

We now show that this map is a group morphism. The proof is the same as one of the analogous statement in Proposition 3.12: for two automorphisms (G_1, U) and (G_2, V) , we already know that the composition $G_2 \circ G_1$ corresponds to the product in GRT(**k**), and we compute:

$$(V \circ U)(X_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2}) = V(u_+(x_1, y_1) \operatorname{Id}_{12}) = u_+(v_+(x_1, y_1), v_-(x_1, y_1)) \operatorname{Id}_{12},$$

and, likewise, $(V \circ U)(X_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2}) = u_-(v_+(x_1, y_1), v_-(x_1, y_1)) \operatorname{Id}_{12}$.

Finally, the equivariance of the bijection is proven in a similar way.

3.9. Bitorsors

Summarizing the results we have proven so far, we get that the bijection $Ell(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow Ell(\mathbf{k})$ from Theorem 3.9 has been promoted to a bitorsor isomorphism. Indeed, we know (by definition) that

$$\left(\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{Ell}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})\right)$$

is a bitorsor, and (from [19]) that

$$\left(\widehat{\operatorname{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}),\operatorname{Ell}(\mathbf{k}),\operatorname{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})\right)$$

is a bitorsor as well.

THEOREM 3.15. – There is a bitorsor isomorphism

(3.9)
$$(\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{Ell}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{Ell}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})).$$

Proof. – This is a summary of most of the above results:

- There is a group isomorphism between $\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ that is such that the bijection from Theorem 3.9 is a torsor isomorphism (Proposition 3.12).
- There is an injective group morphism $\mathbf{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \to \mathbf{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ such that the bijection from Theorem 3.9 is equivariant (Proposition 3.14).

Knowing from Example 3.10 that $\text{Ell}(\mathbf{k})$ is non-empty, we obtain that $\text{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \to \text{GRT}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$ is an isomorphism.

CHAPTER 4

THE MODULE OF PARENTHESIZED ELLIPSITOMIC BRAIDS

In this chapter, Γ denotes the abelian group $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ where $M, N \ge 1$ are two integers. We also write $\mathbf{0} := (\bar{0}, \bar{0})$.

4.1. Compactified twisted configuration space of the torus

Let \mathbb{T} be the topological torus, and consider the connected Γ -covering $p: \tilde{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{T}$ corresponding to the canonical surjective group morphism $\rho: \pi_1(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \Gamma$ sending the generators of \mathbb{Z}^2 to their corresponding reduction in Γ . To any finite set I with cardinality n we associate the Γ -twisted configuration space

$$\operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma) := \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \tilde{\mathbb{T}}^I \, | \, p(z_i) \neq p(z_j) \text{ if } i \neq j \right\},\$$

and let $C(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma) := Conf(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma)/\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$ be its reduced version.

The inclusion

$$(4.1) \qquad \qquad \operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{T}, I \times \Gamma)$$

sending $(z_i)_{i\in I}$ to $(\gamma\cdot z_i)_{(i,\gamma)\in I\times\Gamma}$ induces an inclusion

$$C(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{T}, I \times \Gamma) \hookrightarrow \overline{C}(\mathbb{T}, I \times \Gamma),$$

which allows us to define $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma)$ as the closure of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma)$ inside $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}, I \times \Gamma)$. The boundary $\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma) = \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma) - \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma)$ is made up of the following irreducible components: for any partition $J_1 \coprod \cdots \coprod J_k$ of I there is a component

$$\partial_{J_1,\ldots,J_k}\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T},I,\Gamma)\cong\prod_{i=1}^k(\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C},J_i))\times\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T},k,\Gamma).$$

The inclusion of boundary components provides $\overline{C}(\mathbb{T}, -, \Gamma)$ with the structure of a module over the operad $\overline{C}(\mathbb{C}, -)$ in topological spaces.

On the one hand, the left action of Γ on $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$ gives us an action of Γ^{I} , resp. Γ^{I}/Γ , on $\operatorname{Conf}(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}, I \times \Gamma)$, resp. $\operatorname{C}(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}, I \times \Gamma)$. On the other hand, Γ^{I} also acts on $\operatorname{Conf}(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}, I \times \Gamma)$ and $\operatorname{C}(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}, I \times \Gamma)$ in the following way:

$$(\alpha \cdot \mathbf{z})_{(i,\gamma)} := \mathbf{z}_{i,\gamma+\alpha}.$$

The inclusion (4.1) is Γ^{I} -equivariant, so that one gets a diagonally trivial Γ -action on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}, -)$, in the sense of §1.6.

4.2. The Pa-module of labeled parenthesized permutation

For every finite set I, there is a Γ^{I}/Γ -covering map

$$\phi_I : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}, n, \Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}, n),$$

which extends to a continuous map

$$\overline{\phi}_I : \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I)$$

everything being natural (with respective to bijections) in I. This defines a morphism $\overline{\phi}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}, -)$ -modules from $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, -, \Gamma)$ to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, -)$.

Recall from §3.3 that there are inclusions of topological operadic modules $\mathbf{Pa} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1, -) \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}, -)$ over $\mathbf{Pa} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}, -) \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}, -)$. We define the \mathfrak{S} -module $\mathbf{Pa}^{\Gamma} := \overline{\phi}^{-1}\mathbf{Pa}$, which carries a **Pa**-module structure. Indeed, it is a fiber product

$$\mathbf{Pa}^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} := \mathbf{Pa} \underset{\overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{T},-)}{\times} \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{T},-,\Gamma)$$

in the category of **Pa**-modules in topological space.

The **Pa**-module \mathbf{Pa}^{Γ} admits the following algebraic description. First of all, it is discrete, in the sense that spaces of operations are discrete (i.e., they are just sets). Then, an element of $\mathbf{Pa}^{\Gamma}(n)$ is a parenthesized permutation of $1, \ldots, n$ together with a label function $\{1, \ldots, n\} \to \Gamma$ that is defined up to a global relabeling (i.e., the labeling is an element of Γ^n/Γ). For instance, $2_{\gamma}\mathbf{1_0} = 2_{\mathbf{0}}\mathbf{1_{-\gamma}}$ belongs to $\mathbf{Pa}^{\Gamma}(2)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In geometric terms, having the label $[\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n]$ means that, in our configuration of points, the $(-\gamma_i) \cdot z_i$'s are on the same parallel of the torus. Here is a self-explanatory example of partial composition:

$$(3_0 2_{\gamma}) 1_{\delta} \circ_2 (12) 3 = (3_0 ((2_{\gamma} 3_{\gamma}) 4_{\gamma})) 1_{\delta}.$$

Finally, \mathbf{Pa}^{Γ} is acted on by Γ in the following way: for $n \geq 0$, Γ^n only acts on the labellings, via the group law of Γ . For instance, if $[\underline{\alpha}] \in \Gamma^n/\Gamma$ and $\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma^n$, then $\underline{\gamma} \cdot [\underline{\alpha}] := [\gamma + \underline{\alpha}]$.

In other words, according to the terminology of §1.6 and §1.7, \mathbf{Pa}^{Γ} is identified with $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{Pa} \to \overline{\Gamma})$.

4.3. The PaB-module of parenthesized ellipsitomic braids

We define

$$\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} := \pi_1 \left(\overline{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbb{T}, -, \Gamma), \mathbf{Pa}^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \right),$$

which is a **PaB**-module (in groupoids), that also carries a diagonally trivial action of Γ . The morphism $\bar{\phi}$ induces a **PaB**-module morphism $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma} \to \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$.

EXAMPLE 4.1 (Notable arrows in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$). – Recall the following notable arrows in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$:

- $A^{1,2}$ and $B^{1,2}$ are automorphisms of 12 in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}(2)$.
- $R^{1,2}$ goes from 12 to 21 in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}(2)$.
- $\Phi^{1,2,3}$ goes from (12)3 to 1(23) in **PaB**_{ell}(2).

All are represented by paths which, apart from the endpoints that are in **Pa**, remain in the open part $C(\mathbb{T}, n)$ of the configuration spaces (n = 2, 3). Let us set $\alpha := (\bar{1}, \bar{0})$ and $\beta := (\bar{0}, \bar{1})$. Since there are covering maps

$$C(\mathbb{T}, n, \Gamma) \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{T}, n),$$

then these paths admits unique lifts, with starting point being the same parenthesized permutation with the trivial labeling (the one being constantly equal to $\mathbf{0}$). These lifts are denoted the same way:

- The lift $A^{1,2}$ goes from $1_0 2_0$ to $1_{\alpha} 2_0 = 1_0 2_{-\alpha}$ in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(2)$.
- The lift $B^{1,2}$ goes from $1_0 2_0$ to $1_\beta 2_0 = 1_0 2_{-\beta}$ in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(2)$.
- etc.

Here is a drawing of paths representing $A^{1,2}$ and $B^{1,2}$:



We may chose to alternatively depict them as diagrams representing elliptic pure braids (i.e., loops in the base configuration space) together with appropriate base points (which uniquely determines the lift in the covering twisted configuration space):

$$A^{1,2} = \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathbf{0}} & 2_{\mathbf{0}} \\ + \bigvee_{\mathbf{1}_{\alpha}} & 2_{\mathbf{0}} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad B^{1,2} = \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathbf{0}} & 2_{\mathbf{0}} \\ - \bigvee_{\mathbf{1}_{\beta}} & 2_{\mathbf{0}} \end{array}$$

REMARK 4.2. – It is important to observe that, the action of Γ being diagonally trivial, one can shift the global labeling of the indexed points, and thus $A^{1,2}$ and $B^{1,2}$ can also be represented as follows:

$$A^{1,2} = + \bigvee_{1_0}^{1_0} \bigvee_{2_{-\alpha}}^{2_0} \text{ and } B^{1,2} = - \bigvee_{1_0}^{1_0} \bigvee_{2_{-\beta}}^{2_0}$$

As for $R^{1,2}$ and $\Phi^{1,2,3}$, they are depicted in the usual way:



Actually, every morphism in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ can be uniquely lifted to $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$, once the lift of the source object has been fixed; all other lifts are obtained by the $\overline{\Gamma}$ -action. Moreover, all morphisms can be obtained like this. This shows that the **PaB**-module $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$ has an alternative simple algebraic descrition that we explain now. First observe that the **PaB**-module $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ comes with a morphism π to the *-module $\overline{\Gamma}$, which is the composition of the abelianization morphism to $\overline{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ with the projection $\overline{\mathbb{Z}^2} \to \overline{\Gamma}$.

In terms of the presentation from Theorem 3.3,

$$\pi(A) = lpha_1 = [(\bar{1}, 0), \mathbf{0}] \quad ext{and} \quad \pi(B) = eta_1 = [(0, \bar{1}), \mathbf{0}],$$

where we adopt the following notation:

NOTATION 4.3. – For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, then we write

$$\gamma_i := [\mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}, \underset{i}{\gamma}, \mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}] \in \Gamma^n / \Gamma.$$

PROPOSITION 4.4. – There is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G} ig(\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}
ightarrow \overline{\Gamma} ig) \tilde{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}}$$

of **PaB**-modules with a $\overline{\Gamma}$ -action, which is is the identity on objects.

Proof. – We first describe the morphism:

MÉMOIRES DE LA SMF 179

- It is the identity on objects;
- Given two labeled parenthesized permutations (\mathbf{p}, γ) and (\mathbf{q}, δ) , it sends a the class in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ of a path $f : \mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{q}$ such that $\gamma + \pi(f) = \delta$ to the class of the unique lift of f that starts at the base point determined by (\mathbf{p}, γ) .

As we have already seen, to show that this morphism is in fact an isomorphism, it suffices to show that it is an isomorphism at the level of automorphism groups of objects arity-wise. This is indeed the case, as on both sides, in arity n, the automorphism group of an object is the kernel of the morphism $\overline{\text{PB}}_{1,n} \to \Gamma^n / \Gamma$ sending X_i to $(\bar{1}, \bar{0})_i$ and Y_j to $(\bar{0}, \bar{1})_j$.

4.4. The universal property of $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$

We are now ready to provide an explicit presentation for the **PaB**-module $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$. As before, we keep the convention that $\alpha = (\bar{1}, \bar{0})$ and $\beta = (\bar{0}, \bar{1})$.

THEOREM 4.5. – As a **PaB**-module in groupoids with a diagonally trivial Γ -action and having **Pa**^{Γ} as **Pa**-module of objects, **PaB**^{Γ}_{ell} is freely generated by A : $1_02_0 \rightarrow 1_{\alpha}2_0$ and B : $1_02_0 \rightarrow 1_{\beta}2_0$, together with the following relations, satisfied in $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{PaB}^{\Gamma}_{ell}(3) \rtimes (\Gamma^3/\Gamma)}((1_02_0)3_0)$:

(tN1)
$$\Phi^{1,2,3}\underline{A}^{1,23}\tilde{R}^{1,23}\Phi^{2,3,1}\underline{A}^{2,31}\tilde{R}^{2,31}\Phi^{3,1,2}\underline{A}^{3,12}\tilde{R}^{3,12} = \mathrm{Id}_{(1_02_0)3_0}$$

(tN2)
$$\Phi^{1,2,3}\underline{B}^{1,23}\tilde{R}^{1,23}\Phi^{2,3,1}\underline{B}^{2,31}\tilde{R}^{2,31}\Phi^{3,1,2}\underline{B}^{3,12}\tilde{R}^{3,12} = \mathrm{Id}_{(1_02_0)3_0}$$

(tE)
$$R^{1,2}R^{2,1} = \left(\Phi^{1,2,3}\underline{A}^{1,23}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}, \tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}\underline{B}^{2,13}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{2,1}\right),$$

where $\underline{A} := A\alpha_1$ and $\underline{B} := B\beta_1$.

REMARK 4.6. – The above relations are clearer when stated within the semidirect product, even though they can be written within $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$ itself. For instance, (tN1) can be written as

$$\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}\alpha_1 \cdot \left(\tilde{R}^{1,23}\Phi^{2,3,1}A^{2,31}\alpha_2 \cdot \left(\tilde{R}^{2,31}\Phi^{3,1,2}A^{3,12}\right)\right)\tilde{R}^{3,12} = \mathrm{Id}_{(1_02_0)3_0}.$$

The expression for (tE) becomes unpleasant to write.

Proof of the Theorem. – Let $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$ be the **PaB**-module with the above presentation, and let $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}$ be the **PaB**-module with the presentation in Theorem 3.3. Our goal is to prove that there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell} \to \overline{\Gamma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$$

of **PaB**-modules with a $\overline{\Gamma}$ -action, which is is the identity on objects. The result will then follow from Proposition 4.4.

By definition there is a morphism $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma} \rtimes \overline{\Gamma}$, which sends A to \underline{A} , and B to \underline{B} . Moreover, when we compose this morphism with the projection $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma} \rtimes \overline{\Gamma} \to \overline{\Gamma}$, we get back the morphism $\pi : \mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell} \to \overline{\Gamma}$ from the previous chapter, that sends A to α_1 and B to β_1 .

By the adjunction from \$1.7, we therefore get a morphism

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell} \to \overline{\Gamma}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}.$$

of **PaB**-modules with a $\overline{\Gamma}$ -action. It is surjective on morphisms, because both generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$ have preimages. Finally, as we have already seen, to show that this is in fact an isomorphism, it suffices to show that it is an isomorphism at the level of automorphism groups of objects arity-wise, and it is sufficient to do it for a single object in every arity.

Let $n \geq 1$ and let \tilde{p} be the object $(\cdots((1_02_0)3_0)\cdots)n_0$ of $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(n)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}(n) \to \Gamma^n/\Gamma)$, which lifts $p = (\cdots((12)3)\cdots)n$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{e\ell\ell}(n)$. There is a commuting diagram



where the horizontal sequence is exact. Therefore the vertical morphism is injective, and we are done. $\hfill \Box$

4.5. Ellipsitomic Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups

DEFINITION 4.7. – The (**k**-pro-unipotent version of the) *ellipsitomic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group* is defined as

$$\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) := \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{OpR}\mathbf{Grpd}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{+} \big(\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k}), \widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \big)^{\Gamma},$$

where, as usual, the superscript Γ means that we are considering the subgroup of Γ -equivariant automorphisms.

Let $M', N' \geq 1$, and assume we are given a surjective group morphism

$$\rho: \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma' := \mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z}.$$

This gives a (surjective) map between the corresponding covering spaces of the torus, which can be used to construct a morphism of $\overline{C}(\mathbb{C}, -)$ -modules

$$\overline{C}(\mathbb{T},-,\Gamma)\longrightarrow\overline{C}(\mathbb{T},-,\Gamma')$$

Following the construction of Sections 4.2 and 4.3, we get a morphism of **PaB**-modules

$$\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\rho} \, : \, \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma'}.$$

The morphism $\mathbf{PaB}^{\rho}_{e\ell\ell}$ is Γ -equivariant, and has a straightforward algebraic description:

— On objects, it consists in applying ρ to the labeling, keeping the underlying parentheiszed permutation unchanged.

— It sends the generating morphisms $A^{1_0 2_0}$ and $B^{1_0 2_0}$ in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$ to their counterparts (which are denoted the same way) in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma'}$.

As a consequence, the **PaB**-module $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma'}$ can be obtained as the quotient of $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$ by ker ρ . We therefore obtain a group morphism $\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{k})$.

CHAPTER 5

ELLIPSITOMIC CHORD DIAGRAMS AND ELLIPSITOMIC ASSOCIATORS

5.1. Infinitesimal ellipsitomic braids

In this paragraph and the next one, $(\Gamma, \mathbf{0}, +)$ can be any finite abelian group.

For any $n \ge 0$ we define $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ to be the bigraded **k**-Lie algebra with generators x_i $(1 \le i \le n)$ in degree (1,0), y_i $(1 \le i \le n)$ in degree (0,1), and $\mathfrak{t}_{ij}^{\gamma}$ $(\gamma \in \Gamma, i \ne j)$ in degree (1,1), and relations

(tS_{ell}1)
$$t_{ij}^{\gamma} = t_{ji}^{-\gamma},$$

(tS_{ell}2)
$$[x_i, y_i] = [x_i, y_i] = \sum t_{ij}^{\gamma},$$

$$[\mathbf{tS}_{e\ell\ell}2) \qquad \qquad [x_i,y_j] = [x_j,y_i] = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t'_{ij},$$

$$(\mathrm{tN}_{e\ell\ell}) \qquad \qquad [x_i, x_j] = [y_i, y_j] = 0$$

$$(\mathbf{t}\mathbf{T}_{e\ell\ell}) \qquad \qquad [x_i, y_i] = -\sum_{i:i \neq i} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{ij}^{\gamma}$$

$$(\mathbf{t}\mathbf{L}_{e\ell\ell}\mathbf{1}) \qquad \qquad [t_{ij}^{\gamma}, t_{kl}^{\delta}] = 0,$$

$$(\mathbf{tL}_{e\ell\ell}2) \qquad \qquad [x_i, t_{jk}^{\gamma}] = [y_i, t_{jk}^{\gamma}] = 0,$$

$$[t_{ij}^{\gamma}, t_{ik}^{\gamma+\delta} + t_{jk}^{\delta}] = 0$$

(t4T_{ell}2)
$$[x_i + x_j, t_{ij}^{\gamma}] = [y_i + y_j, t_{ij}^{\gamma}] = 0,$$

where $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$ are pairwise distinct and $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$. We will call $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ the **k**-Lie algebra of *infinitesimal ellipsitomic braids*. Observe that $\sum_{i} x_{i}$ and $\sum_{i} y_{i}$ are central in $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}$. Then we denote by $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ the quotient of $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ by $\sum_{i} x_{i}$ and $\sum_{i} y_{i}$, and the natural morphism $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \to \overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$; $u \mapsto \overline{u}$.

There is an alternative presentation of $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$:

LEMMA 5.1. – The Lie **k**-algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ (resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$) can equivalently be presented with the same generators, and the following relations: $(\mathrm{tS}_{e\ell\ell}1)$, $(\mathrm{tS}_{e\ell\ell}2)$, $(\mathrm{tN}_{e\ell\ell})$, $(tL_{e\ell\ell}1), (tL_{e\ell\ell}2), (t4T_{e\ell\ell}1), and, for every i \in I,$

$$\left(\mathrm{tC}_{e\ell\ell}\right) \qquad \qquad \left[\sum_{j} x_{j}, y_{i}\right] = \left[\sum_{j} y_{j}, x_{i}\right] = 0$$

(resp. $\sum_j x_j = \sum_j y_j = 0$).

Proof. – If x_i, y_i and t_{ij}^{α} satisfy the initial relations, then

$$\left[\sum_{j} x_j, y_i\right] = \left[x_i, y_i\right] + \left[\sum_{j \neq i} x_j, y_i\right] = -\sum_{j: j \neq i} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{ij}^{\gamma} + \sum_{j: j \neq i} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{ij}^{\gamma} = 0$$

Now, if x_i, y_i and t_{ij}^{α} satisfy the above relations, then relations $[\sum_{j} x_j, y_i] = 0$ and $[x_j, y_i] = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{ij}^{\gamma}$, for $i \neq j$, imply that $[x_i, y_i] = -\sum_{j:j \neq i} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{ij}^{\gamma}$. Now, relations $[\sum_{k} x_k, y_j] = 0$ and $[\sum_{k} x_k, x_i] = 0$ imply that $[\sum_{k} x_k, \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{ij}^{\gamma}] = 0$. Thus, as $[x_i, t_{jk}^{\gamma}] = 0$ if card $\{i, j, k\} = 3$, we obtain relation $[x_i + x_j, t_{ij}^{\gamma}] = 0$, for $i \neq j$. In the same way we obtain $[y_i + y_j, t_{ij}^{\gamma}] = 0$, for $i \neq j$.

Both $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ are acted on by the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , we get that

$$\mathfrak{t}^{\Gamma}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \{\mathfrak{t}^{\Gamma}_{1,n}(\mathbf{k})\}_{n\geq 0} \quad ext{and} \quad ar{\mathfrak{t}}^{\Gamma}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \{ar{\mathfrak{t}}^{\Gamma}_{1,n}(\mathbf{k})\}_{n\geq 0}$$

define \mathfrak{S} -modules in grLie_k. They are actually $\mathfrak{t}(\mathbf{k})$ -module in grLie_k, where partial compositions are defined as follows ⁽¹⁾: for I a finite set and $k \in I$,

$$\begin{split} \circ_k : \mathfrak{t}_{1,I}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_J(\mathbf{k}) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{1,J \sqcup I - \{k\}}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \\ (0, t_{uv}) &\longmapsto \quad t_{uv}^{\mathbf{0}} \\ (t_{ij}^{\gamma}, 0) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} t_{ij}^{\gamma} & \text{if} \quad k \notin \{i, j\} \\ \sum_{p \in J} t_{pj}^{\gamma} & \text{if} \quad k = i \\ \sum_{p \in J} t_{ip}^{\gamma} & \text{if} \quad j = k \end{cases} \\ (x_i, 0) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if} \quad k \neq i \\ \sum_{p \in J} x_p & \text{if} \quad k = i \end{cases} \\ (y_i, 0) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if} \quad k \neq i \\ \sum_{p \in J} y_p & \text{if} \quad k = i. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

We call $\mathfrak{t}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$, resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$, the module of *infinitesimal ellipsitomic braids*, resp. of *infinitesimal reduced ellipsitomic braids*. When $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ we write $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma} := \mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma} := \overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})$.

^{1.} We give the formulæ for $\mathfrak{t}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$. Formulæ for $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ are the exact same.

Both $\mathfrak{t}(\mathbf{k})$ -modules are acted on by Γ : any element $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \Gamma^I$ acts as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\gamma} \cdot x_i = x_i & (i \in I), \\ \underline{\gamma} \cdot y_i = y_i & (i \in I), \\ \underline{\gamma} \cdot t_{ij}^{\delta} = t_{ij}^{\delta + \gamma_i - \gamma_j} & (\delta \in \Gamma \text{ and } i \neq i) \end{array}$$

We also have the following functoriality in Γ , with respect to surjections:

PROPOSITION 5.2. – Let ρ : $\Gamma_1 \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_2$ be a surjective group morphism, and let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $ad - bc = |\ker \rho|$. There are Γ_1^I -equivariant surjective comparison morphisms $\mathfrak{t}_{1,I}^{\Gamma_1}(\mathbf{k}) \to \mathfrak{t}_{1,I}^{\Gamma_2}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,I}^{\Gamma_1}(\mathbf{k}) \to \overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,I}^{\Gamma_2}(\mathbf{k})$, defined by

 $x_i \mapsto ax_i + by_i, \quad y_i \mapsto cx_i + dy_i, \quad t_{ij}^{\gamma} \mapsto t_{ij}^{\rho(\gamma)}.$

These are morphisms of $\mathfrak{t}(\mathbf{k})$ -modules in grLie_k.

Proof. – This follows from direct computations.

Actually, these morphisms exhibit $\mathfrak{t}_{1,I}^{\Gamma_2}(\mathbf{k})$, resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,I}^{\Gamma_2}(\mathbf{k})$, as the quotient of $\mathfrak{t}_{1,I}^{\Gamma_1}(\mathbf{k})$, resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,I}^{\Gamma_1}(\mathbf{k})$, by $(\ker \rho)^I$.

REMARK 5.3. – Whenever $\Gamma_i = \mathbb{Z}/M_i\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N_i\mathbb{Z}$, there is a natural choice for the scalars a, b, c, d. Indeed, if $\rho : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2$ is surjective, then there exists elements (a, b) and (c, d) in the lattice $M_2\mathbb{Z} \times N_2\mathbb{Z}$ that generate the sublattice $M_1\mathbb{Z} \times N_1\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, in particular, the determinant ad - bc equals $\frac{M_1N_1}{M_2N_2} = |\ker \rho|$.

5.2. Horizontal ellipsitomic chord diagrams

In this paragraph we define the $\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})$ -module $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ of *ellipsitomic chord* diagrams.

We first consider the $\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})$ -module $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}))$. Morphisms in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}))$ can be given a pictorial description, which mixes the features of the horizontal N-chord diagrams from [9] (see also [14]) together with the elliptic chord diagrams from §3.4. Diagrams corresponding to x_i and y_j are, respectively,

and the one corresponding to $t_{ij}^{\gamma} = t_{ji}^{-\gamma}$ is



Relations can be depicted as follows:





One can notice that labels sum to $\mathbf{0}$ on each strand of all the above diagrams.

We are now ready to define the $\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})$ -module $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$.

- In arity *n*, objects of $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ are just labellings $\{1, \ldots, n\} \to \Gamma$ up to a global shift: $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}))(n) = \Gamma^n / \Gamma$.
- The *-module structure is given as follows on objects: for every $i, \circ_i: \Gamma^n \to \Gamma^{n+m-1}$ is the partial diagonal

$$(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\longmapsto(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{i-1},\underbrace{\alpha_i,\ldots,\alpha_i}_{m \text{ times}},\alpha_{i+1},\ldots,\alpha_n).$$

- Given two objects $[\underline{\alpha}] = [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]$ and $[\underline{\beta}] = [\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n]$ in arity *n*, the **k**-vector space of morphisms from $[\underline{\alpha}]$ to $[\underline{\beta}]$ in $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ is the vector space of horizontal Γ-chord diagrams such that, for every *i*, the sum of labels on the *i*-th strand is $\beta_i \alpha_i$.
- The $\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k})$ -module structure on morphisms is the exact same as the one for $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}))$.

Moreover, $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ carries an action of $\overline{\Gamma}$, by translation on the labeling of objects.

For every surjective group morphism $\rho: \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma'$, the morphism of Proposition 5.2 gives rise to a Γ -equivariant surjective morphism

$$\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{k}) \to \mathbf{CD}_{\mathbf{e}\ell\ell}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}'}(\mathbf{k}),$$

which exhibits $\mathbf{CD}_{\mathbf{e}\ell\ell}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}'}(\mathbf{k})$ as the quotient $\overline{\mathrm{ker}(\rho)} \setminus \mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{k})$.

EXAMPLE 5.4 (Notable arrows in $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})(2)$). – Assume that $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. In addition to the arrows of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}))$, we also have, in $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})(2)$,

$$I_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathbf{0}} & 2_{\mathbf{0}} \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ 1_{\alpha} & 2_{\mathbf{0}} \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad J_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathbf{0}} & 2_{\mathbf{0}} \\ \bullet & \bullet \\$$

recalling that $\alpha = (\overline{1}, \overline{0})$ and $\beta = (\overline{0}, \overline{1})$.

Let us introduce $\underline{I}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} := I_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} \alpha_1$ and $\underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} := J_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} \beta_1$, that are automorphisms of $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{0}}\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{0}}$ in the semi-direct product groupoid $\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})(2) \rtimes (\Gamma^2/\Gamma)$. Then, by definition, for every $\gamma = (\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \in \Gamma$,

$$\operatorname{Ad}\left((\underline{I}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2})^p(\underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2})^q\right)(t_{12}^{\mathbf{0}}) = t_{12}^{\gamma}$$

NOTATION 5.5. - For later purposes, we also introduce the notation

$$X_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} = x_1 \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{1_0 2_0} = \begin{array}{c} + & & 1_0 & 2_0 \\ \bullet & & \bullet & \bullet \\ & 1_0 & 2_0 \end{array} \quad and \quad Y_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2} = y_1 \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{1_0 2_0} = \begin{array}{c} - & & 1_0 & 2_0 \\ \bullet & & \bullet & \bullet \\ & 1_0 & 2_0 \end{array}$$

5.3. Parenthesized ellipsitomic chord diagrams

There is a Γ -equivariant morphism of modules $\omega_3 : \mathbf{Pa}^{\Gamma} \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}))$, which forgets the parenthesized permutation (and only remembers the labeling), over the terminal operad morphism $\omega_1 : \mathbf{Pa} \to * = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{CD}(\mathbf{k}))$ from §2.5. Hence we can consider the fake pull-back $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$ -module

$$\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{k}) := \omega_3^{\star} \mathbf{CD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{k})$$

of parenthesized ellipsitomic chord diagrams, which is still acted on by $\overline{\Gamma}$.

REMARK 5.6. – As explained in Section 1.5, there is a map of \mathfrak{S} -modules $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ and we keep the same symbol for the image in $\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ of an arrow in $\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k})$.



Assuming again that $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, the following relations hold in $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})(2) \rtimes (\Gamma^{2}/\Gamma)}(1_{0}2_{0})$:

- $(\underline{I}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2})^M = \mathrm{Id}_{1o2o},$
- $(\underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2})^N = \mathrm{Id}_{1_0 2_0},$
- $\left(\underline{I}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2}, \underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2}\right) = \mathrm{Id}_{1o2o}.$

These relations allow to unambiguously define, for every $\gamma = (\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \in \Gamma$, a morphism $K_{\gamma}^{1,2} : \mathbf{1}_{0}\mathbf{2}_{0} \to \mathbf{1}_{\gamma}\mathbf{2}_{0}$ by

$$\underline{K}_{\gamma}^{1,2} := K_{\gamma} \gamma_1 = (\underline{I}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2})^p (\underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{1,2})^q,$$

so that the assignment $\gamma \mapsto \underline{K}_{\gamma}$ is multiplicative.

We also have the following relations in $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})(3)\rtimes(\Gamma^{3}/\Gamma)}((1_{0}2_{0})3_{0}):$

$$\begin{split} 0 &= X_{e\ell\ell}^{12,3} + \operatorname{Ad}\left(a^{1,2,3}X^{1,23}\right) \left(X_{e\ell\ell}^{23,1}\right) + \operatorname{Ad}\left(X^{12,3}(a^{3,1,2})^{-1}\right) \left(X_{e\ell\ell}^{31,2}\right), \\ 0 &= Y_{e\ell\ell}^{12,3} + \operatorname{Ad}\left(a^{1,2,3}X^{1,23}\right) \left(Y_{e\ell\ell}^{23,1}\right) + \operatorname{Ad}\left(X^{12,3}(a^{3,1,2})^{-1}\right) \left(Y_{e\ell\ell}^{31,2}\right), \\ 0 &= \underline{I}_{e\ell\ell}^{12,3} + \operatorname{Ad}\left(a^{1,2,3}X^{1,23}\right) \left(\underline{I}_{e\ell\ell}^{23,1}\right) + \operatorname{Ad}\left(X^{12,3}(a^{3,1,2})^{-1}\right) \left(\underline{I}_{e\ell\ell}^{31,2}\right), \\ 0 &= \underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{12,3} + \operatorname{Ad}\left(a^{1,2,3}X^{1,23}\right) \left(\underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{23,1}\right) + \operatorname{Ad}\left(X^{12,3}(a^{3,1,2})^{-1}\right) \left(\underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{31,2}\right), \\ 0 &= \underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{12,3} + \operatorname{Ad}\left(a^{1,2,3}X^{1,23}\right) \left(\underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{23,1}\right) + \operatorname{Ad}\left(X^{12,3}(a^{3,1,2})^{-1}\right) \left(\underline{J}_{e\ell\ell}^{31,2}\right), \\ \sum_{\in\Gamma} \operatorname{Ad}(\underline{K}_{\gamma}^{1,2})(H^{1,2}) &= \left[\operatorname{Ad}\left(a^{1,2,3}\right) \left(X_{e\ell\ell}^{1,23}\right), \operatorname{Ad}\left(X^{1,2}a^{2,1,3}\right) \left(Y_{e\ell\ell}^{2,13}\right)\right]. \end{split}$$

DEFINITION 5.7. – The graded ellipsitomic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group is defined as

$$\mathbf{GRT}^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}) := \mathrm{Aut}^+_{\mathrm{OpR}\,\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{CoAlg}_{\mathbf{k}})} \left(\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{PaCD}^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})\right)\right)^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}.$$

Recall that there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{OpR}\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{CoAlg}_{k})}^{+} \left(\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \right) \right)^{\Gamma} \\ \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{OpR}\mathbf{Grpd}_{k}}^{+} \left(G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}), G\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \right) \right)^{\Gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

For every group surjective morphism $\underline{\rho}: \Gamma \to \Gamma'$, and every $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $ad - bc = |\ker(\rho)|$, using the fact that $\overline{\ker(\rho)} \setminus \mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{k})$, we obtain a group morphism

$$\operatorname{\mathbf{GRT}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{\mathbf{GRT}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}'}(\mathbf{k}).$$

5.4. Ellipsitomic associators

We now fix $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$.

DEFINITION 5.8. – The set of *ellipsitomic* **k**-associators is

$$\mathbf{Ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) := \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathrm{OpR}\,\mathbf{Grpd}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{+} \left(\left(\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k}), \widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \right), \left(G\mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}), G\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \right) \right)^{\Gamma}.$$

THEOREM 5.9. – There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set $\mathbf{Ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ and the set $\mathbf{Ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ consisting of quadruples $(\mu, \varphi, A, B) \in \mathrm{Ass}(\mathbf{k}) \times \exp\left(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})\right)$ such that, for $\underline{A} := A\alpha_1$ and $\underline{B} := B\beta_1$, the following relations hold in $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,3}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})) \rtimes (\Gamma^3/\Gamma)$:

$$(5.1) \quad 1 = \varphi^{1,2,3} \underline{A}^{1,23} e^{-\mu(\bar{t}_{12}^0 + \bar{t}_{13}^0)/2} \varphi^{2,3,1} \underline{A}^{2,31} e^{-\mu(\bar{t}_{23}^0 + \bar{t}_{12}^0)/2} \varphi^{3,1,2} \underline{A}^{3,12} e^{-\mu(\bar{t}_{31}^0 + \bar{t}_{32}^0)/2} \varphi^{3,1,2} \underline{A}^{3,1,2} e^{-\mu(\bar{t}_{31}^0 + \bar{t}_{32}^0)/2} \varphi^{3,1,2} \varphi^{$$

$$(5.2) \quad 1 = \varphi^{1,2,3} \underline{B}^{1,23} e^{-\mu(\overline{t}_{12}^0 + \overline{t}_{13}^0)/2} \varphi^{2,3,1} \underline{B}^{2,31} e^{-\mu(\overline{t}_{23}^0 + \overline{t}_{12}^0)/2} \varphi^{3,1,2} \underline{B}^{3,12} e^{-\mu(\overline{t}_{31}^0 + \overline{t}_{32}^0)/2},$$

(5.3)

$$e^{\mu \bar{t}_{12}^{\mathbf{0}}} = \left(\varphi^{1,2,3}\underline{A}^{1,23}\varphi^{3,2,1}, e^{-\mu \bar{t}_{12}^{\mathbf{0}}/2}\varphi^{2,1,3}\underline{B}^{2,13}\varphi^{3,2,1}e^{-\mu \bar{t}_{12}^{\mathbf{0}}/2}\right).$$

Proof. – Let (F, G) be an ellipsitomic associator. We have already seen that the choice of the operad isomorphism F corresponds bijectively to the choice of an element $(\mu, \varphi) \in \operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{k})$. From the presentation of $\operatorname{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$, we know that G is uniquely determined by the images of $A^{1,2} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})(2)}(1_02_0, 1_\alpha 2_0)$ and $B^{1,2} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})(2)}(1_02_0, 1_\beta 2_0)$. There are elements $A, B \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}))$ such that

• $G(A^{1,2}) = A \cdot I^{1,2}_{e\ell\ell};$

•
$$G(B^{11,2}) = B \cdot J^{1,2}_{e\ell\ell}$$

These elements must satisfy relations (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), that are images of (tN1), (tN2) and (tE). Conversely, if (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) are satisfied, then G is well-defined.

REMARK 5.10. – It follows from the alternative presentation of $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$ (see Theorem A.3) that $\mathbf{Ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ is also in bijection with the set of

$$(\mu, \varphi, A, B) \in \operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{k}) \times \left(\exp\left(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})\right)\right)^{\times 2}$$

satisfying

(5.4)
$$\underline{A}^{12,3} = \varphi^{1,2,3} \underline{A}^{1,23} \varphi^{3,2,1} e^{-\mu \bar{t}_{12}^0/2} \varphi^{2,1,3} \underline{A}^{2,13} \varphi^{3,1,2} e^{-\mu \bar{t}_{12}^0/2}$$

(5.5)
$$\underline{B}^{12,3} = \varphi^{1,2,3} \underline{B}^{1,23} \varphi^{3,2,1} e^{-\mu \overline{t}_{12}^0/2} \varphi^{2,1,3} \underline{B}^{2,13} \varphi^{3,1,2} e^{-\mu \overline{t}_{12}^0/2}$$

(5.6)
$$\varphi^{1,2,3}e^{\mu\bar{t}_{23}^{0}}\varphi^{3,2,1} = \left(\underline{A}^{12,3}\varphi^{1,2,3}(\underline{A}^{1,23})^{-1}\varphi^{3,2,1}, (\underline{B}^{12,3})^{-1}\right).$$

As before, if we are given a surjective group morphism

$$\rho : \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma' := \mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z},$$

then, given $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k}$ as in Remark 5.3, there is a bitorsor morphism

$$\big(\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}^{\Gamma}(k),\mathbf{Ell}^{\Gamma}(k),\mathbf{GRT}^{\Gamma}(k)\big) \longrightarrow \big(\widehat{\mathbf{GT}}^{\Gamma'}(k),\mathbf{Ell}^{\Gamma'}(k),\mathbf{GRT}^{\Gamma'}(k)\big).$$

In Chapter 6 we prove that ellipsitomic associators (with complex coefficients) do exist.

REMARK 5.11. – Drinfeld's argument in [17] (see also [4]) that shows how to deduce the existence of an associator over \mathbb{Q} from the existence of an associator over \mathbb{C} can be repeated *verbatim* for ellipsitomic associators. We leave the details for future work.

CHAPTER 6

THE KZB ELLIPSITOMIC ASSOCIATOR

In this chapter, Γ still denotes the abelian group $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ where $M, N \geq 1$ are two integers.

Recall from Theorem 5.9 that the set of ellipsitomic associators can be regarded, either as the set of $\overline{\Gamma}$ -equivariant $\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}(\mathbf{k})$ -module isomorphisms

$$\widehat{\mathbf{PaB}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow G\mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{k})$$

that are the identity on objects, or as quadruples $(\lambda, \Phi, A_+, A_-)$, where $(\lambda, \Phi) \in \operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{k})$ and $A_{\pm} \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}))$, satisfying relations (5.1), (5.2), (5.3). The following result tells us that the set $\operatorname{Ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})$ is not empty. We write $\operatorname{Ell}_{\operatorname{KZB}}^{\Gamma} := \operatorname{Ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}) \times_{\operatorname{Ass}(\mathbb{C})} \{2\pi \, \mathrm{i}, \Phi_{\operatorname{KZ}}\}.$

THEOREM 6.1. - There is an analytic map

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{H} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ell}_{\mathrm{KZB}}^{\Gamma} \\ \tau &\longmapsto e^{\Gamma}(\tau) = (A^{\Gamma}(\tau), B^{\Gamma}(\tau)). \end{split}$$

In particular, for each $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$, where \mathfrak{H} is the upper half-plane, the element $(2\pi i, \Phi_{KZ}, A^{\Gamma}(\tau), B^{\Gamma}(\tau))$ is an ellipsitomic \mathbb{C} -associator (i.e., it belongs to $\mathrm{Ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})$).

The rest of this chapter is devoted to the proof of the above theorem.

6.1. The pair $e^{\Gamma}(\tau)$

We adopt the convention for monodromy actions of [13, Appendix A]. First of all, recall that $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}$ is the Lie \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by $x := \bar{x}_1$, $y := \bar{y}_2$ and $t^{\alpha} := \bar{t}_{12}^{\alpha}$, for $\alpha \in \Gamma$, such that $[x, y] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} t^{\alpha}$. We define the *KZB ellipsitomic associator* as the couple

$$e^{\Gamma}(\tau) := \left(A^{\Gamma}(\tau), B^{\Gamma}(\tau)\right) \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}) \times \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma})$$

consisting in the renormalized holonomies along the straight paths from 0 to 1/Mand from 0 to τ/N , respectively, of the differential equation

(6.1)
$$J'(z) = \left(y + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \left(e^{-\frac{2\pi i v_{\alpha}}{N} \operatorname{ad}(x)} \frac{\theta(z - \tilde{\alpha} + \operatorname{ad}(x)|\tau)}{\theta(z - \tilde{\alpha}|\tau)\theta(\operatorname{ad}(x)|\tau)} - \frac{1}{\operatorname{ad}(x)}\right)(t^{\alpha})\right) \cdot J(z),$$

with values in the group $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes \Gamma$ (here, v_{α} is any integer such that $\alpha = (\bar{u_{\alpha}}, \bar{v_{\alpha}}) \in \Gamma$).

More precisely, Equation (6.1) has a unique solution J(z) defined over $\{\frac{s_1}{M} + \frac{s_2}{N}\tau \mid s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, s_1 \text{ or } s_2 \in (0,1)\}$ and such that

$$J(z) \simeq (-z)^t$$

at $z \to 0$.

REMARK 6.2. – We always consider a branch of log that is defined outside the half line $\mathbb{R}_+\tau$, and we always make sure that the domains of definition never contain this half-line. Above, we indeed have that every z in the domain of definition satisfies $-z \notin \mathbb{R}_+\tau$.

We define

$$\underline{A}^{\Gamma}(\tau) := J(z + \frac{1}{M})^{-1}(\overline{1}, \overline{0})J(z) \in \exp(\widehat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes \Gamma.$$

Then the A-ellipsitomic KZB associator $A^{\Gamma}(\tau)$ is the $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma})$ -component of $\underline{A}^{\Gamma}(\tau)$:

$$A^{\Gamma}(\tau) := \underline{A}^{\Gamma}(\tau)(-\bar{1},\bar{0}) = J(z+\frac{1}{M})^{-1}(\bar{1},\bar{0}) \cdot J(z) \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma})$$

In the same way, we define

$$\underline{B}^{\Gamma}(\tau) := J(z + \frac{\tau}{N})^{-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i x}{N}}(\bar{0}, \bar{1}) J(z)$$

and the *B*-ellipsitomic KZB associator $B^{\Gamma}(\tau)$ is then its $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma})$ -component:

$$B^{\Gamma}(\tau) := \underline{B}^{\Gamma}(\tau)(\bar{0}, -\bar{1}) = J(z + \frac{\tau}{N})^{-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i x}{N}}(\bar{0}, \bar{1}) \cdot J(z) \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}).$$

6.2. The ellipsitomic KZB system

Recall from [13] the ellipsitomic KZB system, that is a several variables version of the differential equation from the previous subsection:

(6.2)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_{z_i} F(\mathbf{z}|\tau) = K_i(\mathbf{z}|\tau) F(\mathbf{z}|\tau) & (i = 1, \dots, n) \\ \partial_{\tau} F(\mathbf{z}|\tau) = \Delta(\mathbf{z}|\tau) F(\mathbf{z}|\tau). \end{cases}$$

Here $F(\mathbf{z}|\tau)$ is a holomorphic function $(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathfrak{H}) - \operatorname{Diag}_{n,\Gamma} \supset U \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_n^{\Gamma}$,

$$\operatorname{Diag}_{n,\Gamma} = \left\{ (\mathbf{z}|\tau) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathfrak{H} \,|\, z_i - z_j \in \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{Z} + \frac{\tau}{N} \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } i \neq j \right\},\,$$

and the \mathbb{C} -group \mathbf{G}_n^{Γ} , $K_i(\mathbf{z}|\tau) \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \subset \mathbf{G}_n^{\Gamma}$, and $\Delta(\mathbf{z}|\tau) \in \mathbf{G}_n^{\Gamma}$, are defined in [13].

If one denotes $z_{ij} = z_i - z_j$, then

$$\begin{split} K_{i}(\mathbf{z}|\tau) &= -y_{i} + \sum_{j;j \neq i\alpha \in \Gamma} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \left(e^{-2\pi i a \operatorname{ad}(x_{i})} \frac{\theta(z_{ij} - \tilde{\alpha} + \operatorname{ad}(x_{i})|\tau)}{\theta(z_{ij} - \tilde{\alpha}|\tau)\theta(\operatorname{ad}(x_{i})|\tau)} - \frac{1}{\operatorname{ad}(x_{i})} \right) (t_{ij}^{\alpha}) \\ &= \sum_{j;j \neq i\alpha \in \Gamma} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{ad}(x_{i})} + \frac{t_{ij}^{\alpha}}{z_{ij} - \tilde{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{\operatorname{ad}(x_{i})} \right) (t_{ij}^{\alpha}) + O(1) \\ &= \sum_{j;j \neq i\alpha \in \Gamma} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \frac{t_{ij}^{\alpha}}{z_{ij} - \tilde{\alpha}} + O(1) = \sum_{j;j \neq i\alpha \in \Gamma} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \frac{t_{ij}^{\alpha}}{z_{ij} - \frac{\alpha_{0}}{M}} + O(1), \end{split}$$

where O(1) stands for a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathfrak{H}$. Then it follows directly from the definition of $\Delta(\mathbf{z}|\tau)$ in [13, §3.3] that, for $|z_{ij}| \ll 1$,

$$\Delta(\mathbf{z}|\tau) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\Delta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \ge 0\gamma \in \Gamma} A_{s,\gamma}(\tau) \left(\delta_{s,\gamma} - 2 \sum_{i < j} \operatorname{ad}(x_i)^s(t_{ij}^{-\gamma}) \right) \right) + o(1),$$

where o(1) denotes a function of the form $\sum_{ij} z_{ij} f_{ij}(\mathbf{z}|\tau)$, with f_{ij} 's being holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathfrak{H}$.

REMARK 6.3. – In Chapter 7 we study the modularity properties of the coefficients $A_{s,\gamma}(\tau)$.

We now determine a particular solution $F_{\tau_0,n,\Gamma}$ of the ellipsitomic KZB system (6.2), associated with every $\tau_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$.

Let $D_{n,\Gamma} \subset (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathfrak{H}) - \operatorname{Diag}_{n,\Gamma}$ be defined as

$$\{(\mathbf{z},\tau) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathfrak{H} \mid z_i = a_i + b_i \tau, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < a_1 + \frac{1}{M}, \\ b_n < \dots < b_1 < b_n + \frac{1}{N}\},$$

which is simply connected. A solution of the ellipsitomic KZB system on this domain is then unique, up to right multiplication by a constant element in \mathbf{G}_n^{Γ} . Then, by applying [12, Appendix A, Proposition 85] with $u_{n-1} = z_{n1}$, $u_{n-2} = z_{(n-1)1}/z_{n1}, \ldots$, $u_1 = z_{21}/z_{31}$, we obtain a unique solution $F_{\tau_0,n,\Gamma}$ with the expansion

$$F_{\tau_0,n,\Gamma}(\mathbf{z}|\tau_0) \simeq z_{21}^{t_{02}^0} z_{31}^{t_{03}^0+t_{23}^0} \cdots z_{n1}^{t_{0n}^0+\cdots+t_{n-1,n}^0}$$

in the region $|z_{21}| \ll |z_{31}| \ll \cdots \ll |z_{n1}| \ll 1$, $(\mathbf{z}, \tau_0) \in D_{n,\Gamma}$. The sign \simeq means here that any of the ratios of both sides is of the form

$$1 + \sum_{k>0} \sum_{i,a_1,\dots,a_{n-1}} r_k^{i,a_1,\dots,a_{n-1}} (u_1,\dots,u_{n-1} \mid \tau_0),$$

where the second sum is finite with $a_i \ge 0, i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}, r_k^{i,a_1,...,a_{n-1}}(u_1, ..., u_{n-1} \mid \tau_0)$ has degree k, and is $O(u_i(\log u_1)^{a_1} \cdots (\log u_{n-1})^{a_{n-1}}).$ In the remainder of this chapter, we keep τ fixed and consider $F_{\tau,n}(\mathbf{z}) := F_{\tau,n,\Gamma}(\mathbf{z}|\tau)$, which is a solution of the first line of the ellipsitomic KZB system (6.2), defined on

$$D_{\tau,n,\Gamma} := \{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n | (\mathbf{z}, \tau) \in D_{n,\Gamma} \}$$

and taking its values in $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \subset \mathbf{G}_{n}^{\Gamma}$.

6.3. Generators for the group $B_{1,n}^{\Gamma}$

Let us define, for $(\mathbf{z}_0, \tau) \in D_{n,\Gamma}$, the group $B_{1,n}^{\Gamma} := \pi_1 (\operatorname{Conf}(E_{\tau,\Gamma}, n, \Gamma)/\mathfrak{S}_n, [\mathbf{z}_0])$, and recall that $B_{1,n} = \pi_1 (\operatorname{Conf}(E_{\tau,\Gamma}, n)/\mathfrak{S}_n, [\mathbf{z}_0])$. Now, since the canonical surjective map

 $\operatorname{Conf}(E_{\tau,\Gamma}, n, \Gamma)/\mathfrak{S}_n \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}(E_{\tau,\Gamma}, n)/\mathfrak{S}_n$

defines a Γ -covering, then $\mathbf{B}_{1,n}^{\Gamma} = \ker(\rho)$, where $\rho : \mathbf{B}_{1,n} \to \Gamma$ sends σ_i to $\mathbf{0} = (\bar{0}, \bar{0})$, X_i to $(\bar{1}, \bar{0})$ and Y_i to $(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$. We let A_i (resp. B_i) be the class of the path given by $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \mathbf{z}_0 - \frac{t}{M} \sum_{j=i}^n \delta_j$ (resp. $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \mathbf{z}_0 - \frac{t}{N} \tau \sum_{j=i}^n \delta_j$), so that $X_i = A_i^{-1} A_{i+1}$ (resp. $Y_i = B_i^{-1} B_{i+1}$). It then follows from the geometric description of $\mathbf{B}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}$ that A_i^M , B_i^N $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and

$$\sigma_i^{(\bar{p},\bar{q})} := X_i^p Y_i^q \sigma_i Y_{i+1}^{-q} X_{i+1}^{-p} \qquad \left(1 \le p \le M, \ 1 \le q \le N\right)$$

are generators of $\mathbf{B}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}$. Similarly, $A_i^M, \, B_i^N \, (i=1,\ldots,n)$ and

$$P_{ij}^{(\bar{p},\bar{q})} := X_i^p Y_i^q P_{ij} Y_i^{-q} X_i^{-p} \qquad (i < j, \ 1 \le p \le M, \ 1 \le q \le N)$$

generate $PB_{1,n}^{\Gamma}$.

We denote with the same symbols A_i^M , B_i^N , σ_i^{α} and P_{ij}^{α} ($\alpha \in \Gamma, i = 1, ..., n$) for the projections of these elements to $\overline{B}_{1,n}^{\Gamma} := \pi_1 (\mathcal{C}(E_{\tau,\Gamma}, n, \Gamma) / \mathfrak{S}_n, [\mathbf{z}_0]).$

6.4. The monodromy morphism $\mu_n : B_{1,n} \to \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes (\Gamma^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n)$

Recall from [13, §3.1] the moduli space

$$\mathcal{M}_{1,n}^{\Gamma} := (\mathbb{Z}^n)^2 \rtimes \operatorname{SL}_2^{\Gamma} \setminus \left((\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathfrak{H}) - \operatorname{Diag}_{n,\Gamma} \right)$$

of Γ -structured elliptic curves with n ordered marked points, where $\operatorname{SL}_{2}^{\Gamma} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a \equiv 1 \mod M, d \equiv 1 \mod N, b \equiv 0 \mod N \text{ and } c \equiv 0 \mod M \right\}.$

The ellipsitomic KZB system (6.2) can be used to define a flat \mathbf{G}_{n}^{Γ} -bundle $(\mathcal{P}_{n,\Gamma}, \nabla_{n,\Gamma})$ on $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}$ (see [13, Theorem 3.9 & Theorem 3.12]), that descends to a flat $\mathbf{G}_{n}^{\Gamma} \rtimes (\Gamma^{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{n})$ -bundle $(\mathcal{P}_{(\Gamma),[n]}, \nabla_{(\Gamma),[n]})$ on $(\Gamma^{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{n}) \backslash \mathcal{M}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}$ (see [13, §3.5]). For every $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$, $(\mathcal{P}_{n,\Gamma}, \nabla_{n,\Gamma})$ restricts to a flat $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{l}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma})$ -bundle $(\mathcal{P}_{\tau,n,\Gamma}, \nabla_{\tau,n,\Gamma})$ on $\operatorname{Conf}(E_{\tau,\Gamma}, n, \Gamma)$ (see [13, Theorem 1.11]), that descends to a flat $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{l}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes (\Gamma^{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{n})$ -bundle $(\mathcal{P}_{(\tau,\Gamma),[n]}, \nabla_{(\tau,\Gamma),[n]})$ on $\Gamma^{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{n} \backslash \operatorname{Conf}(E_{\tau,\Gamma}, n, \Gamma) = \mathfrak{S}_{n} \backslash \operatorname{Conf}(E_{\tau,\Gamma}, n)$.

MÉMOIRES DE LA SMF 179

This flat bundle determines a monodromy morphism

$$\mu_n^{\mathbf{z}_0} = \mu_{(\tau,\Gamma),[n]}^{\mathbf{z}_0} : \mathbf{B}_{1,n} \longrightarrow \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes (\Gamma^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n),$$

whose restriction to $\text{PB}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}$ takes values in $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma})$. In other words, there is a morphism of short exact sequences

where the first vertical morphism is the monodromy morphism of $\nabla_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$. It is important to keep in mind that the monodromy morphism depends on the base point $[\mathbf{z}_0]$, e.g., for $\mathbf{z}_0 \in D_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$.

Note that every local solution F of (the first line of) the ellipsitomic KZB system (6.2) around $\mathbf{z}_0 \in D_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$ determines a local $\nabla_{(\tau,\Gamma),[n]}$ -flat section of $\mathcal{P}_{(\tau,\Gamma),[n]}$, and thus can be used to compute the monodromy in a way that we explain now (we refer to [13, Appendix A] for more details on our conventions).

For every loop γ based at $[\mathbf{z}_0]$ in $\operatorname{Conf}(E_{\tau,\Gamma}, n)/\mathfrak{S}_n$, we consider its unique lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ starting at $\mathbf{z}_0 \in D_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$, and choose a simply connected open neighborhood U of $\tilde{\gamma}$ that contains $D_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$. Then the solution F extends uniquely to U, and we define

$$\mu_n^{\mathbf{z}_0}([\gamma]) := F(\mathbf{z}_0) F(h_{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{z}_0)^{-1} c_{h_{\gamma}},$$

where $h_{\gamma} \in \Gamma^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ is such that $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = h_{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}(0)$, and c is the non-abelian 1-cocycle from [13] defining the underlying principal bundle of the flat connection.

Recall that for any other solution G defined on U, there exists $g \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes (\Gamma^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n)$ such that $G(\mathbf{z}) = F(\mathbf{z})g$ for every $\mathbf{z} \in U$. Hence $\mu_n^{\mathbf{z}_0}([\gamma]) := G(\mathbf{z}_0)G(h_{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{z}_0)^{-1}c_{h_{\gamma}}$, and the monodromy does not depend on the choice of local solution.

EXAMPLE 6.4. – Let us consider the domains

$$H_{\tau,n,\Gamma} := \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n \, | \, z_i = a_i + b_i \tau, \, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, \, b_n < \dots < b_1 < b_n + \frac{1}{N} \right\}$$

and $V_{\tau,n,\Gamma} := \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n \, | \, z_i = a_i + b_i \tau, \, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, \, a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < a_1 + \frac{1}{M} \right\}.$

Both of these domains are simply connected, and contain $D_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$. We denote $F_H(\mathbf{z})$, resp. $F_V(\mathbf{z})$, the prolongation to $H_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$, resp. to $V_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$, of a given local solution $F(\mathbf{z})$ defined on $D_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$. We then consider

$$\underline{A}_{i}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}} := \mu_{n}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}}(A_{i}) = F_{H}(\mathbf{z}_{0})F_{H}\left(\mathbf{z}_{0} - \sum_{j=i}^{n} \frac{\delta_{j}}{M}\right)^{-1} (-\bar{1},\bar{0})_{i,\ldots,n} \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes \Gamma^{n}$$

and

$$\underline{B}_{i}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}} := \mu_{n}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}}(B_{i}) \\
= F_{V}(\mathbf{z}_{0})F_{V}\left(\mathbf{z}_{0} - \tau \sum_{j=i}^{n} \frac{\delta_{j}}{N}\right)^{-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}(x_{i} + \dots + x_{n})}(\bar{0}, -\bar{1})_{i,\dots,n} \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes \Gamma^{n}.$$

We also consider the projections of these elements on the first factor $\exp(\mathfrak{t}_{1,n})$:

$$A_i^{\mathbf{z}_0} := \underline{A}_i^{\mathbf{z}_0}(\bar{1},\bar{0})_{i,\dots,n} = F_H(\mathbf{z}_0)F_H\left(\mathbf{z}_0 - \sum_{j=i}^n \frac{\delta_j}{M}\right)^{-1} \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma})$$

and

$$B_{i}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}} := \underline{B}_{i}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}}(\bar{0},\bar{1})_{i,\dots,n} = F_{V}(\mathbf{z}_{0})F_{V}\left(\mathbf{z}_{0}-\tau\sum_{j=i}^{n}\frac{\delta_{j}}{N}\right)^{-1}e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}(x_{i}+\dots+x_{n})} \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}).$$

We finally introduce the simply connected domain $S_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$ consisting of $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, with $z_i = a_i + b_i \tau \ (a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- for every i < j, $|a_i a_j| < \frac{1}{M}$ and $|b_i b_j| < \frac{1}{N}$;
- for every $i < j, z_{ji} \notin \mathbb{R}_+ \tau$.

Note that $\mathfrak{S}_n(D_{\tau,n,\Gamma}) \subset S_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$. We denote $F_S(\mathbf{z})$ the prolongation to $S_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$ of a given local solution $F(\mathbf{z})$ defined on $D_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$, and then consider for every $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$,

$$\underline{\sigma}^{\mathbf{z}_0} := F_S(\mathbf{z}_0) F_S(\sigma \cdot \mathbf{z}_0)^{-1} \sigma \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

Observe that the (unique) homotopy class of a path going from \mathbf{z}_0 to $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{z}_0$ represents the unique braid with underlying permutation σ such that for every i < j, the *i*-th strand passes under the *j*-th strand whenever they cross (this is just a translation, in terms of braids, of the condition that $z_{ji} \notin \mathbb{R}_+ \tau$). In other words, denoting this braid $\tilde{\sigma}, \underline{\sigma}^F = \mu_n^F(\tilde{\sigma})$. As before, we also consider the projection of $\underline{\sigma}^{\mathbf{z}_0} \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ on the first factor:

$$\sigma^{\mathbf{z}_0} := \underline{\sigma}^{\mathbf{z}_0} \sigma \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}).$$

Even though $\mu_n^{\mathbf{z}_0}$ does not depend on the choice of local solution F, it is conjugated to a morphism that does depend on F. Indeed, one can define

$$\mu_n^F([\gamma]) := F(\mathbf{z}_0)^{-1} \mu_n^{\mathbf{z}_0}([\gamma]) F(\mathbf{z}_0) = F(h_\gamma \cdot \mathbf{z}_0)^{-1} c_{h_\gamma} F(\mathbf{z}_0).$$

The resulting monodromy morphism μ_n^F does not depend on \mathbf{z}_0 (because it is a ratio of two solutions of the ellipsitomic KZB system), but does depend on F. Whenever $F(\mathbf{z}_0) = 1$, we obvise up have $\mu_n^F = \mu_n^{\mathbf{z}_0}$.

In what follows, we considering the monodromy morphism $\mu_n := \mu_n^F$ associated with the particular solution $F = F_{\tau,n}$ from Section 6.2.

6.5. Formulæ for $\mu_n : \mathbf{B}_{1,n} \to \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes (\Gamma^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n)$

LEMMA 6.5. – If $\sigma = (12) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ then $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma_1$, and $\mu_n(\tilde{\sigma}) = e^{\pi i t_{12}^0} \sigma$.

Proof. – Only the last claim requires a proof. Let us consider \mathbf{z} such that $a_1 < a_2$ (e.g., $\mathbf{z} \in D_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$), guaranteeing that $\sigma \mathbf{z} = (z_2, z_1, z_3, \dots, z_n) \in S_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$. Recall the expansion

$$F(\mathbf{z}) \simeq z_{21}^{t_{12}^0} z_{31}^{t_{13}^0 + t_{23}^0} \cdots z_{n1}^{t_{1n}^0 + \dots + t_{n-1,n}^0}$$

in the region $|z_{21}| \ll |z_{31}| \ll \cdots \ll |z_{n1}| \ll 1$. Hence $\sigma \cdot F(\mathbf{z})$ has a similar expansion, and

$$F(\sigma \mathbf{z}) \simeq z_{12}^{t_{12}^{\mathbf{0}}} z_{32}^{t_{13}^{\mathbf{0}} + t_{23}^{\mathbf{0}}} \cdots z_{n2}^{t_{1n}^{\mathbf{0}} + \dots + t_{n-1,n}^{\mathbf{0}}}$$

in the same region. With our choice of branch of log (see Remark 6.2, and the definition of the domain $S_{\tau,n,\Gamma}$), one gets that $\log(z_{12}) = \log(z_{21}) - \pi$ i. Therefore

$$\mu_n(\tilde{\sigma}) = F(\sigma \mathbf{z})^{-1} \sigma \cdot F(\mathbf{z}) \sigma \simeq e^{\pi \operatorname{i} t_{12}^0} \sigma.$$

The last equivalence is an equality, as $\mu_n(\tilde{\sigma})$ is constant.

Let $\Phi = \Phi_0^{1,2,3}$ be the image in $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,3}^{\Gamma})$ of the KZ associator $\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}^{1,2,3}$ from Example 2.10 along the map $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_3) \to \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,3}^{\Gamma})$ given by $t_{ij} \mapsto t_{ij}^0$. Define

$$\Phi_i := \Phi_{\mathbf{0}}^{1\dots i-1, i, i+1\dots n} \cdots \Phi_{\mathbf{0}}^{1\dots n-2, n-1, n} \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1, n}^{\Gamma}).$$

PROPOSITION 6.6. – For every $n \ge 3$, and every $i = 2, \ldots, n$,

$$\mu_n(A_i) = \Phi_i \mu_2(A_2)^{1...i-1,i...n} \Phi_i^{-1} \quad and \quad \mu_n(B_i) = \Phi_i \mu_2(B_2)^{1...i-1,i...n} \Phi_i^{-1}.$$

Proof. – We first compute the monodromy $\mu_{i,n} := \mu_n^G$ associated with another solution G of the (first line of the) ellipsitomic KZB system: the one (for a fixed τ) having the expansion

$$G(\mathbf{z}) \simeq z_{21}^{t_{02}^0} \cdots z_{i-1,1}^{t_{02}^0 + \dots + t_{1,i-1}^0} z_{n,i}^{t_{0,n}^0 + \dots + t_{n-1,n}^0} \cdots z_{n,n-1}^{t_{n-1,n}^0}$$

in the region where $|z_{21}| \ll \cdots \ll |z_{i-1,1}| \ll 1$ and $|z_{n,n-1}| \ll \cdots \ll |z_{n,i}| \ll 1$.

We claim that

$$\mu_{i,n}(A_i) = \mu_2(A_2)^{1\dots i-1,i\dots n}$$
 and $\mu_{i,n}(B_i) = \mu_2(B_2)^{1\dots i-1,i\dots n}$

and only give the proof for A_i , as the proof for B_i is the exact same. The element A_i can be represented by a path inside the region $|z_{21}| \ll \cdots \ll |z_{i-1,1}| \ll 1$ and $|z_{n,n-1}| \ll \cdots \ll |z_{n,i}| \ll 1$, that keeps the coordinates z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1} , as well as the differences between the remaining coordinates, fixed. Hence, computing $\mu_n^G(A_i)$ amounts to compute the monodromy along the same path for the differential equation

$$\partial_{z_n} G(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{j=i}^n K_j(\mathbf{z}|\tau) G(\mathbf{z}),$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, ..., z_{i-1}, z_n + s_i, z_n + s_{i+1}, ..., z_n + s_{n-1}, z_n)$. Now observe that the difference $\sum_{j=i}^n K_i(\mathbf{z}|\tau) - K_2(z_1, z_n|\tau)^{1...i-1,i...n}$ (where K_2 is from the n = 2 points

system) tends to 0 whenever $z_j \to z_n$ for $j \ge i$ and $z_\ell \to z_1$ for $\ell < i$.⁽¹⁾ Hence $\mu_{i,n}(A_i) = \mu_2(A_2)^{1...i-1,i...n}$.

Finally, the two monodromy representations $\mu_n = \mu_n^F$ and $\mu_{i,n} = \mu_n^G$ are conjugated. Indeed,

$$\mu_n^F([\gamma]) = \Phi_{F,G}(h_\gamma \cdot \mathbf{z}) \mu_n^G([\gamma]) \Phi_{F,G}(\mathbf{z})^{-1},$$

with $\Phi_{F,G}(\mathbf{z}) := F(\mathbf{z})^{-1}G(\mathbf{z})$ being constant as it is a ratio of two solutions of the ellipsitomic KZB system. To conclude, we prove that $\Phi_{F,G} = \Phi_i$. For this we consider the rational universal KZ system from [17, (2.2)] (with $\hbar = 1$, and $t^{ij} = t^0_{ij}$), and denote by \tilde{F} (resp. \tilde{G}) the solution of this KZ system that have the same expansion as F (resp. G). In the whole region where $|z_{ij}| \ll 1$ for every $i \neq j$, the ellipsitomic KZB system and the rational KZ system only differ by a holomorphic part, therefore $F \simeq \tilde{F}$ and $G \simeq \tilde{G}$ in this region. Therefore, as they are constant, $\Phi_{F,G} = \Phi_{\tilde{F},\tilde{G}}$. Finally, it is a standard fact that $\Phi_{\tilde{F},\tilde{G}} = \Phi_i$ (see again [17]).

Using similar techniques, one can actually prove that the restriction of μ_n on $B_n \subset \overline{B}_{1,n}$ coincides with the monodromy morphism for the rational KZ system from [17, (2.2)] associated with the solution \tilde{F} having the same expansion as F. In particular, $\mu_3(\sigma_2) = \Phi e^{\pi i \tilde{t}_{23}^0}(23)\Phi^{-1}$.

6.6. Algebraic relations for the ellipsitomic KZB associator

We now finish the proof of Theorem 6.1.

REMARK 6.7. – The results of Sections 6.2, 6.4 and 6.5 remain true in the reduced case, and we will make use of the same notation as in the previous sections.

$$K_j(\mathbf{z}|\tau) = -y_j + \sum_{\ell:\ell \neq j} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha \left(\operatorname{ad}(x_j), z_{j\ell} | \tau \right) (t_{j\ell}^\alpha),$$

where $k_{\alpha}(u, v | \tau)$ are formal power series in u with coefficient being meromorphic functions in v, and satisfying the identity

$$k_{\alpha}(-u, -v|\tau) + k_{-\alpha}(u, v|\tau) = 0.$$

We refer to [13] for more details (see also the next chapter). In particular

$$k_lphaig(\operatorname{ad}(x_\ell),z_{\ell j}| auig)(t^lpha_{\ell j})+k_{-lpha}ig(\operatorname{ad}(x_j),z_{j\ell}| auig)(t^lpha_{j\ell})=0,$$

and thus

$$\sum_{j=i}^n K_j(\mathbf{z}|\tau) = -\sum_{j=i}^n y_j + \sum_{j=i}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha \big(\operatorname{ad}(x_j), z_{j\ell}|\tau\big)(t_{j\ell}^\alpha).$$

On the other hand,

$$K_{2}(z_{1}, z_{n}|\tau) = -\sum_{j=i}^{n} y_{j} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_{\alpha} (\operatorname{ad}(x_{j}), z_{n1}|\tau) (t_{j\ell}^{\alpha})$$

Therefore their difference indeed tends to 0 whenever $z_j \to z_n$ for $j \ge i$ and $z_\ell \to z_1$ for $\ell < i$.

^{1.} More precisely,
Let us set $\underline{A} := \mu_2(A_2)$ and $\underline{B} := \mu_2(B_2)$, both viewed in $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}) \rtimes \Gamma^2 / \Gamma$. In other words,

$$\underline{A} = F_{\tau,2}(z, -\frac{1}{M})^{-1}(-\overline{1}, \overline{0})_2 F_{\tau,2}(z, 0) = F_{\tau,2}(z + \frac{1}{M}, 0)^{-1}(\overline{1}, \overline{0})_1 F_{\tau,2}(z, 0)$$

and

$$\underline{B} = F_{\tau,2}(z, -\frac{\tau}{N})^{-1}(\overline{0}, -\overline{1})_2 F_{\tau,2}(z, 0) = F_{\tau,2}(z + \frac{\tau}{N}, 0)^{-1}(\overline{0}, \overline{1})_1 F_{\tau,2}(z, 0).$$

One can easily check that the pair $(\underline{A}, \underline{B})$ coincides with the pair $(\underline{A}^{\Gamma}(\tau), \underline{B}^{\Gamma}(\tau))$ from Section 6.1. Indeed, if $F_{\tau,2}(z_1, z_2)$ is the solution of the ellipsitomic KZB system defined on $D_{\tau,2,\Gamma}$ with expansion $F_{\tau,2}(z_1, z_2) \simeq z_{21}^{t_{12}^0}$ whenever $|z_{21}| \ll 1$, then $J(z) = F_{\tau,2}(z, 0)$ is the solution of the differential Equation (6.1) with expansion $J(z) \simeq (-z)^{t^0}$ whenever $z \to 0$ from Section 6.1.

The identity $A_3^{-1}A_2 = \sigma_1 A_2^{-1} \sigma_1$ obviously holding in $\overline{B}_{1,3}$, is equivalent to the identity $A_3 = A_2 \sigma_1^{-1} A_2 \sigma_1^{-1}$. Applying the monodromy morphism μ_3 therefore yields (6.3) $A^{12,3} = \Phi^{1,2,3} A^{1,23} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} e^{-\pi i \bar{t}_{12}^0} \Phi^{2,1,3} A^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} e^{-\pi i \bar{t}_{12}^0}$,

that is (5.4). Similarly, the identity $B_3 = B_2 \sigma_1^{-1} B_2 \sigma_1^{-1}$ yields

(6.4)
$$\underline{B}^{12,3} = \Phi^{1,2,3} \underline{B}^{1,23} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} e^{-\pi \,\mathrm{i}\,\overline{t}_{12}^0} \Phi^{2,1,3} \underline{B}^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} e^{-\pi \,\mathrm{i}\,\overline{t}_{12}^0},$$

that is (5.5).

In $\overline{B}_{1,3}$, on also has $(X_2, Y_3) = P_{23}$. Recalling that $X_2 = A_3 A_2^{-1}$ and $Y_3 = B_3^{-1}$, one gets $P_{23} = (A_3 A_2^{-1}, B_3^{-1})$ which, after applying the monodromy morphism μ_3 , yields

(6.5)
$$\Phi e^{2\pi i \overline{t}_{23}^0} \Phi^{-1} = \underline{A}^{12,3} \Phi(\underline{A}^{1,23})^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(\underline{B}^{12,3})^{-1} \Phi \underline{A}^{1,23} \Phi^{-1}(\underline{A}^{12,3})^{-1} \underline{B}^{12,3},$$

which is (5.6)

This proves that the pair $(\underline{A}^{\Gamma}(\tau), \underline{B}^{\Gamma}(\tau)) = (\underline{A}, \underline{B})$ satisfies (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6). Hence, according to Remark 5.10 it satisfies (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), and thus $e^{\Gamma}(\tau) = (A^{\Gamma}(\tau), B^{\Gamma}(\tau))$ defines an element in $\operatorname{Ell}_{\mathrm{KZB}}^{\Gamma}$.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 6.1.

REMARK 6.8. – If Γ is trivial, we retrieve relations (22), (23), (25) and (26) from [12], up to some changes of convention (for the monodromy action, and for the open subset of "base configurations" of marked points).

CHAPTER 7

NUMBER THEORETIC ASPECTS: EISENSTEIN SERIES

In the previous chapter we studied (the first line of) the ellipsitomic KZB system (6.2) of differential equations and deduced from it an element in the set of ellipsitomic associators over \mathbb{C} . One of the main ingredients defining this differential system is given by

(7.1)
$$k_{\gamma}(x,z|\tau) := e^{-\frac{2\pi i v}{N}x} \frac{\theta(z-\tilde{\gamma}+x|\tau)}{\theta(z-\tilde{\gamma}|\tau)\theta(x|\tau)} - \frac{1}{x}$$

where $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}, \gamma = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \Gamma := \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{u}{M} + \frac{v}{N}\tau \in \Lambda_{\tau,\Gamma} := \frac{1}{M}\mathbb{Z} + \frac{\tau}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ is any lift of γ . Here we implicitely used the canonical identification $\Gamma \simeq \Lambda_{\tau,\Gamma}/\Lambda_{\tau}$, where $\Lambda_{\tau} := \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$.

Denote by $g_{\gamma}(x, z|\tau) := \partial_x k_{\gamma}(x, z|\tau)$ its partial derivative with respect to x. In this chapter we take a closer look at the functions $A_{s,\gamma}(\tau)$, defined in [13, Subsection 3.3] as the Taylor coefficients of $g_{-\gamma}(x, 0|\tau)$:

$$g_{-\gamma}(x,0|\tau) = \sum_{s \ge 0} A_{s,\gamma}(\tau) x^s.$$

After a brief account on Eisenstein series for congruence subgroups, we express $A_{s,\gamma}(\tau)$ in terms of these Eisenstein series, giving evidence that they should be quasi-modular forms for the group SL_2^{Γ} .

We end the chapter with some perspectives about ellipsitomic Grothendieck-Teichmüller theory and twisted elliptic multiple zeta values.

7.1. Eisenstein series for SL_2^{Γ}

We refer to [16, 41] for generalities about modular forms and Eisenstein series.

Recall the *Eisenstein series* G_s , defined for all integers $s \ge 2$ by

$$G_s(\tau) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{m=-\infty\\m\neq 0 \text{ if } n=0}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^s} \right).$$

The Eisenstein series G_s are modular forms for $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of weight s for $s \geq 3$, and G_2 is quasimodular (in the sense of [31]). One easily sees that $G_s(\tau) = 0$ whenever s is odd, and that the value of G_s at the cusp $i \infty$ for an even s = 2n is $2\zeta(s) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n} B_{2n}}{(2n)!}$, where B_s are Bernoulli numbers and ζ is the Riemann zeta function. Hence, for every integer $s \geq 2$, one defines the normalized Eisenstein series $E_s(\tau) := \frac{G_s(\tau)}{2\zeta(s)}$.

Let us now introduce the functions $G_s(z|\tau)$ defined for $(z|\tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h}$ such that $z \notin \Lambda_{\tau}$, and for every integer $s \geq 2$ as

$$G_s(z|\tau) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau+z)^s}.$$

For $s \geq 3$, the series $G_s(z|\tau)$ is absolutely and locally uniformly convergent, and defines a holomorphic function on \mathfrak{h} for every $z \in \mathbb{C} - \Lambda_{\tau}$. For s = 2, it is still locally uniformly convergent, and thus still holomorphic, but is no longer absolutely convergent (so that we are not allowed to re-order terms in the series).

For a fixed $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$, one can see that $G_s(-|\tau)$ is Λ_{τ} -periodic, so that $G_s(z|\tau)$ only depends on the class $[z] \in E_{\tau}^{\times} = (\mathbb{C} - \Lambda_{\tau})/\Lambda_{\tau}$.

Hence, for $\gamma = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \Gamma \simeq \Lambda_{\tau,\Gamma} / \Lambda_{\tau} \subset E_{\tau}$, we can define

$$G_{s,\gamma}(\tau) := \begin{cases} G_s(z|\tau) & \text{with } z = \frac{u}{M} + \frac{v}{N}\tau & \text{ if } \gamma \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ G_s(\tau) & \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 7.1. – Let $s \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The function $G_{s,\gamma}$ is a modular form of weight s with respect to SL_2^{Γ} .

Proof. – This is a classical fact for $\gamma = 0$ (see [16, 41]). The proof is probably standard and known to experts even in the case $\gamma \neq 0$, but we provide it here as we could not find a reference for it. We will first prove weak modularity, and then holomorphy at the cusps.

LEMMA 7.2 (Weak modularity). – For every $s \geq 3$, $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$, $z \in \mathbb{C} - \Lambda_{\tau}$, and $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$G_s(\alpha \cdot (z|\tau)) = (c\tau + d)^s G_s(z|\tau).$$

In particular, if $s \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{0\}$, $G_{s,\gamma}$ is weakly modular of weight s with respect to $\operatorname{SL}_2^{\Gamma}$.

Recall that $\alpha \cdot (z|\tau) := \left(\frac{z}{c\tau+d}|\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right)$.

Proof. – For $z \notin \Lambda_{\tau}$, we compute:

$$G_{s}(\alpha \cdot (z|\tau)) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2}} \frac{1}{(m+n(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}) + \frac{z}{c\tau+d})^{s}}$$

= $(c\tau+d)^{s} \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2}} \frac{1}{(m(c\tau+d) + n(a\tau+b) + z)^{s}}$
= $(c\tau+d)^{s} \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2}} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau+z)^{s}} = G_{s}(z|\tau).$

Observe that if $(z'|\tau') = \alpha \cdot (z|\tau)$ with $z' = x + \tau' y$, then $z = (c\tau + d)x + (a\tau + b)y$. Hence the inverse of the action of α gives an isomorphism $E_{\tau'} \to E_{\tau}$ that is precisely given by $x + \tau' y \mapsto (c\tau + d)x + (a\tau + b)y$.

Now assume that $\alpha \in \mathrm{SL}_2^{\Gamma}$, which means that $a \equiv 1 \mod M$, $d \equiv 1 \mod N$, $b \equiv 0$ mod N and $c \equiv 0 \mod M$. In particular, $z' \in \Lambda_{\tau',\Gamma}$ if and only if $z \in \Lambda_{\tau,\Gamma}$, and moreover the induced composed isomorphism

$$\Gamma \simeq \Lambda_{\tau',\Gamma} / \Lambda_{\tau'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\tau,\Gamma} / \Lambda_{\tau} \simeq \Gamma$$

is the identity.

Indeed, $z' = \frac{u}{M} + \frac{v}{N}\tau' \in \Lambda_{\tau',\Gamma}$ is sent to $\frac{u}{M}d + \frac{v}{N}b + \left(\frac{v}{N}a + \frac{u}{M}c\right)\tau \in \frac{u}{M} + \frac{v}{N}\tau + \Lambda_{\tau}$. Therefore, if $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{\mathbf{0}\},\$

$$G_{s,\gamma}(\alpha \cdot \tau) = G_s \left(\alpha \cdot \left(\frac{u}{M} + \frac{v}{N} \tau | \tau \right) \right) = (c\tau + d)^s G_s \left(\frac{u}{M} + \frac{v}{N} \tau | \tau \right) = G_{s,\gamma}(\tau).$$

is ends the proof of the lemma.

This ends the proof of the lemma.

REMARK 7.3. – The proof does not work in the case s = 2 because we need to reorder the terms of the series to prove the required identity. Nevertheless, for elements of the form $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & H \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we can keep n fixed and apply a shift by nH in the internal series (the one running over m). Hence, for these α 's, the required identity is true even in the case s = 2.

As the function $G_{s,\gamma}$ is holomorphic on \mathfrak{h} , it remains to show that it is also holomorphic at all cusps for SL_2^{Γ} . Recall that these cusps are orbits of the action of SL_2^{Γ} on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}.$

LEMMA 7.4. – For every $s \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, the function $G_{s,\gamma}$ is holomorphic at all cusps for SL_2^{Γ} .

Proof. – Recall that for every $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the width of the cusp $[\alpha(\infty)]$ is the smallest positive integer H such that $\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & H \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}^{\Gamma}$. This condition is equivalent to the following requirements: $M|acH, M|c^{2}H, N|a^{2}H$, and N|acH. Since a and c are relatively prime, this in turn boils down to the condition that M|cH and N|aH.

Now observe that, in order to prove that $G_{s,\gamma}$ is holomorphic at this cusp, one is reduced to prove that the function

$$G_{s,\gamma,\alpha}: \tau \longmapsto (c\tau + d)^{-s} G_{s,\gamma}(\alpha \cdot \tau)$$

is holomorphic at ∞ . From the modularity property of $G_{s,\gamma}$, we know that $G_{s,\gamma,\alpha}$ is H-periodic, and thus descends to a holomorphic function $\hat{G}_{s,\gamma,\alpha}(q) = G_{s,\gamma,\alpha}(\tau)$ defined on the punctured unit disk, with $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i \tau}{H}}$. Hence it remains to show that $G_{s,\gamma,\alpha}$ has a q-expansion with non-negative Fourier coefficients. Note furthermore that, according to Lemma 7.2, $G_{s,\gamma,\alpha}(\tau) = \tilde{G}_s(z|\tau)$ with

$$z = (c\tau + d)\frac{u}{M} + (a\tau + b)\frac{v}{N} = \frac{u}{M}d + \frac{v}{N}b + (\frac{v}{N}a + \frac{u}{M}c)\tau = x + \frac{K}{H}\tau,$$

 $K = u \frac{aH}{N} + v \frac{cH}{M} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and $x = \frac{u}{M}d + \frac{v}{N}b \in \mathbb{Q}$). We let K = QH + R be the euclidean division of K by H, define $w := x + \frac{R}{H}\tau$, and compute:

$$G_{s,\gamma,\alpha}(\tau) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau+z)^s} = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau+w)^s}$$
$$= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m+w)^s} + \sum_{n\geq 1} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau+w)^s} + \sum_{n\geq 1} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m-n\tau+w)^s}.$$

Let us show that the three series in the last expression have a q-expansion with nonnegative coefficients, and start with $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (m+w)^{-s}$. If $w \in \mathbb{R}$ then it is constant in τ , and we are done. If $w \notin \mathbb{R}$, a standard calculation shows that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m+w)^s} = \frac{(-2\pi \,\mathrm{i})^s}{(s-1)!} \sum_{r=1}^{+\infty} r^{s-1} e^{2\pi \,\mathrm{i}\, rw} = \frac{(-2\pi \,\mathrm{i})^s}{(s-1)!} \sum_{r=1}^{+\infty} r^{s-1} e^{2\pi i rx} q^{Rr}.$$

The proofs for both double series are identical, hence we restrict ourselves to the first one, and compute:

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau+w)^s} = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{(-2\pi\,\mathrm{i})^s}{(s-1)!} \sum_{r=1}^{+\infty} r^{s-1} e^{2\pi i r x} q^{(nH+R)r}$$
$$= \sum_{k\geq 1} \left(\sum_{\substack{k\geq 1\\ \frac{k}{r}\equiv R \mod H}} r^{s-1} e^{2\pi i r x} \right) q^k.$$

This ends the proof of the lemma.

The proof of Proposition 7.1 is now completed.

REMARK 7.5. – It follows from the proof of Lemma 7.4 that in many cases (i.e., whenever $w \notin \mathbb{R}$), $G_{s,\gamma}$ actually vanishes at the corresponding cusp. In the case of the cusp $[\infty]$, $G_{s,\gamma}$ does not vanish at the cusp only if $\gamma = (\bar{u}, \bar{0})$, $u \in \{1, \ldots, M-1\}$. In this case, the value at the cusp is $\zeta(s, u/M) + (-1)^s \zeta(s, -u/M) - (M/u)^s$, where

$$\zeta(s,z) := \sum_{m \ge 0} \frac{1}{(m+z)^s}$$

is the Hurwitz zeta function.

REMARK 7.6. – It is likely that, using a variation on Hecke's trick (see e.g., [41, Proposition 6]), one could prove that $G_{2,\gamma}$ is quasi-modular with respect to SL_2^{Γ} .

7.2. The coefficients $A_{s,\gamma}(\tau)$

Let us recall some standard properties of the Weierstrass function $\wp : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\wp(z|\tau) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2-\{(0,0)\}} \left(\frac{1}{(z+m+n\tau)^2} - \frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^2}\right) = G_2(z|\tau) - G_2(\tau).$$

In the variable z, it is even, periodic with respect to Λ_{τ} , and meromorphic with poles of order two in Λ_{τ} . There exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\wp(z| au) = -\partial_z^2 \log (\theta(z| au)) + c$$

In a suitable punctured neighborhood of 0 (e.g., the maximal punctured open disk centered at 0 which does not contain any lattice point), there is a Laurent expansion

$$\wp(z|\tau) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(2k)}(0)}{(2k)!} z^{2k} = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k+1)G_{2k+2}(\tau)z^{2k},$$

where $f(z) = \wp(z|\tau) - \frac{1}{z^2}$.

Proposition 7.7. – For every $s \ge 0$, $A_{s,0}(\tau) = -(s+1)G_{s+2}(\tau)$.

Therefore $A_{s,0}(\tau)$ is a modular form of weight s + 2 for SL_2^{Γ} whenever s > 0, while $A_{0,0}(\tau)$ is only quasi-modular (of weight ²).

Proof. – This is proven in [12].

Roughly, one first sees that $g_0(x,0|\tau) = \partial_x^2 \log(\theta(x|\tau)) + 1/x^2$, which proves the required equality for $s \ge 1$. Then a specific analysis of the constant term (see e.g., [12, §4.1]) tells us that $g_0(0,0|\tau) = 4\pi i \partial_\tau \log \eta(\tau) = -G_2(\tau)$, where η is the Dedekind eta function.

Let now $\gamma = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \Gamma - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, and $\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{u}{M} + \frac{v}{N}\tau \in \Lambda_{\tau,\Gamma} - \Lambda_{\tau}$ be a lift of γ . Recall that we are interested in the Taylor coefficients $A_{s,\gamma}(\tau)$ of

$$g_{-\gamma}(x,0|\tau) = \partial_x \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i v}{N}x} \frac{\theta(\tilde{\gamma}+x|\tau)}{\theta(\tilde{\gamma}|\tau)\theta(x|\tau)} - \frac{1}{x} \right).$$

We define $F_{\gamma}(x|\tau) := e^{\frac{2\pi i v}{N}x} \frac{\theta(\tilde{\gamma}+x|\tau)}{\theta(\tilde{\gamma}|\tau)\theta(x|\tau)}$, so that $g_{-\gamma}(x,0|\tau) = \partial_x F_{\gamma}(x|\tau) + \frac{1}{x^2}$.

LEMMA 7.8. - If we define

$$\begin{split} a_{1,\gamma}(\tau) &:= \frac{2\pi \operatorname{i} v}{N} + \frac{\partial_x \theta(\tilde{\gamma}|\tau)}{\theta(\tilde{\gamma}|\tau)} \quad and \quad a_{s,\gamma}(\tau) := (-1)^s \frac{G_s(\tau) - G_{s,\gamma}(\tau)}{s} \quad (s \ge 2), \\ then \ F_{\gamma}(x|\tau) &= \frac{1}{x} \exp\left(\sum_{s \ge 1} a_{s,\gamma}(\tau) x^s\right). \end{split}$$

Proof. – We first compute:

$$\partial_x^2 \log \left(F_\gamma(x|\tau) \right) = \partial_x^2 \log \left(\theta(\tilde{\gamma} + x|\tau) \right) - \partial_x^2 \log \left(\theta(x|\tau) \right) = G_2(x|\tau) - G_2(\tilde{\gamma} + x|\tau)$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$G_2(x|\tau) = \frac{1}{x^2} + \sum_{s \ge 0} (-1)^s (s+1) G_{s+2}(\tau) x^s$$

and that

$$G_2(\tilde{\gamma} + x | \tau) = \sum_{s \ge 0} (-1)^s (s+1) G_{s+2,\gamma}(\tau) x^s$$

Hence

$$\partial_x^2 \log \left(F_{\gamma}(x|\tau) \right) - \frac{1}{x^2} = \sum_{s \ge 0} (-1)^s (s+1) \left(G_{s+2}(\tau) - G_{s+2,\gamma}(\tau) \right) x^s.$$

Knowing that $\left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{\partial_x \theta(x|\tau)}{\theta(x|\tau)}\right)_{x=0} = 0$, we obtain

$$\left(\partial_x \log\left(F_{\gamma}(x|\tau)\right) + \frac{1}{x}\right)_{x=0} = \frac{2\pi \,\mathrm{i}\,v}{N} + \frac{\partial_x \theta(\tilde{\gamma}|\tau)}{\theta(\tilde{\gamma}|\tau)} = a_{1,\gamma}(\tau),$$

and thus

$$\partial_x \log \left(F_{\gamma}(x|\tau) \right) + \frac{1}{x} = a_{1,\gamma}(\tau) + \sum_{s \ge 0} (-1)^s \left(G_{s+2}(\tau) - G_{s+2,\gamma}(\tau) \right) x^{s+1}.$$

Finally, since $\left(\log(x) - \log\left(\theta(x|\tau)\right)\right)_{x=0} = 0$, we get $\left(\log\left(F_{\gamma}(x|\tau)\right) + \log(x)\right)_{x=0} = 0$, and thus

$$\log\left(F_{\gamma}(x|\tau)\right) + \log(x) = a_{1,\gamma}(\tau)x + \sum_{s\geq 0} (-1)^s \frac{G_{s+2}(\tau) - G_{s+2,\gamma}(\tau)}{s+2} x^{s+2} = \sum_{s\geq 1} a_{s,\gamma}(\tau)x^s.$$

This shows that $F_{\gamma}(x|\tau) = \frac{1}{x} \exp\left(\sum_{s\geq 1} a_{s,\gamma}(\tau)x^s\right).$

As a consequence, we get

$$F_{\gamma}(x|\tau) - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{s \ge 1} a_{s,\gamma}(\tau)x^s\right) - 1}{x},$$

so that

$$\sum_{s \ge 0} A_{s,\gamma}(\tau) := g_{-\gamma}(x,0|\tau) = \partial_x \left(\frac{\exp\left(\sum_{s \ge 1} a_{s,\gamma}(\tau) x^s\right) - 1}{x} \right).$$

Hence $A_{s,\gamma}$ can be expressed as an explicit linear combination of products of the form $a_{s_1,\gamma} \cdots a_{s_k,\gamma}$, with $s_1 + \cdots + s_k = s+2$, and we expect $A_{s,\gamma}$ to be quasi-modular of weight s+2 with respect to SL_2^{Γ} (as hinted from Remark 7.6 and Proposition 7.1).

7.3. Concluding remarks and outlook

Observe that $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}$ is the free Lie algebra generated by $x := \bar{x}_1, y := \bar{y}_2$ and $t^{\alpha} := \bar{t}_{12}^{\alpha}$, for $\alpha \in \Gamma - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Moreover, the element defining the differential Equation (6.1) lies in the (degree completion of the) ideal generated by y and $t^{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Gamma - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. By Lazard's elimination theorem (see [30, Theorem 1]), as a Lie algebra this ideal is isomorphic to the free Lie algebra generated by y_n and $t_n^{\alpha}, n \ge 0$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma - \{\mathbf{0}\}$; the isomorphism sends y_n to $\operatorname{ad}(x)^n(y)$ and t^{α} to $\operatorname{ad}(x)^n(t^{\alpha})$.

As a consequence, $A^{\Gamma}(\tau)$ and $B^{\Gamma}(\tau)$ can be seen as elements of the formal power series algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle y_n, t_n^{\alpha} | n \geq 0, \alpha \in \Gamma - \mathbf{0}\rangle\rangle$. The coefficients of these series can be computed as iterated integrals, and are Γ -twisted versions of Enriquez's elliptic analogs of multiple zeta values [21].

This approach to elliptic multiple zeta values at torsion points seems different to that of the work of Broedel-Matthes-Richter-Schlotterer [10]. The relation between the twisted elliptic multiple zeta values obtained in this paper and that in [10] deserves further investigations. A comparison with the values at torsion points of Goncharov's multiple elliptic polylogarithms [25, Section 8] would also be interesting.

Finally, in addition to the agebraic properties of $e^{\Gamma}(\tau)$, that are essentially given by Theorem 6.1, it would be interesting to study its analytic and modularity properties. In the elliptic case, when Γ is the trivial group, this was done in [19, §5.4 & §5.5], and we expect something similar in the more general ellipsitomic case.

For the analytic properties of the ellipsitomic associator, it amounts to understanding how $e^{\Gamma}(\tau)$ depends on small variations of the modulus τ . For that, one can use the second line of the ellipsitomic KZB system (6.2), and compute $\partial_{\tau}e^{\Gamma}(\tau)$. Indeed, recall from [13, Subsection 2.3] that $\delta_{s,\gamma}$ acts as a derivation on $\overline{\mathfrak{t}}_{1,2}^{\Gamma}$. We can modify it in the following way, by introducing a new derivation

$$\varepsilon_{s,\gamma} := \delta_{s,\gamma} - 2[(\operatorname{ad} x)^s t^{-\gamma}, -].$$

Then the second line of the ellipsitomic KZB system (6.2) for n = 2 reads as

$$2\pi i \partial_{\tau} F(z|\tau) = -\left(\Delta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \ge 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_{s,\gamma}(\tau) \varepsilon_{s,\gamma} + O(z)\right) \cdot F(z|\tau),$$

where $z = z_{12}$ and O(z) denotes a term of the form $zf(z|\tau)$, with f being holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{H}$. Hence, going along the lines of [19, §5.4] on can prove that

$$2\pi \,\mathrm{i}\,\partial_{\tau}A^{\Gamma}(\tau) = -\left(\Delta_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma s \ge 0} A_{s,\gamma}(\tau)\varepsilon_{s,\gamma}\right) \cdot A^{\Gamma}(\tau)$$

and

$$2\pi \,\mathrm{i}\,\partial_{\tau}B^{\Gamma}(\tau) = -\left(\Delta_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma s \ge 0} A_{s,\gamma}(\tau)\varepsilon_{s,\gamma}\right) \cdot B^{\Gamma}(\tau).$$

The derivation $\varepsilon_{s,\gamma}$ shall be relevant for the study of the ellipsitomic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group, as well as of a yet to be defined analog of Tsunogai's special derivation algebra from [40] in the ellipsitomic case.

APPENDIX

AN ALTERNATIVE PRESENTATION FOR $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$

In this appendix, we provide an alternative presentation for $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$, that we use in Chapter 6 when proving that the monodromy of the ellipsitomic KZB connection indeed gives rise to an ellipsitomic associator.

A.1. An alternative presentation for $PaB_{e\ell\ell}$

We first state and prove the result when the group Γ is trivial.

A.1.1. The relations (N1bis) and (N2bis). – We introduce three new relations, which are satisfied in the automorphism group of the object (12)3 in $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}$ (this can be seen topologically):

(N1bis)
$$A^{12,3} = \Phi^{1,2,3} A^{1,23} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} A^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1},$$

(N2bis)
$$B^{12,3} = \Phi^{1,2,3} B^{1,23} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} B^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1}$$

(Ebis)

$$\Phi^{1,2,3}R^{2,3}R^{3,2}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} = \left(A^{12,3}\Phi^{1,2,3}(A^{1,23})^{-1}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}, (B^{12,3})^{-1}\right).$$

For instance, Equations (N1bis) and (N2bis) can be depicted as



A.1.2. The statement

THEOREM A.1. – As a **PaB**-module in groupoids having **Pa** as **Pa**-module of objects, **PaB**_{ell} is freely generated by $A := A^{1,2}$ and $B := B^{1,2}$, together with the relations (N1bis), (N2bis), and (Ebis).

The above theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.3 together with the following

PROPOSITION A.2. – Let us consider a **PaB**-module in groupoids **PaM**, having **Pa** as **Pa**-module of objects, and let A, B be a automorphisms of 12. Then

- (i) Equations (N1) and (N1bis) are equivalent.
- (ii) Equations (N2) and (N2bis) are equivalent.
- (iii) If (N1) and (N2) are satisfied, then Equations (E) and (Ebis) are equivalent.

A.1.3. A useful observation. – Both (N1) and (N1bis) imply

$$A^{1,2}\tilde{R}^{1,2}A^{2,1}\tilde{R}^{2,1} = \mathrm{Id}_{12}.$$

For both, this is obtained by applying $(-)^{1,2,\emptyset}$. Similarly, both (N1) and (N1bis) imply

$$B^{1,2}\tilde{R}^{1,2}B^{2,1}\tilde{R}^{2,1} = \mathrm{Id}_{12}$$

A.1.4. Proof of (i) and (ii) in Proposition A.2. – The following calculation takes place in PaM(3). For ease of comprehension, we put a brace under a sequence of symbols where we use a relation in order to pass to the next step.

$$\begin{split} \Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}\underbrace{\tilde{R}^{1,23}\Phi^{2,3,1}}_{&=\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}\underbrace{\tilde{R}^{1,3}A^{2,31}}_{&=\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}\underbrace{\tilde{R}^{1,3}A^{2,31}}_{&=\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}A^{2,13}\underbrace{\tilde{R}^{1,3}\tilde{R}^{2,31}\Phi^{3,1,2}}_{&=\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}A^{2,13}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{2,1}\underbrace{\tilde{R}^{1,2,3}A^{3,12}\tilde{R}^{3,12}}_{&=\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}A^{2,13}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{2,1}(A^{12,3})^{-1}. \end{split}$$

We repeatedly used (various forms of) the hexagon equation, and only at the last step we used the useful observation from the previous paragraph. This gives that (N1) and (N1bis) are both a consequence of each other. The proof that (N2) and (N2bis) are equivalent is the same.

A.1.5. Another useful fact. – One can also show that (N1) and (N1bis) are equivalent to

(A.1)
$$A^{12,3}\Phi^{1,2,3}\tilde{R}^{1,23}A^{23,1}\Phi^{2,3,1}\tilde{R}^{2,31}A^{31,2}\Phi^{3,1,2}\tilde{R}^{3,12} = \mathrm{Id}_{(12)3}.$$

A.1.6. Proof of (iii) in Proposition A.2. – Relation (N1bis) is equivalent to $\Phi^{1,2,3}(A^{1,23})^{-1}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}A^{12,3} = \tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}A^{2,13}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{2,1}.$

Thus, (Ebis) is equivalent to

$$\Phi R^{2,3} R^{3,2} \Phi^{-1} = \left(\tilde{R}^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} A^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1}, (B^{12,3})^{-1} \right)$$

Using $\tilde{R}^{2,1}B^{12,3} = B^{21,3}\tilde{R}^{2,1}$, we deduce that (Ebis) is equivalent to $\Phi R^{2,3}R^{3,2}\Phi^{-1} = \tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}A^{2,13}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}(B^{21,3})^{-1} \times \Phi^{2,1,3}(A^{2,13})^{-1}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}B^{21,3}(\tilde{R}^{1,2})^{-1},$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} (\tilde{R}^{1,2})^{-1} \Phi R^{2,3} R^{3,2} \Phi^{-1} \tilde{R}^{1,2} (B^{21,3})^{-1} \Phi^{2,1,3} \\ &= A^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} B^{21,3} \Phi^{2,1,3} (A^{2,13})^{-1} \end{split}$$

Now, by using

$$\begin{split} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}(\tilde{R}^{1,2})^{-1} \Phi R^{2,3} R^{3,2} \Phi^{-1} \tilde{R}^{1,2} &= \tilde{R}^{1,3} (\Phi^{2,3,1})^{-1} R^{2,3} \Phi^{3,2,1} R^{3,21} \\ (B^{21,3})^{-1} &= (R^{3,21})^{-1} B^{3,21} (R^{21,3})^{-1} \\ \Phi^{2,1,3} &= R^{21,3} (\Phi^{3,2,1})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{3,2} \Phi^{2,3,1} \tilde{R}^{1,3}, \\ (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} &= \tilde{R}^{1,3} (\Phi^{2,3,1})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,3} \Phi^{3,2,1} R^{3,21}, \end{split}$$

we obtain

$$\tilde{R}^{1,3}(\Phi^{2,3,1})^{-1}R^{2,3}\Phi^{3,2,1}B^{3,21}(\Phi^{3,2,1})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{3,2}\Phi^{2,3,1}\tilde{R}^{1,3}$$

= $A^{2,13}\tilde{R}^{1,3}(\Phi^{2,3,1})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{2,3}\Phi^{3,2,1}B^{3,21}(\Phi^{3,2,1})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{3,2}\Phi^{2,3,1}\tilde{R}^{1,3}(A^{2,13})^{-1}$.

After performing $A^{2,13}\tilde{R}^{1,3} = \tilde{R}^{1,3}A^{2,31}$ in the r.h.s. of the above equation, one can cancel out the $\tilde{R}^{1,3}$ terms in both sides of the equation. We obtain, by performing the permutation (123) \mapsto (312) that

$$(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} R^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} B^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1} \Phi^{1,2,3} = A^{1,23} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} B^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1} \Phi^{1,2,3} (A^{1,23})^{-1} .$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} \Phi^{1,2,3} A^{1,23} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} B^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1} \Phi^{1,2,3} (A^{1,23})^{-1} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} \\ &= R^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} B^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1}, \end{split}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}B^{2,13}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{2,1}\Phi^{1,2,3}(A^{1,23})^{-1}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}$$
$$(\tilde{R}^{2,1})^{-1}\Phi^{2,1,3}(B^{2,13})^{-1}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}(R^{1,2})^{-1} = \mathrm{Id}_{(12)3}.$$

As $(R^{1,2})^{-1}R^{1,2}R^{2,1} = R^{2,1} = (\tilde{R}^{1,2})^{-1}$, we obtain $R^{1,2}R^{2,1} = \left(\Phi^{1,2,3}A^{1,23}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}, \tilde{R}^{1,2}\Phi^{2,1,3}B^{2,13}(\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1}\tilde{R}^{2,1}\right).$

A.2. An alternative presentation for $\mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}$

Below, we borrow the notation from Theorem 4.5.

THEOREM A.3. – As a **PaB**-module in groupoids with a diagonally trivial Γ -action and having **Pa**^{Γ} as **Pa**-module of objects, **PaB**^{Γ}_{ell} is freely generated by A and B together with the relations

$$(\text{tN1bis}) \qquad \underline{A}^{12,3} = \Phi^{1,2,3} \underline{A}^{1,23} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} \underline{A}^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1},$$

$$(\text{tN2bis}) \qquad \qquad \underline{B}^{12,3} = \Phi^{1,2,3} \underline{B}^{1,23} (\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{1,2} \Phi^{2,1,3} \underline{B}^{2,13} (\Phi^{2,1,3})^{-1} \tilde{R}^{2,1},$$

(tEbis)

$$\Phi^{1,2,3}R^{2,3}R^{3,2}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1} = (\underline{A}^{12,3}\Phi^{1,2,3}(\underline{A}^{1,23})^{-1}(\Phi^{1,2,3})^{-1}, (\underline{B}^{12,3})^{-1}).$$

In order to prove Theorem A.3, one can

- (i) Either deduce it from Theorem 4.5 in a similar manner as we deduced Theorem A.1 from Theorem 3.3.
- (ii) Or deduce it from Theorem A.1 in a similar manner as we deduced Theorem 4.5 from Theorem 3.3.

Both strategies are straightforward to implement; this is left to the reader.

GLOSSARY

Operads

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{PaB}: \mbox{ Operad of parenthesized braids. 10} \\ \mathbf{PaCD}(\mathbf{k}): \mbox{ Operad of parenthesized chord diagrams. 14} \\ \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}: \mbox{ PaB-module of elliptic parenthesized braids. 23} \\ \mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}): \mbox{ PaCD}(\mathbf{k})\mbox{-module of elliptic parenthesized chord diagrams. 29} \\ \mathbf{PaB}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}: \mbox{ PaB-module of ellipsitomic parenthesized braids. 37} \\ \mathbf{PaCD}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}): \mbox{ PaCD}(\mathbf{k})\mbox{-module of ellipsitomic parenthesized chord diagrams. 48} \end{array}$

Groups

PB_n : Pure braid group on the complex plane. 10 **GT** : Operadic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 17 $\widehat{\text{GT}}(\mathbf{k})$: **k**-pro-unipotent Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 18 **GRT**(**k**) : Operadic graded Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 19 GRT(**k**) : Graded Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 20 $\overline{\text{PB}}_{1,n}$: Reduced pure braid group on the torus. 22 $\widehat{\text{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$: Operadic **k**-pro-unipotent elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 31 $\widehat{\text{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k})$: **k**-pro-unipotent elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 31 **GRT**_{e\ell\ell}(**k**) : Operadic graded elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 32 GRT_{e\ell\ell}(**k**) : Operadic graded elliptic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 33 $\widehat{\text{GT}}_{e\ell\ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$: Operadic **k**-pro-unipotent ellipsitomic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 40 **GRT**_{e\ell\ell}(**k**) : Operadic graded ellipsitomic Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. 49 B^Γ_{1,n} : Γ-decorated braid group on the torus. 56

Spaces

- $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}, I)$: Reduced configuration space of *I*-indexed points in \mathbb{C} . 9
- $\overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{C}, I)$: Fulton-MacPherson compactification of $\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{C}, I)$. 9
- $\operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{C}, n)$: Configuration space of n points in \mathbb{C} . 13
- $C(\mathbb{T}, I)$: Reduced configuration space of *I*-indexed points in \mathbb{T} . 21
- $\overline{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I)$: Fulton-MacPherson compactification of $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{T}, I)$. 21
- $\operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma)$: Γ -decorated configuration space of *I*-indexed points in \mathbb{T} . 35
- $\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{T},I,\Gamma)$: Reduced $\Gamma\text{-decorated}$ configuration space of I-indexed points in $\mathbb{T}.$ 35
- $\overline{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma)$: Fulton-MacPherson compactification of $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{T}, I, \Gamma)$. 35

Lie and associative algebras

 $\mathfrak{t}_n(\mathbf{k})$: Rational Kohno-Drinfeld Lie **k**-algebra. 13

- $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}(\mathbf{k})$: Elliptic Kohno-Drinfeld Lie $\mathbf{k}\text{-algebra.}$ 27
- $\mathfrak{t}_{1,n}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$:
 Γ -ellipsitomic Kohno-Drinfeld Lie \mathbf{k} -algebra. 43

Torsor sets

 $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$: Operadic **k**-associators. 16

 $Ass(\mathbf{k})$: k-associators. 16

 $\mathbf{Ell}(\mathbf{k})$: Operadic elliptic **k**-associators. 30

 $Ell(\mathbf{k})$: Elliptic **k**-associators. 30

 $\mathbf{Ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$: Operadic ellipsitomic **k**-associators. 50

 $\operatorname{Ell}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$: Ellipsitomic **k**-associators. 50

Series

 Φ_{KZ} : KZ associator. 17

 $e(\tau)$: Elliptic KZB associator. 31

- $A^{\Gamma}(\tau)$: A-ellipsitomic KZB associator. 54
- $B^{\Gamma}(\tau)$:
 B-ellipsitomic KZB associator. 54

 $G_{s,\gamma}(\tau)$: Eisenstein-Hurwitz series. 64

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- A. ALEKSEEV, B. ENRIQUEZ & C. TOROSSIAN "Drinfeld associators, braid groups and explicit solutions of the Kashiwara-Vergne equations", *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 112 (2010), p. 143–189.
- [2] A. ALEKSEEV & C. TOROSSIAN "The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture and Drinfeld's associators", Ann. of Math. 175 (2012), p. 415–463.
- [3] S. AXELROD & I. M. SINGER "Chern-Simons perturbation theory. II", J. Differential Geom. 39 (1994), p. 173–213.
- [4] D. BAR-NATAN "On associators and the Grothendieck-Teichmuller group. I", Selecta Math. (N.S.) 4 (1998), p. 183–212.
- [5] D. BERNARD "On the Wess-Zumino-Witten models on Riemann surfaces", Nuclear Phys. B 309 (1988), p. 145–174.
- [6] _____, "On the Wess-Zumino-Witten models on the torus", Nuclear Phys. B 303 (1988), p. 77–93.
- [7] J. S. BIRMAN "On braid groups", Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 22 (1969), p. 41-72.
- [8] R. BOTT & C. TAUBES "On the self-linking of knots", vol. 35, 1994, Topology and physics, p. 5247–5287.
- [9] A. BROCHIER "Cyclotomic associators and finite type invariants for tangles in the solid torus", Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (2013), p. 3365–3409.
- [10] J. BROEDEL, N. MATTHES, G. RICHTER & O. SCHLOTTERER "Twisted elliptic multiple zeta values and non-planar one-loop open-string amplitudes", J. Phys. A 51 (2018), 285401.
- [11] F. BROWN "Single-valued motivic periods and multiple zeta values", Forum Math. Sigma 2 (2014), Paper No. e25.
- [12] D. CALAQUE, B. ENRIQUEZ & P. ETINGOF "Universal KZB equations: the elliptic case", in Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 269, Birkhäuser, 2009, p. 165–266.

- [13] D. CALAQUE & M. GONZALEZ "On the universal ellipsitomic KZB connection", Selecta Math. (N.S.) 26 (2020), Paper No. 73.
- [14] _____, "A moperadic approach to cyclotomic associators", preprint arXiv:2004.00572.
- [15] M. CHING "A note on the composition product of symmetric sequences", J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 7 (2012), p. 237–254.
- [16] F. DIAMOND & J. SHURMAN A first course in modular forms, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 228, Springer, 2005.
- [17] V. G. DRINFEL'D "On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with Gal(Q/Q)", Algebra i Analiz 2 (1990), p. 149–181; translation in Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991), p. 829–860.
- [18] B. ENRIQUEZ "Quasi-reflection algebras and cyclotomic associators", Selecta Math. (N.S.) 13 (2008), p. 391–463.
- [19] _____, "Elliptic associators", Selecta Math. (N.S.) 20 (2014), p. 491–584.
- [20] _____, "Flat connections on configuration spaces and braid groups of surfaces", Adv. Math. 252 (2014), p. 204–226.
- [21] _____, "Analogues elliptiques des nombres multizétas", Bull. Soc. Math. France 144 (2016), p. 395–427.
- [22] P. ETINGOF & D. KAZHDAN "Quantization of Lie bialgebras. II, III", Selecta Math. (N.S.) 4 (1998), p. 213–231, 233–269.
- [23] B. FRESSE Homotopy of operads and Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups. Part 1, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 217, Amer. Math. Soc., 2017.
- [24] W. FULTON & R. MACPHERSON "A compactification of configuration spaces", Ann. of Math. 139 (1994), p. 183–225.
- [25] A. B. GONCHAROV "Multiple ζ-values, Galois groups, and geometry of modular varieties", in *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000)*, Progr. Math., vol. 201, Birkhäuser, 2001, p. 361–392.
- [26] M. GONZALEZ "Contributions to the theory of KZB associators", Thèse de doctorat, Sorbonne Université, 2018, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/ tel-02541848.
- [27] _____, "Surface Drinfeld Torsors I : Higher Genus Associators", preprint arXiv:2004.07303.

- [28] R. M. HAIN "The Hodge de Rham theory of relative Malcev completion", Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 31 (1998), p. 47–92.
- [29] P. HUMBERT "Intégrale de Kontsevich elliptique et enchevêtrements en genre supérieur", Thèse de doctorat, Université de Strasbourg, 2012, https://tel. archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00762209.
- [30] E. JURISICH & R. WILSON "A generalization of Lazard's elimination theorem", Comm. Algebra 32 (2004), p. 4037–4041.
- [31] M. KANEKO & D. ZAGIER "A generalized Jacobi theta function and quasimodular forms", in *The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994)*, Progr. Math., vol. 129, Birkhäuser, 1995, p. 165–172.
- [32] M. KONTSEVICH "Vassiliev's knot invariants", in I. M. Gelfand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math., vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., 1993, p. 137–150.
- [33] _____, "Operads and motives in deformation quantization", vol. 48, 1999, Moshé Flato (1937–1998), p. 35–72.
- [34] T. T. Q. LE & J. MURAKAMI "Kontsevich's integral for the Kauffman polynomial", Nagoya Math. J. 142 (1996), p. 39–65.
- [35] A. LEVIN & G. RACINET "Towards multiple elliptic polylogarithms", preprint arXiv:math/0703237.
- [36] M. MAASSARANI "Sur certains espaces de configuration associés aux sousgroupes finis de PSL₂(ℂ)", Bull. Soc. Math. France 147 (2019), p. 123–157.
- [37] J. D. STASHEFF "Homotopy associativity of H-spaces. I, II", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), p. 275–292, 293–312.
- [38] D. E. TAMARKIN "Formality of chain operad of little discs", Lett. Math. Phys. 66 (2003), p. 65–72.
- [39] _____, "Another proof of M. Kontsevich formality theorem", preprint arXiv:math.QA/9803025.
- [40] H. TSUNOGAI "The stable derivation algebras for higher genera", Israel J. Math. 136 (2003), p. 221–250.
- [41] D. ZAGIER "Elliptic modular forms and their applications", in *The 1-2-3 of modular forms*, Universitext, Springer, 2008, p. 1–103.

Série MÉMOIRES DE LA S.M.F.

2023

- 178. G. LELLOUCH Sur les ensembles de rotation des homéomorphismes de surface de genre ≥ 2
- 177. C. ARHANCET & C. KRIEGLER Projections, multipliers and decomposable maps on noncommutative $L^p\mbox{-spaces}$
- 176. É. GAUDRON & G. RÉMOND Nouveaux théorèmes d'isogénie

2022

- 175. R. OLLIVIER & P. SCHNEIDER On the pro-p lwahori Hecke Ext-algebra of $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$
- 174. R. CARLES & C. CHEVERRY Constructive and destructive interferences in nonlinear hyperbolic equations
- 173. C. PARK & Z. QIAN On mod p local-global compatibility for $GL_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ in the ordinary case
- 172. L. BIGORGNE Asymptotic properties of small data solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell system in high dimensions

2021

- 171. K. COULIBALY-PASQUIER & L. MICLO On the evolution by duality of domains on manifolds
- 170. A. ARABIA Espaces de configuration généralisés. Espaces topologiques *i*-acycliques. Suites spectrales basiques
- 169. C. ERIGNOU Hydrodynamic limit for an active exclusion process
- 168. V. A. DOLGUSHEV Stable Formality Quasi-isomorphisms for Hochschild Cochains

2020

- 167. D. BENOIS p-adic height and p-adic Hodge theory
- 166. Y. ALMOG & B. HELFFER The spectrum of a Schrödinger operator in a wire-like domain with a purely imaginary degenerate potential in the semiclassical limit
- 165. D. ARA & G. MALTSINIOTIS Joint et tranches pour les ∞-catégories strictes
- 164. S. GHAZOUANI & L. PIRIO Moduli spaces of flat tori and elliptic hypergeometric functions

2019

- 163. D. XU Lifting the Cartier transform of Ogus-Vologodsky module p^n
- 162. J.-H. CHIENG, C.-Y. HSIAO & I-H. TSAI Heat kernel asymptotics, local index theorem and trace integrals for Cauchy-Riemann manifolds with S^1 action
- 161. F. JAUBERTEAU, Y. ROLLIN & S. TAPIE Discrete geometry and isotropic surfaces
- 160. P. VIDOTTO Ergodic properties of some negatively curved manifolds with infinite measure

2018

- 159. L. POSITSELSKI Weakly curved A_{∞} -algebras over a topological local ring
- 158. T. LUPU Poisson ensembles of loops of one-dimensional diffusions
- 157. M. SPITZWECK A commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum representing motivic cohomology over Dedekind domains
- 156. C. SABBAH Irregular Hodge Theory

- 155. Y. DING Formes modulaires *p*-adiques sur les courbes de Shimura unitaires et compatibilité local-global
- 154. G. MASSUYEAU, V. TURAEV Brackets in the Pontryagin algebras of manifolds
- 153. M.P. GUALDANI, S. MISCHLER, C. MOUHOT Factorization of non-symmetric operators and exponential H-theorem
- 152. M. MACULAN Diophantine applications of geometric invariant theory
- 151. T. SCHOENEBERG Semisimple Lie algebras and their classification over p-adic fields
- 150. P.G. LE FLOCH, Y. MA The mathematical validity of the f(R) theory of modified gravity

- 149. R. BEUZART-PLESSIS La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes unitaires
- 148. M. MOKHTAR-KHARROUBI Compactness properties of perturbed sub-stochastic C_0 -semigroups on $L^1(\mu)$ with applications to discreteness and spectral gaps
- 147. Y. CHITOUR, P. KOKKONEN Rolling of manifolds and controllability in dimension three
- 146. N. KARALIOLIOS Global aspects of the reducibility of quasiperiodic cocycles in compact Lie groups
- 145. V. BONNAILLIE-NOËL, M. DAUGE, N. POPOFF Ground state energy of the magnetic Laplacian on corner domains
- 144. P. AUSCHER, S. STAHLHUT Functional calculus for first order systems of Dirac type and boundary value problems

2015

- 143. R. DANCHIN, P.B. MUCHA Critical functional framework and maximal regularity in action on systems of incompressible flows
- 142. J. AYOUB Motifs des variétés analytiques rigides
- 140/141. Y. LU, B. TEXIER A stability criterion for high-frequency oscillations

2014

- 138/139. T. MOCHIZUKI Holonomic D-modules with Betti structures
 - 137. P. SEIDEL Abstract analogues of flux as symplectic invariants
 - 136. J. SJÖSTRAND Weyl law for semi-classical resonances with randomly perturbed potentials

2013

- 135. L. PRELLI Microlocalization of subanalytic sheaves
- 134. P. BERGER Persistence of stratification of normally expanded laminations
- 133. L. DESIDERI Problème de Plateau, équations fuchsiennes et problème de Riemann Hilbert
- 132. X. BRESSAUD, N. FOURNIER One-dimensional general forest fire processes

2012

- 130/131. Y. NAKKAJIMA Weight filtration and slope filtration on the rigid cohomology of a variety in characteristic p > 0
 - 129. W. A STEINMETZ-ZIKESCH Algèbres de Lie de dimension infinie et théorie de la descente
 - 128. D. DOLGOPYAT Repulsion from resonances

2011

- 127. B. LE STUM The overconvergent site
- 125/126. J. BERTIN, M. ROMAGNY Champs de Hurwitz
 - 124. G. HENNIART, B. LEMAIRE Changement de base et induction automorphe pour GL_n en caractéristique non nulle

2010

- 123. C.-H. HSIAO Projections in several complex variables
- 122. H. DE THÉLIN, G. VIGNY Entropy of meromorphic maps and dynamics of birational maps
- 121. M. REES A Fundamental Domain for V_3
- 120. H. CHEN Convergence des polygones de Harder-Narasimhan

- 119. B. DEMANGE Uncertainty principles associated to non-degenerate quadratic forms
- 118. A. SIEGEL, J. M. THUSWALDNER Topological properties of Rauzy fractals
- 117. D. HÄFNER Creation of fermions by rotating charged black holes
- 116. P. BOYER Faisceaux pervers des cycles évanescents des variétés de Drinfeld et groupes de cohomologie du modèle de Deligne-Carayol

Mémoires de la S.M.F.

Instructions aux auteurs / Instructions to Authors

Les *Mémoires* de la SMF publient, en français ou en anglais, des articles longs de recherche ou des monographies de la plus grande qualité qui font au moins 80 pages. Les *Mémoires* sont le supplément du *Bulletin* de la SMF et couvrent l'ensemble des mathématiques. Son comité de rédaction est commun avec celui du *Bulletin*.

Le manuscrit doit être envoyé au format pdf au comité de rédaction, à l'adresse électronique memoires@smf.emath.fr Les articles acceptés doivent être composés en LAT_EXavec la classe smfart ou smfbook, disponible sur le site de la SMF http://smf.emath.fr/ ou avec toute classe standard. In the Mémoires of the SMF are published, in French or in English, long research articles or monographs of the highest mathematical quality, that are at least 80 pages long. Articles in all areas of mathematics are considered. The Mémoires are the supplement of the Bulletin of the SMF. They share the same editorial board.

The manuscript must be sent in pdf format to the editorial board to the email address memoires@smf.emath.fr. The accepted articles must be composed in $E^{A}T_{E}X$ with the smfart or the smfbook class available on the SMF website http://smf.emath.fr/ or with any standard class. We develop a notion of ellipsitomic associators by means of operad theory. We take this opportunity to review the operadic point-of-view on Drinfeld associators and to provide such an operadic approach for elliptic associators too. We then show that ellipsitomic associators do exist, using the monodromy of the universal ellipsitomic KZB connection, that we introduced in a previous work. We finally relate the KZB ellipsitomic associators to certain Eisenstein series associated with congruence subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and to twisted elliptic multiple zeta values.

Nous développons la notion d'associateur ellipsitomique au moyen de la théorie des opérades. Nous saisissons cette opportunité pour revoir le point de vue opéradique sur les associateurs de Drinfeld, et pour fournir également une telle approche opéradique pour les associateurs elliptiques. Nous montrons ensuite que les associateurs ellipsitomiques existent, en utilisant la monodromie de la connexion KZB ellipsitomique universelle, que nous avions introduite dans un travail précédent. Nous relions pour finir les associateurs ellipsitomiques KZB à certaines séries d'Eisenstein associées aux sous-groupes de congruence de $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, et aux valeurs zêta multiples elliptiques tordues.